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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we implement a new approach coupled with the iteration method. This procedure is obtained by combining He’s frequency-amplitude formulation and He’s energy balance method into a new iteration procedure such that excellent approximate analytical solutions, valid for small as well as large values of amplitude, can be determined for nonlinear oscillators. This study has clarified the motion equation of nonlinear oscillators by the iteration method to obtain the relationship between amplitude and angular frequency. We compare the approximate periods obtained by our procedure with the numerical solution and with other methods like energy balance method and variational iteration method. The results show that the approximations are of extreme accuracy.
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1. Introduction

Nonlinear oscillations problem is important in the physical science, mechanical structures and other kind of mathematical sciences. Most of real systems are modeled by nonlinear differential equations which are important issues in mechanical structures, mathematical physics and engineering. Recently, much attention has been focused on the properties to solve nonlinear equations in mechanical systems. In this way, some kind of these methods like Harmonic balance method (HBM) [1], Newton harmonic balance method (NHBM) [2], Frequency-amplitude formulation (FAF) [3-5], Energy balance method (EBM) [6,7], Variational iteration method (VIM) [8,9], Homotopy perturbation method (HPM) [10-12], Homotopy analysis method (HAM) [13], and Max-Min method (MMA) [14,15] are introduced for nonlinear oscillatory systems.

Our main aim in this paper is to apply a new approach coupled with the iteration method by combining He’s FAF and He’s EBM. We compare the numerical solution with other methods like energy balance method and variational iteration method. We examine accuracy of the approximation methods.

2. Solution Procedure

We consider a generalized nonlinear oscillator in the form:

\[ \ddot{u} + f(u, \dot{u}, \ddot{u}) = 0, \]

under the initial conditions:

\[ u(0) = A, \quad \dot{u}(0) = 0. \]

Based on He’s frequency-amplitude formulation approach [3,4]. The trial function to determine the angular frequency \( \omega \) is given by

\[ u = A \cos \omega t, \]

substituting from Equation (3) into Equation (1), one can obtain the following residual as

\[ R(t) = -A\omega^2 \cos \omega t + f(A \cos \omega t, -A \omega \sin \omega t, -A \omega^2 \cos \omega t). \]

Introducing a new function, \( H(t) \), defined as [6]

\[ H(t) = \int_0^T R(t) \cos(\omega t) dt = 0, \quad T = \frac{2\pi}{\omega}. \]

Solving the above equation, the relationship between the amplitude and frequency of the oscillator can be ob-
3. Applications

In this section, some practical examples for some strongly nonlinear vibration system are illustrated to show the applicability, accuracy and effectiveness of the present method.

3.1. Autonomous Conservative Oscillator

It is known that the free vibrations of an autonomous conservative oscillator with inertia and static type fifth-order non-linearities is expressed by [7,16,17].

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \omega^2 u + \varepsilon_1 u^2 \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \varepsilon_2 u \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_3 u^4 \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + 2\varepsilon_4 u^3 \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_4 u^5 & = 0. \\
R(t) & = \frac{A}{16} \left[ (12A^4\varepsilon_1 + 10A^4\varepsilon_4 + 16\lambda - 16\omega^2 - 8A^2\varepsilon_4\omega^2 \\
& - 6A^2\varepsilon_4\omega^2)\cos\omega t + (4A^3\varepsilon_4 + 5A^4\varepsilon_4 - 8A^2\varepsilon_4\omega^2 \\
& - 7A^2\varepsilon_4\omega^2)\cos3\omega t + (A^4\varepsilon_4 - 3A^4\varepsilon_4\omega^2)\cos5\omega t \right].
\end{align*}
\]

Using Equation (8) into Equation (5), we can easily obtain

\[
H(t) = \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} R(t) \cos\omega t dt = \frac{A\pi}{8\omega^2} \left[ 6\varepsilon_4A^2 + 5\varepsilon_4A^4 + 8\lambda - (8 + 4\varepsilon_1A^2 + 4\varepsilon_1A^4)\omega^2 \right] = 0.
\]

Solving the above equation, an approximate frequency \( \omega \) as a function of amplitude \( A \) as follow:

\[
\omega = \sqrt{\frac{6A^2\varepsilon_4 + 5A^4\varepsilon_4 + 8\lambda}{8 + 4A^2\varepsilon_1 + 3A^4\varepsilon_2}}.
\]

Hence, the approximate solution can be readily obtained:

| Table 1. Values of dimensionless parameters \( \varepsilon_i \) in Equation (6) for four models [16]. |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mode | \( \varepsilon_1 \) | \( \varepsilon_2 \) | \( \varepsilon_3 \) | \( \varepsilon_4 \) |
| 1 | 0.326845 | 0.129579 | 0.232598 | 0.087584 |
| 2 | 1.642033 | 0.913055 | 0.313561 | 0.204297 |
| 3 | 4.051486 | 1.665232 | 0.281418 | 0.149677 |
| 4 | 8.205578 | 3.145368 | 0.272313 | 0.133708 |

\[ u(t) = A \cos \left( \frac{6A^2\varepsilon_4 + 5A^4\varepsilon_4 + 8\lambda}{8 + 4A^2\varepsilon_1 + 3A^4\varepsilon_2} \right). \]

Mehdipour et al. [7] obtained the approximate frequency for Equation (6) by the energy balance method

\[ \omega_{EBM} = \frac{3\sqrt{5}}{3} \sqrt{\frac{12\lambda + 9\varepsilon_1A^2 + 7\varepsilon_4A^4}{4 + 2\varepsilon_1A^2 + \varepsilon_4A^4}}. \]

The values of dimensionless parameters \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \) and \( \varepsilon_4 \) associated with each of the four calculation modes are shown in Table 2 [7].

Additionally, the comparison between these methodologies can be found in Table 2 and Figure 1. It has been shown that the results of analytical approximate solution are in good agreement with those obtained from the results of energy balance method [7], and with an accurate numerical solution using fourth-order Runge-Kutta method (R-K) as shown in Table 2 and Figure 1.

3.2. Tapered Beams

Tapered beams is an important model for engineering structures which require a variable stiffness along the length, such as moving arms and turbine blades [18-20]. In dimensionless form, the governing differential equation corresponding to fundamental vibration mode of a tapered beam is given by [20].

\[
\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \omega^2 u + \varepsilon_1 u^2 \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + \varepsilon_2 u \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_3 u^4 \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + 2\varepsilon_4 u^3 \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right)^2 + \varepsilon_4 u^5 = 0.
\]

Assume that the solution can be expressed as: \( u(t) = A\cos\omega t \).

Similarly, substituting the trial solution into Equation (13), this leads to the following residual

\[
R(t) = \frac{A}{4} \left( 4 + 3\varepsilon_2A^2 - 4\varepsilon_2\omega^2 - 2\varepsilon_1\omega^2A^2 \right) \cos\omega t \\
+ A^2 \left( \varepsilon_2 - 2\varepsilon_2\omega^2 \right) \cos3\omega t.
\]

Using Equation (14) into Equation (5) we can easily obtain
Table 2. The comparison between energy balance method \([7]\) and analytical approximate solution for four modes \((1 - 4) (\lambda = 1)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode 1</th>
<th>Mode 2</th>
<th>Mode 3</th>
<th>Mode 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A)</td>
<td>(\omega [7])</td>
<td>(\omega [7])</td>
<td>(\omega [7])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0001</td>
<td>1.0001</td>
<td>0.9971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0019</td>
<td>1.0015</td>
<td>0.9350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0124</td>
<td>1.0071</td>
<td>0.8129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The comparison between EBM solution (.....), analytical approximate solution (- - -) and numerical solution, solved by the Runge-Kutta method of order 4 (---) for four modes \((\lambda = 1, A = 1)\).

\[ H(t) = \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} R(t) \cos \omega dt \]

\[ = \frac{A R t}{4\omega^3} \left[ 4 + 3\epsilon_1 A^2 - 2 \left( 2 + \epsilon_1 A^2 \right) \omega^2 \right] = 0. \]  

Solving the above equation, an approximate frequency as a function of amplitude equals:

\[ \omega^2 = \frac{4 + 3\epsilon_1 A^2}{4 + 2\epsilon_1 A^2}, \]  

which is the same as given by Hoseini \textit{et al.} \[20\].

Hence, the approximate solution can be readily obtained

\[ u(t) = A \cos \left( \sqrt{\frac{4 + 3\epsilon_1 A^2}{4 + 2\epsilon_1 A^2}} \right). \]

To illustrate the validity of the analytical approximate solution for this example, the results are compared with the variational iteration method \[21\] and with an accurate numerical solution, using fourth-order Runge-Kutta method (R-K) in Figure 2.

Figure 2. The comparison between variational iteration method (.....), analytical approximate solution (- - -) and numerical solution, solved by the Runge-Kutta method of order 4 (--). (a) \( \epsilon_1 = 0.1, \epsilon_2 = 1, A = 1 \); (b) \( \epsilon_1 = 0.1, \epsilon_2 = 1, A = 2 \); (c) \( \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 2, A = 1 \); (d) \( \epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 1, A = 1 \).

3.3. Motion of the Particle on Arrange Parabola

The governing equation of motion and initial conditions can be expressed as \[5,22-24\].

\[ \left( 1 + 4q^2u^2 \right) \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} + 4q^2u \left( \frac{du}{dt} \right)^2 + \Delta u = 0, \]

\[ u(0) = A, \frac{du}{dt} = 0, \]  

where \( q > 0 \) and \( \Delta > 0 \) are known positive constants. We choose trial function \( u(t) = A \cos \omega t \), where \( \omega \) is assumed to be the frequency of the nonlinear oscillator.

Similar to previous examples we have

\[ R(t) = \left( \Delta A - A \omega^2 - 2A^2 q^2 \omega^2 \right) \cos \omega t - 2A^2 q^2 \omega^2 \cos 3\omega t. \]

Finally, the frequency amplitude relationship can be obtained as:

\[ \omega^2 = \frac{\Delta}{1 + 2A^2 q^2}, \]

which is the same as given by Davodi \textit{et al.} \[5\]. Hence, the approximate solution can be obtained as

\[ u(t) = A \cos \left( \sqrt{\Delta \over 1 + 2A^2 q^2} \right). \]

For this following value of parameters the numerical results are compared with EBM \[5\] and fourth-order Runge-Kutta method (R-K) as shown in Figure 3.

4. Conclusion

The methods to find analytical approximate solutions for nonlinear vibrating equations are not closed, which have important application in physical sciences and engineer-
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...tion. In this way, we illustrated that the present method is very effective and convenient and does not require linearization or small perturbation. The obtained analytical solutions were compared with those calculated by the energy balance method and variational iteration method. The obtained results are valid for the whole solution domain with high accuracy. In comparison to fourth-order Runge-Kutta method, which is powerful numerical solution, the results show that the present method is very convenient for solving nonlinear equations and also can be used for strong nonlinear oscillators.
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