Family responsibility dynamics for young adults in transition to adult health care
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ABSTRACT

Aim: To explore family responsibility dynamics, metabolic control, clinic attendance, and emergency admissions when young adults (YA) with type 1 diabetes transition to an adult hospital.

Method: A longitudinal mixed method design with two study groups (parents, n = 28; YA intervention group, n = 23) and a YA comparison group (n = 20). Sample recruited from an outpatient diabetes centre. Demographic, clinic attendance, emergency admissions, biodata, and the Diabetes Family Responsibility Questionnaire (DFRQ) were completed on entry and six-months later as part of an 18-month trial of a diabetes transition coordinator (DTC). The self-report DFRQ were analyzed using derived parent-child dyadic variables to explore differences at baseline and end of study using Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test. The relationship between metabolic control and the DFRQ patterns was explored using Spearman’s rho. Results: On completion of the study, the change in HBA1C for both adolescent groups was not significant but was less than ideal for 25% of adolescents in the study. The YA intervention group had significantly fewer missed appointments between them compared to the comparison group. The DFRQ indicated that the derived parent-child dyadic variable of task-discordance increased during the study. Conclusion: The clinically relevant diabetes-task dissonance between parents and young adults offers a challenge and a diagnostic opportunity for diabetes educators.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are approximately 10,000 15 - 25-year olds with diabetes type 1 in Australia. This group has the greatest risk of developing preventable complications with 30% - 40% lost from specialist diabetes care during transition each year. Hence, Diabetes Australia’s National Policy Priorities 2010 [1] includes better support for young people transitioning to adult services as a key issue. It recommends that young adults aged 15 - 24 have access to annual comprehensive health assessment, mental health screening at 15 years, and a central coordination system to identify and track transition progress.

Effective health care transition for adolescents with a chronic illness covers three domains: The adolescent’s emerging self-care skills and knowledge; medical management; and parents’ educational, emotional and tangible support whilst reducing involvement in their child’s diabetes-related decision-making. To this end, it has been suggested that a case-manager or diabetes transition coordinator (DTC) could support these three domains during transitioning [2,3]. In addition, the parent’s role also needs to change with the adolescent’s increasing demands for independence [4] and parental support for positive choices remains vital [4,5]. Family conflict [6] or disagreements between parent and child in perception of who assumes responsibility for the diabetes regimen and adherence level are significant predictors of glycemic control [7]. The views of the parent [4], however, have not been explored in detail previously. Hence, the purpose of this study was to explore family responsibility dynamics, metabolic control, clinic attendance, and emergency admissions when young adults (YA) with...
type 1 diabetes transition to an adult hospital.

1) Improve health status of adolescents and young adults transitioning from a pediatric hospital to a Young Adults with Diabetes (YAD) clinic at the adult hospital.
2) Reduce the number of ‘missed’ appointments.
3) Reduce the number of DKA events.

2. METHODS

A longitudinal, exploratory, mixed method design was used. Ethics approvals were obtained from Human Research Ethics Committees at the adult and pediatric hospitals. Although the study extended over 18 months, duration of individual contact with the diabetes transition coordinator (DTC) during the study varied from four to six months.

Once notified by the pediatric hospital, the DTC contacted and worked with adolescents and their family prior to transfer or soon after to help negotiate the adult system, assist with appointments, and promote independence in self-care behaviour. An initial interview with the adolescent and parent, alone or separately, was followed by monthly telephone contact. Participants were also encouraged to contact the DTC as needed.

2.1. Participants and Procedures

Inclusion criteria included adolescents identified for transition to the adult hospital within the next two months or recently transferred. Parents of adolescents who did not consent were not excluded and vice versa. Adolescents under 18 years of age required parental assent. A comparison group of YA was created from non-participants in the target group who had more than one appointment at the YAD clinic during the study recruitment period (n = 61). Questionnaires and hospital records were used to collect data.

2.2. Instruments

Permission to use the Diabetes Family Responsibility questionnaire (DFRQ) was granted [7]. Both parent and adolescent participants completed the DFRQ pre- and post-intervention. The DFRQ, with proven reliability [8-10], explored the relationship between parent's and adolescent’s self-reported view of who had responsibility for 17 tasks covering three subscales—general health, regimen tasks and social presentation. This three-point questionnaire was completed separately by the adolescent and a parent, each indicating who (adolescent, equal, parent) had responsibility. Tasks considered an adolescent responsibility were scored as one, two if a joint responsibility task and three if parent took responsibility. Hence, higher scores indicated more parental responsibility. The three possible response patterns suggested by Anderson [7] were categorised as 1) perfect agreement, 2) disagreement or an overlap pattern with each claiming more responsibility than the other, and 3) discordant pattern or disagreement in which no one was taking responsibility. Clinically, the discordant pattern was most concerning because it has been reported as most likely associated with poor health outcomes [7]. The derived dyadic variables of agreement, discordance and overlap had a score range of 0 to 17. The internal consistency results for this sample were adequate given its novel use with an adolescent cohort [11-13] with alphas of 0.78 for the adolescent sample and 0.84 for parents at T0 and 0.79 and 0.83 at T4 respectively. These results are also consistent with other studies [8-10].

2.3. Analyses

Demographic, biodata and DFRQ scores were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (IBM SPSS version 19) software package and its default settings. Descriptive statistics provided demographic context for study findings. Where possible, chi-square analysis was used to explore bivariate group differences. Parent and child responses to the self-report DFRQ were analysed using derived parent-child dyadic variables to explore differences at baseline and end of study using Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test. The number of tasks in the discordance category and change over time was also explored. The relationship between metabolic control and the discordance category and change over time was also explored. The relationship between metabolic control and the DFRQ patterns was explored using Spearman’s rho.

3. RESULTS

The 51 volunteer participants included 23 adolescents and 28 parents. Mothers represented 78.57% of the parent group. The non-participant group (n = 61) included 41 individuals for whom there were minimal data—age and or gender only. The remaining 20 adolescents formed a comparison group. The adolescent intervention group’s mean age was 18.39 (17 - 20 years ± 0.722) with 73.91% being male (n = 17). The comparison group’s mean age was 18.95 (17 - 20 years ± 0.887) with 40% male (n = 8); a significantly greater proportion of males in the intervention group (73.91%, Fisher’s Exact Test P = 0.033, 2-sided). Duration of diabetes for the intervention adolescent group ranged from 1-18 years (median = 11 ± 4.62).

Hospital records for the nine months prior to the study indicated four YA (18.2%) in the intervention group had either one or two DKA events compared to three participants who had one DKA event during the study period. One of the four had two DKA events prior to and one during the study. Insufficient hospital data available for the comparison group before or during the study period.
Group comparison between the equivalent nine-month periods before and during the study identified zero to seven missed appointments which reduced to three during the study. Chi-square analyses of appointment history indicated the intervention group’s missed appointments during the study were significantly less than for the comparison group \((n = 34, \chi^2 = 18.67, df = 3, P < 0.001)\). That is, eight of the intervention group had 10 missed appointments between them compared to the comparison group’s 12 individuals with 17 missed appointments.

Comparing the previous nine months to the equivalent period of the study, 11 of the 16 (68.75%) intervention group with pre and post HbA1c data had improved. Changes in HbA1c from entry \((T_0)\) to six months later \((T_6)\) was defined as a \(T_6\) HbA1c less than or equal to 59 mmol/mol \((7.5\%)\) or, if \(T_0\) greater than 59 mmol/mol but difference was equal to or less than 5.5 mmol/mol \((0.5\%)\). Similarly, for those in the comparison group for whom we had sufficient data, 15 of the 19 \((78.9\%)\) improved. Their change in HbA1c ranged from \(-22\) mmol/mol to \(27\) mmol/mol \((-2.0\%\) to \(2.5\%)\). Difference was not significant \((n = 35, \text{Fisher’s Exact test } P = 0.700\text{ 2-sided})\). In total, however, only 26 of the 35 \((74.286\%)\) YA were judged to have effective metabolic control.

The study’s underlying assumption is that, not only are the transitions to adult services with young people with diabetes taking place, this process is giving rise to distress, with the potential for both increased metabolic control \([19-21]\) and deteriorating health outcomes \([16,17]\). The study’s primary focus was the role of the diabetes transition clinic \((DTC)\) to work with parents and their child, whilst observing everyone’s rights for confidentiality. Whilst the transition process. The principal finding was a significantly greater compliance with clinic attendance by the intervention group. However, whilst this could not be solely attributed to the DTC it should be noted that the actual clinic attendance rate was higher than an earlier study at the same hospital prior to the introduction of the DTC \([14]\). Other studies have attributed their improved clinic attendance rate to their separate young adult clinic and a policy of pursuing non-attendees by telephone or letter \([15,16]\). Since the context for this study included both a DTC and a YAD clinic, their separate contribution cannot be quantified. The results could be explained by the single line of communication where problems could be discussed and avoided or fixed, including appointment problems \([16,17]\). One communication channel can also mean a less daunting new environment for adolescents.

More telling is the metabolic control for this sample. Whilst most of the comparison and intervention group met the criteria for adequate improvement in their HBA1C, it is concerning that the HbA1c is less than ideal for 25% of adolescents in the study. The non-significant difference in HbA1c levels for the two groups is, however, consistent with another Australian study \([18]\). More generally, however, the consensus is that the competing peer-group, career and diabetes self-management demands on the YA at this time can mean deterioration in metabolic control \([19-21]\).

Another change dynamic at this time is the role of parents in the young persons’ diabetes self-management. The study’s underlying assumption is that, not only are parents or carers an essential part of the solution to improving health outcomes after transition for the young adults \([22,23]\), but equally, clinic attendance in the first two years after transition. Therefore, it was necessary for the DTC to work with parents and their child, whilst observing everyone’s rights for confidentiality. Whilst the

### Table 1. Minima, maxima, mean and standard deviation (SD) for each DFRQ dyadic pattern at T0 and T6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Discordance</th>
<th>Overlap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T0 (n = 22)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>10.636 (2.320)</td>
<td>0.864 (1.082)</td>
<td>4.954 (2.553)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T6 (n = 20)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>11.250 (2.197)</td>
<td>1.350 (1.387)</td>
<td>4.100 (2.426)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: T0 = data on entry to study, T6 = four to six-months later.

4. DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to explore family responsibility dynamics when YA with type 1 diabetes transitioned to an adult hospital with a DTC to facilitate the transition process. The principal finding was a significantly greater compliance with clinic attendance by the intervention group. However, whilst this could not be solely attributed to the DTC it should be noted that the actual clinic attendance rate was higher than an earlier study at the same hospital prior to the introduction of the DTC \([14]\). Other studies have attributed their improved clinic attendance rate to their separate young adult clinic and a policy of pursuing non-attendees by telephone or letter \([15,16]\). Since the context for this study included both a DTC and a YAD clinic, their separate contribution cannot be quantified. The results could be explained by the single line of communication where problems could be discussed and avoided or fixed, including appointment problems \([16,17]\). One communication channel can also mean a less daunting new environment for adolescents.

More telling is the metabolic control for this sample. Whilst most of the comparison and intervention group met the criteria for adequate improvement in their HBA1C, it is concerning that the HbA1c is less than ideal for 25% of adolescents in the study. The non-significant difference in HbA1c levels for the two groups is, however, consistent with another Australian study \([18]\). More generally, however, the consensus is that the competing peer-group, career and diabetes self-management demands on the YA at this time can mean deterioration in metabolic control \([19-21]\).

Another change dynamic at this time is the role of parents in the young persons’ diabetes self-management. The study’s underlying assumption is that, not only are parents or carers an essential part of the solution to improving health outcomes after transition for the young adults \([22,23]\), but equally, clinic attendance in the first two years after transition. Therefore, it was necessary for the DTC to work with parents and their child, whilst observing everyone’s rights for confidentiality. Whilst the
The economic burden of diabetes is high for the individual, the family, the health care sector and the whole community [27, p. 1]. This burden will only increase if transition from pediatric to adult health care is less than ideal [28-31]. The success of dedicated transition clinics [16,17,32] and recommendations from the international literature for a DTC [17,33] or similar role provides direction for practice change. In general, however, the focus needs to change from an adult medical model of care to one that acknowledges adolescent health differences. One that also recognises the young adult’s need for continued parental involvement and support [22,34], and one that can foster their emerging self-management skills. Thus, the clinically relevant diabetes-task dissonance between parent and the young adult offers a challenge and a diagnostic opportunity not previously explored by adult hospital health professionals.

Furthermore, for the young metropolitan adults in this sample who need some support, this study suggests that a more youth-friendly appointment system is needed to meet the recommended three-monthly appointments for the two years after transition to the adult hospital [35].

Whilst not directly addressing the constraints within the existing adult hospital appointment system, changes implemented include the use of mobile phone reminders and E-referrals to dedicated transition mailbox used by pediatric and adult YAD clinic endocrinologist and nurse coordinator. Also, adult-hospital staff sensitivity toward the continuing parent-child co-decision-making process around glycemic targets and of the needs and role of parents attending the YAD clinic is increasing. Rather than automatic exclusion of parents because of confidentiality concerns, a prompt question that provides the possibility of parent inclusion is part of the welcome conversation.

Furthermore, since the DFRQ only takes a minute to complete, it will be trialled as a diagnostic tool used at the initial YADs clinic by the diabetes educator for targeted remedial action by identifying tasks for which no one is claiming responsibility. Many youths are still not taking full responsibility for their diabetes self-management six months after transfer to adult health care. Diabetes educators with specialist knowledge of adolescent health can advocate for the young adult whilst supporting the parent [22,31,36]. Learning to “let go” when your child has diabetes can be fraught with danger—a situation more understood by the parent than the child.
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