Alterations in Retinoic Acid Receptors in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and Their Clinical Implications
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Abstract

The nuclear retinoic acid receptor may play a critical role in the process of lung carcinogenesis. Alteration or loss of nuclear retinoic acid receptors (RARs) has been associated with progression in premalignant and malignant tissues and it is associated with malignant transformation in human cells. Vitamin A derivates, such as retinoic acid, have emerged as adjuvant to therapy in several types of cancer with favorable effects. Retinoic acid regulates the expression of target genes through the binding and activation of RARs, inhibiting growth proliferation. Diverse studies have evaluated different retinoids alone or in combination with chemotherapy in lung cancer, from which results have been controversial with benefits observed only in the subpopulation with high levels of triglycerides. Additionally, several large randomized trials using retinoids to prevent tobacco-related cancer have failed; due to the latter the use of retinoids in clinical trials remains controversial. However they could reduce the risk of cancer development in non-smokers. There is evidence that retinoids have different effects on lung cancer; still the identification of biomarkers could determinate their benefits as preventive or therapy agents. This review describes the RAR alterations during the development of Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer and sets out the importance of several cancer treatments with retinoid compounds.
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1. Retinoids

The retinoids are a wide group of compounds, derived from Vitamin A, which are required for maintaining many essential physiological processes, such as: embryonic development, cell growth, differentiation and apoptosis [1] [2]. The metabolism of retinoids is complex and involves several natural derivatives and metabolized products of retinoids, such as: β-carotene, retinol, retinal, isotretinoin, all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), 9-cis retinoic acid (9-cis-RA), and 13-cis retinoic acid (13-cis-RA), which act as ligands for multiple nuclear hormone receptors [2] [3]. Retinoic acid (RA) is the most biologically active isoform; it activates several members of the nuclear receptor family, including the classic retinoic acid receptors (RARs) [4] as well as the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor β/δ (PPARβ/δ) [5] [6]. Upon activation, these receptors modulate the transcription of numerous target genes, either by inducing or repressing them [7] [8]. In addition RA treatment is able to induce RAR β expression in the peripheral nervous system [9] [10].

2. Nuclear Retinoic Acid Receptors: Retinoic Acid Receptors (RARs) and Retinoid X Receptors (RXRs)

The functions of RA signaling are mediated by two different classes of receptors, the Retinoic Acid Receptors (RARs) and the Retinoid X Receptors (RXRs), each class with three different subtypes (α, β and γ). The RARs and RXRs exhibit differential trans-activation activity when evaluated in several, synthetic or natural, retinoic acid-responsive genes; the latter depending on the nature of the retinoic acid response elements (RARE) and the promoter context [11]. While RARs can be activated by ATRA and 9-cis-RA, RXRs are exclusively activated by 13-cis-RA and bexarotene; however, ATRA can presumably activate RXRs through its isomerization to 9-cis-RA, which is known to occur in living cells [12] [13]. Under physiological conditions, the function of both RARs and RXRs in the regulation of endogenous gene expression is through heterodimer binding. The heterodimers bind to specific response elements (e.g. RAREs and RXREs) in genes promoter regions via their specific DNA-binding domains [12]. Moreover, RXRs can form homo- and heterodimers with other receptors, i.e., peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ), fatty acids receptors, bile acids, oxysterols, xenobiotics, vitamin D and retinoic acid; the latter plays an important role in antiproliferative, antiangiogenic, and pro-differentiation pathways in several types of tissues, making it a highly useful target for carcinogenesis down-regulation [14].

The RARs and RXRs are comprised of three different types of receptors (α, β, γ), and for each there are several isoforms. The RAR-α and RAR-γ have two major isoforms (α1, α2 and γ1, γ2, respectively), while RAR-β has five isoforms (β1-4 and β1’). Every isoform is transcribed from either the P1 promoter (class I: RARα1, β1, β3 and γ1) or the P2 promoter (class II: RARα2, β2, β4 and γ2); the latter composed of a retinoic acid response element and inducible by RA [2] [15]. Meanwhile the RXR shave three isoforms (α, β and γ), with each subtype separated in two isoforms (α1, α2, β1, β2, γ1 and γ2) with different amino-terminal regions. The RXRs work as a transcription factor, binding to specific six-base-pair sequences of DNA in the promoter regions of genes.

The human RARs are encoded by separate genes with well-characterized chromosomal location, as follows: 17q21.1 (RAR α), 3p24 (RAR β) and 12q13 (RARγ) [16]. Figure 1 shows a representative scheme of the amino acid sequences dividing the RARs into six regions (A-F) based on homology among themselves and other nuclear receptor superfamily members (reviewed in [16]). The C region has a highly conserved sequence of 66 amino acids that contains two zinc fingers which correspond to a DNA binding domain (Figure 1, DNA-BD) responsible for specific response element recognition. The E region (Figure 1, ligand BD) is highly conserved too, and it functions as a ligand-binding domain; this function is complex, including a ligand-dependent trans-activation function as well as a dimerization interface. The amino-terminal region of these molecules (Figure 1, A) is type- and isoform-specific, the B region is moderately conserved in the three types of the RARs. Both the A and B regions contain ligand-independent trans-activation functions. Currently the specific functions of regions D and F are unknown, although they are well conserved through several species. The central part of the RAR D region and its amino-terminal could work as nuclear localization signals due to their high number of basic amino acids [16] [17].

Regarding the RXRs, their sequence is divided into several regions, which possess the same inter-type domain conservation as RARs [16] [17]. The RXRs are encoded by three distinct genes located on the human chromosomes 9 (RXRA, also known as NR2B1), 6 (RXRB, NR2B2), 1 (RXRG, NR2B3), and the mouse chromosomes 2, 17 and 1 [18] [19]. The human RXRa gene has 10 conserved exons but not a typical TATA transcription
initiation site [20]. Its promoter sequence is considered a housekeeping gene because it is particularly rich in G + C content in its 5'-flanking region and in the 5' portion of the intron 2, containing CpG islands [19] [20]. The human RXRβ gene has as well the same exons and promoting regions as RXRa [21].

The differences between the response profiles for each of the RARs have been used to evaluate their participation in multiple cellular processes, with an attempt to correlate with new therapeutic applications.

3. Expression of the RARs and RXRs in Lung Development

Mammalian lung differentiation is regulated by the expression of RARs and RXRs during progressive stages throughout the embryonic and postnatal life. These receptors are expressed in spatially-and temporally restricted patterns and have different ways of regulation during the development of the lung.

In early stages of lung development, RAR-α is associated with instructing epithelial cell differentiation and drives the structural changes during the transition from the glandular to the canalicular stage in the lung. As regards of murine alveologenesis, a morphometric study of the formation of the RARα−/− in mice found no difference between control and knockout animals at the end of the alveolar septation, suggesting that RAR-α has no effect on septation but a role on non-septation alveologenesis [22]. The RAR-α was expressed in all cells for fetal and adult lungs, with a different pattern of expression. Whereas in the fetal lung the RAR-α is low, in the adult lung both, RARα and RXRa, show a high immunoreactivity [23]. Correspondingly, when there is a disruption between the RAR-α with the RXR-α, there is an associated fetal lung hypoplasia [24]. In the same way, during murine alveogenesis, the use of a specific RAR-β agonist, causes the RAR-β to act as a negative regulator of septation. The analysis of a different RAR-β mutation showed different results with a reduction in the gas exchanging surface area per lung volume [25] [26]. The RAR-β expression is significantly increased in the terminals accular stage, with induction of epithelial cell types I and II [27]-[29].

Analyses of the RAR double mutants, such as RAR α and β, show them as critical receptors for the development of lung abnormalities. Alteration of RAR α and β double null mice includes manifestations such as: unilateral lung agenesis and unilateral or bilateral lung hypoplasia [25]. Intriguingly, single knockout RAR mice show no abnormal lung phenotype [26]. Genetic analysis of the functions of the various RARs and RXRs in the fetal lung of the mouse have been reported for singles and mutants. RXR-α/RAR-α and RAR-α/-β double knockout mice have been shown to have hypoplasia of the lung and to lack the esophagotracheal septum [24] [25]. Mice with a single RAR-β knockout were found to have normal lungs [27] [28], but the inhibitory effects of RA on distal bud formation in the lungs were markedly diminished in these RAR-β null mouse during the
pseudoglandular phase of development [29]. Therefore, retinoid receptors are believed to be one of the pivotal factors determining the process of branching morphogenesis in the mouse lung. Additionally, the distributions of RAR-α, -β and -γ and RXR-α and -β have been demonstrated during the pseudoglandular phase in the fetal lung of the mouse by mRNA in situ hybridization [30] [31].

The RAR-γ is expressed in the murine lung during embryogenesis, primarily in late gestation and appears in the distal lung mesenchymal cells [32]. The RAR-γ null mutant mouse fails to correctly form alveoli, while the RAR-γ gene deletion also results in a decrease in whole lung elastic tissue and alveolar number, with an increase in mean alveoli cord length [33]. The RAR-γ knockout mice had malformed cartilages in the trachea and bronchi [32] [33]. The additional deletion of the RXR-α allele results in a decrease in alveolar surface area and alveolar number, and an increase in alveoli length. These data indicate that the RAR-γ is required for the formation of normal alveoli and alveolar elastic fibers in the mouse, and that RAR/RXR heterodimers are involved in alveolar morphogenesis. In early onset stage of alveolar air-space enlargement, mice with RAR-γ −/− develop defects in alveolar formation, rather than excessive elastic fiber destruction [33]. Analysis of the RAR-γ −/−/RXR-α +/− compound null mutants has revealed defects in alveologenesis, suggesting that either RAR-γ2 may function as a positive regulator of alveologenesis or RAR-β2/RAR-β4 may function as negative regulators of alveologenesis [32].

Although the previously mentioned studies implicate these receptors in lung morphogenesis, they do not define a specific role for individual RARs in this process. However, the deregulation in expression of the RARs and RXRs is a risk factor for the induction of cancer development, moreover the mechanisms that are linked to the expression or repression of this receptors remains under investigation.

4. Genetic Alterations of RAR and RXR Expression in Lung Cancer

Multiple impaired expression of the RARs and RXRs can occur through genetic events such as epigenetic-changes, homozygous deletion, intragenic mutation, rearrangement of chromosomal material and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) followed by a second hit, which plays a major role in cancer initiation and progression [35].

Epigenetic inactivation by aberrant methylation of CpG islands is a mechanism for transcriptional silencing. Multiple studies have demonstrated that this phenomenon contributes to the loss of the RARs and RXRs expression leading to the development of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) [36]. A study results showed that methylation of the RXR-γ gene was detected in 23.7% of the tumors, whereas methylation of the RAR-α and RXR-β genes was rarely detected (5.7% and 4.3%, respectively). RXR-γ methylation was significantly more frequent in stage I tumors than in stage II-IV tumors, and it was associated with worse survival rates in never-smokers [37].

The RAR-β2 promoter contains a CpG island in the 5’-untranslated region that is frequently methylated in cancer cells. Hypermethylation of RAR-β2 can occur in tumor-adjacent or precancerous tissues provide strong evidence that RAR-β2 silencing is an early event [38]. Observations have shown that the decrease of RAR-β2 is more often due to the methylation affecting the RAR-β2 P2 promoter of one or more RAR-β alleles [39] [40]. Methylation of RAR-β gene rate of NSCLC is slightly higher in stages III + IV (80.0%) than in I + II (70.8%) [41]. Moreover, RAR-β2 CpG island has been found in approximately 40% of NSCLC, and similar results were obtained for the methylation RAR-β gene between squamous cell carcinoma and other cell type lung cancer [42]. Also Kim et al. reported a protective effect of RAR-β2 hypermethylation on the development of second primary lung cancers in smokers with NSCLC [43]. The frequency of the RAR-β gene methylation was gradually increased with the clinical stage development in smoking lung cancer patients. The RAR-β gene hypermethylation is associated as well with poor recurrence-free survival in never-smokers with adenocarcinoma [44]-[46].

The LOH has been identified as the initial event in lung carcinogenesis, and it is recognized in multiple
chromosomal regions [35] [47] [48]. Frequently the 3p21 region contains a distinct locus that is sensitive to loss from the action of tobacco smoke carcinogens and has been reported as a biomarker in the genetic classification of pathological stages of NSCLC [48]-[50]. The RAR-β is localized in the 3p21 region and the frequency of LOH reaches nearly 42% [35] [36]. A study from Picard et al. found that 41% of the patients with NSCLC show a LOH; interestingly differences were not found between adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma (SCC); in which about 80% of both, adenocarcinoma and SCC with LOH, showed a weak expression or absence of the RAR-β protein and mRNA [23].

5. Expression of the RARs and RXRs in Lung Cancer

Every retinoid receptors are regulated in different tissues. However, in several types of human cancers both RARs and RXRs are down-regulated. Some in vitro studies in NSCLC have demonstrated that sustained expression of RARs and RXRs are related to the formation of preneoplastic bronchial lesions; associated with a loss in RAR-β expression, and to a lesser extent by RAR-γ and RXR-β in tumors [23].

Tissue of patients with stage I-IIA NSCLC showed a lack of the RARs and RXRs expression, which appeared associated with a worse prognosis, especially when two or more isoforms were altered or lost [51]. In contrast, other studies showed that a high expression of the RARs in tissues of patients with early stage of NSCLC led to poor prognosis due to decreased survival [52]. In other study, the RARs and RXRs expression were analyzed in tumor specimens from NSCLC patients, the results indicated that RAR-β expression was absent in 58% of the samples, while RAR-α, RXR-α and RXR-γ expression were very similar between tumor specimens and normal tissue [53].

The RAR-β has been one of the most studied RA receptor in multiple lung cancer cell lines; this receptor is suppressed or down-regulated and suggesting that the lack of expression of the RAR-β is associated with lung cancer development. The lack of expression of the RAR-β is initially observed during the development of pre-malignant lesions and suggests that this decrease is a major defect present during lung carcinogenesis [53]. The latter hypotheses is supported by a prior study where the RAR-β expression vector was transfected in vitro into a cell line derived from an epidermoid lung tumor; post-transfection of these cells demonstrated a decreased tumorigenicity in nude mice [54]. In 39 patients with stage I NSCLC who underwent a curative lung resection, the cancer cells and adjacent normal-appearing bronchial epithelium showed no prognostic implication when the expression of the RAR-β was studied. However, of expression of the RAR-β appeared to be more common in adenocarcinoma samples rather than in SCC [55].

In a retrospective study, 185 NSCLC tissue specimens were analyzed and it was possible to associate the RAR-β expression in cancer cells with a poor overall survival rate and a trend towards a worse disease-free survival rate. On this study, patients with strongly positive receptor expression had the worst overall survival while patients with negative RAR-β expression demonstrated the best overall survival. Notwithstanding, independently of the RAR-β expression, second primary tumor development differences in the percentage were not found [52]. This study appears to contradict prior findings that indicated that the RAR-β was a potential carcinogenesis indicator. It is possible; that the different isoforms of the RARs could act in opposite ways to either promote or hinder cancer development.

6. Retinoids as Therapeutic Agents in Cancer (Except NSCLC)

Historically, natural retinoids have been used as potential treatments; either as chemotherapeutic or chemopreventive agents, due to their role in differentiation, proliferation and/or apoptosis of cancer cells. Nowadays, in an attempt to retain antiproliferative effects of vitamin A, there is a frequent development of synthetic retinoidsspecific to epithelial tissue and limiting retinoid-treatment side effects, such as skin and liver toxicity [56].

Retinoids, natural and synthetic, have been used in chemoprevention and differentiation therapy. For lung cancer cell lines 9-cisRA was found to up-regulate bronchial squamous metaplasia RAR expression and was able to inhibit lung cancer cell growth; suggesting that 9-cisRA could be a potential chemopreventive agent in NSCLC [57]. In human breast cancer cell lines 9-cis-RA induces cell cycle arrest and growth inhibition. In animal models, 9-cis-RA is able to enhance the chemopreventive activity of tamoxifen and inhibit carcinogenesis [58]. Additionally, the treatment with 9-cis-RA is effective for inhibiting prostate intraepithelial neoplasia for both in vitro and in vivo models by modulating nuclear and mitochondrial genes [59] [60]. In order to increase the effect of retinoids, 9-cis-RA was used in combination with different agents; for example, the combination...
with cigitazone, a thiazolidinedione class drug, caused a synergistic inhibition in the growth of human colon cancer CaCO2 cells, this was associated with the induction of apoptosis and inhibition of the expression of both COX-2 and c-Jun proteins [61].

On the other hand, 13-cis-RA exhibits an immunomodulatory and an anti-inflammatory activity in B16F-10 melanoma cells. Treatment with 13-cis-RA showed an inhibitory effect on bcl-2 expression and up-regulated p53 and caspase-3 gene expression. It also alters the production and expression of proinflammatory cytokines [62]. In a similar manner, the combination of 13-cis-RA with cytotoxic chemotherapy significantly reduced the cytotoxicity for in vitro neuroblastoma, mediating at least partly via the anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family of proteins [63]. Besides 13-cis-RA is given at completion of cytotoxic therapy to control minimal residual disease in neuroblastoma.

The first and most promising retinoid, fenretinide (4HPR, modified all-trans retinoic acid), is able to inhibit growth and induce apoptosis [64]. In animal models, fenretinide prevented chemically induced cancers of the breast, prostate, bladder and skin; as well the combination of fenretinide with tamoxifen improved these results. This retinoid induces apoptosis by generating reactive oxygen species (ROS) and lipid second messengers in at least 10 cancer cell lines [65]. Notably, the effect of fenretinide occurs either in a dependent- or independent-RAR-β manner, according to the cell type and model analyzed. Fenretinide has apoptotic activity through Nur77 (an orphan nuclear receptor and immediate-early gene that regulates cellular proliferation, apoptosis, inflammation, and glucose metabolism). Two other synthetic retinoids that act as well through Nur77 are AHPN or CD437 (A retinoid-related molecule 6-[3-(1-adamantlyl)-4-hydroxyphenyl]-2-naphthalencarboxylic acid) and its analog 3-Cl-AHPC ((E)-4-[3-(1-adamantlyl)-4-hydroxyphenyl]-3-chlorocinnamic acid). AHPN activates JNK in breast and prostate cancer cells, which is associated with Akt activity inhibition.

In several types of cancer cells the RAR-β may play a critical role in slowing cancer progression. Some examples include as follows, breast, lung, ovarian and prostate cancers, as well as neuroblastoma, renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic, liver and head and neck cancers. The RAR-β2 isoform is the most abundant and inducible form of retinoic acid receptor; it has tumor suppressor activity. Meanwhile, the RAR-β4 has oncogenic activity. The RAR-β up-regulation is associated with a good response to retinoids in patients with premalignant oral lesions [2]. The levels of the RAR-β showed an increase in patients with renal cancer who responded to therapy with 13-cis-RA and interferon γ; 13-cis-retinoic acid also up-regulated RAR-β expression in premalignant lung lesions [10].

The somatic translocation between chromosomes 15 and 17 fuses the promyelocytic leukemia gene (PML) with the RAR-α gene forming a fusion protein (PML-RARα), containing the RAR-α ligand-binding domain (LBD). Resulting in the clinical manifestation of acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). The combination of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) with anthracyclines recovers 70% - 80% of APL patients [66].

On the contrary, in breast cancer, the retinoid acts as an early stage inhibitor of tumor progression but appears to have no effect when the tumor becomes more aggressive [67] [68]. Through animal models the study of the mammary gland has shown that the RARs are differentially distributed, for example during lactation all RAR and RXR subtypes are expressed, however, normally only RAR-α and RXR-α are present [69]. Some breast cancer cell lines that are either hormone-dependent or -independent have shown the same expression pattern for RAR-α, RAR-γ, RXR-α and RXR-β. Growth inhibition of breast cancer cells by RA has been associated with induction of the expression of the RAR-β. Loss or lack of RAR-β may account for the decreased treatment efficacy of retinoids in patients with advanced breast tumors. In a rat model of mammary gland, carcinoma 9-cisRA and bexarotene (RXR-selective agonist) appeared superior to ATRA as a chemopreventive compound. The combination therapy of tamoxifen and fenretinide is an active regimen in metastatic breast cancer as well as in patients with progression of disease after tamoxifen treatment (review in [2]). Retinoids do not require estrogen receptors for their action, as they alterneoplastic transformation in estrogen-negative cells. Interestingly, retinoids and reninoids seem to be more active in estrogen-positive than in estrogen-negative precancerous tissue. Retinoids probably promote breast cancer cells apoptosis through RAR-β [70].

7. Therapies Based on Retinoids in Lung Cancer

Lung cancer causes the highest death rate of any cancer, with 1.38 million deaths annually (18.2% of world cancer deaths). At diagnosis about 80% - 85% of patients are in clinical stages IIIb and IV, with 5-year survival rates below 15%. Despite major advances in lung cancer treatment, median survival in patients with metastastic
disease is between 12 - 14 months, and response rates range between 20% - 40%. Due to the poor prognosis and low response rates to treatment, several non-cytotoxic agents such as retinoic acid and its derivates have been evaluated as chemopreventive and treatment agents.

The first studies used high-dose vitamin A’s adjuvant effect, 307 NSCLC patients after curative surgery that were randomly assigned to either a prescribed retinol palmitate administration group (300,000 IU orally daily for 12 months) or a control group without treatment. Daily oral administration of high-dose vitamin A was effective in reducing the number of new primary tumors related to tobacco consumption. Treatment improved the disease-free interval in patients with curatively resected stage I lung cancer, with a median follow-up of 46 months; the number of patients with either recurrence or new primary tumors was 37% in the treated arm and 48% in the control arm [71].

Bexarotene, a synthetic RXR agonist, has an antiproliferative activity in preclinical in vitro and in vivo models [72]. The role of bexarotene has been evaluated in advanced NSCLC in two phase I studies in which it was well tolerated and showed retinoid-characteristic toxicities, such as leukopenia, hypertriglyceridemia, and hypercalcemia. In addition, targeting was able to delay tumor progression in NSCLC as well in other tumors [73] [74]. Some phase I/II trials determined the maximum-tolerated dose of bexarotene in combination with vinorelbine or cisplatin in patients with NSCLC. The most common adverse events were hyperlipidemia, leukopenia, nausea, vomiting, pneumonia, dyspnea, anemia, and asthenia. Encouraging results with acceptable response rates and association with better survival rates were observed with this combination [75]. The role of bexarotene in advanced NSCLC was evaluated in two phase III trials (SPIRIT I and SPIRIT II). In the first one, 623 patients with stage IIIB and pleural effusion or stage IV NSCLC were randomly assigned to either open-label bexarotene 400 mg/m²/day with cisplatin/vinorelbine or to cisplatin/vinorelbine alone. No significant differences were observed between the bexarotene group and control group, regarding PFS (4.3 vs 5.0 months, \( p = 0.095 \)) and overall survival (8.7 vs 9.9 months, \( p = 0.3 \)). The response rate was higher in the control group compared to the bexarotene group (24.4% vs 16.7%, \( p = 0.0224 \)). A subset analysis of survival revealed no significant differences regarding sex, histology, stage, prior weight loss, ECOG or smoking status. However, approximately 32% of the patients in the bexarotene arm developed hypertriglyceridemia grade 3/4 according to the National Cancer Institute Criteria (serum triglyceride increases (≥5× the upper limit of normal), and the subgroup overall survival was significantly better compared to the control arm (12.3 vs 9.9 months, \( p = 0.087 \)) [76]. In the SPIRIT II trial, a total of 612 patients with stage IIIB disease and pleural effusion or stage IV NSCLC were randomly assigned to bexarotene 400 mg/m²/day combined with carboplatin plus paclitaxel, or carboplatin and paclitaxel alone. No significant differences were observed between the bexarotene group and control group regarding PFS (4.3 vs 4.9 months, \( p = 0.061 \)), overall survival (8.5 vs 9.2 months, \( p = 0.2 \)) or response rate (19.3% vs 23.5% \( p = 0.24 \)). Furthermore, there were no differences regarding sex, histology, stage, prior weight loss, ECOG or smoking status; however, as in the SPIRIT I study, around 40% of patients in the bexarotene arm developed grade 3/4 hypertriglyceridemia, and the subgroup overall survival was better (12.4 vs 9.2 months, \( p = 0.014 \)) [77]. From these studies the obtained conclusions showed that the addition of bexarotene to cisplatin-based chemotherapy did not improve overall survival compared with chemotherapy alone. However and most importantly, patients who developed grade 3/4 hypertriglyceridemia with bexarotene had better overall survival. The identification in prospective studies of predictive biomarkers for patients who could benefit from treatment with bexarotene are warranted [76] [77].

Accordingly, the role of ATRA has been extensively evaluated. In a phase II study, patients with unresectable locally advanced, or metastatic lung cancer were treated with ATRA 150 mg/m²/day and IFN-α in combination. Some of the observed adverse effects in patients with radiological and clinical response after 8 weeks of treatment included grade I/II dermatitis and grade moderate/severe hypertriglyceridemia [78]. Another phase II trial in which twenty-eight patients with metastatic NSCLC were treated with oral ATRA, showed mild toxicities including cutaneous effects and a significant number of patients with elevation of hepatic transaminases or hyperlipidemia. Overall two patients achieved partial response, one had a mixed response, and the median survival was 7 months. The results of this study evidenced that ATRA has minimal activity when used as a single agent in NSCLC treatment [79].

The retinoids have been exhibited as promising chemotherapeutic agents in several studies that tested the combination of two retinoids: tretinoin, 50 mg twice-a-day for 4 days, and oral bexarotene, 375 mg once-a-day for 4 days, together with platin, docetaxel, and a brief course of capecitabine. The 71% of patients achieved partial response and patients presented with common retinoid side effects [80]. The administration of tretinoin on
an intermittent dosing schedule to prevent decreased bioavailability was reported in patients taking protracted continuous treatment with tretinoin and other retinoids.

Other study used an interrupted dosing schedule of ATRA combined with cisplatin-VP 16 chemotherapy, as treatment for stage IIIB and IV NSCLC patients. Ten patients had partial responses and four had minor responses. Neutropenia was the most common acute toxicity and median survival was 25.5 weeks. According to the authors, this regimen given with drug holiday and chemotherapy has a significant activity and good tolerance in advanced NSCLC [81].

In other phase II study, one hundred and seven patients with advanced NSCLC were included in arandomized design to compare ATRA (20 mg/m²/day) in combination with paclitaxel plus cisplatin versus placebo plus paclitaxel and cisplatin. The ATRA group versus the placebo group had a higher response rate (55.8% vs 25.4%, p = 0.001), a longer PFS (8.9 versus 6.0 months; p = 0.008), and overall survival (9.6 versus 23.5 months, HR = 0.52; 95% CI, 0.29 to 0.9; p = 0.020) [82]. Seventy-five percent of the experimental group patients with positive RAR-β IHC expression presented response to treatment, suggesting that a subgroup of patients could have a greater benefit by adding ATRA to the regimen [82].

Fenretinide [N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) retinamide, 4-HPR], a synthetic retinoid, has been tested in preclinical trials that have shown significant cytotoxic activity through the induction of apoptosis in a variety of types of small cell and NSCLC [83]. The fenretinide has been evaluated as a therapeutic agent in patients with small cell lung cancer (SCLC). Its treatment resulted in disease stabilization in 24% of evaluable patients with recurrent SCLC, also, the median of survival was of 25 weeks. Treatment was well tolerated with mild, transient changes in night vision as the only common toxicity without complete or partial responses identified, suggesting that fenretinide is inactive as a single agent in the treatment of SCLC [84]. A phase II trial evaluated the modulation of Bcl-2 with 13-CRA and IFN-α in combination with paclitaxel in patients with recurrent SCLC. The addition of 13-CRA and IFN-α to paclitaxel was no better than the historic use of paclitaxel alone, and there was no association between Bcl-2 levels and response rate or survival in this cohort of patients. Furthermore, the toxicity appeared greater than paclitaxel as a single agent [85]. The incidence of abnormalities in the RAR-β expression on bronchial cells of smokers and the capacity of re-induction of this receptor by 13-cis-RA treatment were analyzed in a study. Forty-four eligible patients with diminished RAR-β expression were double-blind randomized to receive either placebo or 13-CRA (30 mg orally, daily) for 6 months. The results showed that the RAR-β expression is frequently decreased in the bronchial epithelium of smokers and it was up-regulated when they were treated with 13-cis-RA [56].

Some studies suggest that retinoid supplementation might reduce tumor occurrence and mortality in nonsmokers, and might even be beneficial for former smokers [86]-[88]. However, other studies have shown a risk of lung cancer development in current smokers [86] [89] [90]. The Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial (CARET) showed that patients with smoking history had an increased incidence of lung cancer. Other studies did not show benefit as well in decreasing the incidence of lung cancer [86]-[88]. Active smokers showed a higher incidence of recurrence and mortality when treated with retinoids [91]. Other studies showed as well that smokers who received β-carotene presented a higher incidence of lung cancer [71] [92]. These results suggest that retinoids may facilities ability carcinogenic compounds in cigarettes to activate cancer genes, increasing the risk of lung cancer development (Table 1).

### 8. Disadvantages of Retinoid Treatment over Lung Cancer

Retinoids have been used as adjuvants in the treatment of diverse cancers, however, some serious adverse events have been reported such as cheilitis, xerosis, skin peeling, photosensitivity, alopecia, headaches, and dyslipidemia [93]. These effects have been related with the natural retinoids binding site including the RAR receptors, for these reasons some synthetic selective RXR retinoids have been developed to avoid this adverse event. In contrast, retinoids are associated with mild to moderate skin toxicity [94].

The 13-cis-RA has been used as treatment in diverse trials alone or in combination with other drugs. Common side effects observed in the EUROSCAN trial were dryness, desquamation, itching, bleeding, and hair loss [95]. A phase I study using 13-cis-retinoic ranging from 20 to 120 mg/m² to treat head and neck malignancies, showed that doses greater than 60 mg/m² induced intense headaches, urethritis, desquamative dermatitis, vertigo, and ataxia. These side effects increase when there is a combination with chemotherapy agents [96] [97]. Besides, the combination of ATRA/IFN-α or 13cRA/IFN-α has shown major grade 3 and 4 toxicities, as follows. Grade 3 malaise and fatigue were nearly uniformly observed, and were disabling in 26% of patients on 13cRA/IFN-α and
Table 1. Lung cancer treatment based on Vitamin A. Some clinical trials of the use of Vitamin A as treatment of lung cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Retinoid</th>
<th>Dosis</th>
<th>Combination with other drugs</th>
<th>Stage of disease</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Adverse event</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retinyl palmitate</td>
<td>300,000 IU</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage I of lung cancer</td>
<td>Improve disease free interval; PFS 46 months</td>
<td>Skin dryness and desquamation</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50,000 IU</td>
<td>IFN-β, 5 FU, Cisplatin</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>OS 9.1 months</td>
<td>Cutaneous symptoms. Squamous histology, with a substantial toxicity.</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bexarotene</td>
<td>400 mg/m²/day</td>
<td>Vinorelbine, cisplatin</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>PFS 4.3 months; OS 8.7 months</td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia, leukopenia, dermatitis grade 3/4</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>300mg/m²/day</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage I and II</td>
<td>Modify the expression of cyclin D3, EGFR, and Ki-67.</td>
<td>Plasma concentrations of bexarotene increased by 80% in the presence of paclitaxel-carboplatin</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 mg/m²/day</td>
<td>Paclitaxel, carboplatin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia hypercholesterolemia and hypothyroidism grade 2/3</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 mg/m²</td>
<td>Carboplatin, gemcitabine</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>OS 12.7 months</td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400 mg/m²/day</td>
<td>Paclitaxel-carboplatin or vinorelbine with atorvastatin or fenofibrate</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>Concentration-time curve</td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia grade 3/4</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-trans retinoic acid (ATRA)</td>
<td>150 mg/m²/day</td>
<td>IFN-α</td>
<td></td>
<td>OS 8 months</td>
<td>Dermatitis grade I/II hypertriglyceridemia 3/4</td>
<td>[114]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 mg/m²/day</td>
<td>Bexarotene, docetaxel, capcitabine</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>OS 19 months</td>
<td></td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 mg/m³/day</td>
<td>Paclitaxel, cisplatin</td>
<td>Stage IIB and IV</td>
<td>PFS 8.9 months; OS 9.6 months</td>
<td>Hypertriglyceridemia</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-cis-RA</td>
<td>1 mg/kg</td>
<td>9-cis-RA, Alpha-tocopherol</td>
<td>Former smokers</td>
<td>Reduced bronchial epithelial cell proliferation</td>
<td></td>
<td>[117]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 mg/kg orally on days 1 and 2</td>
<td>Interferon alpha, paclitaxel</td>
<td>Small cell lung cancer</td>
<td>Median OS 6.2 months; PFS 2.0</td>
<td>Cytopenias, leukopenia, fatigue and hypertriglyceridemia grade 3</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5 mg/kg</td>
<td>Interleukin 2</td>
<td>Solid tumor</td>
<td>OS 13.7 months</td>
<td>Consisting of fever, fatigue, thrombocytopenia, mucositis, and local cutaneous reaction</td>
<td>[119]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 mg/day</td>
<td>Tocopherol</td>
<td>Adult subjects at high risk for lung cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin toxicities, elevated uric acid, triglycerides, and cholesterol</td>
<td>[120]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in 21% of patients on ATRA/IFN-a. Additional grade 4 toxicities within both treatment arms included nausea and vomiting, anemia was observed. Although myalgias and arthralgias, mucocutaneous reactions, granulocytopenia, leukopenia, thrombocytopenia, elevated liver functions, vision loss, hyperlipidemia, and hypertriglyceridemia were registered, these adverse events were present in a minor proportion [98]. In addition, in a study comparing the treatment of 13cRA with combined Betacarotene plus retinol palmitate versus retinyl palmitate alone, the main adverse events observed were cheilitis, conjunctivitis, and skin reactions. The reactions were significantly more common in low-doses of 13cRA and it was not well tolerated for long-term in oral cancer [97]. In a phase II study to treat basal-cell carcinomas, the combination of alpha-IFN with 13cRA acid showed mild toxicity, which was reversible in all cases; major side effects observed included fever, nausea, skin erythema, hypertriglyceridemia and hypercholesterolemia [99].

The retinoid that has shown a worse adverse event profile for lung cancer treatment was betacarotene. In a cohort of patients the use of betacarotene resulted in unexpectedly significantly higher incidence and mortality of lung cancer. Despite a lack of safety concerns in a second unplanned interim analysis, the modified the protocol in 1996 after CARET1 showed a 18% increase in lung cancer mortality in the Betacarotene plus retinol palmitate arm (v placebo), higher than the increased mortality with betacarotene in the Alpha-Tocopherol and Beta-Carotene study [89].

Bexarotene is a retinoid that selectively activates retinoid X receptors. Patients treated with bexarotene present increases in total cholesterol and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol without significant changes in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and apolipoprotein, and the most common side effects reported in the literature are related with hyperlipidaemia and secondary hypothyroidism [100]-[102]. These data suggest that treatment with the selective RXR ligand bexarotene causes alterations primarily in cholesterol metabolism, this elevation is due to transactivation by LXRs/RXR heterodimers of SREBP-1c (Sterol Regulatory element-binding proteins), a master transcriptional regulator of genes involved in cholesterol biosynthesis [94].

Hyperlipidaemia is observed in patients taking at least 300 mg/m²/day of bexarotene for cutaneous T-cell lymphomas [103]. Bexarotene at doses of 400 mg/kg was well tolerated, and the most frequent toxicities were mild hypertriglyceridemia and skin rash [104]. The combination of bexarotene with cisplatin and vinorelbine showed hyperlipemia, hypothyroidism, dyspnea, and headache when compared with the group without bexarotene [76]. Bexarotene-treated patients with grade 3/4 hypertriglyceridemia who received the most benefit included those who were male, and smokers. The incidence and severity of most adverse events were similar between arms, although hyperlipidemia, neutropenia, fatigue, leukopenia, arthralgia, and diarrhea were more frequent in the bexarotene arm [75] [105] [106]. Levels of hypertriglyceridemia and elevated liver enzymes were reversible upon dose reduction or cessation. Less common side effects included mild gastrointestinal symptoms, headaches, myalgia/arthritis, and fatigue. Hematological toxicities occurred in trials combining retinoids with chemotherapy, however, these were not common in trials of retinoids alone, suggesting chemotherapy as the causative agent [56].

The most frequent symptoms observed in patients of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia that were treated with high-dose 9-cis-RA (50 mg) or low-dose of 9-cis-RA (25 mg) were, as follows: headache (60%), fatigue (31%), and nausea (25%). In addition, an elevation in median serum triglycerides and significant reduction in median high-density lipoprotein (HDL) levels were found in both, low and high, doses of 9-cis-RA treated patients [107]. In former smokers, after 3 months of treatment with 9-cis-RA the serum level of Insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) decreased as well as the molar ratio of IGF-I to insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 (IGFBP-3), meanwhile the serum level of IGFBP-3 increased; the latter suggesting this molecules as biomarkers of 9-cis-RA treatment [108].

9. Conclusion

The RARs are important functional regulators in most of the epithelial cells. Recent evidence suggests that the lack of nuclear RARs may play a critical role in lung carcinogenesis. The RAR expression tends to decrease as cancer progresses, suggesting a pivotal role. Alterations in the RARs and RXRs during lung cancer are important in understanding the role of retinoids and rexinoids as chemoprevention and chemotherapy agents. The expression of several of these receptors is modified during differential stages of the disease, and could be potential biomarkers for the possible response to chemotherapy in several different malignancies. Specifically, in NSCLC with advanced disease, predictive biomarkers are warranted to determine the benefit of bexarotene or ATRA usage.
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