GIS and Intra-Site Spatial Analyses: An Integrated Approach for Recording and Analyzing the Fossil Deposits at Casablanca Prehistoric Sites (Morocco)
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Abstract
The Mio-Plio-Pleistocene sequence at Casablanca, covering the last six million years, is well known in scientific literature. The variability and the chronology of the Acheulian sequence is documented by systematic, modern and controlled investigations in various sites (Unit L and Hominid Cave at Thomas I Quarry, Rhinoceros Cave at Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry, Sidi Abderrahman Extension Quarry, Bear’s Cave and Cap Chatelier at Sidi Abderrahman Quarry) which have taken place within the framework of the Franco-Moroccan co-operative project “Casablanca”. In order to manage the excavation data and to explore the taphonomic nature of Unit L, Hominid Cave and Rhinoceros Cave, where research is still in progress, an approach combining a Geographic Information System (GIS) and spatial analysis techniques was developed, incorporating all existing information produced from previous excavations and recent surveys of the sites. The amalgamation of this data into a GIS has resulted in a digital database that allows the production of simultaneous or separate visualizations and analyses of the fossils, artifacts and geological materials within their original spatial contexts and also permits intra-site spatial analyses that allow a comprehensive investigation of the site formation processes.
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1. Introduction
During the last few decades archaeological research has been characterized by a remarkable increase in the use of computerised techniques; in particular, GIS (Geographical Information System) softwares have gained special favour firstly in providing systems for the management of archaeological data, and secondly as a fundamental tool for the interpretation of archaeological contexts. GIS has long been employed in archaeological landscape studies for inter-site analyses. In recent times, many applications aimed at the interpretation of prehistoric deposits have also been developed [1-8]. The close connection between the spatial location of widespread excavated evidences and the analytical study of each individual find makes the use of computer spatial analysis technologies especially useful in conjunction with GIS.

In this intra-site application field, GIS plays a decisive role in the identification of the spatial trends of archaeological data through the contextual or selective treatment of spatial variables. The spatial distribution of finds in an Early Pleistocene site is largely determined by post-depositional disturbance phenomena affecting the taphonomic environment, whether or not they are anthropogenic, which always need to be taken into account in any spatial interpretation [9]. One of the most complex problems to solve is overcoming the difficulty of recognizing the sequence of these alteration phenomena, which often occur simultaneously, and are hence hard to differentiate in an overall analysis.

Intra-site spatial analyses are important methodologies for unraveling the formation and sequencing of prehis-
toric deposits, whose reconstruction provides decisive evidence for the validation of subsequent deductive analyses [10]. Thus spatial analyses make an important contribution to the interpretation of prehistoric sites explored extensively using the stratigraphic method. In consideration of the multiplicity of the above-listed factors, multidimensional analyses presently appear to be the most advanced and appropriate techniques of spatial investigation [11-17].

These considerations prompted our adoption of a GIS-based investigation, integrated with spatial techniques for the management and processing of spatial and alpha-numerical data concerning the following sites of the Casablanca region: Unit L and Hominid Cave at Thomas I Quarry and Rhinoceros Cave at Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry. Investigations in these sites are still in progress and this allowed us to design data management schemes that followed each phase of the research rather than *a posteriori*. In this paper we present the structure of our information applications, the data recording system and the types of analysis that we perform and envisage performing.

2. The Archaeological Context

The Casablanca region on the Atlantic coast of the Moroccan Meseta is rich in Palaeolithic sites preserved in an exceptionally well developed series of littoral deposits (Figure 1) [18]. The Lower-Middle Pleistocene transition is well illustrated in Thomas I Quarry (Figure 2) where the oldest lithic assemblages of the Casablanca sequence are found in late Lower Pleistocene deposits, circa 1 Myr in Unit L on an excavation surface of about 1000 m², and consist of Acheulian artifacts made on quartzite and flint [19]. In the same quarry, the archaeological assemblage of Unit 4 of the Hominid Cave, where hominid fossils were first brought to light in 1994, documents the Middle Pleistocene record. While this cavity sheltered a population of hominids during the early Middle Pleistocene, it was also frequented by a variety of carnivores during the same period. Despite a surrounding environment only sparsely tree-covered, an abundant mammal fauna was present in the area and this favoured the subsistence of both hominids and carnivores. The semi-arid conditions of the time were responsible for

Figure 1. Location map and position of the main Lower Palaeolithic sites excavated at Casablanca (A). 1, Sidi Abderrahmane Grande Exploitation. 2, Sidi Abderrahmane-Cunette with Cap Chatelier and Bears Cave. 3, Sidi Abderrahmane-Extension. 4, STIC Quarry. 5, Thomas Quarry I. 6, Thomas III Cave. 7, Thomas III “fissures”. 8, Oulad Hamida 1 (Rhinoceros Cave).
the complex sedimentation and post-depositional processes present in the cave. Absolute dates place stratigraphic Unit 4 between 360 and 470 kya, but more recently, this has been pushed towards 500 kya by laser ablation ICP-MS. Nevertheless, bio-stratigraphy and litho-stratigraphy point towards an even greater antiquity [20].

Middle Pleistocene levels also occur in the Oulad Hamida 1 Quarry, where Rhinoceros Cave has yielded a rich collection of micro and macro mammals with remarkably abundant remains of white rhinoceros, associated with a rich Acheulian lithic assemblage (Figure 3) [19].

3. The Application

These sites, extensively excavated, yielded an enormous quantity of lithic objects and faunal remains. Due both to the extent of the investigated areas and the number of finds, technological tools for recording spatial data were found necessary during the excavation phases but more importantly for intra-site spatial analyses undertaken to elaborate on the locational information associated with the finds. To manage the huge quantity of data a GIS was chosen because of its almost limitless possibilities for recording alphanumerical and graphical data, of visualization, analysis and subsequent processing. We used two GIS software products to perform different types of spatial analyses. The logical structure of our methodology is summarized in Figure 4.

3.1. Recording Archaeological Evidence

Documentation for the excavations at Thomas I and Oulad Hamida 1 Quarries exists in two different forms: paper archives recorded before the use of an electronic application (2006) and data collected using an electronic information system. The amalgamation of these data was necessary to create an information resource which could be manipulated to manage all the classes of data simultaneously. The paper documentation consisted of a written catalogue of the finds from the excavations accompanied by the relative plans. The first step was to convert the written alpha-numerical data (the catalogue entry for each lithic artifact or bone) to digital format. Microsoft Access was used to create the alpha-numerical archives (and is also used to collate new information). It was chosen mainly for its simplicity of use in the creation of tables and templates and especially because it permits the use of personalized dictionaries, thus facilitating data entry and assuring, at the same time, the homogeneity of the archived data in its new form.

After the conversion of the paper alpha-numeric archives, we computerized the existing paper maps that had been made for every square meter of the excavated areas. The excavations were carried out within a grid of 1 × 1 metre squares, within which every single find had been accurately positioned.
This grid was imported into MapInfo Professional, referenced on non-terrestrial coordinates using a metric scale and then translated into a vector format. We created two local networks: one for Thomas I Quarry, including Unit L and Hominid Cave (Figure 5), another for Rhi-

3.2. Processing of Spatial Variables

Both alphanumerical and graphic data are managed by GIS software. MapInfo Professional is the principal software used to elaborate thematic maps and sections and frequency matrixes. Another principal software used to elaborate thematic maps and sections and frequency matrixes. Another GIS product, ArcView, was added to our suite because of its capabilities in processing density analyses. Combining alpha-numeric and graphic information makes it possible to present diverse queries to the database and to interrogate simultaneously the al-

The huge number of finds discovered in the three sites concerned makes it difficult to obtain accurate impres-

JGIS
frequency analyses, or to obtain density values and to schematize the distribution trends (concentrations or dispersals) of artifacts.

For maps recording frequencies, finds were grouped and counted per square [13] using an SQL procedure based on the topological overlay of the 1 m by 1 m excavation grid for each thematic level. This procedure allows automatic calculation of the number of objects whose central point falls within each square. The maps thus obtained contain the total number of each category of finds falling within each grid square. Frequencies in these maps are expressed by ranges of standard values delineated by ‘natural breaks’, a method that identifies the break points by picking the position within each class that best groups together similar values and maximizes the differences between classes. The features are thus divided into classes whose boundaries are set at the position where a relatively big jump in the data value occurs (Figure 7). By combining two or more frequency matrices, it is possible to compare the frequency of each category per square metre and present the result graphically (Figure 8). To provide a rendering of object concentrations not linked to the spatial units defined by the excavation grid, density maps were created. Points corresponding to the centroid position of each object were exported into ArcView, where density plans were generated using Spatial Analyst. The density function calculates the number of points in a level over a continuous surface. Thus, the occurrence and proximity of points is highlighted through the generation of a new map of den-

---

**Figure 5. Local network with excavated areas at Thomas I Quarry.**
sity values beginning from a given point. Values are expressed through density curves quantified on the basis of a k-nearest neighbour distance that is smoothed using Kernel Density Estimation (a fundamental data smoothing operation where inferences about the population are made, based on a finite data sample) (Figure 9).

The vertical distribution of finds is projected on longitudinal and transverse cross-sections which generally correspond to a two-dimensional representation: by ranging the values of \( x \) or \( y \) respectively on the abscissa, and those of \( z \) on the ordinate, it is possible to plot the projection of all finds and thus map these kinds of cross-sections. This expedient is necessary because the GIS packages lack 3D topology and consider \( z \) values as attributes rather than as true spatial coordinates (Figure 10).
4. Conclusions

Systematic stratigraphic excavations, documented with three-dimensional recording, and the use of intra-site spatial analyses using GIS and associated systems proved invaluable tools for analyzing the Acheulian sites at Casablanca which are characterized by an extremely high number of finds and by complex post-depositional mechanisms. The integrated approach that we used permitted us to record, follow and analyze each phase of the research, from the first stage of recording information to the later step of data interpretation. Our use of the systems mentioned allowed a more immediate management of the data related to the spatial interrelations of fossils, artifacts and geology through continuous evaluation of all the attributes of distribution and analysis available in...
the various programs.

This model for handling large amounts of information simultaneously has clear potential for storing and organizing the huge volumes of data generated by any excavation and for illuminating and unraveling the complex processes responsible for the formation of Palaeolithic sites. The model developed for the Casablanca sites can be broadly applied to other similar sites.
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