Stress Response Monitoring of Photoautotrophic Higher Plant Suspension Cultures by Fluorescence Imaging for High-Throughput Toxic Compound Screening
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Abstract

Photoautotrophic suspension cultures have been established from various model and crop plants and proved to be valuable and robust experimental system to assess coordinated responses of primary and secondary metabolism to metabolic and stress related signals. The use of suspension cultures combines the ease of handling microalgae in microtiter plates with the advantage of testing physiological responses of higher plants, notably in combination with the assessment of the response of photosynthetic activity by PAM chlorophyll fluorescence imaging as well as monitoring changes in secondary metabolite production and ROS formation by steady state fluorescence of plant fluorophores or introduced fluorescent probes. Photoautotrophic cultures provide various advantages as fast, highly sensitive, robust and high-throughput experimental system for screening and characterization of the impact of toxic compounds on higher plants. This opinion article discusses and critically evaluates the potential of photoautotrophic cultures of higher plants in combination with fluorescence imaging assays in microtiter plates as a complement to existing guidelines for testing the toxicity of chemicals in plants.
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1. Introduction

The very limited number of higher plant test systems currently used for toxic
compound screening and characterization suffer from various inherent limitations. Toxicity tests on plants are mostly based on growth inhibition and routine assay parameters such as seed germination, root or shoot elongation, wet or dry weight, leaf or frond number are determined [1] [2] [3]. These tests are standardized with ecologically relevant endpoints. However, currently used assays are time and space demanding and therefore unsuited to a rapid screening of toxicants and/or providing insight into the underlying physiological processes.

As an alternative to the plant assays, surrogate toxicity tests on microalgae species are often conducted in microplates as the lifecycle of microalgae compared to higher plants is shorter. Hence, the duration of the test can be reduced by almost an order of magnitude, from 21 days to only 72 to 96 hours [2] [4]. However, these tests involve the inherent uncertainty and thus major drawback of the limited results extrapolation since sensitivity to different toxicants was proven to be highly compound and species specific (reviewed in [5]). Thus, the extrapolation of results from algal toxicity tests to higher plant responses is limited with a certain degree of uncertainty to the general determination of a negative effect of toxicants to photosynthetic organisms, but is not a surrogate for specific plant species of interest.

Additional development and implementation of physiological, biochemical, and molecular endpoints to evaluate phytotoxicity are of interest [5] [6]. For example, [7] concludes that one bioassay parameter cannot generate sufficient information to evaluate comparative phytotoxicity based on shoot and root length, chlorophyll content and root damage. Therefore, there is a need to apply several comparative methods based on general stress bioindicators and to incorporate them into a broader series of tests for toxicity. An alternative solution to the slow growth inhibition tests, that does not give any insight into the targets, is method that directly or indirectly determines physiological parameters such as chlorophyll fluorescence (ChlF), multicolor fluorescence (MCF), reactive oxygen species (ROS) probes; oxygen evolution, carbon dioxide assimilation, respiration or transpiration. These methods are well established in ecophysiology or cell physiology. When used in combination with higher plants, however, these methods are time consuming, greenhouse space and labor demanding for testing and routine maintenance, and introduce uncertainty into the results due to the variability among individual plants and/or plant organs. Given these limitations, there is a need for more sensitive, faster and high-throughput screening systems.

2. Photoautotrophic Higher Plant Suspension Cultures Are Underexplored in Toxicological Research

Plant cell suspension cultures are individual cells of higher plants cultivated in axenic conditions in liquid medium. Plant cell suspension cultures provide simple model systems for basic plant science research such as the homogeneity of the material, direct and fast accessibility of each single cell to exogenous stimuli as well as easy access of the measured response without interfering cell layers.
with diverse functions or shading, instant availability of biomass depending on
the desired cell cycle phase or age of the culture [8] [9]. These features circum-
vent the challenges associated with the analyses of a multi-factorial plant com-
pounded of multiple tissues and cell types exposed to diverse endogenous signals
and external factors and/or stimuli. Plant suspension cultures are also valuable
in the study of the effects of chemicals and environmental stresses, defense re-
spoonse, secondary metabolite formation, ion transport, gene regulation, and
signal transduction, biopharmaceutical production, uptake, metabolism, phyto-
remediation of toxic compounds and plant resistance to herbicides [9]-[15].
However, so far, only few toxicity tests have been performed with higher plants
suspension cultures whereas only endpoints such as biomass after incubation
times of more than one week have been determined [16] [17] [18].

While carbon autotrophy is a key property of intact higher plants, tissues or
cells rapidly lose their photosynthetic ability, partially due to the effect of the
sugars and plant hormones present in the culture medium [19]. Therefore, the
photosynthetic activity of suspension cultures is typically low and/or photosyn-
thesically inactive. Photomixotrophic cultures are available from some species
which are photosynthetically active but require the presence of exogenous sugars
in the culture medium. Photoautotrophic (PA) cultures are available from various
dicotyledonous and very few monocotyledonous species, ranging from weed
and model species to crop species which grow in the absence of any reduced
carbon source [11] [19] [20] [21]. Such cultures combine the advantages of plant
suspension cultures with carbon autotrophy.

PA contain chloroplasts and thus anatomically and metabolically correspond
to leaf mesophyll cells although differences exist (e.g., in chloroplast number and
photosystem activity) [9]. PA cultures were shown to be a suitable model system
for mimicking mesophyll cells and for studying the high light intensity acclima-
tion process [8]. The complexity and heterogeneity of the whole plant is thus in
PA culture reduced to a population of single mesophyll-like cells or cell clusters.
This miniaturization of plant experimental material down to individual cells of
PA culture allows to use the high-throughput approach as these suspension cul-
tures can be handled in a similar way as microalgae (e.g., in microtiter plate as-
says) while retaining the features of a higher plant experimental system [17].

3. Photoautotrophic Response Monitoring via
Fluorescence Imaging

Stress responses of PA cultures reflect physiological reactions of plant primary
and secondary metabolism that can be highly sensitively monitored by fluore-
cence imaging. Plant suspension cultures are used to assess the impact of various
external stimuli on plant cells. These cultures provide simple model systems for
plant science research as a uniform cell type is grown under controlled condi-
tions. Contrary to whole plants or plant organs, each single cell in plant suspen-
sion culture is exposed to a particular stimulus within seconds [10]. As a result,
plant suspension cultures are used to assess fast and transient responses to vari-
A. Segečová et al.

ous stimuli, e.g., to assess defense responses upon stimuli that mimic a pathogen infection via application of fungal elicitors [22] [23]. Even very weak or transient responses such as the activation of signaling components including the activation of MAP kinases, the release of second messengers or formation of ROS can be detected [24]. The introduction of the PA cultures for such studies made it possible to include the metabolic regulation by sugars and to also integrate the regulation of source-sink transitions, and photosynthesis studies including the impact of herbicides [10]. Notably, using PA suspension cultures a coordinated regulation of source-sink transition in combination with the activation of defense responses has been established [24]. The deduced regulatory mechanism that coordinates the induction of sink metabolism and defense responses with a repression of photosynthesis can be verified in various plant pathogen interactions supporting the use of PA cultures [25]. Since the rapid repression of photosynthetic activity in PA cultures by stress related stimuli is a general response, it can also provide a suitable parameter to assess the impact of toxicants.

Photosynthesis is a key process of plant metabolism and is regulated and influenced by the energetic demand of the organism. Photosynthetic processes are also sensitive to damage to photosystems from biotic or abiotic factors, changes in environmental conditions including temperature, CO₂ concentration, water availability, light quality and quantity [26] [27]. Changes in photosynthetic activity therefore provide a useful stress biomarker which can be studied by chlorophyll fluorescence [28]. Chlorophyll fluorescence is a result of excess incident photon excitation energy dissipation in photosystems and is one of three possible processes of photon energy conversion along with photochemistry and heat dissipation. Chlorophyll fluorescence can therefore provide an indirect measurement of photosynthetic activity [29].

Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorometry measures ChlF induction kinetics. This method is based on excitation of dark-adapted photosynthetic material (e.g., leaf or microalgal culture) with various light sources such as weak measuring light (detects fluorescence yield changes), actinic light (drives photosynthetic electron transport) and strong saturating pulses (saturates the capacity of PSII). This technique results in a characteristic fluorescence kinetic from which various ChlF parameters can be derived to analyze the functionality of the photosynthetic apparatus and underlying photochemical and non-photochemical processes [28] [30]. A great advantage of this method is its noninvasiveness.

Another advantage is the introduction of imaging approach into PAM fluorometry allowing the quantitative “machine vision” with spatial resolution. The imaging approach differs from the conventional point fluorometry in that the fluorescence signal is captured from the measured area with charge coupled device (CCD) (e.g., camera). As a result, spatial reconstruction of the measured data for each pixel of the detector is possible, which allows visualization and quantification of the spatial heterogeneity of the photosynthetic activity [30]. Moreover, fluorescence imaging can be used to estimate leaf area for species with relevant leaf architecture [31]. Another advantage of imaging fluorescence
techniques is very convenient high-throughput data acquisition of many samples at the same time (several plants, leaves or samples in microplates, depending on the detection area of the measuring device) i.e. avoiding any delay between measurements of individual samples. This is in contrast with the common fluorescence microplate readers or non-imaging PAM fluorometers providing only point measurements. Imaging PAM fluorometer measures all samples in the focus area at one time point as a snapshot. Thus the introduction of PAM chlorophyll fluorescence imaging was crucial for precise and non-biased measurement of parallel samples expressing effects with rapid evolution [32]. This physiological high-throughput approach based on PAM ChlF imaging directly assessing photosystem II activity provides the mean for detecting and studying biotic and abiotic stresses, toxicity testing, phenotyping in horticulture and agriculture for identification of tolerant varieties and targeted breeding for improved plant performance [33] [34] [35] [36].

However, as noted in [27] the most useful diagnostic information is obtained when several measured parameters representing a range of plant physiological responses, are combined creating a multi-sensor stress-identification technique. Therefore, combining ChlF with other methods based on different stress markers will eventually result in the development of signatures specific to types of stressors and/or toxicants.

A possible extension of ChlF imaging to monitor the toxicity responses is the application of MCF. MCF is based on the steady state auto-fluorescence of various compounds of plant cells. As described in [37], plant material is excited by long wave UV light which gives rise to a continuous fluorescence emission with four characteristic peaks near to 440 nm (blue), 520 nm (green), 690 nm (red) and 740 nm (far-red). The blue-green fluorescence is emitted primarily by phenolic compounds and other secondary metabolites, whereas the red and far-red fluorescence comes from chlorophyll. Changes in ratios calculated from these characteristic bands are sensitive to short-term stress (blue/red, blue/far-red) as well as to long term stress conditions (blue/green) [37] [38]. Various types of stress including biotic (pathogen infection) and abiotic (light quality and quantity, water deficiency, pollution and toxicity, nutrients deficiency) were successfully detected by changes in MCF ratios [35] [37] [39] [40]. The use of MCF ratios in turn allows the compilation of a plant stress-catalogue based on MCF signatures [27]. Therefore, we suggest adding MCF into our proposed experimental platform for high-throughput screening of toxic compounds based on fluorescence imaging and PA cell cultures as additional biomarker to ChlF.

Another approach to stress detection based on fluorescence imaging is the determination of ROS production using fluorescent ROS probes. ROS such as singlet oxygen, superoxide and hydrogen peroxide are produced in different cellular compartments including chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, plasma membrane and nuclei [41]. Changes in ROS are recognized as regulators of plant responses ranging from the biotic and abiotic stresses to the regulation of normal development [42]. ROS also play a role of oxidants of target mole-
cules, primary elicitors of damage, propagators, and signal molecules for the activation of defense or repair [43]. ROS can be detected histologically [44], however this approach is relatively laborious and destructive. Alternatively, ROS sensitive fluorescent probes allow real-time in vivo investigation of ROS production. Moreover, with an imaging approach ROS probes can quantify as well as visualize the temporal and spatial changes in the ROS production.

Numerous probes are available for specific or general ROS detection [41] [43] [45]-[50]. This approach was successfully used with higher plants [41] [42] [51] however, loading of the dye into the leaf material is problematic as the procedure can cause additional stress and thus additional ROS formation as well as the distribution of the dye is rather heterogeneous. To avoid this difficult to discriminate an uneven indicator distribution from an uneven ROS distribution, protoplasts are used instead of whole leaves which provides improved results [41]. Therefore, using a PA suspension cultures is an ideal compromise between the complex plant tissue and unicellular but fragile protoplasts as the probe is applied directly into the suspension of cells with intact cell walls.

4. Potential of Fluorescence Imaging of PA Cell Suspension Cultures for Toxic Compound Screening

As outlined above PA cell suspension cultures proved to be suitable higher plant experimental systems that combine the general advantages of cell suspension cultures to be able to determine even weak and transient physiological responses to external stimuli with the most important and prominent feature of higher plants, the carbon autotrophy due to the possession of chloroplast with photosynthetic activity [10]. The latter key metabolic process can be determined with high sensitivity and with both temporal and spatial resolution by PAM chlorophyll fluorescence imaging of photosystem activity [32]. Due to the specific nature of cell suspensions, they can be grown under standardized condition and uniformly distributed like microalgae in microtiter plates. Thus, PA cell suspension cultures are suitable as robust and simple higher plant high-throughput screening systems for toxicants where physiological responses such as the photosynthetic activity, secondary metabolite and ROS formation can be quantitatively and highly sensitively determined by fluorescence imaging techniques.

To obtain a proof of concept we have tested as a case study the impact of a photosynthesis inhibitor with known mode of action, 3-(3,4-dichloro-phenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea (DCMU). The phytotoxicity of DCMU is caused by blocking electron transport flow in PSII at the QB site terminating the photosynthetic light reactions and causing formation of singlet oxygen which results in lipid peroxidation (reviewed in [52]). As plant material we have used PA cultures of the model plant tomato (Solanum peruvianum, [53]) that is also an important vegetable crop plant. In our case study, ten concentrations of DCMU and deionized water as a solvent control were pipetted into a 96-well microplate, in a volume of 100 μL per well, four wells as replicates for each concentration. Thereafter, 100 μL of cell suspension of a 14 days old PA suspension culture of S. peru-
vianum was added. The DCMU concentrations were prepared to give final concentrations of 0.025 μM to 40 μM in the wells with PA culture cells. The microtiter plate was incubated in an illuminated growth incubator (AlgaeTron AG 230, PSI, CZ) under the usual cultivation conditions of 100 μmol photons m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$, 25°C and atmosphere enriched with 2% CO$_2$. Twenty minutes after the exposure of the cell suspension with the DCMU the cells were dark adapted for 10 minutes followed by the measurements of ChlF induction kinetics (Closed FluorCam FC 800-C, PSI, CZ). The imaging results are shown in Figure 1 and the quantitative evaluation of the data in Table 1.

**Figure 1** and the quantitative evaluation thereof shown in Table 1 demonstrate that a concentration dependent negative effect of DCMU on photosynthetic performance, determined via the ChlF parameter Fv/Fm can be detected. **Figure 1** illustrates the possibility to visualize and quantify a dose dependent response of PA cultures to DCMU treatment with ChlF imaging with high precision and low variability. Moreover, it is possible to detect the negative effect on the photosynthetic capacity at DCMU concentrations as low as 0.5 μM DCMU within only 30 minutes after treatment.

The case study demonstrates that it is possible to detect in a physiological
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Table 1. Values of the PAM chlorophyll fluorescence imaging parameter \( Fv/Fm \) of the *Solanum peruvianum* PA cell culture treated with DCMU for 30 min shown in Figure 1 (averages and SD from 4 repetitions).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Fv/Fm</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>untreated</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.025 µM</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05 µM</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1 µM</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 µM</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 µM</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 µM</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 µM</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 µM</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 µM</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

phenotyping approach [54] the response of photosynthesis, as the key physiological pathway of higher plants, in PA cell cultures at low toxicants concentrations as well as short times and with low variability. It is apparent that the physiological responses can be detected long before a negative impact is phenotypically visible (Figure 1(a)) and thus pre-symptomatically. In previous studies, it has been shown that the response of PA cultures is typically faster and more sensitive compared to the reactions of organs in whole plants. Definitely this approach is much faster than the current standard toxicant tests with higher plants that measure growth responses and thus the required time is largely reduced from weeks to only minutes or hours. Due to the test in microtiter plate with at least 96 wells the throughput capacity is much higher compared to plants grown individually in pots in greenhouses and therefore the demand for required infrastructures, space, consumables and personnel is very much reduced. As outlined above, in addition to photosynthesis also other established parameters indicative of plant stress responses can be sensitively and quantitatively measured by fluorescence imaging. Since important key physiological responses are measured it is also possible to elucidate, eventually in combination with additional complementary physiological, biochemical and molecular methods, the mode of action of the tested toxicants. There is already a long experience in the use of the suggested fluorescence detection methods in basic and applied plant science stress response research. In summary, the combination of photoautotrophic cultures with the determination of fluorescence signatures presents a promising experimental approach for establishing a highly sensitive, rapid high-throughput screening system of plant sensitivity to toxic compounds.

Although toxicity screening by plant cell cultures had been principally considered before, the various serious practical limitation discussed for their practical use [55] are only overcome by the specific combination of PA cultures with flu-
orescence imaging techniques outlined above. The potential for screening of herbicides with plant cell cultures and imaging technology was already proposed before [16], however, so far image analysis was only used for counting callus colonies as a measure of growth inhibition. We rather propose to use autotrophic cultures, measure different biomarkers and use image analysis for high-throughput modification of standard stress physiology tests. The proposed experimental test system involves both general physiological reactions, such as the inhibition of photosynthesis, as well as specific cellular responses, such as the formation of specific secondary metabolites, so additional information on toxicology and physiology compared to the standardly measured growth inhibition in ecotoxicology can be obtained. Finally, the test system can be integrated in functional, physiological phenotyping analyses and it is possible, in combination with MCF and ROS detection, to even derive information on the particular subcellular distribution of the responses.

Despite the various advantages of establishing toxicity tests based on the fast and sensitive determination of responses of PA suspension in microtiter plates by fluorescence imaging, this novel system has also certain limitations. In cases where a specific uptake mechanism of a toxicant in a particular plant organ is relevant, e.g. the uptake from the soil via the root system, the results obtained from PA cultures are possibility misleading in terms of overestimating a possible impact [16]. Likewise, if a toxicity is dependent on the occurrence of specific metabolic conversions of a compound which are not possible in the cell cultures a toxicity may be underestimated [56]. This also applies if a particular cellular target is not expressed in the dedifferentiated cells. Finally, a particular toxic compound may react, become degraded or inactivated in combination with the particular culture medium [18]. Therefore, the PA culture assay will not make the classic plant growth assays completely obsolete but complement them in particular for large scale prescreening and for elucidation of the mode of action.

5. Conclusion and Observations

Despite the increasing need to assess the impact of known as well as new toxicants on higher plants in general and environmentally relevant toxicants on crop plants in particular, so far there is a lack of sensitive, physiologically relevant, high-throughput and fast higher plant test systems. As outlined above, although being used in basic research for more than 40 years, higher plant photoautotrophic suspension culture cells are so far an unexplored experimental platform in toxicology that combines the advantage of the ease of handling microalgae for high-throughput screening and characterization in microtiter plates with the possibility to assess physiological responses of primary and secondary metabolism of higher plants fast, highly sensitive and with high precision by fluorescence imaging. The successful application of PA suspension cultures in different topics of basic science research has demonstrated that physiological responses and even complex coordinated regulatory mechanisms of PA suspension cultures correspond to those of intact higher plants and in some cases even pro-
vided the basis to identify such mechanisms in higher plant-microbe interactions. The physiological responses of PA cultures are thus verified proxy for responses in plant organs, most importantly in the biggest and for crop plant production most relevant above ground organ, the photosynthetically active leaves. The *in vitro* grown PA cultures ensure a supply of cells of constant quality and make the need for special greenhouse infrastructures and personal resources obsolete as well as the medium and long term planning to have plants at a certain developmental stage available that is not influenced by changing environmental conditions throughout the year. Therefore, we suggest considering supported by the results of a case study shown above, the use of the various available PA suspension cultures of well-established model and agronomically relevant crop plants as valuable experimental platform for toxicology research. Thus, the great potential of the PA cultures as experimental ecotoxicology test system should be systematically explored and verified. Although it is not expected that PA culture will be able to substitute intact plants completely, the PA cultures could function as a first and fast screening system that allows a much higher throughput with an increased sensitivity and robustness. An additional advantage of PA cultures is the easier possibility to elucidate the mode of action of a particular toxicant especially when combined with proposed imaging techniques of chlorophyll fluorescence, multicolor fluorescence and ROS fluorescent probes. The ultimate goal needs to be the validation and comparison of newly established PA test systems with e.g. standard OECD test systems to ultimately complement and extend the range of available, standardized test systems. The most efficient way for exploring and validating the apparent potential of PA cultures as toxicant screening and test system would be a holistic, interdisciplinary approach and could be most efficiently accomplished by the interdisciplinary collaboration between plant physiologists and toxicology research institutions for the sake of environmental safety and healthy food production.
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Abbreviations

CCD, charge coupled device
ChlF, chlorophyll fluorescence
DCMU, 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea
Fv/Fm, maximum quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry
MCF, multicolor fluorescence
PA, photoautotrophic
PAM fluorescence, pulse amplitude modulated fluorescence
PSII, photosystem II
QB, secondary quinone electron acceptor of PSII
ROS, reactive oxygen species