Combined Ang-2 and VEGF Targeting Therapies in Renal Cell Carcinoma
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ABSTRACT

Angiogenesis, the growth of new vessels from pre-existing ones, is an important feature of tumor growth that has been exploited as a therapeutic target in oncology. Given its key role in facilitating blood vessel sprouting, VEGF has been a major focus of anti-angiogenic strategies, but the observation of resistance in some clinical trials utilizing such agents has led to a search for new or complementary targets in angiogenesis process. The Angiopoietin/Tie2 pathway and in particular the Angiopoietin-2 (Ang-2) ligand which is critically involved in the destabilization of normal vasculature, has been identified as one such target. The current study investigated the potential benefits of combining an Ang-2 targeted therapy with small molecule VEGF targeted agents (Sunitinib, Cediranib) in a human renal cell carcinoma model. The results showed that while both Ang-2 and VEGF interference on their own impaired tumor growth and new blood vessel formation, the combination of agents that targeted both pathways resulted in significantly superior anti-tumor and anti-angiogenic effects.
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1. Introduction

Angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels from pre-existing ones, is an important process in normal vascular development and physiological conditions such as wound healing, reproduction and the menstrual cycle [1]. The importance of angiogenesis not only in physiological but in pathological conditions has been well established [2]. A growing tumor cannot sustain its growth without the initiation and continued maintenance of active angiogenesis [3,4]. The resulting vasculature is distinct from normal vasculature both functionally and structurally and such differences allow for the targeting of tumor vasculature with limited effects on normal vasculature [5,6].

Numerous clinical trials that seek to impair the induction of new blood vessels in tumors are ongoing [7,8]. Many agents targeting Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) are now commonly used in the clinic; particularly in diseases such as kidney cancer [9,10]. However, resistance to such therapies may occur [11-13], likely due to the redundancy of signaling pathways involved in the activation of sprouting angiogenesis [14]. The search for new or complementary targets in the angiogenic process to circumvent resistance and such therapies is therefore being actively pursued [7,11,12].

The Angiopoietin/Tie2 pathway has been shown to be important both in physiological and pathological angiogenesis including tumor angiogenesis [15]. Briefly, Ang-1 and Ang-2 are secreted proteins that interact with the Tie2 receptor either in a paracrine (Ang-1) or autocrine (Ang-2) manner; Ang-1 is expressed and secreted by peri-endothelial mural cells (smooth muscle cells, pericytes) while Ang-2 is expressed and secreted by endothelial cells [16]. Both angiopoietins bind the Tie2 receptor with similar affinities at the same site of the IgG-like and EGF-like domains [17,18]. These ligands, however, have opposing functions. Ang1-Tie2 signaling...
controls vessel quiescence, while Ang2-Tie2 association allows for vessel plasticity [19]. Elevated Ang-2 levels have been associated with advanced disease, progression and poor prognosis in several cancers including renal cell carcinoma [20-22]. Ang-2 serum levels significantly increase in patients compared to healthy individuals, and patients with more advanced disease show significantly higher levels of Ang-2 compared to patients with earlier stage disease [23-27].

Angiogenesis can be considered to be a two-step process: 1) the normal vasculature is destabilized by loosening the endothelial and peri-endothelial cell contacts in the vasculature (Angiopoietin/Tie2 pathway) at which point 2) pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF activate the endothelium to proliferate and form new vessels [28]. Currently the majority of FDA approved anti-angiogenic agents target the second step of this process. VEGF signaling interference has been investigated in particular but inhibition of other angiogenesis associated signaling pathways is also being pursued [11]. In general, antiangiogenic agents have been found to be complementary to conventional cancer therapies, however, there are some patients who do not respond or stop responding after prolonged treatment with such agents [11-13]. In lieu of this observation and given the role of the Angiopoietin/Tie2 axis in angiogenesis, there has been growing interest in anti-angiogenic treatment approaches that selectively target both Ang-2 and VEGF [15,29-33].

The current study evaluated the combination of an investigational anti-Ang-2 monoclonal antibody with two small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors against the VEGF pathway, Sunitinib and Cediranib. The former is a multikinase inhibitor that is FDA approved for kidney cancer [34] while the latter is a VEGFR specific small molecule inhibitor that is currently in clinical development [35]. The effects of utilizing these agents alone or in combination on tumor development and angiogenesis initiation were evaluated in an aggressive human renal cell carcinoma model.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

MECA-32 was purchased from BioLegend (San Diego, CA), AlexaFluor 594 was purchased from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY). VectaShield mounting medium with DAPI was purchased from Vector Labs Inc. (Burlingame, CA). Tissue-Tek OCT Compound was purchased from Sakura Finetek (Torrance, CA). 2-methylbutane was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA).

2.2. Cell Culture

The human clear cell renal cell carcinoma cell line Caki-2 was received as a gift from Dr. Susan Knox (Stanford University). Caki-2 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s modified minimum essential medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen), 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen), and 1% 200-mmol/L L-glutamine (Invitrogen). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO2-incubator. The cells were used between passages 2 and 10.

2.3. Drug Preparation

Anti-Angiopoietin-2 monoclonal antibody was kindly provided by MedImmune, LLC. Stock solutions (5 mg/ml) of the antibody were diluted to working concentrations in sodium citrate buffer solution. Stock solutions were kept at −80°C and working concentrations at 4°C. Cediranib (AstraZeneca, Wilmington, DE) was stored at 4°C and prepared fresh daily in 10% volume Tween 80 and 1M HEPES. Sunitinib (LC Laboratories, Woburn, MA) was stored at −20°C and prepared fresh daily in stock and diluent buffers of citric acid monohydrate and sodium citrate dihydrate at pH 6.8 and 3.2 respectively at 1:7 stock to diluent solution (~pH 3.3) and acidified to pH 1.0. Sunitinib was dissolved, and the solution adjusted to pH 3.5.

2.4. Intradermal Assay

All in vivo procedures were conducted in agreement with a protocol approved by the University of Florida Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Female athymic nu/nu mice were injected intradermally with 10⁵ Caki-2 cells (10 μl volume) at four sites on the ventral surface. Beginning the day prior to tumor cell injection, mice were treated with 1) daily oral gavage of either Sunitinib (10 mg/kg) or Cediranib (2 mg/kg), 2) IP injection of anti-Ang-2 antibody (2 mg/kg) every 3 days or 3) the combination of either Sunitinib or Cediranib plus the anti-Ang-2 antibody. At the end of the treatment (six days post tumor cell inoculation) the mice were then euthanized. Tumors were measured using calipers and tumor volumes (mm³) were calculated using the formula:

\[
tumor\ volume = \pi r^2 \times height
\]

Skin flaps were then removed and vessels growing into tumor nodules were counted using a Leica MZ16F dissecting microscope with Leica KL 1500 LCD fiber optic illuminator (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL) at 2.5 × original magnification (1-3). Images were captured with a Retiga EXI Fast1394 digital CCD camera (QImaging, British Columbia, Canada) and OpenLab5 software (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA). Statistical significance between control and treated groups was determined using the Mann-Whitney U-Test at p < 0.05.
2.5. Immunohistochemistry

Intradermal tumors were freshly frozen in OCT and methylbutane and sectioned at 5 μm thickness using a Leica CM 3050S cryostat (Leica Microsystems Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL). Sections were placed on superfrrost plus gold slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA) and kept at −80°C until immunohistochemical staining. Tissue sections were fixed with acetone for 10 min, blocked in 2% normal horse serum in 1 × TBS, and incubated overnight at 4°C with MECA-32. Secondary antibody AlexaFluor 594 was added onto slides for 1 hr. Tissue sections were imaged with a Zeiss Axioplan 2 imaging microscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) with EXFO X-Cite 120 light source (Lumen Dynamics Group Inc., Ontario, Canada). Images were taken with a Retiga EXi Fast digital CCD camera (Qimaging, British Columbia, Canada) and processed using OpenLab5 software (PerkinElmer Inc., Waltham, MA); Rhodamine for MECA-32/AlexaFluor594 and DAPI filters were used. Up to ten random fields were examined on each tumor section and the number of vessels in each field was counted using a 20 × objective. Statistical significance between control and treated groups was determined using the Mann-Whitney U-Test at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Treatments Targeting Both Ang-2 and VEGF Result in Significantly Greater Impairment of Tumor Growth than Either Therapy Alone

Figure 1(a) shows that treatment with either the anti-Ang-2 antibody or the VEGFR1-3 inhibitor Cediranib resulted in significant reductions in tumor volumes compared to those of untreated mice, 3.5- and 3.8-fold (p < 0.05) respectively. However, the combination of these two therapies resulted in an even greater impairment of tumor growth; at the end of the treatment period tumors treated with the anti-Ang-2 antibody plus Cediranib were ~54.6-fold (p < 0.0001) smaller than untreated control tumors. Furthermore, the tumors of mice with the combination of agents were also significantly smaller than those of mice treated solely with the anti-Ang-2 antibody or Cediranib treatments individually. Similar results were seen when the anti-Ang-2 antibody and the multikinase inhibitor Sunitinib were combined (Figure 1(b)). Both agents administered individually impaired tumor growth; tumor volumes assessed at the end of the treatment period were found to be 1.6-fold (p < 0.01) and 1.5-fold (p < 0.01) smaller than untreated control tumors for anti-Ang-2 antibody and Sunitinib treatments respectively. However, the combination of the two agents once again led to a greater reduction in tumor volume (15.8 fold, p < 0.0001) compared to control tumors as well as tumors in mice treated with only the anti-Ang-2 antibody (10.7-fold, p < 0.0001) or Sunitinib (3.4-fold, p < 0.0001).

3.2. Combined Ang-2 and VEGF Targeting Significantly Impairs Tumor Cell Induced Angiogenesis

To evaluate the effect of Ang-2 and VEGF directed therapies administered alone or in combination on Caki-2 renal cell carcinoma cell induced angiogenesis an intradermal angiogenesis assay was used (Figure 2). The results showed that while the anti-Ang-2 antibody and Cediranib treatments individually reduced the number of blood vessels growing into the tumor nodules by 1.6- (p < 0.01) and 1.5-fold (p < 0.01) respectively, the combination treatment led to an even greater reduction in blood vessel number (2.7-fold (p < 0.0001) compared to control) (Figure 2(a)). Similar results were seen when the anti-Ang-2 antibody was combined with Sunitinib (Figure 2(b)). While the anti-Ang-2 antibody and Sunitinib each decreased the number of vessels induced by Caki-2 tumor cells (1.7- and 1.8-fold (p < 0.0001) respectively), combining the therapies led to a significantly greater reduction in the number of blood vessels induced than was achieved with either agent alone (3.5-fold (p <
0.0001), compared to control tumors). Furthermore, in both studies (Figures 2(a) and (b)), the combination treatment was significantly more effective at reducing the formation of tumor cell induced blood vessels than either the anti-Ang-2 antibody or the VEGF directed therapy when used alone.

The impact of VEGF and Ang-2 directed therapy on the vasculature within Caki-2 tumors was evaluated using immunohistochemistry (Figure 3). The results showed that treatment with the anti-Ang-2 antibody or Cediranib reduced the number of blood vessels within the tumor nodules 2.5- and 1.4-fold (p < 0.01) respectively and the combination treatment led to a 3.5-fold (p < 0.01) reduction in intratumor blood vessels (Figure 3(a)). A similar analysis performed on the tumors of mice treated with the anti-Ang-2 antibody alone or in combination with Sunitinib (Figure 3(b)) showed that the combination reduced the number of blood vessels detected in the tumor nodules 3.7-fold (p < 0.05) compared to the 2. (p < 0.05) and 1.7-fold (p = 0.057) decrease in tumor blood vessels noted in the tumors of mice treated with the anti-Ang-2 antibody or Sunitinib alone.

**4. Discussion**

Angiogenesis is an important feature of tumor growth that has been considered to be a potential target for cancer therapy for decades. Angiogenesis can be separated into two main events: 1) the destabilization of normal vasculature, or the loosening of endothelial and peri-endothelial cell contacts, and 2) the activation of the endothelium to proliferate and form new vessels. The Angiopoietin/Tie2 axis is responsible for the first step in angiogenesis or the vessel destabilization while pro-angiogenic factors such as VEGF activate the endothelial cells. Currently FDA approved anti-angiogenic agents to target the VEGF pathway and has been shown to complement conventional therapies such as chemotherapy [36], however the issues of lack of patient response and tumor rebound due to acquired resistance have raised
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concerns in the clinic [11-13].

Another strategy to interfere with tumor angiogenesis is to target the Angiopoietin/Tie2 pathway [15]. Ang-2 is abundantly present in many tumors and its expression appears to correlate with poor disease prognosis [22]. It is also conceivable that Ang-2 and VEGF targeting may be complementary and that Ang-2/Tie2 targeting may circumvent patient resistance to VEGF targeting therapy. While endothelial cell activation to form sprouts occurs in response to a variety of pro-angiogenic factors in addition to VEGF, the initial destabilization of vasculature through the Ang-2/Tie2 axis is currently known as a non-redundant pathway. In the current study the effects of inhibiting these two pathways through the combination of VEGF targeted agents and an anti-Ang-2 monoclonal antibody were evaluated in an aggressive and highly vascularized, VHL mutated, human renal cell carcinoma model. Two different VEGF targeted agents were evaluated, the small molecule multi tyrosine kinase inhibitor Sunitinib that is FDA approved for treatment of metastatic kidney cancer and the VEGFR specific small molecule inhibitor Cediranib that is in clinical development for a variety of solid tumors.

The results of the present study support the notion that the efficacies of Ang-2 and VEGF targeted therapies may be complementary. When either Cediranib or Sunitinib was combined with the anti-Ang-2 antibody, the combination treatment showed superior anti-tumor and anti-angiogenic effects compared to any of the agents used on their own (Figures 1 and 2). The enhanced treatment efficacy was likely not only a consequence of a reduction in the ability of the renal cells to induce the initiation of vessel growth toward the tumor mass (Figure 2), but also a consequence of an impairment of vascular development within the tumors themselves (Figure 3). Taking these findings together lends additional support to therapeutic intervention strategies seeking to maximize antitumor efficacy through combination treatments directed at the multiple components comprising tumor angiogenesis.
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