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ABSTRACT

A category of chromium (Cr)-containing fertilizers is represented by the fertilizers deriving from byproducts of tanning process. Their use is widespread because of their good agronomic response due to the high content of slow release organic nitrogen (N) and carbon (C). They do not represent an environmental hazard because only the non-toxic form of Cr(III) is present. Productive processes may involve chemical, enzymatic or thermal hydrolysis. The final product is characterized by different contents of peptides and free amino acids depending on the type of hydrolysis. Legislation concerning Cr-containing fertilizers is controversial because often do not consider any scientific evidences; nevertheless, the European Union, the United States and countries as Italy, do not set the restriction to Cr(III) and generally only the presence of the toxic form, Cr(VI), is limited. Depending on its two main oxidation forms, Cr issue has been studied for many years. Several authors confirmed that Cr(VI) is carcinogenic, while Cr(III) is an essential trace element in human and animal diet. In soil Cr(III) has low mobility, whereas Cr(VI) is highly water soluble. However Cr(VI) in soil is quickly reduced to Cr(III); on the contrary oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) is rarely possible because particular conditions must occur. Only a very small fraction of Cr in soil is available to plant uptake and its translocation in edible parts is limited because it is immobilized in roots as Cr(III). Therefore risks of environmental pollution using these fertilizers are negligible; on the contrary they have positive environmental and agronomical effects. The aim of this review is to deal with the category of the organic fertilizers containing Cr derived from tannery processes focusing on its chemical, productive, legislative, environmental and agronomical aspects. Special attention is given to the ambiguous issue of Cr briefly summarizing the most important studies of the last forty years.
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1. Introduction

Chromium (Cr) issue has represented a major area of concern for many years due to the dual chemical behavior of its two main oxidation forms: trivalent form, Cr(III), is considered an essential trace element in human and animal nutrition, whereas hexavalent form, Cr(VI), is extremely toxic to animals and humans and is considered cause of cancer [1].

A category of Cr-containing fertilizers is produced using byproducts of tanning process originated from tanned animal hides. These fertilizers contain Cr only in its trivalent form [Cr(III)] [2,3]. They represent an efficient tool for both conventional and organic farming thanks to their high content in organic nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) and their capacity to release mineral N slowly following the environmental conditions and plant needs [3,4].

Legislations of the European Union [5], United States [6,7] and of countries such as Italy [8] permit the unlimited use of Cr-containing fertilizers; otherwise in some countries limits are generically referred to total Cr preventing their use because they consider them as a risk for the environment and human health. Even in European Union, for compost and other fertilizers included in the recovery program called “End of Waste”, Cr is estimated as total Cr, and the thresholds of content are rather low, so that the name of the program becomes a real contradiction.

The aim of this review is to deal with the category of the organic fertilizers containing Cr derived from tannery process focusing on its chemical, productive, legislative, environmental and agronomical aspects. Special attention
is given to the ambiguous issue of Cr briefly summarizing the most important studies of the last forty years with the purpose to explain the non-toxicity of Cr contained in these fertilizers and the negligible probability that exogenous Cr introduced in soil through fertilization is oxidized in the toxic form of Cr(VI). Of course, a further aim is to give a contribution to a correct evaluation of the possible toxicity of Cr in other fertilizers.

2. Cr-Containing Organic Fertilizers: Typologies and Characterization

Cr-containing fertilizers are a wide and diversified group of products, including organic fertilizers, organic amendments, limestone, sewage and tannery sludges. The presence of heavy metals, such as Cr, mainly depends on both the type of fertilizer and the matrices used in the production [9,10].

A category of Cr-containing fertilizers is represented by the organic fertilizers deriving from tannery industries. They are produced using by-products of the intermediary stages of the tanning process as “wet blue”, “pickled pelts” and “limed hides”. Raw materials are constituted by shaves and trimmings of hides and skins. The presence of Cr in the final product is due to the tanning process that in nearly all cases is made using Cr salts [Cr₂(SO₄)₃] as tanning agents in order to stabilize the proteins to chemical, thermal and biological degradation.

Cr contained in the fertilizers is only in the non-toxic form, that is Cr(III) [2,3] with values between 0.5% and 3% in solid fertilizers [2-4]. The presence of Cr in fluid fertilizers becomes negligible after the process of the suspension to a solution product.

The use of these fertilizers is widespread because of their good agronomic response due to their high content of organic C and N: in fact this type of organic fertilizers is characterized by a high organic C content (average value of 38% - 50%) and by a large amount of organic N (average value of 8% - 13%) [4]. These products also contain other nutrients essential to plant nutrition such as phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sulphur (S), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and micronutrients such as iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu) and zinc (Zn), which are not present in sufficient quantities to be declared on the fertilizer label (Legislative Decree No. 75/2010) [8], and the total content of heavy metals well known as pollutants i.e., arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), mercury (Hg), nickel (Ni) and lead (Pb) is lower than the legal limit settled by the Legislative Decree No. 75/2010 [3,4,8,10].

3. Productive Processes

Productive processes developed by manufacturers involve three kinds of hydrolysis (Figure 1): chemical (in acid or alkaline solutions), enzymatic and thermal.

Generally the process includes several phases concerning a first step of preparation of the raw material, defined as homogenization, followed by the hydrolysis and some operations necessary to standardize the product obtained.

In particular if the chemical hydrolysis is carried out with acid or alkaline solution, the raw material treated with hot water and/or diluted acid and/or diluted alkaline solutions, undergoes a phase of heating at temperatures ranging between 40°C and 100°C for at least 1 hour. The heat treatment permits to extract peptides and amino acids and to separate fatty materials. When extraction ends, the material is a neutral liquid that is collected and concentrated in order to obtain liquid fertilizers with an average content of organic nitrogen of 5% - 9%. The content of free amino acids may be high or low depending on the production process: in particular if process includes high temperature and long time of extraction, the final amount of free amino acids will be higher than of long chain peptides.

When hydrolysis is enzymatic the raw material undergoes an extraction at a lower temperature than the chemical extraction (generally 40°C - 60°C). Consequently time of extraction is longer, lasting 2 - 12 hours. Extraction occurs using proteolytic enzymes, as endoproteases that derived from not genetically modified organisms. Extraction is followed by a phase of concentration that leads the liquid to obtain an average content of organic N of 9%. This kind of hydrolysis permits to obtain a fertilizer characterized by a high content of polypeptides, peptides and amino acids. The content of free amino acids and the molecular weight of the organic compounds depend on both hydrolysis intensity and the raw material used. Stability of the product is ensured both by the origin of the byproducts and by the specific plant cleaning process.

Finally the thermal hydrolysis consists of an hour and a half phase of heating at an average temperature of 160°C. Differently from the former two hydrolysis before described, the final product is dried to obtain a solid fertilizer containing an average content of organic N of 12%. As well as for the enzymatic hydrolysis, the molecular weight of the organic compounds depends on hydrolysis intensity and the raw material used.

The purpose of the following stages is to refine the product: it is inactivated the hydrolyzing agent in case of enzymatic extraction, if necessary pH is modified as required in the final product and it is clarified by filtration. Finally the solution is concentrated to obtain the required N content in the final product and in case of powder formulation is dried.
Figure 1. Flow chart of the different productive processes of Cr-containing fertilizers.

4. Legislations

In terms of legislation the issue of Cr contained in fertilizers remains controversial because often the determination of the maximum admissible levels does not consider any scientific and experimental evidences. In particular the maximum admissible levels fixed in most countries frequently do not distinguish the oxidation state of Cr and both Cr(VI) and Cr(III) forms are considered as hazardous and toxic.

Another controversial issue is the classification of the different types of Cr-containing organic fertilizers or soil conditioners in the different countries. Basically Cr may be contained both in mineral and organic fertilizers: high concentrations of Cr may be present naturally in mineral fertilizers extracted from mines; organic fertilizers or soil conditioners which may contain Cr include on one hand municipal sewage sludge (biosolids), municipal solid waste, on the other hand tannery byproducts [11].

The European Union, the United States and other countries as well as Italy that have been deeply dealt with the issue of Cr, have legislations in which the maximum admissible levels of Cr in these fertilizers are only referred to Cr(VI), while Cr(III) is generally allowed with no restriction basing on the literature that considers it as no hazardous.

In particular the European Union in the Annex I of the Regulation (EC) 889/2008 [5], laying down detailed rules on organic production, considers these kind of or-
ganic fertilizers as “fur” in the category of products or byproducts of animal origin. In the same regulation, the maximum concentration in mg/kg of dry matter of Cr(VI) in fur is equal to zero (i.e. less than the detection limit of the analytical method used).

In Europe, the tendency in the near future seems to modify limits for heavy metals (i.e. As, Cd, Hg, Ni, Pb) including Cr(VI) whose maximum concentration allowed in fertilizers should be raised to 2 mg/kg (value expressed on dry matter basis): restrictions should be limited at Cr(VI), whereas the Cr(III) content will remain unlimited [12].

In Italy, where there are some districts in which tanning has practiced for a long time, “fur” is subdivided in several denominations including hydrolyzed products which differ in the raw materials and the process of hydrolysis (Annex I of the Legislative Decree No. 75/2010) [8]. For these fertilizers belonging to the class of nitrogenous organic fertilizers the maximum concentration (mg/kg of dry matter) of Cr(VI) is defined as equal to zero (i.e. less than the detection limit of the analytical method—Annex XIII of the Legislative Decree No. 75/2010) [8]. In addition, only for the hydrolyzed leather the extracted Cr content in DTPA solution must be less than 1,800 mg/kg (Annex I of the Legislative Decree No. 75/2010) [8].

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of the United States defines the levels of the heavy metals in the biosolids using 14 cycles for evaluating the risk. Concerning Cr applied to soil through biosolids and fertilizers, EPA established that it does not represent either an environmental nor sanitary risk [13]. In 1993 EPA published the “Standards for the use or disposal of sewage sludge (40 CFR Part 503)” [6]. In 1994 EPA decided to delete the pollutant limits for Cr in subpart B (Land application) at point 503.13. EPA concluded that there is no current basis for establishing land application pollutant limits for Cr for the following reasons: “the Agency has determined that there is an insufficient basis at this time for the regulation of Cr in sewage sludge that is applied to the land. This determination is confirmed by EPA’s review of new information concerning Cr and the land application of sewage sludge. Consequently the Agency is amending Tables 1-4 (see 40 CFR Part 503 [6]) to delete Cr from the regulated metals for the following reasons. First EPA has reaffirmed its determination that Cr in sewage sludge appears predominantly in the trivalent form for which the likelihood of plant injury is substantially lower than the likelihood of plant injury from Cr in the hexavalent form. Second, in addition to reexamining the rulemaking record, EPA obtained more recent data from field studies of crops grown on soil to which sewage sludge had been applied. These data are similar to those used in the final rule for evaluating the potential for plant injury from the Cr in sewage sludge. EPA evaluated these data using the same statistical methods used for the final rule to assess the potential for plant injury. Like the earlier data, these data show no relationship between plant injury associated with Cr in sewage sludge at high loading rates. Finally, to confirm its determination that data do not support regulation of Cr at this juncture, EPA also took a second look at other pathways of exposure. After the plant toxicity pathway, the next significant pathway of concern is the risk associated with exposure of a tractor operator to Cr from sewage sludge in the dust churned up by the tractor. EPA reevaluated this pathway using current National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) standards for worker exposure to trivalent Cr. EPA’s second look at the tractor operator exposure pathway determined that the appropriate risk-based limit for this pathway is well in excess of its earlier finding of 5000 mg/kg. The limit for this pathway using the updated NIOSH standard is almost two orders of magnitude in excess of the observed 99th percentile concentration for Cr in the National Sewage Sludge Survey (NSSS). Given the fact that Cr limit for the next pathway of exposure—the ground-water pathway—is an order of magnitude greater than the 99th percentile sewage sludge concentration, EPA determined that it did not have data that justify regulation of Cr in land applied sewage sludge at this juncture. Applying the same criteria used for the final rule to determine whether to regulate a particular pollutant, EPA concluded that there is no current basis for establishing land application pollutant limits for Cr based on the tractor operator pathway or the ground-water pathway” [7].

5. Chromium Issue

Chromium is a transition metal and it is a member of group VI of the periodic table. It has a wide range of oxidation states (from Cr –2 to Cr +6), most commonly occurring as Cr(0), Cr(II), Cr(III) and Cr(VI). Considering the rare occurrence of Cr(0), used only in the steel industry, and the less stability of divalent form which is rapidly oxidized to trivalent form, the most common oxidation states in nature are Cr(III) and Cr(VI) [14].

Chromium(III) is considered the most stable and important oxidation state of Cr [1,15,16]. The ion does not exist in solution because it forms complexes persisting even when thermodynamic conditions are not stable: in acid solution it is complexed with water or other anions, while in alkaline solutions it forms compounds which precipitate in time. [16].

Chromium(VI), on the contrary, is a strong oxidizing agent, relatively stable in water. It is almost always found
linked to oxygen [15]. Its species are anionic and contribute to its much greater mobility and bioavailability than Cr(III) [17].

The average concentration of Cr in rocks is 100 mg/kg [15]. The grand mean of Cr concentrations in surface soils reported in the literature is different, also depending on the geographical area: 37 mg/kg [14], 54 mg/kg [9,15], 84 mg/kg [15,18]. The range of Cr in soils varies from 1 to 1500 mg/kg [19]. Chromium is particularly concentrated in soils derived from basalt or serpentine rocks [14], where concentration can range from 2500 to 4000 mg/kg [20] and even much more.

Chromium is produced from the ore chromite [1,21] and is mainly used in metallurgy (production of stainless steel and alloys), refractory (production of bricks for furnaces) and chemical industries (pigments, wood preservatives and tanning leather) [15,20,21].

5.1. Chemical Behavior in Soil

Chromium is commonly present in soil as Cr(III) and Cr(VI). Its behavior in soil depends on several factors, mainly pH and redox potential, oxidation state, presence of organic matter or minerals (e.g. manganese), electron donors or acceptors, competing ions, complexing agents [20]. The distribution of the trivalent and hexavalent forms in soil solution is the result of the interaction between pH and redox potential [15,17,22], as shown in Figure 2.

Inorganic Cr(III) form is limited at pH values less than 5, while above pH 5 Cr(III) is hydrolyzed and the cationic species deriving from the hydrolysis are characterized by low solubility: this is the main reason why, in normal soil conditions, Cr(III) has low mobility and bioavailability [15,17,21,23].

Adsorption of Cr(III) is mainly influenced by pH, cation exchange capacity, the presence of Fe and Mn oxides, organic matter and competitors. It is pH-dependent because when pH increases, adsorption increases due both to the increase of the negative surface charges [24] of the soil and to the cation exchange reactions of the Cr(III) hydrolyzed species [20]. At the same time Cr(III) adsorption is increased by the presence of organic matter and clay minerals carrying superficial negative functional groups. Moreover the presence of Fe and Mn oxides affects adsorption, being Cr(III) a cationic metal. On the contrary adsorption decreases when in solution are present competing cations or are dissolved organic ligands [15,20].

Inorganic form of Cr(VI), that is chromate (mainly present as $\text{HCrO}_4^-$ at pH less than 6 and $\text{CrO}_4^{2-}$ above pH 6), is highly water soluble and is a strong oxidizing agent due to its high positive reduction potential. As a consequence, it is a highly mobile and toxic form of Cr [17,21,23].

Adsorption is less influenced by organic matter content and pH than Cr(III) [24], even if adsorption is favored under acidic to neutral pH because positive charges on soil colloids increase [25,26] and ends when pH is above 8.5 [20]. Cr(VI) species ($\text{HCrO}_4^-$ and $\text{CrO}_4^{2-}$) adsorption proceeds at a lower rate than Cr(III) hydrolyzed species [26]. They are adsorbed by soil phases having exposed hydroxyl groups on their surfaces, such as Mn, Fe and Al oxides that are characterized by their high positive charge [20,24,25].

The presence of Cr(III) or Cr(VI) in soil depends on the balance between oxidation and reduction reactions due to a Mn redox cycle and to the oxidation of organic matter [27]. In a natural environment Cr(VI) can be reduced to Cr(III) in the presence of electron donors (reductants) such as organic matter, Fe(II) and sulfides [20,27]. Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) is pH-dependent, increasing with lower pH values [26]. Organic reductants of Cr(VI), such as organisms and residual organic materials, in presence of soluble organic chelating agents may form soluble chelated complexes with Cr(III) [17], thus enhancing the potential for re-oxidation to Cr(VI) by Mn oxides. However when Cr(III) is bound to organic complexes in soil, it becomes immobile or insoluble [25]. On the contrary inorganic oxidants, such as Fe(II) species, reduce Cr(VI) concentration and simultaneously decrease the possibility of a transformation back to Cr(VI) because liquid Cr(III) is included in Fe, Cr(OH)$_3$ solids characterized by low solubility. Moreover, formation of solid Cr(III) phase will greatly diminish the potential for transformation back to Cr(VI) [17].

Differently by the many potential mechanisms responsible of reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III), the opposite reaction is possible only when particular conditions occur. In
fact in natural environment Cr(III) can be oxidised to Cr(VI) only in the presence of electron acceptors (strong oxidants), such as O₂ and high-valence Mn oxides [23]. However it was found that Mn oxides represent the only naturally occurring oxidant of Cr(III) [17,23]; as a consequence their presence is essential because they serve as electron acceptors in the oxidation reaction [20]. However oxidation should occur with the simultaneous presence of other factors, such as low organic matter content, alkaline pH and high redox potential values [17,23]: all these conditions limit greatly the possibility of oxidation that represents a serious hazard for the environment. Moreover oxidation of Cr(III) to Cr(VI) is a phenomenon occurring very rarely even in the presence of Mn oxides and favorable pH conditions, due to the poor availability of mobile Cr(III); exchangeable trivalent Cr(III) is practically inexistent in soil where the pH overcomes 5 [25,26]. Furthermore the oxidation process is even slower when the Cr(III) species applied to the soil are exogenous and originated from tannery sludge amendments if compared to pure chemical forms of Cr(III) [26].

5.2. Chromium in Plants

Chromium is a non-essential element for plant growth and development [26,28]. Nevertheless it is absorbed by plants and the impact on the physiology of plants depends on Cr oxidation state, responsible of its fate and the resultant toxicity in plants [29]. Studies showed that Cr valence does not influence the absorption rate and both Cr(III) and Cr(VI) are of nearly equal availability to plants [30]. Generally, only a very small fraction of the total Cr content of soil is available to plant [26]. Exogenous applications of Cr(VI) to soil do not increase the concentration of soluble Cr in soil because reversion in unavailable forms to plants goes on at least one growing season [22].

Chromium may be absorbed by plant roots as Cr(III) or Cr(VI), it is poorly translocated and largely retained in roots, independently of Cr form that has been taken up [26,31,32]. The two ions do not share a common uptake mechanism: the uptake of Cr(III) is largely a passive process, whereas the uptake of Cr(VI) is mediated by low affinity sulphate carriers, specific for the uptake of essential metals [29,30,33,34] and quickly converted to Cr(III) in roots by Fe(III) reductase enzymes [31].

Translocation of both Cr forms from roots to shoots is extremely limited: Cr(III) and Cr(VI) enter in the vascular tissue with difficulty. However, once in the xylem, Cr moves more readily [26,29,33,34]: very little translocation of Cr to the shoot is expected to occur when plants are supplied with either form of Cr. In fact conversion of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) occurs in the roots where Cr(III) is the most predominant form: once Cr is transformed, translocation is very little, being Cr(III) a form with low solubility [26,31].

Roots accumulate Cr in a quantity 10 - 100 folds more than shoots independently on Cr state [31,33]. This preferential distribution is stable and does not depend on either its concentration nor soil properties [29]. For example in bean, only 0.1% of the total Cr accumulated was found in the seeds while 98% remained in the roots [29,33]. Even though the tendency to retain Cr in roots is common to all plant species studied thus far by various workers, there are quantitative differences among plant species in this regard [32]: the highest concentrations of Cr were found in species of the Brassicacae family [31].

High accumulation in roots may be due to Cr immobilization in the vacuoles of the root cells [29]. It was demonstrated that Cr(III) uptake may drastically decrease the stability of Ca-polygalacturonates, high-molecular weight compounds exuded by roots which play a key role in binding cell walls of the rhizoderms and cortical cells. They are present both at the soil-root interface and in the Donnan free space in the apoplast. The collapse of these substances stops the passive translocation of Cr(III) through the apoplast [35].

The contents of Cr in plants growing in normal conditions range between 0.02 and 2 mg/kg and rarely exceed 5 mg/kg [26,36]. Toxicity of Cr in plants depends on its oxidation state, being Cr(VI) much more toxic than Cr(III) [15,29]. Cr(III) produces reactive oxygen species and may be toxic at high concentrations: however the low solubility of Cr(III) in soil generally leads small concentrations of Cr in plants [15]. The toxic properties of Cr(VI) are originated from its oxidizing action and formation of free radicals during its reduction to Cr(III) within the plant cells [15,29].

The food chain is well protected from the possible excesses of Cr in plants by a system known as “soil-plant barrier”: the term was introduced by Chaney to describe “the waste-soil-plant-animal relationship of toxic elements”. A soil-plant barrier prevents contamination of the food chain from trace elements limiting their levels in edible plant tissues. Protection is carried out by one or more of the following processes:

- If the element is not soluble in soil, uptake does not occur;
- If the element is absorbed by roots but it is immobilized in fibrous roots, translocation is stopped;
- Phytotoxicity occurs when the element is present in edible plant tissues at concentrations significantly lower than that harmful to humans or animals.

All of these processes apply perfectly to Cr: indeed Cr(VI) is readily immobilized in soil by adsorption, reduction, and precipitation processes and only a fraction...
of the total Cr concentration is available for plant uptake. When this fraction is taken up by plants, quantities superior to 90% of absorbed Cr are retained in roots where they are reduced to Cr(III) species in a short time. Finally, phytotoxic levels of Cr in the plant are less than 10 mg/kg [26].

5.3. Chromium in Animals and Humans

Cr(III) is considered an essential element in animals and humans [37,38]. Recently it was confirmed that Cr(III) is not carcinogenic [39] and the useful/toxic dose ratio for Cr(III) is on the order of 1:10,000 [38]. It interacts with glucose and lipid metabolism in several animals, including humans: it belongs to the group of substances known as GTF (glucose tolerance factors) which are capable of increasing glucose uptake. In particular Cr facilitates the interactions between insulin and its specific receptors located in target organs such as muscle and fat tissues [38].

The dietary guidelines for Cr intake recommended by the Food and Nutrition Board of the US National Academy of Sciences have been diminished from 50 - 200 µg day⁻¹ for an adult, as recommended by WHO [40], to 35 µg/day for a male, and 25 µg/day for a female [41].

Chromium(III) is absorbed with food and, unlike the inorganic compound, only the organic complexes are bioavailable, on the order of 25% - 30% [38,42]. Chromium is naturally contained in human food and animal feedstuffs and its amount is highly variable, ranging from 0.01 mg/kg in milk to 0.25 mg/kg in cereals (wheat, maize, rye, oats) [43]. It was also investigated the amount of Cr in arboreal and annual crops fertilized with hydrolyzed residues from tannery [43]: generally the Cr content in edible parts of all the plants examined was lower than that measured in the controls.

On the other hand Cr(VI) shows both acute and chronic strong effects of toxicity (it is irritating, allergizing and corrosive) and is considered a potent carcinogen to humans and animals, due to its capacity to react with genetic material [26,38]. Cr(VI) reaches humans and animals mainly through inhalation or industrial contamination [38].

6. Agronomical and Environmental Aspects

Nitrogen in solid fertilizers derived from tannery is in the organic form at least for 95% or more. It is naturally released in soil and made available for plant uptake only after mineralization processes of the organic matter [44]. Consequently N is slowly released in soil following environmental conditions. Soil temperature and humidity (rainfall, irrigation) and redox potential are the main factors that affect the mineralization process.

The presence of Cr(III) in these solid fertilizers has worried the public opinion because of possible soil, water and crop contamination. However the risks of environmental pollution by this type of Cr-containing fertilizers are negligible, according with several authors. Increasing amounts of solid fertilizers applied to soil lead to a negligible rise in soil soluble Cr [2,45-47]. Moreover it was demonstrated that the amount of Cr leached from soil is insignificant [45,48]. Chromium is generally insoluble in soil even when it is released during the mineralization of the organic matter [2,47,48]. The organic constituents of the solid fertilizers play an important role in reducing the amount of Cr available to plants [49]. In experiments where Cr(VI) was added to the soil, it was immediately reduced to Cr(III) [9] and no traces of Cr(VI) were found in the soil collected from various farms where these fertilizers had been used for decades to fertilize the fruit orchards [50].

It was also demonstrated that soil which never had been fertilized with these products was found to have a greater tendency to oxidize Cr than that soil where these fertilizers had been used for years [51]. Even the main enzymatic activities of the soil did not show any statistically significant differences among the samples [46,50], it was demonstrated that adding these solid fertilizers to soil a higher microbial biodiversity occurs and that the higher microbial activity is maintained in years [52].

Generally, Cr(III) added to soil through these fertilizers is gradually released due to mineralization of the organic matter: this process permits that Cr(III) is consequently fixed into soil and then unavailable to microbial and plant metabolism [3,53,54]. It was demonstrated that the amount of Cr absorbed by crops is insignificant and if Cr reaches plant roots, it accumulates in external tissues and only a negligible amount is found in edible parts [45,53].

It was demonstrated throughout several field trials the efficient fertilizing action of these solid fertilizers without any risk to human health, to the contamination of the ground table or to the environment in general and Cr accumulation in the grains of maize, wheat, rice, bean and radish was not detected, since it precipitates as an oxide which is not available to plants or immobilized in roots that represent a powerful biological barrier [3,54].

7. Conclusions

The category of Cr-containing fertilizers includes several products, both mineral and organic. The most known are the fertilizers obtained by transformation of the residues of the tannery industry. As shown they contain Cr in the trivalent form, that is not toxic and in soil rarely is oxidized to the toxic form of Cr(VI). Due to their nature not
hazardous for the environment and human health, legislations of the European Union, United States and of countries such as Italy permit the unlimited use of Cr-containing fertilizers; otherwise some countries do not allow its use because they consider that it may involve a risk for environmental and human health. This seems improper, as previously shown.

Chemical, environmental, agronomical, and legislative aspects of Cr-containing organic fertilizers were discussed in a meeting previously cited at times [3,11,38,43]. Despite some preliminary settings, the meeting was considered a milestone in the different countries where the leather industry has developed. These activities are strictly dependent on the use and behavior of Cr, even in the recovery of wastes that are produced. An extensive program of research about the entire production of Cr-containing hides and skins and their byproducts has continued since then, even up to the recovery and reuse of sludge as protein-based sludge, today officially accepted in the Italian law of fertilizers [8].

Moreover the utilization of these fertilizers is agronomic: doses applied to soil vary depending on the crop but generally have a maximum of 1 Mt/ha; higher quantities are not sustainable either agronomically (excess of nitrogen applied), nor economically (high costs).

In particular the solid hydrolyzed protein fertilizers, coming from the residues of the tannery industry, are obtained using selected raw materials deriving by the intermediary phases of tanning. The industrial production process has a high level of standardization and computerized management that reduce the costs: it was estimated that the energy consumption for the production of this kind of organic N fertilizers is 3.58 GJ/ton per unit of N, while energy consumption for the production of N mineral fertilizers is 6.42 GJ/ton per unit of N [55]. Finally this kind of organic N fertilizers has high agronomic efficiency and they are characterized by slow N release in soil/growing media-plant systems.
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