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Abstract

Globally, ambient fine particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$) is the fifth leading cause of death. Household air pollution from use of solid fuels is the tenth ranked cause of death. Together, ambient and household air pollution caused 6.4 million deaths worldwide. In the Middle East and North Africa region, an estimated 125,000 lives are lost annually to diseases associated with ambient and household air pollution. This paper estimates the economic cost of air pollution in Morocco, a country marked by rapid economic development and urbanization. Using the most updated causal relationships between long-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and premature mortality, the paper estimates that air pollution costs society about US$1.14 billion annually, or 1.05 percent of the country’s GDP in 2014. Ambient air pollution is responsible for nearly three quarters of this cost, as a result of large exposure to high PM$_{2.5}$ levels in cities like Casablanca, Tangier, and Marrakesh. Household air pollution is a problem particularly for rural households using solid fuels for cooking and heating. Based on these results, the paper identifies the most affected areas and the most vulnerable groups in the country.
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1. Introduction

Ambient air pollution$^1$ is a major contributor to human mortality and morbidity [1] [2] [3]. Epidemiological studies have established robust causal relationships between long-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$—particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 microns—and premature deaths related to heart dis-

$^1$This paper uses the World Health Organization (WHO) terminology of ambient (outdoor) air pollution (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs313/en/) and household (indoor) air pollution (http://www.who.int/indoorair/en/)
ease, stroke, respiratory diseases, and lung cancer, thereby substantially reducing life expectancy [4]. Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ also causes morbidity, resulting in problems such as cases of chronic bronchitis, hospital admissions, work loss days, restricted activity days, and acute lower respiratory infections in children [5].

In 2015, ambient PM$_{2.5}$ was the fifth-ranked mortality risk factor, accounting for 7.6 percent of total global mortality [6], causing 4.2 million deaths and 103.1 million lost years of healthy life [7]. Ischemic heart disease and cerebro-vascular diseases accounted for 57 percent of the deaths. China and India stood out with the highest air pollution-related mortality, each exceeding 1 million deaths. In addition, globally, household air pollution from the use of solid fuels (e.g. coal, wood, dung) for cooking and heating was the tenth-ranked mortality risk factor in 2015, being responsible for 2.8 million deaths and 85.6 million lost years of healthy life [6] [7].

Recent efforts estimated that premature mortality cost the global economy about US$225 billion in lost labor income in 2013, or about US$5.1 trillion in welfare losses [8]. In the Middle East and North Africa region, an estimated 125,000 lives were lost in the same year to diseases associated with ambient and household air pollution; this corresponded to welfare losses of about US$154 billion, or 2.2 percent of the regional gross domestic product (GDP).

This paper estimates the economic cost of air pollution in Morocco. It is part of a broader study carried out by the World Bank, which aims to estimate the overall cost of environmental degradation in the country [9]. The paper uses the most updated methodology to value in monetary terms the impact of PM$_{2.5}$ on people’s health; puts the results into a broader perspective of the country’s overall cost of environmental degradation; and identifies the most affected areas and groups in Morocco. The analysis has been carried out during 2015-2016, and is based on secondary information collected from Government institutions, national statistics and scientific literature.

2. Air Pollution in Morocco

Between 2004 and 2014, Morocco experienced strong economic growth, reflected by an overall increase in per capita GDP of 34 percent [10]. During the same period, population grew by an annual 1.25 percent on average; while urban population, which is mostly concentrated on coastal areas, increased even faster (2.1 percent per year) [11]. Coastal cities are also home for most economic activities, such as energy and industry, and have experienced a rapid growth of road traffic [12]. These activities generated a rapid increase in emissions of local and global air pollutants [13].

Ambient air pollution. The country started to monitor air quality in 1997. The first efforts were carried out by the Ministry of Sustainable Development in the city of Rabat using a mobile laboratory, and were followed by measurements conducted by the Directorate of National Meteorology (Direction de
la météorologienationale, DMN) in Greater Casablanca. Currently, the DMN manages the national air quality-monitoring network, which has 29 fixed and 3 mobile stations covering 15 cities [14]. These stations monitor the ambient concentration of several particulates, such as nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), particulate matter with diameter less than 10 microns (PM₁₀), ozone (O₃), and carbon monoxide (CO). Aware of the harmful effects of local pollutants on people’s health, the country has already conducted several studies which valued the impacts of ambient air pollution on people’s health in Casablanca, Mohammedia, and Fès [15] [16].

Household air pollution resulting from the use of solid fuels for cooking and heating is also associated with substantial health effects [4]. Generally, burning solid fuels (wood, charcoal, agricultural residues) in households causes emissions of PM₂.₅ and other pollutants harmful to human health. Other fuels (e.g. liquefied petroleum gas, biogas) are cleaner and generate less PM₂.₅. In Morocco, no information is available on PM₂.₅ concentrations at the level of rural households. However, per capita energy consumption was estimated at 0.54 tons of oil equivalent (toe) in 2012, which is very low compared to the world average (1.9 toe/capita) and that of Africa (0.67 toe/inhabitant) [17]. Wood and coal accounted for 25 percent of total energy consumption in the same year, according to communications with the Department of Energy and Mines³.

3. Ambient Air Pollution

This section estimates the impact of exposure to ambient PM₂.₅ on human mortality and morbidity, using 2014 as the year of reference. The valuation is based on four steps, presented below.

Step 1. Measure the PM₂.₅ concentration. The Ministry of Sustainable Development monitors only particles with a diameter of less than 10 micrometers (PM₁₀)⁴. Several measurement stations located in Agadir, Benslimane, Casablanca, El Jadida, Fès, Mohammedia, Khouribga, Marrakech, Safi, Salé, and Tangier provided daily data for the period 2012-2015. These data are used to estimate the annual average PM₂.₅ concentrations for each city, as follows:

1) The annual average PM₁₀ concentration for each station is quantified based on daily monitoring data.

³According to communications with the Department of Energy and Mines, energy consumption in rural areas in 2012 was based on butane (788 toe), wood and charcoal (339 toe) and electricity (186 toe). It is important to note that the country’s energy sector grew considerably, particularly in the electricity sector. Nowadays, all cities are connected to the network of the National Office of Water and Electricity and the rate of rural electrification is 96.8 percent. In addition, the National Energy Strategy—based on the mobilization of its own national resources and the growth of renewable energies in the energy mix—places Morocco among the leading countries in terms of renewable energy development [17].

⁴A global effort has been conducted to estimate the trend in ambient PM₂.₅ concentrations in each country [18], based on a combination of satellite imagery and ground-based PM₂.₅ observations. However, this paper uses data from ground measurements, as they are considered to be more reliable. For example, for the city of Casablanca, the annual PM₂.₅ concentration was estimated at 12.3 μg/m³, based on satellite data, as opposed to 19 μg/m³, based on ground measurements [9].
2) For each station, the annual PM$_{10}$ concentration is converted to PM$_{2.5}$ concentration, using a conversion factor of 0.4 [19].

3) At the city level, PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is estimated as the average of concentration data provided by all measuring stations located in that city. For the largest cities (Casablanca and Marrakech), the annual PM$_{2.5}$ concentration is estimated as a population-weighted average of the PM$_{2.5}$ concentration at each station.

The results indicate that average PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations vary widely, from 3 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in Safi to as high as 22 $\mu$g/m$^3$ in Tangier (Table 1).

The following steps estimate the health impacts for the cities with PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations above the World Health Organization (WHO) air quality standard$^5$ of 10 $\mu$g/m$^3$. These are Tangier, Marrakech, Casablanca, Mohammedia, Settat, Fès, Benslimane, and Khouribga.

**Step 2. Estimate the population exposed to PM$_{2.5}$.** Data on the percentage of total population exposed to pollution are not available for any monitoring station in Morocco. However, as urban transport generates much of the ambient air pollution, the paper assumes that the entire population of each city is affected by the average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration calculated at the previous step (Table 1).

**Step 3. Quantify the health impacts of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$.** Several epidemiological studies revealed strong correlations between long-term exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and premature mortality [20] [21] [22] [23] [24]. In particular, recent research associated PM$_{2.5}$ exposure with mortality related to four diseases in adults

### Table 1. Population and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in the main Moroccan cities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Population (000)</th>
<th>Annual ambient PM$_{2.5}$ concentration* ($\mu$g/m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangier</td>
<td>1,005</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marrakech</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casablanca</td>
<td>3,360</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammedia</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settat</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fès</td>
<td>1,130</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benslimane</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khouribga</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agadir</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El-Jadida</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salé</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safi</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$^5$An annual average concentration of 10 $\mu$g/m$^3$ was chosen as the long-term guideline value for PM$_{2.5}$ (http://www.who.int/phe/health_topics/outdoorair/outdoorair_aqg/en/). This represents the lower end of the range over which significant effects on survival were observed by the American Cancer Society [3].
(ischemic heart disease, stroke, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and lung cancer) and acute lower respiratory tract infections in children. These relationships, called integrated exposure-response functions, were used to estimate mortality for each health endpoint, age group, and PM$_{2.5}$ concentration in each city [4]. The results show that ambient air pollution was responsible for about 2,200 deaths in 2014. Nearly 50 percent of adult deaths originate in Casablanca, followed by Marrakesh and Tangier, mainly due to ischemic heart disease, stroke and lung cancer (Figure 1). Overall, more than 70 percent of deaths result from ischemic heart disease and stroke.

Figure 2 presents the distribution of premature mortality estimate by age

![Figure 1: Premature deaths due to PM$_{2.5}$ exposure by city (2014). Source: authors’ calculations.](image1)

![Figure 2: Premature deaths due to exposure to ambient PM$_{2.5}$ by age (2014). Source: authors’ calculations.](image2)
group and disease. Adults over the age of 55 are at risk of premature mortality due mainly to ischemic heart disease and strokes. Children under five years old are also vulnerable to premature mortality due to acute lower respiratory tract infection.

It should be noted that the Institute of Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) has estimated mortality in Morocco at 6,000 deaths for the same year, based on a combination of ground and satellite measurements of PM$_{2.5}$ ambient concentration (http://ihmeuw.org/3ts8). Two reasons explain the difference in results: (i) the IHME estimates cover the whole country, while the above estimate is conducted for eight cities only; and (ii) the IHME estimates are partly based on satellite data, which are less accurate than ground-based measurements. Therefore, this paper considers mortality in Morocco to be in the range provided by the two estimates, namely between 2,200 and 6,000 deaths.

**Step 4. Estimate the health impacts of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$**. The cost of mortality is estimated based on the concept of the Value of Statistical Life (VSL). It has been widely used in environmental economics literature to reflect people’s willingness to pay for a reduction in mortality risk [8] [25] [26]. The VSL for Morocco was estimated at about US$191,500 (Box 1). Accordingly, the cost of premature mortality (between 2,200 and 6,000) caused by ambient air pollution ranges between US$420 million and US$1.15 billion (a).

### Box 1. Estimating the VSL for Morocco

Several studies have estimated the VSL in many countries on each continent, and these results were later used in meta-analyses [25] [27] [28] [29]. The average VSL for nine high-income OECD countries has been estimated to range from US$4.4 million to US$4.8 million (2011 prices, at market rates) [8] [30]. These numbers reflect the median and the mean VSL estimates from a database of quality-screened willingness-to-pay studies conducted in high-income OECD countries.

To derive the VSL for Morocco, we use the benefits transfer method, accounting for differences in socio-economic parameters between the two sites. The transfer is conducted based on the formula:

$$VSL_{\text{Morocco}} = VSL_{\text{OECD}} \times \left(\frac{\text{GDP/capita}_{\text{Morocco}}}{\text{GDP/capita}_{\text{OECD}}}\right)^{\epsilon}$$

where:

- $VSL_{\text{Morocco}}$ = VSL for Morocco
- $VSL_{\text{OECD}}$ = VSL for OECD countries (average)
- (GDP/capita)$_{\text{Morocco}}$ = GDP per capita in Morocco
- (GDP/capita)$_{\text{OECD}}$ = GDP per capita in OECD (average)
- $\epsilon$ = income elasticity to the VSL (varying from 1 to 1.4, with a central estimate of 1.2)

Accordingly, the VSL for Morocco is estimated between US$183,000 and US$200,000, with an average of US$191,500. We use this figure to value the economic cost related to premature deaths due to air pollution.

Source: based on [31].

The cost of morbidity includes resource costs (i.e. financial costs for avoiding, protecting, or treating pollution-associated illnesses), opportunity costs (i.e. indirect costs from the loss of time for work and leisure), and disutility costs (i.e. cost of pain, suffering, or discomfort). The literature assessing causal relationships between exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ and morbidity is much more limited than that
for mortality\(^6\) [5]. So far, no commonly accepted method has been developed to value the overall cost of morbidity due to air pollution [32]\(^7\).

However, results of studies conducted in several OECD countries indicate that morbidity costs can be roughly approximated to 10 percent of mortality costs [5] [30] [32] [33]. In the absence of surveys on the willingness to pay to avoid pollution-related illnesses in Morocco, morbidity costs are estimated at 10 percent of mortality costs, i.e. between US$42 million and US$115 million (b).

Adding up the costs of mortality and morbidity (a + b), the total loss due to ambient air pollution ranges between **US$462 million and US$1.26 billion**, with an average of **US$863 million** (Table 2).

### 4. Household Air Pollution

This section estimates the cost of household air pollution, through the impact of using solid fuel for cooking and heating in rural households. A similar step-by-step approach is used:

**Step 1. Measure PM\(_{2.5}\) concentration.** PM\(_{2.5}\) concentrations in rural households using solid fuel for cooking vary considerably, depending on the location of the kitchen (e.g. indoors or outdoors), method and duration of cooking, ventilation practices, etc. Concentrations of PM\(_{2.5}\) often reach several hundred μg/m\(^3\)

| Table 2. Health cost of air pollution (US$ million*, 2014). |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Ambient air pollution**        |               |               |               |               |
| Mortality                        | 420           | 1,149         | 784           | 0.71           |
| Morbidity                        | 42            | 115           | 78            | 0.07           |
| **Sub-total (ambient)**          | **462**       | **1,264**     | **863**       | **0.79**       |
| **Household air pollution**      |               |               |               |               |
| Mortality                        | 248           | 271           | 259           | 0.24           |
| Morbidity                        | 25            | 27            | 26            | 0.02           |
| **Sub-total (household)**        | **273**       | **298**       | **285**       | **0.26**       |
| **Total health cost**            | **734**       | **1,562**     | **1,148**     | **1.05**       |

Source: authors’ calculation. Note: * except for the last column. The totals may not add up exactly due to rounding.

\(^6\)Valuing morbidity is complicated due to several issues: it involves multiple health endpoints suffered by a variety of agents (patient, friends, family, coworkers, etc.); missing data on health expenditures and medical treatment costs for many countries; differences among countries in health care systems and how health care costs are allocated to patients, care providers, and the public treasury; few studies of willingness to pay to avoid different kinds of illnesses and other medical conditions associated with pollution exposure.

\(^7\)Several methods were used in the past to estimate the burden of disease caused by environmental risks, based on Disability Adjustment Life Years (DALYs) concept, as well as the cost of illness, in terms of treatment costs.
in the kitchen and more than 100 μg/m³ in the rest of the household⁸.

No measure of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration was found for Morocco’s rural households; however, the WHO has compiled a global database of 154 studies on measures of household air pollution. Although the database does not provide PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in any North African country, it contains a few relevant results. For example, [34] report indoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations in some areas of India ranging from about 160 μg/m$^3$ in living areas to about 600 μg/m$^3$ in kitchens; and [35] measured concentrations of approximately 100 μg/m$^3$ in outdoor terraces with open fire cooking in rural Mexico following adoption of improved wood stoves. As wood stoves or ovens are widely used in Morocco for cooking [36], this paper conservatively assumes an annual average PM$_{2.5}$ concentration of 100 μg/m$^3$ in rural households that use solid fuel for cooking.

**Step 2. Estimate the population exposed to PM$_{2.5}$**. Household exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ emitted by combustion of solid fuels depends on the activity patterns inside the household. A recent study [17] indicated that 20 percent of rural households used wood for cooking in 2010 and projected a reduction to 5 percent by 2040. Applying this trend over time resulted in about 18 percent of rural households using wood for cooking in 2014. Applying this proportion to the total rural population of 13.4 million [11], the total population exposed to household PM$_{2.5}$ is estimated at 2.4 million people in 2014.

**Step 3. Estimate the health impacts of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$**. The same integrated exposure-response functions are applied as in Section 3. The results show that household air pollution was responsible for about 1,350 deaths in 2014, of which nearly 90 percent are caused by ischemic heart disease, stroke, or acute lower respiratory tract infections. Figure 3 shows that the oldest (over 55 years) and the youngest (less than 5 years old) are the groups most affected by household air pollution.

**Step 4. Estimate the health impacts of exposure to PM$_{2.5}$**. Using a VSL of US$191,500 (Box 1), the cost of premature mortality (1,350 deaths) caused by household air pollution is estimated to be between US$248 million and US$271 million. In addition, morbidity costs are valued at 10 percent of mortality cost, i.e. between US$25 million and US$27 million. Adding these values, the total health cost due to household air pollution ranges between US$273 million and US$298 million, with an average of **US$285 million** (Table 2).

### 5. Total Health Cost of Air Pollution

The total health cost of air pollution is estimated between US$734 million and US$1.6 billion. This corresponds to an average of **US$1.14 billion, or 1.05 percent of the country’s GDP in 2014** (Table 2). Ambient air pollution dominates the total cost (75 percent of the total), primarily as a result of high exposure to

---

⁸WHO indoor air pollution database:
http://www.who.int/indoorair/health_impacts/databases_iap/en/
ambient PM$_{2.5}$ in urban areas such as Casablanca, Tangier, and Marrakesh. Household air pollution is a significant problem for the 18 percent of the rural households that use solid fuel for cooking.

It should be noted that the above estimates are subject to several limitations. From a methodological point of view, mortality estimates refer only to the impacts of PM$_{2.5}$ on five respiratory diseases, for which robust causal relationships have been established in the literature; while those related to morbidity are not correlated with any specific diseases. From an empirical viewpoint, data related to household air pollution are either lacking (e.g. indoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentration) or partial (e.g. types of stoves and cooking practices), which imposed reliance on information from other areas.

This analysis is part of a broader study conducted by the World Bank, which estimated the overall cost of environmental degradation in Morocco [9]. It addressed the degradation related to several natural resources (categories): water, air, agricultural land, waste management, coastal zones, and forests. The results showed that the total cost of environmental degradation was about 3.52 percent of the country’s GDP in 2014. Air pollution was found to be the second most important type of degradation in the country, after water overexploitation and pollution (Figure 4).

6. Conclusions

The paper points to the following key conclusions:

- The health impacts from PM$_{2.5}$ exposure is a pressing environmental challenge in the country, costing society US$1.14 billion, or 1.05 percent of the country’s GDP in 2014. In relative terms, the results are lower than those obtained in other countries of the region (3.58 percent of Egypt’s GDP; 2.48 percent of Iran’s GDP), and in the region as a whole (2.2 percent of the

**Figure 3.** Premature deaths due to household PM$_{2.5}$ exposure by age (2014). Source: authors’ calculation.
Exposure to PM$_{2.5}$ caused an estimated 5,450 deaths on average, of which about 75 percent are due to ambient air pollution and 25 percent are due to household air pollution. The cost of ambient air pollution is particularly high in cities like Casablanca, Tangier, and Marrakesh, due to large populations exposed to high levels of PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. At the same time, household air pollution is a significant problem for the 18 percent of the rural households that use wood and coal for cooking and heating.

The most vulnerable groups for premature deaths from air pollution are adults over 55 years old (due to ischemic heart disease and stroke) and children under 5 (due to acute lower respiratory infections). Special attention should be targeted to these age groups when designing programs for reducing health impacts from air pollution.

Morocco has made considerable progress in establishing air pollution monitoring systems in several urban areas. It is important to continue the assessment and analysis of ambient data by taking into account the expansion of cities and the industrial sites [37]; as well as to conduct monitoring of household air pollution in key rural areas where use of solid fuels for cooking and heating is common. Concrete options to reduce the cost of ambient air pollution should first be implemented in the most affected cities: Casablanca, Tangier, Marrakesh. Existing studies indicate several ways of reducing ambient pollution, depending on the source. For example, air pollution from the transport sector can be decreased through transport system improvements (e.g. improving public transportation, encouraging alternative modes of transport—including non-motorized transport, improving traffic management) and through vehicle level improvement (e.g. improving fuels and technology) [38]. These options, as well as others, need to be carefully considered and tailored to the context of each city, in order to

---

Figure 4. Total cost of environmental degradation in Morocco (2014). Source: based on [9].

Middle East and North Africa’s regional GDP)\textsuperscript{9} [8].

\textsuperscript{9}It should be noted that it is difficult to directly compare the above estimates, due to differences in sources of data (e.g. satellite imagery, ground measurements), years of reference, etc.
achieve healthier lives and better economic opportunities.
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