Initial Analysis of Lipid Metabolomic Profile Reveals Differential Expression Features in Myeloid Malignancies
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Abstract

The purpose of this preliminary study was to determine the comparative lipid profile of blood plasma samples of healthy individuals and patients with Myeloproliferative Neoplasms. Methods: Untargeted Shotgun MS/MS Analysis was performed to evaluate plasma samples from 153 participants, being 90 of the Control Group, 43 Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPN), 11 Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS) and 9 Acute Myeloid Leukemias (AML). Lipids were extracted from plasma using the Bligh-Dyer protocol. Data were acquired using the AB-Sciex Analyst TF, processed using the AB-Sciex LipidView™ and the web-based analytical pipeline MetaboAnalyst 2.0 (www.metaboanalyst.ca). Results: Untargeted analysis identified in negative and positive-modes a total of 658 features at 2 ppm resolution. PCA and PLS-DA analysis revealed clear discrimination among groups, in particular for AML patients. Main lipid groups differentially expressed were: Monoacylglycerols (MAG), Glucocelestamide E (GlcE), Ethyl Esters (EE), Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), Sulfoquinovosil dicylglycerols (SQQD), Monoglycerols (MG), Methyl Ethanolamines (ME), Lysophosphatidylcholines (LPC), Dimethyl Phosfatidylethenolamines (DMPE), Monomethylphosphatidylethenolamines (MMPE), Ceramide-1-phosphate (CerP), Glicerophosphoglycerols (GP), Lysomonomethyl-Phosphatidylethenolamines (LMMPE), Phosphatidic Acids (PA), Ergosterols (ERG), Glycerosphoscherine (PS), Dicylglycerols (DAG), Hexoclyceramides (HexCer) and Lanosterol (Lan). ROC Curve Analysis revealed Total LMMPE as the strongest discriminating marker between Controls from Patients. In addition, these lipids were also able to differentiate MDS and AML from NPM. Conclusions: The Myeloproliferative Neoplasms from the point of view of global plasma lipidomics are accompanied by several modifications. In particular, the Lysomonomethyl-Phosphatidylethenolamines (LMMPE) seems to play important differentiating roles among them.
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1. Introduction

Myeloid Neoplasms are clonal diseases of hematopoietic stem cells or progenitor cells that can be present at bone marrow and/or peripheral blood. Genetic or epigenetic alterations lead to functional disturbance of those cells, as well as harmed capacity of self-renewal, proliferation and differentiation.

This group of diseases was classified by World Health Organization (WHO) in five main types: Acute Myeloid Leukemias (AML), Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS), Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPN), Myeloproliferative/Myelodysplastic (MPN/MDS) and Myeloid Neoplasies associated with eosinophilia and abnormalities of growth factor receivers—PDGFRα, PDGFRβ or FGFR1 genes [1]. This classification is grounded on characteristic morphology, cytochemistry, immunophenotyping, genetics and clinic. Approximately 35,000 cases of Leukemia are diagnosed in the USA each year; in other words, it represents a rate of 8.5 cases to 100,000 inhabitants and such rate has been steady within the last 30 years [2].

The lipidic metabolism in cancer, which a short time ago was predominantly studied at genetic level, has been gaining additional interest. From four types of biological molecules that form the human body, in other words nucleic acids, amino acids, carbohydrates and lipids, the latter stands out among many cellular metabolites, as different chemical entities. Although it is not well-defined, there are estimates that there are between 10,000 and 100,000 different molecular species in lipidic sphere [3]-[5]. Its functions were initially related to composition of biological membranes and storage of energy, but recently, those molecules have been analyzed under the aspect of different functions and regulatory and signaling effect [6].

The widest study of lipidic molecules, aiming at its global characterization and interaction with proteins and signalization ways, including genetic regulation and its functions related to homeostasis, was defined as lipidomic and shows up as an emerging field in studying different pathologies [6]. Metabolites of sphingolipids have been referenced as important survival modulators cellular growth, migration and angiogenesis, in addition to an important participation in cancer progression [7].

Throughout the last two decades, the mass spectrometry (MS) has emerged as the main method used in lipidomics analysis, which enables the structural characterization and quantification of complex lipids and its metabolites [8]. The analysis by such platform consists in generating ions grounded in compounds (either organic or inorganic ones) through appropriate ionization method. Subsequently, the ions are separated through their relationship mass-charge (m/z), in an analyzer of masses and qualitatively and/or quantitatively detected through a detector that “counts” ions.

The ionization type most often used is mass spectrometry through electrospray ionization (ESI), which has been one of most popular and powerful technologies for quantitative analysis of lipidic species. Nowadays, two main analytical approaches from ESI have been applied: Liquid chromatography coupled to spectrometer of masses (LC-MS) and direct infusion [9]. The analysis through MS as direct infusion of solution containing analytes of interest has been called “shotgun lipidomics” and was the technique used in this work.

The blood plasma can capture proteins and metabolites released from all organs and tissues, both healthy and sick ones [10] [11]. Having in view the potential of lipidomic technological platform and publications of a number of researchers in some pathologies, we consider the innovative use of such approach to study possible modifications in plasmatic metabolomic lipid profile in myeloid neoplasms, with the purpose of identifying potential biomarkers and understanding of participation of lipids in biological phenomena resulting from this condition.

2. Material and Method

2.1. Study Drawing and Samples Obtainment

It was conducted a lipidic profile study in samples of patients being followed on ambulatory of Hematology of UNIFESP-EPM and admitted into ward of Sao Paulo Hospital, including both those who already have a defined
diagnosis and those that were admitted throughout the study. It was not considered the diagnosis or therapeutic moment, only the presence of disease. The samples were obtained by vein puncture and it was collected approximately 5 mL of peripheral blood in a pipe with ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulant.

The control group was constituted by volunteer donors of blood bank of Sao Paulo Hospital, elderly people of group of elders of ambulatory of Geriatrics subject of UNIFESP-EPM and elderly people from Cohabitation Nucleus of Elderly People (NCI) of Vila Mariana.

It was analyzed 153 samples, being 90 of Control group, 43 Myeloproliferative Neoplasms (MPN), 11 Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS) and 9 Myeloid Leukemias (AML). The samples were obtained from a total of 90 volunteer without history of neoplasia (46 women and 44 men with average age of 54.2 years old, range of 30 - 89 years); 43 samples of patients with Chronic Myeloproliferative Neoplasms—16 Polycythemia Vera (PV), 10 Essential Thrombocythemia (ET) and 17 Myelofibrosis (MF) (20 women and 23 men with age average of 66.1 years old, range of 31 - 90 years); 11 samples of patients with Myelodysplastic Syndromes (8 women and 3 men with average age of 73.9 years, range of 39 - 93 years) and 9 samples of patients with Acute Myeloid Leukemia (4 women and 5 men with average age of 54.7 years, range of 31 - 79 years). It was included in the study patients with diagnosis of AML, both primary and secondary ones, MPN and MDS, classified according to WHO criteria and to which it was possible to obtain enough samples for the study. It was excluded patients who did not agree with signing the free and grounded consent document, those on which it was not possible, for any reason, to make the collection of sample for analysis or who did not fit into WHO classification as AML, both primary and secondary ones, MPN and MDS.

2.2. Patients

The work was approved by Research Ethics Committee of Federal University of Sao Paulo, under protocol 66344 (CAAE 05109812.0.0000.5505) and all procedures were carried out after signature of Free and Grounded Consent Document by control patients and individuals.

2.3. Preparation of Sample to Analyze Lipids

After collecting the total blood in EDTA pipe, the samples were immediately centrifuged to 2590 rpm (800 g), for 10 minutes. The blood plasma was separated in micro pipe Eppendorf of 2 mL and frozen at −80°C for subsequent extraction of lipids. The Phosphocholine Standard lipid D-9 was diluted in a concentration of 5 μg/mL from a store solution of concentration 5 mg/mL.

2.4. Lipids Extraction Procedure

Each sample was submitted to protocol of lipids extraction of Bligh-Dyer type (Bligh and Dyer, 1959). It was added 100 μL of plasma sample in an Eppendorf micro pipe immediately after defrosting. After that, it was added 250 μL of chloroform, 500 μL of methanol and 100 μL of milli-Q water. It was added to each sample 0, 1 μL of Phosphocholine Standard D-9. Then, the mix was agitated for 30 seconds, using a vortex enabling more contact of lipids of samples with solvents. After agitation, it was added to the pipe 250 μL of chloroform and 200 μL of milli-Q water. Subsequently, the mix was centrifuged on 1000 rpm, for 5 minutes, at room temperature. The phase containing lipids (apolar phase) was slowly separated in another micro pipe, using a Pasteur pipette of 200 μL to evaporate the chloroform, in room temperature, for subsequent storage at −80°C. To analyze mass spectrometry of ESI, it was added to each pipe of 400 μL a solution of chloroform/methanol 1:1.

2.5. Analysis by Masses Spectrometry of Electrospray Type

In such study, the analysis of lipidomics were made in a hybrid masses spectrometer quadrupole/tempo-de-vôo Triple TOF® 5600 (AB SCIEX, Concord, Canada) of high resolution and mass accuracy. The compounds were analyzed with ionization by electrospray in positive and negative modes, employing ionization source Duo-Spray®.

The ionization source DuoSpray was used with desolvation temperature of 250°C and ionization voltage of 5 kV and −4, 5 kV, for positive and negative modes, respectively. Ultra pure air was used as nebulizing gas (GS1) and drying gas (GS2), both with pressure of 20 psi. Nitrogen was used as a desolvation curtain gas on pressure of 15 psi and as collision gas in collision cell (Q2).
The analysis were made in mode scanning mode MS with dependent acquisition (IDA) of MS/MS scanning. The experiments of MS scanning (TOFMS) were conducted in interval from \( m/z \) 200 to 1200 with signal accumulation time of 250 ms. The experiments depending on product ions scanning (MS/MS) were set for 20 most abundant signals in MS spectrums, with scanning from \( m/z \) 100 to 1500 and signal accumulation time of 30 ms. It was employed an exclusion time of precursor ions of 42.5 ms for dependent acquisition experiments. The masses spectrometer was calibrated for a commercial mix of known compounds in order to keep the error of masses equal or inferior to 2 ppm.

The samples were analyzed by flow injection (FIA), under flow of mobile phase of 50 \( \mu \text{L/min} \) and total time of run of 3.5 minutes. The mobile phase was constituted of methanol/water (95/05, v/v) with 5 mmol•min\(^{-1}\) of ammonium acetate. A final volume of 40 \( \mu \text{L} \) of sample was injected in both ionization methods (positive and negative one). The isopropanol injections (50 \( \mu \text{L} \)) were interpolated with samples to clean the injection system and piping of HPLC. The acquisition and search of lipids data were carried out with programs Analyst TF (version 1.5.2) and LipidView (version 1.2).

3. Outcomes

3.1. Data Processing

From the acquisition of the spectra profiles presented by each group in the analysis by ESI-MS, differentiated by the relative intensities of the ions, started the statistical analysis. Data processing was performed in programs MetaboAnalyst 2.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca) [12] and ROCET: ROC Curve Explorer & Tester (http://www.roccet.ca/ROCCET/). MetaboAnalyst is a web-based metabolomic data processing tool which it accepts a variety of input data (NMR peak lists, binned spectra, MS peak lists, compound/concentration data) in a wide variety of formats. It also offers a number of options for metabolomic data processing, data normalization, multivariate statistical analysis, graphing, metabolite identification and pathway mapping. This software supports such techniques as: fold change analysis, t-tests, PCA, PLS-DA, hierarchical clustering and a number of more sophisticated statistical or machine learning methods. To evaluate the statistical significance of each model, the ROC calculations included: Monte-Carlo 100-fold Cross Validation (MCCV), Bootstrap 95% confidence intervals for the Desired model specificity, Accuracy and false discovery rates (FDR) and 1000-fold permutation tests.

3.2. Untargeted Analysis

Untargeted analysis identified in negative and positive-modes a total of 658 features at 2 ppm resolution. In order to differential circulating lipids, a parameter VIP (Variable Importance in the Projection) was utilized to show the variable importance in the discriminant analysis. PCA and PLS-DA analysis (Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b)) revealed clear discrimination among groups, in particular for AML patients. Main lipid groups differentially expressed were: Monoacylglycerols (MAG), Glucosylceramide E (GlcDE), Ethyl Esters (EE), Lysoosphatidic acid (LPA), Sulfosucinovyl diacylglycerols (SQDG), Monoglycerols (MG), Methyl Ethanolamines (ME), Lysophosphatidylcholines (LPC), Dimethyl Phosphatidylethanolamines (DMPE), Monomethylphosphatidylethanolamines (MMPE), Ceramide-1-phosphate (CerP), Glicerophosphoglycerols (GP), Lysosomonomethyl-Phosphatidylethanolamines (LMMPE), Phosphatidic Acids (PA), Ergosterols (ERG), Glycerophosphoserine (PS), Diacylglycerols (DAG), Hexocylceramides (HexCer) and Lanosterol (Lan). Analyzing each of the major lipid groups by ANOVA and PostHoc, found possible to find differences in expression in the studied phenotypes (Table 1). P-value, \(-\log_{10}(p)\), and FDR are addiction statistics confirming results.

The Myeloproliferative Neoplasms the point of view of global plasma Lipidomic analyzes are accompanied by the some changes on lipid Glucosylceramide E groups (GlcDE) (pValue = 6.1194E\(^{-6}\) - \log_{10}(p) = 5.2133 FDR = 6.4754E\(^{-5}\) (Figure 2), Lysophosphatidic Acid (LPA) (pValue = 9.4861E9 - \log_{10}(p) = 8.0229 FDR = 4.1739E\(^{-7}\)) (Figure 3), Sulfosucinovyl Diacylglycerol (SQDG) (pValue = 4.2158E\(^{-5}\) - \log_{10}(p) = 4.3751 FDR = 2.7824E\(^{-4}\)) (Figure 4), Ethyl Ester (EE) (pValue = 4.5211E\(^{-9}\) - \log_{10}(p) = 8.3448 FDR = 2.9839E\(^{-7}\)) (Figure 5) and Monoacylglycerol (MAG) (pValue = 1.1907E\(^{-8}\) - \log_{10}(p) = 7.9242 FDR = 1.8009E\(^{-7}\)) (Figure 6) (ANOVA and Post-Hoc) witch present different expressions showing greater concentration in MDS and AML groups and lower for the MPN and control groups. This fact brings us the curious and initial impression that these groups could be related to chronic and acute character of the studied phenotypes.
Figure 1. PCA and PLS-DA analysis. (a) show an “unsupervised” kind the variance between groups, considering the components 1 and 2, cluster individuals without regard to the division of the groups; (b) show the scores of the samples individually, considering the groups.

Table 1. Features identified by one-way ANOVA and post-hoc analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECTRA BINS</th>
<th>P-VALUE</th>
<th>-LOG10(p)</th>
<th>FDR</th>
<th>FISCHER’S LSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total LPA</td>
<td>1.8311E−11</td>
<td>10.737</td>
<td>4.7608E−10</td>
<td>MDS &gt; C; AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MAG/Total DAG</td>
<td>6.5597E−11</td>
<td>10.183</td>
<td>8.5276E−10</td>
<td>MDS &gt; C; AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total EE</td>
<td>2.1338E−10</td>
<td>9.6708</td>
<td>1.8493E−9</td>
<td>AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; NPM; AML &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MAG</td>
<td>4.9119E−9</td>
<td>8.3088</td>
<td>3.1927E−8</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN; MDS &gt; C; AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LPA/Total PA</td>
<td>3.8537E−8</td>
<td>7.4141</td>
<td>2.0039E−7</td>
<td>MDS &gt; C; AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; MDS; AML &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total GlcDE</td>
<td>7.7401E−8</td>
<td>7.1113</td>
<td>3.354E−7</td>
<td>MPN &gt; C; MDS &gt; C; AML &gt; C; MDS &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lan</td>
<td>1.5867E−7</td>
<td>6.7995</td>
<td>5.8934E−7</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN; C &gt; MDS; C &gt; AML; MPN &gt; MDS; MPN &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total HexCer</td>
<td>9.299E−7</td>
<td>6.0316</td>
<td>3.0222E−6</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN; C &gt; MPN; C &gt; AML; MPN &gt; MDS; MPN &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Lipids</td>
<td>7.0977E−6</td>
<td>5.1489</td>
<td>2.0505E−5</td>
<td>C &gt; MDS; C &gt; AML; MPN &gt; MDS; MPN &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SQDG</td>
<td>5.5002E−5</td>
<td>4.2596</td>
<td>1.4301E−4</td>
<td>AML &gt; C; AML &gt; MPN; AML &gt; MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LMMPE</td>
<td>2.1591E−4</td>
<td>3.6657</td>
<td>5.1033E−4</td>
<td>MPN &gt; C; C &gt; MDS; C &gt; AML; MPN &gt; MDS; MPN &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Erg</td>
<td>3.7084E−4</td>
<td>3.4308</td>
<td>8.0349E−4</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN; C - MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ME</td>
<td>5.5246E−4</td>
<td>3.2577</td>
<td>0.0011049</td>
<td>MPN &gt; C; MDS &gt; C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG 28:2</td>
<td>0.003713</td>
<td>2.4303</td>
<td>0.0068956</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total DAG</td>
<td>0.0052228</td>
<td>2.2821</td>
<td>0.0090529</td>
<td>C &gt; MPN; C &gt; MDS; C &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PA</td>
<td>0.013743</td>
<td>1.8619</td>
<td>0.022332</td>
<td>C - MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 36:5</td>
<td>0.01547</td>
<td>1.8105</td>
<td>0.023659</td>
<td>C &gt; MDS; C &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CerP</td>
<td>0.017974</td>
<td>1.7454</td>
<td>0.025963</td>
<td>MPN &gt; C; MPN &gt; MDS; MPN &gt; AML</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Total GlecE is detected at different concentrations them control groups, MPN, MDS and AML. pValue = 6.1194E − log10(p) = 5.2133; FDR = 6.4754E−5; MPN—cont; MDS—cont; AML—cont (ANOVA and Post-Hoc). C = Controls (n = 90); MPN = Myeloproliferative neoplasms (n = 43); MDS = Myelodysplastic syndromes (n = 11); AML = Acute myeloid leukemia (n = 9).

Figure 3. Total SQDG is detected at different concentrations them control groups, MPN, MDS and AML. pValue = 4.2158E−5 − log10(p) = 4.3751; FDR = 2.7824E−4; AML—cont; AML—MPN; AML—MDS (ANOVA and Post-Hoc). C = Controls (n = 90); MPN = Myeloproliferative neoplasms (n = 43); MDS = Myelodysplastic syndromes (n = 11); AML = Acute myeloid leukemia (n = 9).
Figure 4. Total LPA is detected at concentrations them different control groups, MPN, MDS and AML. pValue = \(9.4861 \times 10^{-9} - \log_{10}(p) = 8.0229; \) FDR = \(4.1739 \times 10^{-7}; \) MDS—cont; AM—cont; MDS—MPN; AML—MPN (ANOVA and Post-Hoc). C = Controls (n = 90); MPN = Myeloproliferative neoplasms (n = 43); MDS = Myelodysplastic syndromes (n = 11); AML = Acute myeloid leukemia (n = 9).

Figure 5. Total EE is detected at different concentrations in the control groups, MPN, MDS and AML. pValue = \(4.5211 \times 10^{-9} - \log_{10}(p) = 8.3448; \) FDR = \(2.9839 \times 10^{-7}; \) AML—Cont; AML—MPN; AML—MDS (ANOVA and Post-Hoc). C = Controls (n = 90); MPN = Myeloproliferative neoplasms (n = 43); MDS = Myelodysplastic syndromes (n = 11); AML = Acute myeloid leukemia (n = 9).
3.3. Identification of Potential Biomarkers

ROC Curve Analysis revealed Total LMMPE as the strongest discriminating marker between Controls from Patients with MDS or AML (Sensitivity = 0.95 (0.824 - 1); Specificity = 0.8941 (0.847 - 0.953); Positive Likelihood Ratio = 8.972 and Negative Likelihood Ratio = 0.05592 and T Test = 7.576E−12 (Figure 7(a)). In addition, these lipids were also able to differentiate MDS and AML from MPN (Sensitivity = 0.9118 (0.824 - 1), Specificity = 0.95 (0.85 - 1), Positive Likelihood Ratio = 18.2 and Negative Likelihood Ratio = 0.05592) (Figure 7(b)).

4. Discussion

Up to the moment, it was not found studies of Lipidomics and/or Metabolomics using blood plasma of Myeloid Neoplasms. Thus, there was the innovative idea of assessing metabolomic lipidic profile using Mass Spectrometry for those types of Neoplasms.

Among lipidic classes belonging to lipdomics, it was analysed metabolites belonging to lipids categories constituting biologic membrane, namely, Glycerophospholipids, Sphingolipids and Sterols.

Glycerophospholipids are omnipresent in the nature. They are the majority in composition of cell membranes and act as a construction structure for extra and intracellular proteins. They are involved in cell proliferation and apoptosis. In favor of Lipidomic research worldwide, Fahy and collaborators standardized the nomenclature of glycerophospholipids class as phospholipid in last update in 2009.

Glycerophospholipids can be produced from a phosphatidic acid or a diacyl-glycerol. Such category can be sub divided in different classes, as a result of nature of main polar link group. Examples of glycerophospholipids found in biological membranes are phosphatidylcholines (PC), phosphatidylethanolamines (PE), phosphatidylinositol (PI) and phosphatidylserine (PS) (LIPID MAPS). We have found the phospholipids in this work: MAG, GlcE, LPA, SQDG, ME, MG, LPC, DMPE, MMPE, GP, LMMPE, PA, PC, ERG, PS, DAG.

The sphingolipids are a complex family of compounds that share a common structural characteristic, a structure of esfingoid basis, which is synthesized again from serine, and a fatty acid of long chain of acyl-CoA, subsequently converted into ceramides, phosphosphingolipids, glycosphingolipids, glycosphingolipids and other
species, including proteins. The main basis of sphingoid of mammals is commonly referred to as sphingosine. The latter are found in a number of compounds, including liso sphingolipids (LIPID MAPS).

The ceramides, considered the simplest lipid among the sphingolipids consist of an esfingoid basis and a fatty acid connected to C-2 via N-acylation. They usually contain fatty acids, having variation in length of chain and degree of hydroxylation, although monounsaturated fatty acids, particularly with a very long chain, are also
found among sphingolipids [13]. The main phosphosphingolipids of mammals are sphingomyelins. The deregulated metabolism of sphingolipids became a common point among human cancers. Those lipids are part of an essential class for cellular structural integrity and perform a function of regulating fluidity of lipidic bilayer. The sphingolipids metabolites also act as messengers of bioactive lipids and contribute in intracellular signalization through activation or inhibition of receptors, kinases and phosphatase proteins and transporters of ions. They are known for influencing cellular functions, such as growth, differentiation and apoptosis [14]. We found at this work the metabolites GCer E and CerP, belonging to sphingolipids category.

The Metabolomics provides a dynamic portrait of metabolic condition of an organism, in other words, it accessed the final product of genetic expression and influences of a certain physical-pathological condition [15]. The studies in this field can be divided according to two main objectives: those where the interest is understanding biological processes from lipidic profiles found in health and disease and those that have as target the development of biomarkers [12].

5. Conclusions
Using plasma to identify new biomarkers is relevant, as it is more accessible and its obtainment is less intrusive, compared with other tissues. Its composition seems to be altered in any disturbance of homeostasis of blood cells.

It was observed in this work a prospective biomarker discriminating of studied phenotypes. Although we have used an undirected analysis—“untargeted”—we considered the results as promising. Future studies starting from directional analysis can complement those findings and assess better LMMPE performance.
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