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ABSTRACT
Geographical Information Science (GIS) technologies have been used increasingly for ecology and epidemiology of water-borne diseases, providing approach for animal health issues. This study was set up to investigate the geographical distribution of Bovine that was affected by Leptospira hardijo, in River Nile state, on October 2012. Locations of targeted cattle were delimited using GPS. Fifty three (53) of blood samples were collected, and screened in the laboratory for Leptospira hardijo specific antibodies using indirect ELISA. 15.09% had evidence of infection as determined by the presence of anti-leptospira antibodies. It was inferred that no incidences were recorded in 45 locations out of the 53 selected locations in the state. Leptospirosis risk area for transmission was mapped using 5 km buffer distance. Animals’ movements routes were mapped with their contacts area and positive samples locations, hafair locations where animals contacts were mapped. This study demonstrated the value of GIS and GPS in disease mapping for animals’ health, and this might help veterinary authorities to implement strategic interventions for animal disease control.
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1. Introduction
Leptospirosis is an infectious disease caused by pathogenic leptospires that are transmitted directly or indirectly from animals to humans. Leptospires are bacteria which can be either pathogenic (i.e. having the potential to cause disease in animals and humans) or saprophytic (i.e. free living and generally considered not to cause disease). Pathogenic leptospires are maintained in the renal tubules of certain animals. Saprophytic leptospires are found in many types of wet or humid environments ranging from surface waters and moist soil to tap water [1].

The causal agent of leptospirosis is aspirol-shaped aerobic spirochete bacterium of the genus Leptospira in the family Leptospiraceae, order Spirochatales. The size of this organism is 6 - 20 μm long and 0.1 μm in diameter with 18 or more coils per cell. The coils tend to stain poorly with common laboratory stains and are best visualized by dark field microscopy, silver stain or fluorescent microscopy. Before 1989, the genus Leptospira was divided into 2 species, Leptospira interrogans and Leptospira biflexa [2]. WHO has identified leptospirosis as a neglected tropical disease, and estimates the median global incidence of leptospirosis to be at least 5.1 cases per 100,000 per year in endemic areas, and 14 cases per 100,000 per year during epidemics. However, incidences vary significantly between regions, with estimated annual incidences per 100,000 per year ranging from 95.5 in Africa, 66.4 in the Western Pacific, 12.5 in the Americas, 4.8 in South-East Asia, to 0.5 in Europe [2].

The species L. interrogans comprises all pathogenic strains while L. biflexa contains the non-pathogenic strains. Recently, taxonomic studies based on DNA hybridization divided the genus Leptospira into 20 species [3]. The species that causes illness to man is L. interro-
More than 200 serovars of *L. interrogans* have been identified. Animals that are natural hosts to a particular serovar usually show no or comparatively few ill effects after infection with that serovar. However, they may develop illness after infection with another serovar. In the initial stage of an infection, animals may show mild symptoms, such as malaise and a drop in milk production in cows. Chronic infections may lead to reproductive problems, such as abortion and low fertility in cattle or pigs. Mild leptospiral infection in domestic animals may pass unnoticed. Occasionally, calves and piglets may suffer from an icterohaemorrhagic syndrome with potentially fatal outcome. As in humans, animals that are incidental hosts may become ill with severe disease. Infections can be fatal. Dogs may suffer from a chronic disease leading to kidney damage, but may also suffer from an acute Weil’s-like disease syndrome after infection with certain serovars. The disease is found mainly wherever humans come into contact with the urine of infected animals or a urine-polluted environment. Leptospirosis is endemic in many countries. It often has a seasonal distribution, increasing with increased rainfall or higher temperature. However, the disease can occur throughout the whole year. Epidemics may be associated with changes in human behavior, animal or sewage contamination of water, changes in animal reservoir density or follow natural disasters such as cyclones and floods [4].

Leptospirosis is found throughout the world, but is particularly common in tropical and subtropical regions where environmental conditions favour the survival and transmission of leptospires. An estimated 500,000 severe cases occur each year (accounting for only 5% to 15% of all clinical infections), and case-fatality rates of over 30% have been reported in some areas [5].

Predicting epidemics is a great challenge for epidemiologists regarding the emergence or reemergence of infectious diseases. Remote sensing and GIS are tools of great potential for a near real-time linkage between epidemiology and environmental characteristics. Beside disease incidence and prevalence in vectors and hosts, geography is a main source of information for epidemiological studies, making a link between environmental and social approaches. Space technologies, therefore become more widely used in this field, especially for the monitoring of tropical diseases [6].

Person, place, time: these are the basic elements of outbreak investigations and epidemiology. Historically, however, the focus in epidemiologic research has been on person and time, with little regard for the implications of place or space even though disease mapping has been done for over hundreds of years [6,7].

The development of GIS has provided a more powerful and rapid ability to examine spatial patterns and processes. This, in turn, has fostered the discussion of such policy relevant issues as health services and planning as well as the use of GIS for epidemiologic investigations and disease surveillance [8].

The main objective of this study is to investigate the spatial distribution and ecology of bovine leptospirosis in River Nile state to locate the positive cases using Global Positioning System (GPS) and determine leptospirosis risk areas through mapping the factors that encourage the spreading of disease.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The present study was conducted in River Nile State which located between longitude 32°N - 35°N and latitude 16°E - 22°E, (Figure 1). The most important climatic feature of the State is the occurrence of a long dry season extending for eight months from November to June, with a rainy season from July to September/October with an average annual rainfall of 150 mm in the southern part to 25 mm in northern part. The average temperature ranges from 8°C to 47°C.

The vegetation of the State is poor and sparse. In the desert zones, it is virtually absent except along the banks of the Nile River and water sources where ephemeral herbs and grasses occur after the occasional rainfall.

The numbers of animals in the River Nile State are modest compared to other States of the country. Sheep and goats constitute the majority of the animal wealth with an estimated population of 1,034,655 sheep, 1,211,095 Goat, 100,701 Cattle and 114,103 camel.
2.2. Samples Collection

Fifty three blood samples were collected randomly from cattle in River Nile state, on October 2012, locations identified using GPS (GARMIN-GPSMAP 60CSx). The blood samples were collected in plain vacutainers, centrifuged to separate the serum and stored at –20°C till used. Collected sera were tested for the presence of leptospira antibodies using indirect Leptospira hardjio ELLISA kit (Cat. No.79038923, prioCHECK L. hardjio AB, Germany).

2.3. Detection of Leptospira Antibodies

Briefly; the test uses 96-well microtitration plates sensitized by purified antigen Leptospira hardjo. The plate’s odd columns (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11) contain the LPS, whereas the even columns (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12) contain a control antigen.

The sera were diluted in the dilution buffer. The plate was incubated and the LPS washed, then the conjugate, protein G peroxidase-labelled, is added to the wells. The plate was incubated a second time at 21°C +/− 3°C. After the second incubation, the plate was washed again and the chromogen (tetramethylbenzidine) is added. This chromogen has the advantages of being more sensitive than the other peroxidase chromogens and not being carcinogenic. If specific Leptospira hardjo immunoglobulins are present in the test sera, the conjugate remains bound to the microwell that contains the bacterial antigen and the enzyme catalyses the transformation of the colorless chromogen into a pigmented compound. The intensity of the resulting blue color is proportionate to the titer of the specific antibody in the sample.

All the reagents were brought to 21°C +/− 3°C before the use. For sera, one milliliter aliquots were placed of the dilution buffer, prepared as instructed in the “Composition of the Kit” section, in 5 or 10 ml hemolysis tubes. 10 ml of the serum samples was added to each of these tubes (dilution 1/100) and were shacked briefly on a mechanical agitator.

Positive and negative sera were diluted 1/100 in dilution buffer. Sera samples were distributed and the positive and negative sera (100 ml/well) as follows: positive serum in wells A1 and A2, negative serum in wells B1 and B2, sample 1 in wells C1 and C2, sample 2 in wells D1 and D2 etc. The plate was incubated at 21°C +/− 3°C for one hour. The plate was rinsed with the washing solution, was prepared as instructed in the “Composition of the Kit” section, as follows: the microplate was emptied of its contents by flipping it over sharply above a sink. Tap the microplate upside down against a piece of clean absorbent paper to remove all the liquid. The used wells were filled with the washing solution using a squeeze bottle or by plunging the plate in a vessel of the right dimensions, then empty the wells once more by turning the plate over above a sink. Repeat the entire operation two more times, taking care to avoid the formation of bubbles in the microwells. After the plate has been washed three times the conjugate was diluted 1:50 in the dilution buffer. 100 ml of the conjugate solution was added to each well. Incubated for 1 hour at 21°C +/−3°C, the plate was washed as described in step 6 above.

100 ml of the chromogen solution was added to each well on the plate and incubated for 10 minutes at 21°C +/− 3°C protected from the light and uncovered. 50 ml of stop solution was added to each microwell.

The optical densities in the microwells were read using a plate reader at 450 nm. Results were read fairly soon after the stopping solution has been added since the chromogen may crystalize in the wells with strong signals and thereby distort the data.

The test can be validated only if the positive serum yields a difference in optical density at 10 minutes that is greater than 0.8 and the negative serum yields a difference in optical density that is lower than 0.3.

Divide the signal read for each sample well by the corresponding positive control serum signal and multiply this result by 100 to express it as a percentage.

Val = (Delta OD Sample x 100)/Delta OD positive

Table 1 can be used to determine the serum’s degree of positivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Val &lt;=</th>
<th>20%</th>
<th>&lt; Val &lt;=</th>
<th>40%</th>
<th>&lt; Val &lt;=</th>
<th>60%</th>
<th>&lt; Val &lt;=</th>
<th>80%</th>
<th>&lt; Val &lt;=</th>
<th>100%</th>
<th>&lt; Val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2.4. GIS Software

An Arc View 9.3 software system was used to map samples locations. The data used were: animals population 2011(Ministry of Animal Resources-River Nile state), human population 2008 (Sudan Central Bureau of Statistics), Samples location by GPS (River Nile State), hafairs locations where animals contacts, Animals routs. The buffering method was used to determine the risk area.

3. Results

This study demonstrated the use of GIS in the study of...
leptospirosis in River Nile State. The results of this study supported the evidence that leptospirosis is a burdensome disease of animals and human health in the Sudan just as in other countries in the tropics where there are the appropriate environmental conditions for the survival of the infective agents. In some developed countries, leptospirosis is a disease of economical significance in animal husbandry (Levett, 2001) rather than a human illness.

Samples locations layer was prepared and overlaid with positive samples layer using GIS software, a map was created as shown in (Figure 2).

The animals and human population layers were overlaid with positive samples locations layer to compare the distribution of the disease as shown in (Figure 3).

To estimate the probable risk from animal movement, buffer tool was used to create buffer zone of risk area from positive samples locations, 5 km distance was determined according to animals movement the previous studies (Weise et al., 2006). The buffer zone layer was overlaid with an urban area to estimate the risk map as shown in (Figure 4).

Hafair is a main source of water for animal use, hence it has an affect the disease spreading, locations of Hafairs were determined using GPS and has been overlaid it with animals population layer, positive samples locations layer and negative samples locations as shown in (Figure 5).

Animal’s tracks were mapped, routes for searching for water and grazing, overlaid with Hafair layer and positive samples locations layer (Figure 6).

**Figure 2.** Spatial distribution of leptospirosis in the study area.
4. Discussion

Incidences survey was confirmed through indirect ELISA test. This is used to visually interpret the spread of incidences according to their intensity in the localities.

From (Figure 2), it was inferred that no cases were recorded in 45 locations out of the 53 locations present in the state, this study, as far as we are aware, is the first to describe leptospira hardijo infection. This study, performed in zone (A) for cattle leptospirosis, identified positive samples distribution of leptospira infection within cattle in River Nile state shown in (Figure 2). Among numbers of cattle which move in rural area as index cases of leptospirosis, 15.09% had evidence of infection as determined by the presence of anti-leptospira antibodies in the indirect ELISA as shown in (Table 2).

Animal’s population layer shows the numbers of each species through the localities, it has been found that goats have the highest numbers and cattle the lowest. This layer has been overlaid over the human population layer. From population layer it appears that Eldamer locality has the highest population.

Our finding indicate that positive cases of leptospirosis are found in Eldamer locality which is the highest population and Elmatama which is the fourth in order, the location of positive samples near Atbara River that pass through ElDamer and Atbara, and near river Nile which divide Elmatama and Shendi, movement and migration...
Figure 4. Buffer zones for the positive sample location.

of animals and humans through Atbara river and river Nile increase risk for leptospirosis infection in Atbara and Shendi localities.

It can be suggested that infection risk varies over short distances within these rural areas. These risk differences may relate to differences between animals’ husbandry with respect to rodent population densities and proximity to environmental source of contamination such as surface water, larger buffers (5 km) often included other valleys, watersheds, a buffer distance of 5km was chosen for analysis because it provided the best prediction of risk area.

Leptospirosis has latency period of 10 - 14 days between contact and development of clinical signs of infection; we predict that animals are able to travel during this latency period. By maintaining a portion of the population in a latent disease state, the distribution of infected animals perpetuated as animals disperse across a board geographical area.

In higher temperature human and animals may participate in water based activities such as swimming, bathing or drinking, these activities increase contact probability of human and animals and leptospires where hafair located in rural areas as shown in (Figure 4). The cases of leptospirosis mostly occurred during the rainy season, the highest average of rainfall in August 26 - 35 mm (Figure 5) shows this. The inability of leptospires to survive out of water is the single most important control factor in the natural environment, as it means they are unable to create infection risks from dry surfaces.

The cases of leptospirosis mostly occurred during the rainy season, the highest average of rainfall in August 26
Table 2. Serum samples result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No</th>
<th>Result by Percentage</th>
<th>Positivity Degree</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sample No</th>
<th>Result by Percentage</th>
<th>Positivity Degree</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.23%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18.93%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Umm Eltiuor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.27%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.35%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Alsyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.07%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.77%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Alsyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38.34%</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Alsyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.38%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.88%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Alsyal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.86%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.06%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elwehaib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.64%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>46.33%</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Elmashaikha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.55%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Atbara</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>49.87%</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Elmashaikha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elaaliab</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7.35%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elremaiia Ganoub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>16.35%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elaaliab</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elgambarat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.06%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elaaliab</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>16.41%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elgambarat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>14.90%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elaaliab</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7.88%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elgambarat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elfadnia</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.72%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elhamadab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.09%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elfadnia</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15.44%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Teiba Alkhwad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.72%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Eldamar</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10.26%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Wad Hamid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.09%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Eldamar</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Wad Hamid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.54%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elktriab</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>22.14%</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Wad Hamid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>−0.75%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elktriab</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.36%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Basabir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>73.94%</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Elgabrab</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>75.81%</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Basabir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>13.89%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elgabrab</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>24.72%</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Elmesektab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>−0.05%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elfadlab</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>3.27%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elmesektab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.08%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Elfadlab</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3.38%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Hager Elasaal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.79%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shendi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 35 mm. During this month all hafair fill in with water, this facilitates leptospira organisms’ growth in water. The bacteria can survive for 1 to 2 months. In the natural water sources, leptospira spp. Can survive even with exposure to UV-A radiation for 6 hours. This may lead to greater chance for animals and people to be exposed to leptosira organisms during their movement from and to hafair. The positive samples of leptospirosis located near animals routs and their contact at hafair location and this may lead to greater chance and increase risk of exposure animals to leptospira infection during their movements.

The potential application of GIS to epidemiological studies has been shown by recent studies. GIS is a valuable tool of environmental epidemiology. GIS also permits analysis of spatial and non-spatial information and hence is an excellent framework for disease monitoring and control (Clarke et al., 1996) have reviewed the use of GIS in surveillance and monitoring of vector borne diseases, water borne diseases, environmental health and modeling and the analysis of the diseases policy and planning.

5. Conclusions

This study demonstrated the value of GIS in disease mapping for investigating the spatial distribution of leptospirosis infection, identifying geographic and environmental risk factors, and enhancing our understanding of
Figure 5. Animals population and surface water resources (Hafair).

disease transmission and the ability to accurately assess, predict, and map environmental drivers of disease transmission.

The output disease maps have facilitated knowledge on the geographical distribution of leptospirosis at the localities level.

The epidemiology of leptospirosis is complex and varies significantly in different environmental settings. Its transmission dynamics can be influenced by climatic events, environmental factors, animal reservoirs, as well as human behavior and social trends.

The present study revealed that the disease is present in most of the localities suggesting that the detected antibodies were due to active or past exposure to infection since there were no adopted vaccination programmes against leptospirosis all over the country.
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