Decreased bone mineral density in young male veterans on Pioglitazone
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ABSTRACT

Background and Objective: Epidemiological and observational studies indicate that thiazolidinedione (TZD) therapy with rosiglitazone and Pioglitazone is associated with an increased risk of fractures. The effect of TZDs on bone mineral density (BMD) in men with type 2 diabetes is still in debate. The objective of the study was to investigate changes in BMD and bone turnover markers (BTM) associated with Pioglitazone use in men. Design and Methods: This prospective cross sectional comparative study evaluated the changes in BMD and BTM in male veterans aged less than 55 years, with diabetes with or without use of Pioglitazone. In a 6 month follow up study, main outcome measures included BMD at AP spine, femur and wrist; and BTM (osteocalcin and CTx) at a referral center, with no interventions. Results: Pioglitazone use was associated with significant decrease in BMD (annualized % change of >3%) at femoral neck, total hip and 1/3rd radius; increase in CTx by 29% and decrease in osteocalcin by 20% at 6 months. Conclusions: Even in young men Pioglitazone use was associated with bone loss. The changes in BTM suggest effect of Pioglitazone on both osteoblast and osteoclast activity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Osteoporosis and type 2 diabetes (DM), two of the most common chronic disease conditions, represent major public health burdens. Subjects with DM have a higher risk of hip fractures [1-6] as well as fractures at other sites [5,7,8]. Hip fractures are associated with significant morbidity and mortality and result in increased health care costs [9]. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs) are an important class of insulin-sensitizing antidiabetic agents, with a plethora of beneficial effects in addition to improving hyperglycemia. The pleiotropic effects of TZDs include decreasing insulin resistance, hyperplasia of pancreatic beta cells (potential to improve beta cell function), improve the lipid parameters, alteration in adipokines (increase the adiponectin, decrease resistin), decreasing C-reactive protein levels, improving endothelial function, reducing restenosis rates in subjects with coronary artery disease, mild improvement in blood pressure and decreasing urinary albumin excretion. However, epidemiological and observational studies indicate that TZD therapy with rosiglitazone and Pioglitazone is also associated with an increased risk of fractures [10-15]. TZDs improve glycemic control through activation of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)-γ. TZDs inhibit osteoblastogenesis [16-18] and suppress markers of osteoblast activity [16], and cause increased osteoclastogenesis [19], which results in decreased bone mass. A short-term study in premenopausal women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) reported decreased BMD with an average decline of 1.1% at lumbar spine and 1.4% at femoral neck after 16 weeks of treatment, as well as a significant decrease in alkaline phosphatase but no changes in osteocalcin [20]. Studies in women with TZDs have shown decreased BMD [21]. Very limited data exists concerning the effects of TZDs on BMD in men. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of the TZD Pioglitazone on BMD and bone turnover markers in young men with type 2 diabetes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a single-center open-label prospective clinical study. The study was carried out at Overton Brooks VA
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Medical Center at Shreveport, Louisiana after the approval of the protocol and consent form Institutional Review Board at LSUHSC, Shreveport as well as the Research and Development Committee at VAMC. All the subjects have provided informed consent. The inclusion criteria included men aged 30 - 55 years of all ethnic groups with type 2 diabetes. There were 92 participants with type 2 diabetes, with a mean age of 48 years. Among them, 28 subjects were being treated with pioglitazone; the control group comprised 64 subjects with diabetes matched for age, ethnicity, body mass index (BMI) and smoking and alcohol history that were not being treated with Pioglitazone or rosiglitazone. To avoid the confounding factors of possible unequal Vitamin D and calcium intake, all subjects were given vitamin D and calcium supplements (400 Units Vitamin D with calcium 600 mg tab one twice daily).

Exclusion criteria included subjects with increased bone turnover such as immobilization, chronic renal insufficiency even if creatinine is normal (subjects with decreased glomerular filtration rate (GFR)), chronic steroid use, primary hyperparathyroidism, untreated subclinical or clinical hyperthyroidism, or Paget’s disease; Vitamin D deficiency (≤20 mg%), subjects with known histories of chronic pancreatitis, pancraectomy or malabsorption syndromes; diseases associated with altered bone mineral metabolism: untreated hyperthyroidism, Cushing’s syndrome, hyperparathyroidism, chronic kidney disease; or those subjects taking medications that alter bone mineral metabolism. These include glucocorticoids, bisphosphonates, calcitonin, anticonvulsants, immunosuppressants, gonadal hormones (testosterone or estrogens) and gonadotropin-releasing hormones. Female subjects were excluded to avoid perimenopausal and postmenopausal effects on bone turnover within that age group. We measured changes in bone mineral density (BMD) and bone turnover markers in subjects who had just begun taking Pioglitazone, and in a control group of diabetic subjects not receiving Pioglitazone, at baseline and at follow up at 6 and 12 months later. The study involved three visits: one at baseline, then one each at 6 and 12 months after the initial visit. The primary outcome measure was to determine changes in BMD and the secondary end points included changes in bone turnover markers (BTM). BTM includes osteocalcin and bone specific alkaline phosphatase as markers of osteoblast activity, and serum C-telopeptide (CTx) and urinary N-telopeptide as markers of osteoclast activity.

2.1. BMD Measurements

BMD was measured using dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), using Lunar Prodigy at the AP and lateral lumbar spine (L1-4), at the proximal femur (total hip and femoral neck), and at 33% radius. DXA quality assurance measurements were performed to ensure scanner reliability. The precision of DXA scans is 1% - 1.8%. Body composition analysis, including the percentage of body fat and total BMD, was measured at the time of BMD measurement.

2.1.1. Laboratory Measurements

Bone specific alkaline phosphatase (BAP) assays were carried out using an EI kit from Immunodiagnostics Systems Inc. (Ostase® assay) with a sensitivity of <1 µg/L and a specificity of 100%, and intra- and interassay variability of <6%. Assays for 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and 25-hydroxyvitamin D were carried out using enzyme immunoassay (EI) kits from Immunodiagnostics Systems Inc., with sensitivities of 2.5 pg/mL and 5 nmol/L, respectively. Both the assays have a specificity of 100%. The intra- and interassay variability of the 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D and 25-Hydroxyvitamin D assays were 6% - 7% and <7%, respectively. Osteocalcin was measured using an Osteocalcin (Intact) ELISA from Alpco with a sensitivity of 0.4 ng/mL and an intra- and interassay variability of 5% - 7%.

2.1.2. Statistical Analysis

Statistics were performed in Microsoft Excel 2007. All data are presented as means ± S.D. Pretreatment differences between patients with diabetes in the pioglitazone and non-Pioglitazone groups were tested using unpaired t tests. The effects of Pioglitazone and placebo treatment were evaluated by performing paired t tests. A p value of <0.05 was considered significant.

3. RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the cohort for both control and Pioglitazone subgroups are shown in Table 1. The demographic and clinical characteristics, including age, BMI, duration of DM, glycemic control and blood pressure, were similar among both groups at study entry. Parathyroid hormone levels and 25 (OH) Vitamin D and 1, 25 (OH) Vitamin D levels were in the normal range both at baseline and at follow up.

3.1. BMD and Bone Metabolic Parameters

The BMD data at baseline in both groups is shown in Table 2. Changes in BMD at 6months follow up (represented as annualized % change) is shown in Figure 1. As the study is terminated before completion only limited subjects had follow up at 12 months. There was continued decrease in BMD at 12 months.

3.1.1. Change in BMD at Lumbar Spine

There were no significant changes in BMD in either
Table 1. Shows the baseline clinical parameters in both groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pioglitazone</th>
<th>No Pioglitazone</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>49 ± 6</td>
<td>49 ± 3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>33.6 ± 5</td>
<td>35.2 ± 5</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP</td>
<td>131 ± 14</td>
<td>129 ± 17</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP</td>
<td>77 ± 9</td>
<td>78 ± 10</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HgA1C</td>
<td>7.54 ± 1.7</td>
<td>7.45 ± 2.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>155 ± 80</td>
<td>150 ± 45</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.17</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index; BP: blood pressure; NS: not significant.

Table 2. Shows the baseline BMD data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMD Site</th>
<th>Pioglitazone</th>
<th>No Pioglitazone</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP Spine</td>
<td>1.303 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.266 ± 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Neck</td>
<td>1.095 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.055 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total R Hip</td>
<td>1.156 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.117 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius 33%</td>
<td>1.002 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.017 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI: Body mass index; BMD: bone mineral density.

Figure 1. Changes in bone turnover markers in young men with Pioglitazone use compared to no Pioglitazone use. CTx: serum C-telopeptide; BSP: Bone specific alkaline phosphotase; Pio: Pioglitazone.

Figure 2. Changes in BMD at 6 months follow up in young men with Pioglitazone use compared to no Pioglitazone use. CTx: serum C-telopeptide; BSP: Bone specific alkaline phosphotase; Pio: Pioglitazone.

1. In the control subjects, there was no significant interval change.

3.2. Bone Turnover Markers

The bone turnover markers measured include bone specific alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin and carboxy-terminal collagen crosslinks (CTx) in the serum and the urinary N-telopeptide (NTx) /creatinine index. Changes in the levels of osteocalcin, bone specific alkaline phosphatase and CTx are shown in Figure 2.

4. DISCUSSION

The subjects included in this study have type 2 diabetes, but are otherwise healthy, which allowed us to assess the effects of Pioglitazone on bone metabolism independent of any confounding factors. In this study, we documented significantly decreased BMD levels during treatment with Pioglitazone in a group of young men with type 2 diabetes. So far, the randomized trials with RSG and PIO have reported on treatment for only 14 - 16 weeks.

There are some interesting features in this study. First, the study involves young men with type 2 diabetes on Pioglitazone. Second, a significant decrease was measured in BMD at distal radius. At 6 months follow up there was an average annualized percentage decrease in BMD of 3.2% at femoral neck. The study examined changes to bone health in young men with type 2 diabetes without any secondary causes for increased bone turnover. ALP and osteocalcin levels decreased significantly during treatment with Pioglitazone, suggesting decreased osteoblast activity. No significant changes were noted in 25(OH) Vitamin D or 1, 25 (OH) vitamin D levels.
4.1. BMD

In short-term studies in healthy women, bone loss at the total hip of 1.5% - 1.7% was reported over 14 - 16 weeks with the use of Pioglitazone in subjects with polycystic ovary syndrome [20] or rosiglitazone in healthy premenopausal women [22]. Schwartz et al. [21] in an observational study, reported loss attributed to TZD use in women as 1.23% per year at the lumbar spine and less than 1% at hip, with no increased bone loss observed in diabetic men. In contrast, Yaturu et al. [23] in an observational study of 160 older diabetic men (average age 68 years), reported that RSG use (N = 32) was associated with increased bone loss of 1% to 1.24% per year at the total hip, femoral neck, and the spine. The differences in the rates of bone loss reported in the literature may reflect an initial period of more rapid bone loss, followed by continued loss at a lower rate, similar to the effects observed with glucocorticoids.

4.2. Bone Markers

Our findings are in agreement with those reported in other studies [22,24] concerning the changes in bone turnover markers. In a one short-term study, bone-specific ALP levels were found to be statistically significantly lower 12 weeks after initiation of rosiglitazone treatment [24]. Gray et al. reported significantly reduced serum procollagen type I N-terminal propeptide osteocalcin levels during rosiglitazone treatment, but observed that levels of C-terminal telopeptide (S-CTX) of type I collagen remained stable [22].

In a 16-week randomized placebo-controlled trial among 30 premenopausal women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), levels of alkaline phosphatase were found to be decreased in the Pioglitazone group compared to those of the placebo group, while levels of osteocalcin and C-telopeptide of type I collagen were not [20]. Based on the markers of bone metabolism, A Diabetes Outcome Progression Trial (ADOPT) suggests that changes in bone resorption as the result of treatment with TZD may be partly responsible for the increased risk of fracture in women taking TZDs [24]. The details of the fracture rates reported in the ADOPT study, which compared treatment with rosiglitazone with that of metformin or glyburide, reveal calculated risk ratios showing that the largest increases in fracture risk were for the foot (RR = 3.3), the hand (RR = 2.6), and the proximal humerus (RR > 8). Our data, which document the decreased BMD at the wrist and hip, may explain the increased fracture rates observed in the long bones.

Postmenopausal women have less bone mass to start with, and the rate of bone remodeling is greater, resulting in increased osteoporosis-related fractures. A decrease in BMD has been noted with TZD treatment in both men and women. With regard to TZD use and fractures, the problem exists in both men and women, although the numbers are much higher in postmenopausal women than in those who have not yet gone through menopause. There is a lack of data concerning treatment recommendations for skeletal protection in patients taking TZDs.

Use of bisphosphonates, parathyroid hormone (PTH), or denosumab, the current therapies available for treatment of osteoporosis, have not been tested in relation to TZDs. Given the growing body of evidence linking increased fracture risk and bone loss with TZD use, clinical research is needed to discover treatments that might prevent TZD-induced bone loss.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, treatment of young men with type 2 diabetes with Pioglitazone was followed by significantly decreased BMD at the hip, the lumbar spine, and the distal radius. The treated group’s sera also showed altered markers of bone mineral turnover, which are surrogates for bone remodeling, implying involvement of both osteoblast and osteoclast activity. Since bone loss is a potent predictor of fracture risk, these results suggest that TZD use may be associated with a considerable burden on skeletal health. Further research is needed to identify the groups that are most susceptible to TZD-induced osteoporosis, to determine the rate of bone loss associated with long-term TZD treatment, and to identify treatments that prevent TZD-induced fracture risk.
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