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ABSTRACT

In this study, the Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) of the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the methodology of the Centre for Environmental Studies (CML) of the University of Leiden are two approaches applied as provided for in the GaBi5 (Holistic Balancing) Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) software database, to classify and characterize environmental impacts of municipal wastes in Ogbomoso South Local Government Area (LGA), Nigeria. On waste composition, 5 representative households were selected, each from the cardinal polling units in Ibapon (ward 4) for the study. Wastes samples were collected from the households over a period of 5 days, sorted, classified according to their constituents and weighed accordingly. For the Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA), two waste management scenarios/models were developed and compared using GaBi5 software. Scenario 1 involves collection, transportation and landfilling, while Scenario 2 ends with incineration. The Impact Indices determined from both scenarios were: Global Warming Potential (GWP), Acidification Potential (AP), Eutrophication Potential (EP) and Ozone Layer Depletion Potential (ODP). Findings show that the overall mean percent (%) wastes composition for biodegradable, metal, textile, paper, plastic, glass and wood were respectively found to be 55.9, 9.5, 2.4, 6.5, 6.7, 6.6, and 12.2. From the results of LCIA methods studied, landfilling of wastes poses a lesser burden on the environment, using the ODP index, as compared to incineration. It is concluded that of the management scenarios considered, landfilling of wastes is more environmentally friendly and therefore recommended for use in the study area.
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1. Introduction

Management of solid waste has become a heterogeneous task in the 21st century. As such, different modeling tools have been developed in this respect. The techniques has evolved over the years from Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) and statistical predictions in 1960s, [1,2] to modeling studies in 1970s, development of computer models in 1980s [3] and to models that included recycling and other waste management methods developed for planning of municipal solid waste management systems in the 1990s [4]. LCA has been defined as an objective process to evaluate the environmental burdens associated with a product, process or activity, by identifying and quantifying energy and materials used and waste released to the environment while evaluating and implementing opportunities to allow environmental improvements [5]. LCA is also a method for assessing environmental burdens associated with processes or products in a “cradle to grave” fashion, i.e. from production of the raw materials to ultimate disposal of waste. LCA has been used in many studies as an environmental tool for comparative assessments of waste disposal options or management scenarios. In 1990, for example, a LCA was completed for the Council for Solid Waste Solutions, which compared the energy and environmental impacts of paper to that of plastic grocery bags. A similar study comparing disposable diapers to washable cloth diapers was also conducted. Environmental groups around the world have also adopted life cycle analysis; organizations such as Blue Angel, Green Cross, and Green Seal use and continue to improve LCA for the purpose of product labeling and evaluation. Thus, while initially limited to
the public sector, LCA has been adopted by increasing numbers of corporations and nonprofit organizations as an aid to understanding the environmental impacts of their actions. And as demand for “green” products and pressures for environmental quality continue to mount, it is quite likely that industrial life cycle analysis will become in the 1990s what risk assessment was in the 1980s.

In another related dimension, mechanical recycling was compared with incineration in the context of LCA by [6]. It was concluded that mechanical recycling of plastics resulting from discarded TV sets in Japan is a more attractive option than incineration, which has a larger environmental burden. LCA validated the waste hierarchy for solid waste management systems in Sweden, [7] and [8]; determined the environmental load of food material consumption and processing [9-11]; and assured the feasibility of recycling rather than landfilling wasted materials in small urban communities [12]. In addition, [13] performed a comparative assessment of solid waste management Scenarios for the State of Kuwait with the revelation that the recycling stage of all the three scenarios have the highest environmental burdens while anaerobic digestion process was reported to have lowest contribution to global warming.

Other waste management models developed beyond 1990s encapsulate economics, environmental, and demographic factors. Some included population studies with system dynamics [14]; Linear programming using Excel-Visual Basic [15]; use of Decision Support Systems [16,17]; application of Fuzzy Logic [18]; Eco-indicator [19]; and the use of Multi Criteria Decision-Making techniques [20].

Different methods are being used to perform a Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA). The Tool for the Reduction and Assessment of Chemical and other Environmental Impacts (TRACI) and the methodology of the Centre for Environmental Studies, University of Leiden (CML) are two methods notably being used to classify and characterize environmental impacts into the problem-oriented approach (mid point) and the damage-oriented approach (end point). TRACI is a problem-oriented method is developed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). In the problem-oriented approach flows are classified as belonging to environmental impact categories to which they contribute. The damage-oriented methods also start with classifying a system’s flows into various impact categories, but the impact categories are also grouped to belong to end-point categories as damage to human health, damage to ecosystem quality or damage to resources. CML method on the other hand focuses on a series of environmental impact categories expressed in terms of emissions to the environment. The CML method includes classification, characterization, and normalization. With the help of the CML and TRACI methods more than a thousand substances are classified and characterized according to the extent to which they contribute to a list of environmental impact categories [21].

The results of the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) phase include many different emissions. After the relevant impact categories are selected, the LCI results are assigned to one or more impact categories. If substances contribute to more than one impact category, they must be classified as contributors to all relevant categories [21]. Characterization describes and quantifies the environmental impact of the analyzed product system. After assigning the LCI results to the impact categories, characterization factors have to be applied to the relevant quantities. The characterization factors are included in the selected impact category methods like TRACI or CML. These LCI results are further converted into reference units using characterization factors. For example, a reference substance for the impact category “Global Warming Potential” (GWP) is CO₂ and the reference unit is defined as kg CO₂-equivalent. All emissions that contribute to global warming are converted to kg CO₂-equivalents according to the relevant characterization factor. Each emission has its own characterization factor. Another index is the “Acidification Potential” (AP) which is defined as the number of H⁺ ions produced per kg substance relative to SO₂. What acidifying pollutants have in common is that they form acidifying H⁺ ions. A pollutant’s potential for acidification can thus be measured by its capacity to form H⁺ ions. “Eutrophication Potential” (EP) reference is measured in term of kg Phosphate equivalent while the “Ozone Depletion Potential” (ODP) impact is determined in form of kg CFCs and NO₂-equivalent.

This study applies both the TRACI and CML methods of LCA to determine the environmental impacts of municipal solid wastes emanating from Ogbomoso South LGA, Nigeria. The study area is one of the two LGAs in Ogbomoso city, the other is Ogbomoso North LGA. Ogbomoso is located approximatively on longitude 4° East and latitude 8°07' North. It is the second largest city in Oyo State. It is about 57 kilometers South West of Ilorin, 104 km North East of Oyo and 58 km North West of Osogbo [22]. The climate of the study area is characterized by a fairly high, uniform temperature, moderately heavy seasoned rainfall and high relative humidity. The average temperature is 26.2°C. The lowest temperature is experienced in August, which has a mean temperature of 24.3°C while March has the highest with a mean temperature of 28.7°C [23]. The study area has a population of 100,815 people [24], and has often been described as a commercial and manufacturing centre situated in an agricultural region producing food crops like yam, cassava, corn, tobacco, cotton, etc. [25].
The specific objectives of this study include: determination of the amount of solid waste generated per capita in the study area; comparative assessment of waste disposal options or management scenarios and the assessment of environmental burdens associated with solid wastes.

2. Methodology

The two (2) main approaches to this study were field work and software application. The field work was carried out to determine the waste composition and per capita waste generation of the study area. Five (5) households were selected, one from each of the cardinal Polling Units in Ibapon (ward 4) of the LGA under study. Wastes samples were collected from the households over a period of 5 days, sorted, classified according to their constituents and weighed accordingly. The field data obtained was then fed into the GaBi5 LCIA software through the two scenarios/models developed.

The LCA methodology was employed in conducting an environmental comparison of the alternative scenarios to the current waste management system. This evaluation was conducted according to ISO 14040 that an LCA comprises four major stages: goal and scope definition, life cycle inventory, life cycle impact analysis and interpretation of the results. Figure 1 refers.

The following are the specific components of the LCA methodology adopted:

1) Goal and Scope Definition

The aim of this study is to select an optimum waste management system for Ogbomoso by evaluating, from an environmental point of view, a number of possible waste management scenarios. It is thought that the results of the study would be helpful for the Metropolitan municipality and sub-municipalities of Ogbomoso South Local Government Area of Oyo State of Nigeria.

2) Functional Unit

The functional unit adopted for this study is defined as the amount of MSW generated in 5 household over a period of 5 days in ward 4 Ibapon area of Ogbomoso South Local Government Area.

3) System Boundaries

In this study, the solid waste materials are categorized as: paper, biodegradable, plastics, glass, metal, wood, and textile. Food waste and animal faeces are categorized as biodegradable. Aluminum, iron, tin, steel and other metallic materials are categorized as metal. Wood wastes include agricultural waste, discarded planks and discarded furniture. Any other waste that does not belong to any of the stated category is simply discarded and not considered (Figure 2 refers). The environmental impact assessment is calculated in term of: GWP (kg CO₂ equivalent), AP (kg SO₂ equivalent), EP (kg phosphorus equivalent), and OD (R11 equivalent).

4) Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)

The data for LCI was gathered from the selected residential houses in the study area as accommodated in the modified database of GaBi5.

5) Treatment Options

The designed treatment options (T) for this study include: Landfilling (T1) and Incineration (T2).

Incineration option is to be considered in one of the scenarios. Atmospheric emissions from the incineration of solid waste were calculated using the GaBi5 software database. Landfill processes for the scenarios was equally performed using the same database.

6) Waste Collection and Transport

Waste samples were collected by designating bags at each of the selected household within the study area. For the purpose of this study, it is assumed that all the treatment facilities to be used are situated around Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso approximately 10 km from the study area.

7) Design of Scenarios

The two scenarios designed to be considered in this study are illustrated in Figure 3. The first scenario consists of three main steps: Collection (C), Transportation (T), and Landfilling (L) of solid waste. The other scenario is C: Collection, L: Landfilling, T: Transportation, I: Incineration, and (→) input/output.

8) Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)

LCIA identifies and evaluates the amount and significance of the potential environmental impacts arising from the LCI. The inputs and outputs are first assigned to impact categories and their potential impacts quantified according to characterization factors. For example: GWP 11.3 kg CO₂*1; 3 kg CO*3; 6 kg CH₄*25 gives 160.3 kg CO₂ equivalent. Also, AP 0.001 kg SO₂*1; 0.08 kg NOₓ*0.7; 0.9 kg HCl*0.88 gives 0.849 kg SO₂ equivalent. LCIA involves several steps according to the ISO 14044 standard. Within the scope of a study certain elements
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are defined for the LCIA. Mandatory elements include the selection of relevant impact categories, classification and characterization. The optional elements of the study are normalization, grouping and weighting (PE-International, 2011).

9) Data Collection
Both primary and secondary data were employed in this study. Primary data were generated from the analysis of the actual waste parameters in the study area while secondary data were those from the findings of previous researchers and slightly modified as databases in the GaBi programme.

10) GaBi Software
For this study, the computer program GaBi has been
GaBi software has been developed in accordance with the ISO 14040 and ISO 14044 standards and allows for managing and storing the necessary data, as well as performing the calculations and required sensitivity tests.

11) Scenario One

The first scenario include collection (C), transportation (T) and landfilling (L). The LCA modelling is achieved using plan, process and flow. The plan represent the system boundary of the LCA, process represent real life activities in the life cycle of the product being analysed (e.g. transportation), while flow represent the materials and energy in the system. The flow in the model follows the direction of the arrow as shown in Figure 4.

12) Scenario Two

In the second scenario, collection (C); transportation (T); and incineration (I) were considered. The flow within the system is in the direction of the arrow. The composition by mass of each waste category is shown (in kg) on Figure 5.

Figure 4. LCA scenario/model one of waste management developed using GaBi5 software.

Figure 5. LCA scenario/model two of waste management developed using GaBi5 software.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Composition of Waste by Mass from Selected Households in the Study Area

In Table 1, H1 - H5 are the 5 representative households within the study area. H1: Ile Agbala; H2: Ile Dansi; H3: Ile Atere; H4: Ile Ibapon; H5: Ile Bakoko. Food wastes and animal feaces were categorised as biodegradable waste. Aluminium, iron, steel, tin and other metallic materials were categorised as metal, Wood waste included discarded timber, broken furnitures and agricultural wastes.

The population of the selected household ranges between 6 and 14 occupants. Assuming an average population of 10 occupants per household, therefore the waste per capita per day is estimated as follows:

Per capita waste generation per day = \( \sum \) of Average waste/Avr. Population = \( \frac{4.93}{10} = 0.49 \) kg per capita per day

The result shows that biodegradable wastes has contributes mostly, nearly 60% to the overall composition, followed by wood, metal, plastic, glass, paper and textile respectively in that order. The quantity of wastes for incineration was found to be about a third of the entire wastes of the study area.

3.2. Life Cycle Inventory (LCI)

The inventory analysis of the study includes data compilation, quantification and analysis based on both the TRACI and CML methods, the results of which is as shown in Tables 2-5 below.

Table 1. Waste composition by mass.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste Materials (kg)</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H4</th>
<th>H5</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>% waste composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Occupant’s Population</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper (kg)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>6.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodegradable (kg)</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>55.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic (kg)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass (kg)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>6.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal (kg)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>9.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood (kg)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>12.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile (kg)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (kg)</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. LCI for scenario/model one using TRACI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Impact Category</th>
<th>Biodegradable</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Plastic</th>
<th>Textile</th>
<th>Wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRACI</td>
<td>GWP-100 years (kg CO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.0093</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AP (kg SO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>0.0503</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td>0.00166</td>
<td>0.00722</td>
<td>0.00387</td>
<td>0.00274</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EP (kg phosphate eqv.)</td>
<td>0.00209</td>
<td>1.07E−005</td>
<td>2.21E−006</td>
<td>7.2E−005</td>
<td>4.09E−005</td>
<td>0.00116</td>
<td>6.08E−005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODP (kg RII eqv.)</td>
<td>7.2E−010</td>
<td>1.31E−011</td>
<td>4.54E−012</td>
<td>8.34E−011</td>
<td>8.6E−011</td>
<td>3.13E−011</td>
<td>1.56E−010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. LCI for scenario/model one using CML.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Impact Category</th>
<th>Biodegradable</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Plastic</th>
<th>Textile</th>
<th>Wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CML</td>
<td>GWP-100 years (kg CO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.00966</td>
<td>0.00461</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AP (kg SO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>0.00083</td>
<td>2.22E−005</td>
<td>2.81E−0.05</td>
<td>0.000116</td>
<td>7.13E−0.05</td>
<td>4.39E−005</td>
<td>0.000263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EP (kg phosphate eqv.)</td>
<td>0.00488</td>
<td>0.000188</td>
<td>3.85E−006</td>
<td>0.00017</td>
<td>8.77E−005</td>
<td>0.000284</td>
<td>0.000144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODP (kg RII eqv.)</td>
<td>7.2E−010</td>
<td>1.31E−011</td>
<td>4.54E−012</td>
<td>8.34E−011</td>
<td>8.6E−011</td>
<td>3.13E−011</td>
<td>1.56E−010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. LCI for scenario/model two using TRACI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Impact Category</th>
<th>Biodegradable</th>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Glass</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Plastic</th>
<th>Textile</th>
<th>Wood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TRACI</td>
<td>GWP-100 years (kg CO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>-0.366</td>
<td>0.0204</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AP (kg SO₂ eqv.)</td>
<td>0.0882</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.00361</td>
<td>0.00391</td>
<td>0.00923</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.00874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ODP (kg RII eqv.)</td>
<td>9.33E−009</td>
<td>1.88E−008</td>
<td>9.81E−010</td>
<td>2.83E−010</td>
<td>9.43E−010</td>
<td>3.69E−010</td>
<td>1.74E−009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)

Figures 6-21 presents the results of the LCIA’s of the two scenarios/models using both TRACI and CML methods. The Environmental Impact indices are GWP, AP, EP and ODP.

1) For Scenario One Using TRACI Method

Using the TRACI method in GaBi database, The results from Figures 6-9 can be summarised as follows:

- GWP: Biodegradable > wood > paper > textile > plastic > metal > glass;
- AP: Biodegradable > wood > paper > plastic > textile > glass > metal;
- EP: Biodegradable > textile > paper > wood > plastic > metal > glass;
- ODP: Biodegradable > wood > plastic > paper > textile > glass > metal.

From all the indices, biodegradable materials contribute the highest environmental impact. Food, as the dominating waste here, could be responsible for this trend.

2) For Scenario One Using CML Method

Figures 10-13 below show the results and the findings therefrom can be summarised as follows:

- GWP: Biodegradable > wood > paper > textile > plastic > metal > glass;
- AP: Biodegradable > wood > paper > plastic > textile > glass > metal;
- EP: Biodegradable > textile > metal > paper > wood > plastic > glass;
- ODP: Biodegradable > wood > plastic > paper > textile > glass > metal.

Biodegradable matters also constitute the highest impact as observed in the previous method except that glass has the least noticeable impact with CML method on landfilling. Since landfilling is unsuitable for metal and glass disposal, the trend observed is justifiable.

3) For Scenario Two Using TRACI Method

Using the TRACI method in GaBi database, The results from Figures 14-17 can be summarised as follows:

- GWP: Biodegradable > wood > plastics > paper > textile > glass > metal;
- AP: Biodegradable > wood > plastics > textile > glass > paper > metal;
- EP: Biodegradable > plastics > textile > paper > metal;
- ODP: Metal > biodegradable > wood > plastics > glass > textile > paper.

Here, all the impact indices except ODP indicate biodegradable wastes as having the highest contribution. Metal however, has the lowest contribution to the GWP, AP, and EP of this scenario.

4) For Scenario Two Using CML Method

The detail results are as presented in Figures 18-21. The summaries are as stated below:

- GWP: Biodegradable > wood > plastics > paper > textile > glass > metal;
- AP: Biodegradable > wood > plastics > textile > glass > paper > metal;
- EP: Biodegradable > plastics > textile > wood > paper > glass > metal;
- ODP: Metal > biodegradable > wood > glass > plastics > textile > paper.

The result trend is practically same as of the TRACI method for this scenario. It shows that Biodegradable components dominate in the GWP, AP and EP impact indices while metals have the least influence in all cases aside the ODP having paper.

Table 6 gives the summary of the findings. Generally, scenario one (Collection; Transportation; Landfilling), using TRACI method, gives the overall respective values for GWP (CO₂ equiv.), AP (SO₂ equiv.), EP (phosphate equiv.) and ODP as 4.76, 0.11, 2.425E⁻³, and 2.162E⁻¹³. Using the CML method, the overall values for GWP, AP, EP, ODP are given as 4.76, 1.676E⁻³, 5.833E⁻³, and 1.095E⁻⁹ and respectively. In Scenario two (Collection; Transportation; Incineration), using TRACI method in the GaBi database, the overall result for GWP (CO₂ equiv.), AP (SO₂ equiv.), EP (phosphate equiv.) and ODP is given as 3.7, 0.07, 1.075E⁻⁴, and 1.928E⁻⁸ respectively. CML method in this scenario gives the overall results for GWP (CO₂ equiv.), AP (SO₂ equiv.), EP (phosphate equiv.) and ODP as 3, 0.67E⁻⁴, 2.931E⁻⁴, and 3.058E⁻⁸ respectively. For both the TRACI and CML methods indicated values of the GWP, AP, and EP of landfilling as exceeding those of incineration. In the same vein, the ODP values of incineration in the two methods exceed those of landfilling. The landfilling scenario was therefore found to pose lesser ODP threat than the incineration.
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Figure 6. LCIA for landfilling (TRACI-GWP).

Figure 7. LCIA for landfilling (TRACI-AP).
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Eutrophication - LCA of Solid Waste Management In Ogbomoso South LGA (Landfilling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication</td>
<td>0.027e-3</td>
<td>0.061e-3</td>
<td>0.116e-3</td>
<td>0.041e-3</td>
<td>0.072e-3</td>
<td>0.002e-3</td>
<td>0.002e-3</td>
<td>0.011e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2.095e-3</td>
<td>2.425e-3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ozone Depletion Air - LCA of Solid Waste Management In Ogbomoso South LGA (Landfilling)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ozone Depletion Air</td>
<td>0.305e-13</td>
<td>0.061e-13</td>
<td>0.168e-13</td>
<td>0.163e-13</td>
<td>0.038e-13</td>
<td>0.025e-13</td>
<td>0.305e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.403e-13</td>
<td>2.162e-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. LCIA for landfilling (TRACI-EP).

Figure 9. LCIA for landfilling (TRACI-ODP).
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Figure 10. LCIA for landfilling (CML-GWP).

Figure 11. LCIA for landfilling (CML-AP).
Figure 12. LCIA for landfilling (CML-EP).

Figure 13. LCIA for landfilling (CML-ODP).
Figure 14. LCIA for incineration (TRACI-GWP).

Figure 15. LCIA for incineration (TRACI-AP).

Figure 16. LCIA for incineration (TRACI-EP).
Figure 17. LCIA for incineration (TRACI-ODP).

Figure 18. LCIA for incineration (CML-GWP).

Figure 19. LCIA for incineration (CML-AP).
Figure 20. LCIA for incineration (CML-EP).

Figure 21. LCIA for incineration (CML-ODP).

Table 6. Summary of the impacts of two scenarios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index/LCIA Method</th>
<th>Scenario 1 (Landfilling)</th>
<th>Scenario 2 (Incineration)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TRACI</td>
<td>CML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWP (CO₂ equiv)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP (SO₂ equiv)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.676E⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP (P equiv)</td>
<td>4.25E⁻³</td>
<td>5.833E⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODP</td>
<td>2.16E⁻¹³</td>
<td>1.09E⁻⁹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusion

The average per capita waste being generated in Ogbomosoland, Nigeria, stands at 0.49 kg. Biodegradable materials constitute the highest wastes as found from the waste composition study, about 60%. Wastes for incineration from the study area are about a third of the total constituents. From the LCIA using TRACI and CML methods, it is found that landfilling of wastes pose a lesser burden on the environment, using the ODP index, as compared to incineration. It is concluded that of the management scenarios considered, landfilling of wastes is more environmentally friendly and therefore recommended for use in the study area.
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