Leachability of Oil Shale Ash from Isfir Al-Mahata Oil Shale, Southern Jordan
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Abstract

This paper presents the first study on the oil shale (OS) ashing and OS ash leachability of Jordanian OS located further in the south. The studied OS is from Isfir Al-Mahata subsurface OS located 10 km south of Ma'an. Chemical and physical characterization of the OS ash was performed and compared with original OS. Ashing OS was conducted at different temperatures. Important parameters affecting OS ash leachability were also investigated. The leachability of certain heavy metals was investigated based on clear leaching protocol. The Fisher Assay analysis result indicates that this OS type has quite higher moisture content, lower oil content, and higher spent shale compared with other Jordanian oil shales. Ashing OS at higher temperatures (950˚C) resulted in the disappearance of silica, due to its complete reaction with lime and Al, and formation of Anhydrite and cement materials like, Portland cement. The leachability analysis indicates that for most elements the leachability is high at low pH. The released heavy metals concentrations are below EPA limits. Chromium and lead are leached out more than other elements with the exception at low pH. In general, the higher the ashing temperature is, the lower the release of elements is. The chemical composition of the ash and the leachability results suggests that it has high fixing capacity toward the heavy metals present in the ash.
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1. Introduction

Enormous research work and feasibility studies have been carried out on the Jordanian OS. This indigenous natural fossil fuel remains without utilization. Huge OS reserves
are reported to cover large area of the country [1]. The most studied OS in Jordan is that located in central part which has favorable mining conditions [2]. Jordanian OS is kerogen-rich bituminous limestone of the Muwaqqar Chalk–Marl Formation formed within anoxic environment during the Maastrichtian and Paleocene times [3] [4]. Kerogen in OS yields petroleum-like hydrocarbons via destructive distillation by heat at about 500˚C [5].

Oil shale development in Jordan requires complex and expensive facilities. Serious economic, environmental, and social implications for Jordan and its people are expected [6]. Among the most important utilization methods of OS are retorting and direct burning. The OS ash produced via such methods resulted in significant environmental problems. One major environmental problem is the heavy metal release [5]. Oil shale ash management is a wide field and the disposal of OS ash is costly, therefore, research is focusing on the benefit from OS ash in wide range of applications such as asphalt pavement construction and concrete industry. An example on the use of Jordanian oil shale ash in Portland cement concrete is that study reported by Smadi and Haddad [7]. Atmospheric emissions of CO₂ produced from the oil shale combustion processes are considered as a serious problem [8]. The OS ash is found to be very efficient in removing most of Pb²⁺ ions from influent solutions [5].

Heavy metals found in OS ash could be released to the surrounding environment including surface and groundwater resources [9]-[12]. Leachability of these heavy metals is closely related to the phases to which they are associated in addition to pH of the leaching environment [13]. Al-Harahsheh et al. [14] studied the leachability of heavy metals and major anions from Jordanian El-Lajjun spent oil shale after combustion and they reported that the level of heavy metals increases as the temperature of the ashing process increases. Based on their study, no significant metal release from the ashed OS has reported. Compared with the EPA limits for drinking water, El-Lajjun OS ash has low concentrations of trace elements [14]. The OS in the southern part of Jordan has not been studied from the ashing and leachability point of view. It is the aim of this research paper to investigate the ashing of OS form Isfir Al-Mahata subsurface OS and to study its leachability. The effect of some parameters such as particle size, mixing time, temperature and pH on OS ash leachability is considered.

2. Material and Methodology

2.1. Oil Shale Sample Type

Oil shale composite sample was provided from OS core interval of Isfir-1 borehole drilled in Isfir Al-Mahata OS south of Ma’an in the southern region of Jordan (Figure 1). The representative sampling interval is 158 - 161 meters below surface. Field inspection by authors identified the OS type as dark gray, hard fossiliferous bituminous chalk marl.

2.2. Material Characterization Methodology

Oil shale sample was firstly cleaned and then dried. One thin section has been prepared
and studied under the transmitted white light microscope. Part of the sample was crushed then pulverized. The pulverized OS sample was then sieved to several particle size fractions. The bulk density of the sample was determined through the density apparatus. Organic matter (OM) content is inferred from the total organic carbon content (TOC) that is measured via carbon determinator. Elements quantitative analysis was obtained by x-ray fluorescence instrument. The X-ray powder diffractometer (XRD) was used for mineral phase identification. The quality of OS is inferred from the Fisher Assay analysis that is obtained via the Fisher Assay apparatus according to ISO 647 by thermal cracking method.

2.3. Ashing Process

Ashing process was performed according to standard procedure reported by Harahsheh et al. [14]. Samples of 5 grams of the finely comminuted OS were placed in a ceramic plate, which were then heated in a carbolite furnace to different temperatures namely 550°C, 750°C and 950°C. A heating rate of 10°C/min was used for this purpose. The ashed samples were kept isothermally at the required temperature for two hours and then cooled to room temperature. The ashed OS samples were analyzed for their chemical and mineralogical content by using ICP-MS and XRD techniques. Finally, samples were sieved to wide range of particle sizes as follow 0 - 45, 45 - 125, 125 - 500, 250 - 500, 500 - 710, 710 - 1400, 1400 - 2000, and >2000 µm. The size 710 - 1400 µm is selected to perform the leaching experiments.

2.4. Leaching Process

The investigated parameters and leaching methodology conditions followed are pre-
sented in Table 1. Dried ashed OS (approximately 1 g) were placed in a volumetric flask (100 ml) and filled with distilled water to the 100 ml mark. Samples were soaked with regular shaking. The mixture was then filtered out using 0.5 micron filter paper. Leachate was then analyzed using ICP-MS. Distilled water was used as the blank. The pH and conductivity of the resulted solution (leachate) were also determined.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Original Oil Shale

The bulk density of OS was found to be 1.4 g/cm³. This is quite lower than that reported for similar material from other OS deposits in Jordan by Alali [2]. The TOC content is 8%. The petrographical analysis (Figure 2) shows that the studied OS is characterized as fine-grained calcitic matrix embedded with planktonic foraminifera shells and phosphatic bones, foram’s chambers are filled with secondary calcite. The mineral matrix consists of calcitic shell fragments, OM, with minor amounts of quartz, clay and apatite.

Table 1. Investigated parameters and leaching process conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Investigated parameters</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>Other setup conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Mass (g)</td>
<td>Different masses (1, 2, 3, 4) g</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), time (5 hrs), rpm (700), size (710 - 1400) µm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Size (µm)</td>
<td>Different sizes (µm)</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), mass (1 g), rpm (700).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Time (hr)</td>
<td>Different time (1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 18, 24) hrs.</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), mass (1 g), rpm (700), size (710 - 1400 µm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Speed of mixing (rpm)</td>
<td>Different rpm (300, 500, 900, 1100)</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), mass (1 g), time (5 hrs), size (710 - 1400 µm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. pH test</td>
<td>pH of the water adjusted by HCl and NaOH solutions</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), mass (1 g), rpm (700), time (5 hrs), size (710 - 1400 µm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Effect of temperature on pH value</td>
<td>Temperature and pH</td>
<td>Volume (100 ml), mass (1 g), size (710 - 1400 µm), time (3 hrs), and rpm (700).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Photograph of oil shale sample under TWLM and 4× magnification.
The results of Fisher assay analysis is presented in Figure 3. Over all, this OS type has quite higher moisture content, and lower oil content compared with that reported for similar material from Jordan by Alali [2] and Ibrahim and Jaber [5]. For the whole borehole, Alnawafleh et al. [15] reported that the oil content of OS in this borehole varies from 6% in the first 80 meters OS section to 11% in the remaining OS part.

The main mineral phases identified via XRD analysis (Figure 4) in the original OS are; calcite, silica as quartz, phosphates as apatite, and minor amounts of clay minerals and pyrite.

The average content (wt%) of selected metal oxides in the studied OS is presented in Table 2. The chemistry of this type of OS differs from that reported for central Jordan.

![Figure 3. Fisher Assay analysis results.](image)

![Figure 4. X-Ray diffraction patterns. 1—Calcite, 2—Anhydrite (CaSO₄), 3—Quartz (SiO₂), 4—Apatite, 5—Maynite, 6—Lime, 7—Dicalcium silicate (Ca₂SiO₄) and tricalcium silicate (Ca₃SiO₅).](image)
Table 2. Average content (wt %) of selected element oxides in original oil shale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>L.O.I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>28.65</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>49.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OS since this type of OS is rich in terrestrial material indicators [15]. One serious disadvantage of Jordanian OS is the high sulfur content [6]. High sulfur content makes the oil corrosive and unstable. Special refining and upgrading requirements increase the cost of refining [16] [17].

3.2. Ashing Results

The XRD results of OS ash resulted from ashing OS samples at different temperatures are shown in Figure 4. The XRD patterns of OS ashes are compared with XRD pattern for original OS. Mineral phase transformations are clearly indicated from the XRD patterns. Ashing OS at 550˚C did not result in any major change in the mineralogical composition except that the intensity of the major peaks for calcite, phosphate, and quartz has increased due to the loss of OM, it is also expected that the clay minerals such as kaolinite were transformed into amorphous phases. On the other hand, ashing OS at 750˚C resulted in the appearance of calcium silicate, aluminium silicate and lime, and the disappearance of calcite. Above the temperature of 700˚C calcium carbonate present in OS starts to decompose to CaO and CO₂ [14]. At this temperature, considerable part of the quartz has reacted with calcium oxide and aluminium oxide to form silicates (see Reactions 1 and 2 as an example); smaller part of this quartz still present in the ash. Finally, OS ashed at 950˚C resulted in the disappearance of silica due to its complete reaction with lime and Al. Clear formation of Anhydrite (CaSO₄) as a result of reaction between SO₃, produced from combustion of organic matter, and CaO resulted from calcite decomposition. Quite similar results have been reported for ashing El-Lajjun OS from central Jordan by Al-Harahsheh et al. [14]. One interesting point is the clear formation of cement materials like, Portland cement Ca₃SiO₅ + Ca₂SiO₄.

\[
2\text{CaO} + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4
\]  
(1)

\[
3\text{CaO} + \text{SiO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Ca}_3\text{SiO}_5
\]  
(2)

\[
\text{CaO} + \text{SO}_3 \rightarrow \text{CaSO}_4
\]  
(3)

The chemistry of the ashed samples at different ashing temperatures is presented in Table 3 and Table 4. Different ashing temperature resulted in different ash chemistry. With increasing ashing temperature, the concentration of the measured mineral oxides increases as loss of ignition (L.O.I) decreased. Complete OM combustion is achieved at higher ashing temperatures.

3.3. pH and Conductivity of OS Ash Leachate

About 1 g of OS ashed at 750˚C was soaked in distilled water and agitated at 700 rpm. The values of pH and conductivity obtained at different conditions are shown in Figure 5. Generally, the pH of the leachate is as high as about 12 suggesting the alkalinity na-
Table 3. The chemistry of the ashed samples as obtained by the XRF technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃ %</th>
<th>MnO %</th>
<th>TiO₂ %</th>
<th>CaO %</th>
<th>K₂O %</th>
<th>P₂O₅ %</th>
<th>SiO₂ %</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ %</th>
<th>MgO %</th>
<th>Na₂O %</th>
<th>L.O.I %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ash 550°C</td>
<td>2.584</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>40.484</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>1.697</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>8.702</td>
<td>0.631</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash 750°C</td>
<td>3.067</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>50.165</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>2.155</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>11.090</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>09.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash 950°C</td>
<td>3.604</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.329</td>
<td>51.451</td>
<td>0.336</td>
<td>2.329</td>
<td>24.04</td>
<td>12.390</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>04.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Heavy metals content of OS and its ashes at different ashing temperatures as determined by ICP-MS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Ba</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original OS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13500</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>2870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash at 550°C</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17070</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>3710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash at 750°C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24065</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>4169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash at 950°C</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27060</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>805</td>
<td>1410</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>4485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 5](attachment:image.png)

Figure 5. Investigated parameters effect on pH and conductivity of OS ash combusted at 750°C.
nature of OS ash. This is also evident from the mineralogy of the ash; CaO in water is converted to calcium hydroxide, which is slightly soluble in water (about 2 g/l at room temperature). From the environmental point of view, high pH when OS ash pH is regarded as advantageous in neutralizing acidic mine drainage (AMD) [14]. The effect of particle size of OS ash on pH and conductivity (Figure 5(a)) shows overall slight increase in pH and increase in conductivity to size 250 µm then decrease. Slight increase in pH and conductivity observed as a result of OS ash mass increase (Figure 5(b)); the conductivity increases faster than pH in this case. This is due to the fact that pH is a measure of [H⁺], whereas, the conductivity is a measure of the concentration of all ions present in the solution. Of course the more ash mass in solution the more are the ions present in solution.

Results show that the pH and conductivity increases as a result of mixing time increase (Figure 5(c)). As mixing time increase, the compounds and ions have enough time to soluble in solution resulted in the pH and conductivity increase. However, one can observe that the conductivity of the solution decreases slightly after 30 min mixing time, and then remains almost constant. This reduction in conductivity value could be related to the precipitation of some compounds such as CaCO3 [14] [18] [19]. The presence of CO₂ available in surrounding atmosphere (the vessel was open) dissolves in the slurry solution, resulting in the CO₂ dissolution which can reacts with Ca ions in the solution to form calcium carbonate.

Slight increase in pH and conductivity observed due to the increase in mixing rate (Figure 5(d)). The effect of pH initial on pH final and conductivity is shown in (Figure 5(e)). The pH final sharply increases till 3.08 then slightly increases. Fluctuation in conductivity observed as pH initial increases.

### 3.4. Heavy Metals Leachability

Ashing OS temperature, leaching temperature, and their relation with pH are important factors need to be considered in heavy metal release from OS ash. The effect of ashing temperature on heavy metal release is shown in Figure 6. Results show that, in general, the release of Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn, and Pb decreases with increasing the ashing temperature. Higher release of such metals is obtained at low pH. Within the whole ashing temperature range used, the levels of these metals were below the levels set by the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for drinking water.

The effect of leaching temperature on metal release at wide range of pH for OS ash obtained at different ashing temperatures is shown in Figures 7-10. Generally, metal release is minor. More metal release is obtained at low pH. With increasing leaching temperatures, OS ashes show different patterns of metal release. At low pH, the leachability of the heavy metals analyzed is higher than those at higher pH. Analysis result on the effect of initial pH on final pH of the leachate (see Figure 11) suggests that irrespective of initial pH, except at initial pH < 1 (final pH = 2.4), the final pH is above 12. The acidic environment may be the reason for high metal release from the ash. Chromium and lead are the most released heavy metals with the exception at low pH, where
it is found that all metals higher release. It is also interesting to note that the release of Cr from both original OS and OS ash obtained at 950°C are lower than that obtained at OS ashes obtained at 550°C and 750°C. This could be related to the fact that Cr in the first instance are fixed with the crystalline structure of the mineral phases, whereas, it could available for leaching in a matrix obtained at moderate ashing temperatures where better chances to find easy leachable amorphous phases. Never less, heavy metals

**Figure 6.** Effect of ashing temperature.

**Figure 7.** Concentration of Cr (ppm) in the leachate as determined by ICP. (a) Ash at 550°C, (b) ash at 750°C, (c) ash at 950°C.
Figure 8. Concentration of Cu (ppm) in the leachate as determined by ICP. (a) Ash at 550˚C, (b) ash at 750˚C, (c) ash at 950˚C.

Figure 9. Concentration of Ni (ppm) in the leachate as determined by ICP. (a) Ash at 550˚C, (b) ash at 750˚C, (c) ash at 950˚C.

Figure 10. Concentration of Zn (ppm) in the leachate as determined by ICP. (a) Ash at 550˚C, (b) ash at 750˚C, (c) ash at 950˚C.
in OS ash are immobile and will not be easily leached to the groundwater by the action of water infiltration. Agitated mixtures resulted in slight leaching of these metals in the present case [5]. Leaching conditions are very important [11].

4. Conclusion

Characterization results of Jordanian Isfir Al-Mahata OS deposit show that the OS has TOC content of 8%. The mineral constituents are calcite, silica as quartz, phosphates as apatite, and minor amounts of clay minerals and pyrite. The average CaO and SiO₂ content is 28.65 and 11.64%, respectively. Oil shale ash was also examined and characterized. Ashing OS at 550°C did not result in any major change in the mineralogical composition. Ashing OS at 750°C resulted in the appearance of calcium silicate and aluminium silicate and lime, and the disappearance of calcite. Ashing OS at 950°C resulted in the disappearance of silica, due to its complete reaction with lime and Al, and clear formation of Anhydrite cement materials like, Portland cement Ca₃SiO₅ + Ca₂SiO₄. The leachability of heavy metals shows that for most elements the leachability is high at low pH. The leachability of Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn, and Pb is below EPA limits. Chromium and lead are leached out more than other elements with the exception at low pH. In general, the higher the ashing temperature is, the lower the release of elements is.
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