Washability Characteristics of Low Volatile Pakistani Coking Coal by Crushing
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Abstract

Through washability study, a couple of parameters were investigated for a low volatile Pakistani Coal (coking). The aim was to find out the effect of the action of reducing material release characteristics for low volatile Pakistani coal. The “Index of washability” (IW) and “Near gravity material Index” (NGMI) were used as parameters to express the ease of washability. Run of Mine coal is crushed to four different sizes as mentioned 80 mm, 30 mm, 18 mm and 11 mm in a pestle and mortar. Calculations of IW show that it is inversely proportional to top size of feed, indicating that washability increases with the decrease of size. From NGMI calculations it was concluded that gravity method is not recommended for separation at this specific gravity range. So study divulges that the critical specific gravity for crushing to 30 mm is 1.67 and for 11 mm is 1.56.
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1. Introduction

The washability of coal or minerals is expressed by a curve or graph showing the results of a series of float-and-sink tests [1]. A low grade coal is a coal which contains impurities which on burning pollute the environment, and has calcite, pyrite, silica, sulphates, CaCO₃, and sulphates of CaCO₃, sulphates from oxides as components which form complexes after reacting with other materials. It tends to form coal ash. These coals are characterized by high ash content and are difficult in cleaning potential [2]. Also, it contains sulphates which tend to decrease its clinkering temperature and various coals cleaning methods depending on the physical property difference which is quite different in their specific gravity or wetting characteristics. These important parameters are to be analyzed as Pakistani coal can generate 100 GW electricity for upcoming years [3].

Conventional coal washability information is generally obtained in the laboratory by the tedious sink-float analysis using hazardous halogenated organic compounds in large quantities [4]. Best possible crushing of the coal results in large amount of liberation so that the combustibles can be easily separated. The process used is gravity separation methods [5]. It is quite suitable to carry out the sink-float analysis of the coal to estimate its persuasion towards gravity concentration methods. “Washability Curves” are been made from the data obtained from Sink-float analysis to obtain helpful information regarding clean coal that can be obtained from a given coal under ideal conditions [6].

2. Materials and Methods

Representative ROM (Run of Mine) coal samples (feed ash 35.69%) from Pakistan Mineral Development Corporation from four different coal mines (Pakistan) were studied in the present investigation. Selected coals which are free from visible lumps were used for sink float analysis in order to avoid mineral matter addition. The sample was crushed in pestle and mortar at four different sizes which were 80 mm, 30 mm, 18 mm and 11 mm in top size levels and were screens through vibrating shaking screens as size wise. For each of the top size level sink and float tests were carried out by using bromofrom, benzene and zinc-chloride solutions. Those solutions were used as heavy media at different specific gravities.

The amount of material floating at a particular specific gravity can be theoretically measured as the recovery of two constituents, could be non-ash and ash forming materials, however because the ash material in used coal is easily washable and contains property to liberate complexly but completely from ash material. The aim was to recover all non-ash material (present in the feed) without recovering ash material in the clean coal. On the other hand it was quite difficult to handle (DTW) difficult to wash coal which have lack of ash material (AM) and non-ash (NA) content cannot be reduced by a treatment to improve its properties. Two terms, washability index and washability number, have been selected to count the approachability of given coal towards washing. Various mathematical expressions are developed to calculate the “Index of washability (IW)” and “Near gravity material index (NGMI)” respectively. The values of “Index of washability (IW)” vary from 0 to 100 for the difficult to wash DTW to easy to wash ETW. The values of “Near gravity material index (NGMI)” vary from 0 to 1 for the ETW to DTW.

3. Results and Discussion

The experimental results of four Pakistani Coals at different specific gravity with respect to different crushing size are shown in Table 1. The sizes are 80 mm, 30 mm, 18 mm and 11 mm. At different particle size ash weight and ash percentages are evaluated with specific range to obtain accuracy in results and investigations. By decreasing the particle size decrease of weight percentage can be seen at 80 mm crushed size, plus the change in ash percentage is also gradual. If we compare the weight percentage for 80 mm, 18 mm and 11 mm at point 3 + 0.5, they were 6.7, 21.1 and 23.3 respectively which shows continuous increase by decrease of crushing size. But the case is not similar for ash percentage.

Table 1. Size wise investigation of Pakistani coal at special crushing size levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle size mm</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>Particle size mm</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>Particle size mm</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>Particle size mm</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80 - 55</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>55 - 30</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>18 - 11</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>11 - 8</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 30</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>30 - 18</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>8 - 3</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>8 - 3</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 18</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>18 - 11</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>3 + 0.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>11 - 8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>3 + 0.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>8 - 3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 - 3</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>3 + 0.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the basis of specific assumption that recovery is an incessant function of growing fractional weight of feed coal floated, the recovery curves for non-ash and ash materials has been drawn for the calculation of washability Index, IW.

With the help of obtained data from investigation the washability curves have been drawn. Cumulative ash percentage is placed on y axis and cumulative yield percentage on x axis as shown in Figure 1. Cumulative yield percentage is from 10 - 100 while cumulative ash percentage is from 10 to 35. Here Cumulative yield percentage is gradually increasing with the increase of cumulative ash % and show the maximum limits

\[ IW = \text{Efficiency of recovery of non-ash material} \times \frac{\text{Differences in recoveries of ash and non-ash materials}}{100} \]

With the help of above mentioned equation Index of Washability is projected at sizes dissimilarity table is generated which clearly shows that the decrease in size is inversely proportional to increase in washability. So lowering the size of crushing coal will definitely enhance the washability. Table 2 indicates that lowering the size of crushing will definitely improve the coal benefits and can be very economical. As lowering up to 11 mm is having nice impact over results as compared to other sizes. Here Figure 2 shows curves of two different colors red and black represents the recovery for non-ash and ash forming materials.

Trend study of the analysis among specific gravity and near gravity material index (NGMI) at different top crushed sizes been made with different points and trends shown in Figure 3. At different points of specific gravity the trends are showing variety of readings. However all the trends are started from 1.4 and ended at 2.0. The maximum NGMI trend is 0.32 at 1.75. Common point was taken to elaborate the investigation further. At specific gravity 1.8 random behaviors of trends (at different crushed sizes) seen that are 0.375, 0.12, 1.10 and 0.05 for −80 mm, −30 mm, −18 mm and −11 mm respectively. Discernible decrease can be noticed here at y axis in (NGMI) in Figure 3.

When the value of NGMI is high it shows that the critical specific gravity played its part. Figure 4 explains that the values of coal at different sizes are sizes effects the specific gravity value and curves shows it very well.

![Figure 1. Washability curves for coal crushed to different top sizes.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of coal</th>
<th>Value of IW (calculation based)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crushed to −80 mm</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed to −30 mm</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed to −18 mm</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed to −11 mm</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Two curves (hypothetically calculated) are shown representing the recovery of non-ash and ash forming materials.

Figure 3. Trends showing the analysis among specific gravity vs. near gravity material index at different top crushed sizes.

Figure 4. Trends showing point’s analysis and the curves (trends) for near gravity material index vs clean coal ash %.
e.g., the value of critical specific gravity at 30 mm is 1.67 and for 11 mm is 1.56 which shows that at these values of specific gravities the separation is very difficult even by using gravity method. So keeping this in mind the suggestion is to use Washability index and NGMI could be more useful plus it will help to determine the size of run of Mine coal and with the same difficulty level clean coal with very less ash percentage can be produced from 2 or more crushing sizes.

4. Conclusions

This study divulges that Near Gravity Material Index and washability index might be functional to estimate the ease of beneficiation of coal using gravity methods. Index of washability can determine the size of liberation of run of mine and at which size of coal it should be crushed. Yield can be increased remarkably if decision of blending should be taken for these coals. Analysis of complex formulated study show that the value of critical specific gravity at 30 mm is 1.67 and at 11 mm is 1.56 which shows that at these values of specific gravities the separation is very difficult even by using gravity method as shown in Figure 4. Therefore washability index and NGMI could be more useful and shall help to determine the size of run of Mine coal and level clean coal with very less ash percentage can be produced from 2 or more crushing sizes.

Likewise Figure 4 shows the trends analysis for near gravity material index vs. clean coal ash %. The range of clean coal ash percentage is between 16 to 34 and study shows that the trend is diverted more towards the range between 28 to 34. Most of the trends are making points at 33 to 34 which are towards the end as seen in Figure 4.
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