Dysfunction of the peripheral and central auditory pathway in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus
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ABSTRACT

Although auditory alterations are not a typical symptom of diabetes mellitus (DM), they can occur in patients with type 1 DM. The findings of previous studies are controversial, because the frequency and degree of hearing loss varies, and quite often alterations are still subclinical. The typical test used to detect and manage hearing loss is the audiogram, but this test alone is only capable of detecting alterations due to injury of the inner hair cells and/or alterations in the central auditory pathway. However, auditory alterations resulting from a dysfunction that is still subclinical are only able to be detected through electrophysiological auditory tests, such as the otoacoustic emissions (OAE) and the auditory brainstem response (ABR) tests. Thus, the purpose of this paper is to review the studies that utilize the OAE and ABR tests, and to verify if the dysfunction is cochlear and/or neural in patients with type 1 DM. The findings of this review demonstrate that patients with type 1 DM can have auditory alterations stemming from a central cochlear origin. Following this finding, early diagnosis is very important in order to implement preventative treatments and initiate therapy.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The American Diabetes Association (2010) [1] defines diabetes mellitus (DM) as a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia, which is a result of alterations in the secretion and/or action of insulin. DM can be divided into four categories: The first—type 1— involves an absolute deficiency in the secretion of insulin. The second—type 2— involves a combination of resistance to the action of insulin. The third main type—type 3—is often referred to as gestational diabetes, when glucose intolerance is detected for the first time during pregnancy. The fourth group entails the remaining varieties as outlined by the etiology.

Despite the regular use of insulin, patients with type 1 DM frequently present instances of hyperglycemia as well as hypoglycemia, which can cause a number of complications. These include: retinopathy—alterations in the retina characterized by vascular complications; neuropathy—gradual degeneration of nerve fibers of the axons; microalbuminuria—presence of the protein albumen in urine [2]; and further complications may include myocardium infarction and cerebral vascular accidents [3].

Although auditory alterations are not a typical symptom, they can occur in patients with type 1 DM. These alterations are normally related to structures of the inner ear (cochlea), including Corti’s organ and structures of the central auditory pathway, from the nerve until the auditory cortex. In Corti’s organ, there are outer and inner hair cells. The outer hair cells are responsible for amplification and the inner hair cells are responsible for mechanical-electrical transduction of sound. This mechanical-electrical transduction is the transmission of sound that initially occurs within a mechanical system, until contacting the inner hair cells, when it is changed into electric potential that will lead the auditory information through the central nervous system. Alterations in these inner structures are called sensorineural, and the examination best known for identifying possible hearing loss is the audiogram [4].

The audiogram is a psychoacoustic test that determines the subject’s minimum sensitivity threshold for a sound with a determined frequency and intensity (tonotopy). The test is able to detect alterations in the cochlea (sensorial), from the lowest (base) frequencies to the highest (apex) frequencies, as well as alterations in the neuronal synchrony.
Studies of type 1 DM utilizing the audiogram are controversial because the frequency of hearing loss presented in the findings of these studies varies from 0 until 80% [5,6]. However, many authors have observed that there is a greater prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss in the population with type 1 DM in comparison to the group without the disease [7,8].

In accordance with literature, the type of hearing loss typically found in DM is sensorineural, of gradual and bilateral character, and reaching higher frequencies [9-11]. However, some authors have found low [9] and middle frequency [10,12] hearing loss and one found a case of sudden hearing loss [13].

The possible etiopathogeny of these alterations is not completely defined yet, as they may be due to early neuropathy, angiopathy or metabolic complications due to the hyperactivity of free radicals resultant of the non-enzymatic glication [8].

The audiogram is only capable of detecting alterations occurring from injury to the inner hair cells and/or alterations in the central auditory pathway. However, auditory alterations resulting from a dysfunction, that is, still subclinical alterations, are only able to be detected through the electrophysiological auditory tests. These assessments include the otoacoustic emissions (OAE) and auditory brainstem response (ABR) tests. These two tests are also capable of determining the location of the injury, with OAE distinguishing the sensorial alterations, and ABR distinguishing the neural alterations. Thus, in most of the cases, the auditory alterations occurring from type 1 DM are not detected in conventional auditory tests, such as the audiogram, because it does not possess the necessary sensitivity and specificity to detect all types of alterations occurring from this pathology.

2. COCHLEAR DYSFUNCTION IN TYPE 1 DM

The advent of the OAE is the newest method for the identification of complications in sensorineural hearing loss. The assessment is objective, relatively simple, fast, and non-invasive [14]. This test is very useful in identifying subclinical alterations in the cochlear micromechanics, and more specifically in evaluating the functioning of the outer hair cells.

OAE tests can be spontaneous or evoked, and can be of the transient type (TOAE) or the distortion product type (DPOAE). The TOAE evaluates the cochlea as a whole, and is restricted from 1 to 5 kHz, frequencies which are only detected in subjects with normal auditory thresholds. The DPOAE evaluates specific parts of the cochlea and varies from 0.5 to 8 kHz, frequencies which are detected in subjects with normal hearing and mild hearing loss. The evaluation is conducted through identifying the presence or absence of OAEs and monitoring their amplitude.

In all the studies presented in Table 1 (except Ugur et al. (2009) [15]) the presence of lower amplitude can be observed in patients with type 1 DM. This suggests that even in patients with normal auditory thresholds, the metabolic changes caused by the disease can modify the micromechanics of the inner ear, generating an early subclinical finding. This data can be confirmed through histopathological studies of the inner ear that demonstrate the following: a thickening of the capillary walls of the vascular stria—epithelial stratification that forms the endolymphatic edge of cochlear sac, which is important in the production of ionic gradients and of the endocochlear potential; peri and endolymphatic hemorrhaging; reduction in the number of fibers of the spiral plate—the bone plate that extends out from the modiolus to inside the cochlear duct, forming part of the structure that divides the superior vestibule slope and the inferior tympanic slope; degenerative changes in Corti's organ and reduction of the outer hair cells [16,17].

All of the studies that used the transient type OAE (TOAE) test demonstrated the same reduction in the amplitude of patients with DM, except in the study of Erdem et al. [18] In this study, Erdem et al. demonstrated that the auditory thresholds could present mild hearing loss (30dBNA), which would imply a more accentuated alteration period, and which could render the TOAE test less sensible. However, studies of other pathologies demonstrate that TOAE tests are sufficiently sensible in early auditory alterations of the inner ear [19].

All the studies that used DPOAE demonstrated a reduction in the amplitude of OAE in patients with DM, but due to the specificity of frequency of this test, the studies are divergent on which frequencies were modified. In studies with subjects up to 33 years of age the middle frequencies prevailed, and after this age higher frequencies were more greatly reduced in DM patients. This data can also be related to aging, and many authors state that in patients with DM this relatively early hearing loss may in fact be age-associated [20]. However, in the population that is still too young to have age-associated hearing loss, manifestation of type 1 DM metabolic alteration would be more common in the low and middle frequencies.

Thus, considering that the audiogram (which is typically used for evaluation of hearing loss) does not detect the observed early alterations in patients with DM, the TOAE would be a sensible test for early detection, while the DPOAE test would be more specific to the frequencies affected in accordance with the advance of age.

The only study in which OAEs were not reduced, but rather were increased, included younger patients with type 1 DM [15]. This study demonstrated the effect of
Table 1. Studies with type 1 diabetes mellitus using the tests of transient (TOAE) and distortion product (DPOAE) otoacoustic emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Average age</th>
<th>Audiogram</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ugur et al. 2009 [15]</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>TOAE trend &gt; amplitude and DPOAE with no difference; Effect of suppression &lt; in DM (2-4 kHz); TOAE—negative correlation with Hb; no correlation to effect of suppression and Hb suppression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alborch et al. 1998 [23]</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>TOAE &lt; amplitude and DPOAE with &lt; amplitude in low and middle frequencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dabrowski et al. 2011 [25]</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>&lt; amplitude TOAE, negative correlation with age, without correlation to duration of disease and metabolic control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottaviani et al. 2002 [26]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>TOAE and DPOAE &lt; amplitude. Absence of TOAE only DM and in the DPOAE &gt; significance in middle frequencies; without Hb, insulin, duration of disease, age, retinopathy and neuropathy correlation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Nardo et al. 1998 [22]</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>TOAE and DPOAE (1 a 5 kHz) &lt; amplitude in DM with neuropathy; DPOAE (3 a 5 kHz) &lt; amplitude in DM without neuropathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdem et al. 2003 [18]</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>to 30dBNA</td>
<td>TOAE without difference; DPOAE &lt; amplitude in DM in frequencies of 4 kHz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al. 2001 [21]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>DPOAE &lt; amplitude in DM, mainly 8 kHz; latency &gt; in DM except in 1 kHz; correlation of amplitude of the DPOAE with Hb and without latency correlation to Hb and retinopathy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

suppression, which incorporates a test that uses OAEs, in conjunction with a competitive noise. This process activates the efferent system through the olivocochlear medial pathway, which has the objective of controlling the entrance of the sound through the afferent system, perfecting the sound characteristics for interpretation of acoustic information for the auditory cortex. This effect of suppression was found to be worse in younger subjects with type 1 DM than in the group of subjects without the disease. This data explains the increase of OAE, which due to the dysfunction of this system, can be seen as an early central manifestation resulting from metabolic changes occurring in patients with type 1 DM.

Regarding associated comorbidities, Ugur et al. 2009 [15] verified a negative correlation between the amplitude of the TOAE and the values of glycated hemoglobin in younger type 1 DM patients. The study conducted by Park et al. 2001 [21] of an older patient population observed a positive correlation between glycated hemoglobin and DPOAE. Kakarlapudi et al. [8] observed a greater prevalence of sensorineural hearing loss in type 1 DM patients without control of the disease. This data demonstrates the importance of metabolic control and the necessity of a multicriteria choice of audiological examinations for earlier detection of auditory alterations.

The study conducted by Di Nardo et al. 1998 [22] demonstrated that it was possible to verify a relationship between neuropathy and the amplitude of TOAE, as well as with the frequencies from 1 to 5 kHz of the DPOAE. However, in the group without neuropathy the correlation only occurred in frequencies from 3 to 5 kHz of DPOAE. This suggests that in patients with neuropathy the peripheral auditory alterations can be more accentuated, affecting not only higher but also middle frequencies, and can be detected in the TOAE, which did not occur in the group without neuropathy. Further, the studies are very diverse and a comparison of the studies would be difficult, as would be establishing any type of consensus on the associations between type 1 DM and other comorbidities.

3. NEURAL DYSFUNCTION IN TYPE 1 DM

The evaluation of the central auditory pathway can be used to test the auditory brainstem response, which evaluates the auditory nerve and the structures of the
brainstem. This test basically evaluates the absolute latency of waves, which is the time needed for the stimulation to initiate the auditory potential in a determined structure. Further, the test evaluates intervals—which are the time necessary for the electric potential to pass from one structure to another.

Table 2 presents data regarding auditory brainstem response (ABR), which demonstrates that in almost all of the studies there is an increase in at least one latency time. This data confirms the studies with OAE, because the delay in the latency time is generally associated to peripheral dysfunction.

With regard to intervals, the majority of the studies demonstrate that some intervals are increased, which indicates that a central auditory component also exists which is resultant of the metabolic alterations from type 1 DM. Regarding an association of the alterations of ABR and comorbidities, it was possible to verify that in the majority of studies, there was some degree of association between them. Ugur et al. 2009 [15] observed that the time of treatment contributed to worse ABR responses, demonstrating that control of the disease is fundamental into preventing auditory alterations. The study by Seidl et al. [27] presented similar findings, demonstrating that the duration of the disease and severe episodes of hyperglycemia affect the results of the ABR. Ottaviani et al. [26] and Toth el al. [28] observed a correlation between ABR and neuropathy, as well as with cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy. However, similar to studies with OAE, there is no consensus between these studies, which is probably due the protocols used and the distinct differences between them.

With regard to patient age and possible alterations of the ABR, there was no difference between studies, as these criteria were present in the same way in the studies with younger and older populations. However, Durmus et al. [29] observed a tendency of correlation in patients over 30 years of age.

In terms of gender, Pudar et al. [20] verified that male patients present absolute latencies and longer intervals than female patients with type 1 DM, in comparison to subjects without the disease.

Table 2. Studies of type 1 diabetes mellitus using the auditory brainstem response (ABR) test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Number of Patients</th>
<th>Average age</th>
<th>Audiogram</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Niedzielska e Katska [30]</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>6 - 18</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Absolute latencies and intervals &gt;, except in the interval III-V; without duration of disease; correlation; duration of treatment (&lt; 3 years worse results)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seidl et al.1996 [27]</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Absolute Latency (V) and intervals &gt; in DM &gt; 2 years duration of disease; correlation to severe episodes of hyperglycemia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pessin et al. 2008 [31]</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Interval I-III &gt;; without correlation to duration of disease and glycemic control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durmus et al. 2004 [29]</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Absolute Latencies and intervals (I-V e III-V) &gt;; trend correlation with age (over 30 years old); without correlation to duration of disease, gender and neuropathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ottaviani et al.2002 [26]</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>Absolute latencies &gt;; without correlation to age, duration of disease, insulin, Hb, and retinopathy; correlation to neuropathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toth et al. 2003 [28]</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>Normal and sensorineural hearing loss</td>
<td>Absolute latencies and intervals &gt; and amplitude &lt;, mainly in DM with SHL; positive correlation to cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy between all scores autononis and wave latencies III and V and intervals I-III and I-V.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hypoglycemia alters performance in tests of general mental abilities [32-34], presenting variable sensitivity in accordance with cerebral function, however little is known of its effect in specific cerebral processes. With regard to processing auditory information, it is possible to confirm that hypoglycemia can interrupt short term auditory storage and slow the speed at which the brain is capable of processing obtained information [32,35,36]. Following this, early diagnosis of auditory alterations resulting from type 1 DM is very important, because these central alterations can result in difficulty of sound comprehension and further can compromise the acquisition of auditory abilities (auditory processing), which is responsible for success of verbal communication. Further, considering that type 1 DM occurs mainly in children who do not yet possess established auditory abilities, understanding this process becomes even more important, in order to implement preventative measures and early therapies.

4. CONCLUSION
The findings of this review demonstrate that patients with type 1 DM can have auditory alterations stemming from a central cochlear origin.
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