Continuous-Time Mean-Variance Portfolio Selection with Inflation in an Incomplete Market
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Abstract

This paper concerns a continuous-time portfolio selection problem with inflation in an incomplete market. By using the approach of more general stochastic linear quadratic control technique (SLQ), we obtain the optimal strategy and efficient frontier to this problem. Furthermore, a numerical example is also provided.
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1. Introduction

Portfolio selection problem is a key topic in the modern finance. The seminal work of Markowitz (1952, 1959) addressed the issue of allocation of wealth in order to obtain the optimal return-risk trade-off. Since then, the mean-variance model has been extended in many aspects. Merton (1969, 1971) introduced a continuous-time model for maximizing the expected utility from investor’s consumption and terminal wealth. Zhou & Li (2000) investigated a continuous-time mean-variance portfolio problem and obtained the optimal strategy and efficient frontier by using the stochastic LQ technique, which opened up possible approach to solve the problem for more constraints. Following Zhou & Li (2000), many scholars extended this model to the more complicated market situations, such as liability, bankruptcy prohibition and incomplete market. See more details in Bielecki, Jin, Pliska, & Zhou (2005), Xie, Li, & Wang (2008) and Ji (2010).

In a real world, investors must deal realistically with the problems of inflation with the growth of economy when adopting a long-term but finite horizon investment strategy. Therefore, the consideration of inflation risk
in a portfolio selection model will make it more practical. However, to our knowledge, the research on mean-variance portfolio selection under inflation is limited. The existing literature on this topic is not much as can be seen Brennan & Xia (2002) and Bensoussan, Keppo, & Sethi (2009).

The main goal of this paper is to investigate a continuous-time portfolio selection problem under inflation in an incomplete market. It is clear that this model is more suitable and practical in most of the real-world situations, especially for long-term investors. Therefore, our focus will be on two cases. On the one hand, we investigate the incomplete market with inflation, in which there are \( m \) risky assets and one risk-free asset. The price processes of risky assets are driven by an \( m \)-dimensional Brownian motion. We also assume that the inflation factors affected by the market are random, which can be described by \( m + 1 \) Brownian motion. In general, the changes in the nominal price index are not just correlated with the risky assets’ nominal prices, but also with other uncertainties. It is reasonable that the other uncertainties can be represented by one Brownian motion, which is our \((m + 1)\)-th Brownian motion. The original idea can be seen in Brennan & Xia (2002). On the other hand, we employ a stochastic linear quadratic (LQ) technique introduced by Zhou & Li (2000) to solve this problem. It should be pointed out that the introduction of inflation is by no means routine and does give rise to difficulties which are not encountered in Zhou & Li (2000). However, by using the more general stochastic LQ control technique in Yong & Zhou (1999), we can also obtain the optimal strategy and efficient frontier in closed forms.

The paper proceeds as follows. In Section 2, the model is formulated. Section 3 provides a closed-form solution of our model by using the more general stochastic LQ approach. Section 4 presents a numerical example. Finally, concluding remarks and suggestions for future work are given in Section 5.

2. Problem Formulation

We consider a market in which \( m + 1 \) assets are traded continuously within the time horizon \([0, T]\). One of the assets is the risk-free whose nominal price process \( S_0^t \) is subject to the following ordinary differential equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}S_0^t &= r_0 S_0^t \text{d}t, \quad t \in [0, T], \\
S_0^0 &= s_0 > 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_0 > 0 \) is the nominal interest rate of the risk-free asset. The remaining \( m \) assets are risky and their nominal price processes \( S_i^t \) satisfy the following stochastic differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}S_i^t &= S_i^t \left( h_i^t \text{d}t + \sigma_i \text{d}W_i^t \right), \quad t \in [0, T], \\
S_i^0 &= s_i \in R
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W_i^t := (W_i^1, \ldots, W_i^m)^\top \) is a \( m \)-dimensional standard Brownian motion, which represents the random factors that affect risky assets’ nominal prices. \( h_i^t \) is the appreciation rate of the \( i \)th \((i = 1, \ldots, m)\) risky asset, let \( h_i := (h_i^1, h_i^2, \ldots, h_i^m) \). \( \sigma_i := (\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \ldots, \sigma_{im}) \) is the volatility associated with the \( i \)th risky asset. Thus, the covariance matrix of risky assets is as follows:

\[
\sigma(t) := \left(\sigma_{i1}, \sigma_{i2}, \ldots, \sigma_{im}\right) = \left(\sigma_{ij}\right)_{m \times m},
\]

where the superscript “\( \top \)” represents the transpose of a vector or a matrix. As widely adopted in the literature, we assume the non-degeneracy condition of

\[
\sigma(t) \sigma(t)^\top \geq \varepsilon I, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \varepsilon > 0.
\]

The nominal price of real consumption goods in the economy at time \( t \) is denoted by \( \Psi_t \), which follows a diffusion process:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{d}\Psi_t &= \rho_t \text{d}t + \xi_t \text{d}W_t := \rho_t \text{d}t + \xi_{t1} \text{d}W_t^1 + \cdots + \xi_{tm} \text{d}W_t^m \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \\
\Psi_0 &= 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( W_t^i := (W_t^1, \ldots, W_t^{m+1})^\top \) is a \((m + 1)\)-dimensional Brownian motion, which represents the random factors
that affect the price index. \( \rho_i \) is the expected rate of inflation, and \( \xi := (\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^{m+1}) \) is the volatility of the price index.

**Remark 1.** In general, the driving factors of inflation include but do not equal to the ones of the risky assets’ nominal prices. We describe randomness of the price index with \( W^1_t, \ldots, W^{m+1}_t \) in which the foregoing \( m \) Brownian motions are the same ones that drive the risky assets’ nominal price, and the \((m + 1)\)-th Brownian motion \( W^{m+1}_t \) represents other randomness. Moreover, we assume that \( W^{m+1}_t \) and \( W^j_t \) \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) are independent.

Let \( (\Omega, F, P; \{F_t\}_{t \geq 0}) \) be a complete filtered probability space, where \( F = \{F_t; t \geq 0\} \) and \( F_t = \sigma \{W_s, W^m_{s+1}; 0 \leq s \leq t\} \). We assume that all the coefficient functions are continuous bounded deterministic functions on \([0, T]\). We denote by \( C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^k) \) the class of \( \mathbb{R}^k \)-valued continuous bounded deterministic functions on \([0, T] \), and by \( L^p_t([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m) \) the class of all \( \mathbb{R}^m \)-valued, progressively measurable and square integral random variables on \([0, T]\) under \( P \) with norm

\[
\|\xi\|_{\mathcal{F}_t} := \left( E_t^{F_t} \left[ |\xi|^2 \right] \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \quad \forall \xi \in L^p_t([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^m).
\]

We denote by \( X_t \) the nominal wealth of the investor at time \( t \in [0, T] \). Suppose the investor decides to hold \( N^{i,j}_t \) shares of \( i \)-th asset \((i = 0, 1, \ldots, m)\) at time \( t \). Then

\[
X_t = \sum_{i=0}^{m} N^{i,j}_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{6}
\]

Let \( \pi^{i,j}_t = N^{i,j}_t S^j_t \) be the total nominal market value of the \( i \)-th \((i = 0, 1, \ldots, m)\) asset held by the investor at time \( t \), and let \( \pi^i := \{\pi^i_t; t \in [0, T]\} \) a portfolio or a strategy of the investor. We assume that the trading of shares takes place continuously in a self-financing fashion and there are no transaction costs or taxes. We also assume that short-selling is allowable. Then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}X_t &= r_t X_t \mathrm{d}t + B_t \pi_t \mathrm{d}t + \pi^i_t \sigma^i_t \mathrm{d}W_t, \\
X_0 &= x > 0
\end{align*}
\]  \( \tag{7} \)

where \( B_t = (b^1_t - r_t, \ldots, b^m_t - r_t) \) is the risk premium.

With the consideration of the inflation, the real value of any asset in the economy at time \( t \) is determined by deflating by the price index \( \Psi_t \). The real value of the investor’s wealth is given by \( X_t/\Psi_t \). Let \( h_t := X_t/\Psi_t \). Applying It\'ô’s formula to \( h_t \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}h_t &= \left( \rho_t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t \right) h_t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t \mathrm{d}W^i_t - \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t h_t \mathrm{d}W^{i+1}_t \\
&= \left( \rho_t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t \right) h_t + \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t \mathrm{d}W^i_t - \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \xi^{i,j}_t h_t \mathrm{d}W^{i+1}_t \\
h_0 &= x
\end{align*}
\]  \( \tag{8} \)

where \( \xi = (\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^{m+1}, 0) \), \( B_t = (b^1_t - r_t, \ldots, b^m_t - r_t, 0) \) and

\[
\bar{\xi} = (\bar{\xi})_{m+1}(m+1) = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_t^{11} & \sigma_t^{12} & \ldots & \sigma_t^{1m} & 0 \\
\sigma_t^{21} & \sigma_t^{22} & \ldots & \sigma_t^{2m} & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\sigma_t^{m+11} & \sigma_t^{m+12} & \ldots & \sigma_t^{m+1m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

**Remark 2.** In order to facilitate the following mathematical treatment, we give \( \pi^i, \bar{B}_t, \sigma_t \). In fact, we can also think that: it is assumed that there are \( m + 1 \) risky assets in the market, and their nominal prices are driving by \( m + 1 \) Brownian motions. The first \( m \) risky assets are the same ones we assumed before, and the \((m + 1)\)-th risky asset is a fictitious risky asset. The \( i \)-th \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m + 1)\) risky asset’s volatility is given by the \( i \)-th rank of \( \bar{\sigma} \). Moreover, we assume the shares of the \((m + 1)\)-th risky asset held by the investor remains 0. Therefore, we can
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get $\pi_t$ by deriving $\bar{\pi}_t$.

The admissible strategy set under inflation with initial wealth $x$ is defined as
$$U(x) := \{\bar{\pi}_t \in L^2 \left( [0,T]; \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \right) \mid (h_t, \pi_t) \text{ satisfies Equation (8)} \}.$$ 

The objective of the investor is to maximize the expected terminal real wealth, $E h_T$, and at the same time to minimize the variance of the terminal real wealth, $Var h_T$,
$$Var h_T = E \left( h_T - Eh_T \right)^2 = Eh_T^2 - \left( Eh_T \right)^2.$$ 

This is the mean-variance model which can be expressed by the bi-objective optimization problem:
$$P \min_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ (-Eh_T, Var h_T) \right\}. \quad (9)$$

It is known from Li & Ng (2000) that Equation (9) is equivalent to the following single objective optimization problem:
$$P(\mu) \min_{\pi \in \Pi} \left\{ -Eh_T + \mu Var h_T \right\}, \quad (10)$$

where the parameter $\mu > 0$ represents the weight imposed by the investor on the objective $Var h_T$. Define
$$\Pi_{P(\mu)} := \{ \bar{\pi} | \bar{\pi} \text{ is an optimal strategy of } P(\mu) \}. \quad (11)$$

3. Solution to the Problem

In this section, we will apply the more general stochastic linear quadratic (LQ) control technique in Yong & Zhou (1999) to our model. Firstly, we will introduce a stochastic LQ auxiliary control problem and derive its optimal feedback control. Eventually the optimal portfolio strategy and the efficient frontier for the original mean-variance portfolio optimization problem under inflation are obtained in closed form.

3.1. Auxiliary Problem

Similar to Zhou & Li (2000), we introduce an auxiliary problem as follows:
$$A(\mu, \lambda) \min_{\pi \in \Pi} E \left( \mu h_T^2 - \lambda h_T \right) \quad (12)$$

where $\mu > 0$, $-\infty < \lambda < +\infty$. Define
$$\Pi_{A(\mu, \lambda)} := \{ \bar{\pi} | \bar{\pi} \text{ is an optimal control of } A(\mu, \lambda) \}. \quad (13)$$

Recall Theorem 3.1 in Zhou & Li (2000) which shows the relationship between problems $P(\mu)$ and $A(\mu, \lambda)$.

**Theorem 1.** For any $\mu > 0$, one has
$$\Pi_{P(\mu)} \subseteq \bigcup_{-\infty < \lambda < +\infty} \Pi_{A(\mu, \lambda)}.$$ 

Moreover, if $\bar{\pi}^* \in \Pi_{P(\mu)}$, then $\bar{\pi}^* \in \Pi_{A(\mu, \lambda)}$ with $\lambda^* = 1 + 2\mu Eh_T^2$, where $h^*$ is the wealth process corresponding to the strategy $\bar{\pi}^*$.

Let $\gamma = \lambda^*/2\mu$, $Y_t = h_t - \gamma$. Then Equation (8) becomes the following stochastic differential equation:
$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dY_t}{\pi_t} &= \left( r_t - \rho_t + \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) Y_t dt + \left( \rho_t - r_t + \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) V_t dt + \left( \pi_t - \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) V_t \frac{dW_t}{\pi_t} \\
Y_0 &= \gamma := x - \gamma
\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $V_t = \bar{\pi}_t - \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t}$, and the objective function of the auxiliary problem $A(\mu, \lambda)$ becomes $E \left( \mu Y_T^2 - \mu \gamma^2 \right)$.

Hence, the auxiliary problem $A(\mu, \lambda)$ is equivalent to minimizing
$$J(\bar{\pi}; \gamma) := E \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu Y_T^2 \right) \quad (15)$$

Let $\gamma = \lambda^*/2\mu$, $Y_t = h_t - \gamma$. Then Equation (8) becomes the following stochastic differential equation:
$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dY_t}{\pi_t} &= \left( r_t - \rho_t + \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) Y_t dt + \left( \rho_t - r_t + \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) V_t dt + \left( \pi_t - \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t} \right) V_t \frac{dW_t}{\pi_t} \\
Y_0 &= \gamma := x - \gamma
\end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $V_t = \bar{\pi}_t - \frac{\gamma}{\pi_t}$, and the objective function of the auxiliary problem $A(\mu, \lambda)$ becomes $E \left( \mu Y_T^2 - \mu \gamma^2 \right)$. Hence, the auxiliary problem $A(\mu, \lambda)$ is equivalent to minimizing
$$J(\bar{\pi}; \gamma) := E \left( \frac{1}{2} \mu Y_T^2 \right) \quad (15)$$
Furthermore, the admissible strategy set \( U_x \) can be written as
\[
\Lambda(y) := \{ \pi, \pi \in L^2([0, T]; R^{m+n}) \mid (Y_t, \pi_t) \text{ satisfies Equation (14)} \}.
\]

Thus the auxiliary problem \( A(\mu, \lambda) \) is equivalent to the following stochastic LQ control problem:
\[
\min_{\mathcal{C}} J(\pi, \gamma).
\]

### 3.2. Solution to the Auxiliary Problem

A solution of the stochastic LQ control problem \( A(\gamma) \) will involve, in an essential way, the following Riccati equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{P}_t + \left[ 2A_t + \xi_t \xi_t^\top - (M_t' + D_t' \xi_t') \left( D_t' D_t \right)^{-1} (M_t' + D_t' \xi_t') \right] P_t &= 0 \\
P_t &= \mu_t \\
D_t' P_t D_t &> 0, \forall t \in [0, T],
\end{align*}
\]

along with the following adjoint ordinary differential equation:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{g}_t + \left[ A_t - M_t (D_t' D_t)^{-1} (M_t' + D_t' \xi_t') \right] g_t + \left[ \xi_t^\top - D_t (D_t' D_t)^{-1} (M_t' + D_t' \xi_t') \right] \gamma_t + \gamma A_t \\
g_t &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{P}_t &:= \frac{dP_t}{dt}, \quad \dot{g}_t := \frac{dg_t}{dt}, \\
A_t &= r_t - \rho_t + \xi_t \xi_t^\top, \quad M_t = \frac{V_t}{\Psi_t}, \\
D_t' &= \frac{1}{\Psi_t} \left( \sigma_t^{(1)}, \ldots, \sigma_t^{(m+n)} \right), \quad D_t = \left( D_t^{(1)}, \ldots, D_t^{(m+n)} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 2.** Let \( P_t \in C([0, T]; R^+) \) and \( g_t \in C([0, T]; R) \) be the solution of Equations (16) and (17), respectively, such that
\[
\begin{align*}
\phi_t &:= (D_t' D_t)^{-1} (M_t' + D_t' \xi_t') , \\
\psi_t &:= (D_t' P_t D_t)^{-1} (M_t' g_t + \gamma D_t' \xi_t').
\end{align*}
\]

Then Problem \( A(\gamma) \) is solvable with the optimal control being in a state feedback form,
\[
\pi^*(Y_t) = -\phi_t Y_t - \psi_t, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]

Moreover, the optimal cost value is
\[
J^* = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left( 2\gamma A_t g_t + \gamma^2 P_t \xi_t \right)^2 \psi_t + \frac{1}{2} P_t y^2 + g_0 y \right],
\]

where \( |M| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} m_{ij}^2} \) for any matrix or vector \( M = (m_{ij}) \) and \( y = x - \gamma \).

**Proof:** We first prove that the control given by Equation (18) is an admissible control. Substituting Equation (18) into Equation (14), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
dY_t &= \left[ (A_t - M_t \phi_t) Y_t + \gamma A_t - M_t \psi_t \right] dt + \left[ (\xi_t - \phi_t D_t) Y_t + \gamma \xi_t - \psi_t D_t \right] d\mathcal{W}_t \\
y_0 &= y.
\end{align*}
\]
Noting that $P, g_i$ are continuous, and the appreciation coefficient and the diffusion coefficients are bounded continuous within $t$. Hence, we deduce that Equation (20) admits a unique strong solution $Y^*$ which yields

$$E \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |Y^*_t| \leq K_t \left(1 + |x|^2 \right),$$

where $K_t > 0$ is a constant associated with the terminal time. Therefore, we have shown that $\mathcal{P}(Y_t) \in \Lambda (T)$. Next, we prove that $\mathcal{P}(Y_t)$ is an optimal feedback control of the state variable $Y_t$. Applying Itô formula to $P(Y_t^2)/2$ and $g_iY_t$, and integrating them from 0 to $T$, taking expectations, add them together, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2} \mu Y_t^2 - \frac{1}{2} P_0 Y_0^2 - g_0 Y_0 &= \int_0^T \left( \pi + \phi \pi + \psi \right) \left((D'P)D\right) \left(\pi + \phi \pi + \psi \right) - \left((D'P)D\right) \left(\pi + \phi \pi + \psi \right) + 2 \gamma A_i g_i + \gamma^2 P_0 \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \xi \x
Applying Itô’s formula to \( h_t^2 \) yields
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dh_t^2}{dt} &= \left[ 2(A_t - M_t, \varphi_t) + \varphi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t - 2\varphi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t + \frac{\varphi_t}{\gamma M_t^2} \right] h_t^2 dt + \left[ 2 \left( \gamma M_t^2, \varphi_t - M_t, \varphi_t \right) - 2 \gamma \varphi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t \right] h_t^2 dt + \left( \gamma^2 \varphi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t - 2 \gamma \varphi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t + \psi_t D_t^D, \varphi_t \right) dt \\
&+ 2h_t \left( -\varphi_t (h_t^2 - \gamma) - \psi_t \right) D_t^D + h_t \xi_t^D dW_t
\end{align*}
\]
Taking expectation on both side of Equations (25) and (26), which leads respectively to
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
dE h_t^2 = \left[ (A_t - M_t, \varphi_t) h_t^2 + (\gamma M_t^2, \varphi_t - M_t, \varphi_t) \right] dt \\
E h_0^2 = x^2
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
dE h_t^2 = \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D - \frac{\xi_t^D}{\gamma M_t^2} \right] h_t^2 dt \\
&+ \left[ \left( \frac{g_t}{P_t} \right)^2 M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' + \gamma^2 M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2 \gamma M_t \frac{g_t}{P_t} \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' \right] dt \\
E h_0^2 = x^2
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]
The solution of Equation (27) is
\[
E h_t^2 = \frac{X}{q_0} e^{\int (A_t - M_t, \varphi_t) dt} + \int_0^t \left( \gamma M_t^2, \varphi_t - M_t, \varphi_t \right) e^{\int (A_s - M_s, \varphi_s) ds} ds, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
This leads to
\[
E h_t^2 = \alpha + \beta \gamma,
\]
where
\[
\alpha := \frac{X}{q_0} e^{\int (A_t - M_t, \varphi_t) dt}, \quad \beta := 1 - e^{-\int 2 \gamma M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} d_t^D, \varphi_t}. \tag{29}
\]
Similarly, by solving Equation (28) we have
\[
E h_t^2 = x^2 e^{\int \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D \right] dt} \]
\[
+ \int_0^t \left[ \left( \frac{g_t}{P_t} \right)^2 M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' + \gamma^2 M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2 \gamma M_t \frac{g_t}{P_t} \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' \right] dt \times e^{\int \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D \right] dt}, \quad t \in [0, T]. \tag{30}
\]
Substituting Equation (23) into Equation (30), we have
\[
E h_t^2 = x^2 e^{\int \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D \right] dt} + \gamma \int_0^t M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' e^{\int \left[ 2A_s - M_s \left( D_s^D \right)^{-1} M_s' - 2M_s \left( D_s^D \right)^{-1} D_s^D, \xi_s^D \right] ds} ds dt
\]
\[
= x^2 e^{\int \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D \right] dt} + \gamma \int_0^t \left[ 1 - e^{-\int 2 \gamma M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} d_t^D, \varphi_t} \right] dt, \quad t \in [0, T].
\]
Then we get
\[
E h_t^2 = \eta + \beta \gamma^2, \tag{31}
\]
where \( \eta := x^2 e^{\int \left[ 2A_t - M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} M_t' - 2M_t \left( D_t^D \right)^{-1} D_t^D, \xi_t^D \right] dt}. \)
Based on the Theorem 1, if any optimal solution of problem $P(\mu)$ exists, it can be obtained by the solution $\pi^*$ of the auxiliary problem $A(\mu, \lambda^*)$ with $\lambda^* = 1 + 2\mu \bar{E} h_r^*$. According to $E h_r^* = \alpha + \beta \gamma$, $E h_r^* = \alpha + \beta \gamma^*$ with $\gamma^* = \lambda^*/2\mu$. The above two equations yield

$$\lambda^* = \frac{1 + 2\mu \alpha}{1 - \beta}.$$ 

Thus, the optimal feedback control of the problem $P(\mu)$ can be expressed by

$$\pi^*(h) = -\varphi(h) - \psi$$

with

$$\gamma = \gamma^* = \frac{1}{2\mu(1 - \beta)} + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta}.$$ 

Correspondingly, the variance of the terminal wealth is

$$Var h_r^* = E h_r^{*2} - (E h_r^*)^2 = \beta(1 - \beta)\gamma^2 - 2\alpha\beta\gamma^* + \eta - \alpha^2. \quad (32)$$

By substituting $\gamma^* = (E h_r^* - \alpha)/\beta$ and $\alpha, \beta, \eta$ into Equation (32), we have

$$Var h_r^* = \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} \left( E h_r^* - \alpha \right)^2 + \eta - \frac{\alpha^2}{1 - \beta}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \left( E h_r^* - \left( A - M_r^* \right) \frac{e^{L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2 \frac{\left( 2 - M - (\beta / \alpha) \right)^2}{\left( 2 - M - (\beta / \alpha) \right)^2} \left( E h_r^* - \left( A - M_r^* \right) \frac{e^{L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2. \quad (33)$$

Substituting $\varphi = (D'D_r^*)^{-1} (M_r^* + D_r^* Z_r^*)$, $\psi = (D'_P D_r^*)^{-1} (M_r^* g_r^* + \gamma D'_P P_r^*)$ and Equation (23) into Equation (33), we finally obtain the efficient frontier as follows:

$$Var h_r^* = \frac{e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \left( E h_r^* - \left( A - M_r^* \right) \frac{e^{L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2 \frac{\left( 2 - M - (\beta / \alpha) \right)^2}{\left( 2 - M - (\beta / \alpha) \right)^2} \left( E h_r^* - \left( A - M_r^* \right) \frac{e^{L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \right)^2. \quad (34)$$

**Remark 3.** If we let $\rho = 0, \varphi = 0$, and the market is complete, then Equation (34) would reduce to

$$Var h_r^* = \frac{e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}}{1 - e^{-L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*}} \left( E h_r^* - \left( A - M_r^* \right) e^{L M_r^* (\alpha / \beta) - M_r^*} \right)^2. \quad (35)$$

Obviously, the result of Zhou & Li (2000) is a special case in our paper.

### 4. Numerical Example

In this section, we discuss a numerical example. Suppose that the market has four assets and a risk-free asset. Let's assign the following parameters which are needed in our model: the risk-free asset $r = 0.0350$, the expected value of inflation $\rho = 0.0310$, the time horizon $T = 2$, the volatility of the price index $\bar{Z} = (0.2123; 0.1396; 0.0823; 0.1562; 0.1876)$, the appreciation rate of assets $b = (0.1621; 0.1422; 0.1013; 0.1326)$, the initial wealth $x_0 = 1$. And also suppose that the covariance matrix $(\sigma_{i,j})_{4x4}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
0.3121 & 0.1127 & 0.1362 & 0.1831 \\
0.1127 & 0.2656 & 0.0956 & 0.1772 \\
0.1362 & 0.0956 & 0.1856 & 0.1432 \\
0.1831 & 0.1772 & 0.1432 & 0.2372
\end{pmatrix}
$$

After some transformations and calculations by using the above parameters, the efficient frontier of our model is obtained by the following.

$$Var h_r^* = 6.0236 \left( E h_r^* - 1.4426 \right)^2.$$
Next, we compare our model with that of Zhou & Li (2000). The biggest difference is that our model is considered the factor of inflation in the decision-making process. Figure 1 shows the efficient frontier to continuous-time mean-variance model with and without inflation in a market. It can be seen that the frontier with inflation lies below the one without inflation. This means that the inflation plays as a penalty factor for portfolio revision. Furthermore, it tells us that the impact of it cannot be ignored in the real world when portfolio managers choose investment strategy.

5. Conclusion

This paper extends the work of Zhou & Li (2000) to an incomplete market with inflation. In our model, the inflation process is assumed to be a geometric Brownian motion, which is correlated with those risky assets. The driving factors of inflation are not the same ones which affect risky assets’ prices. This means that the random factors affecting inflation include but do not equal to the ones of risky assets’ prices. By using the more general stochastic LQ approach, we have provided a closed-form optimal strategy and efficient frontier. Comparing to Zhou & Li (2000), our results in this paper are more general. In addition, a numerical example is also provided. The search on the liability and bankruptcy prohibition in this problem is left for future work.
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