Clinical and Dosimetric Implications of Air Gaps between Bolus and Skin Surface during Radiation Therapy
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The main objective of the study was to evaluate the effect of air gaps of 0 - 5.0 cm between bolus and skin for 1.0 cm Superflab bolus on surface dose (DSurf) and depth of maximum dose (dmax) in solid water and Rando® phantoms. Methods: In this work, the effects of bolus to surface distance on DSurf and variation in dmax were analyzed in a solid water phantom and in an anthropomorphic Rando® phantom for different field sizes, using Gafchromic® EBT films and farmer chamber. Results: For field sizes of 5 × 5 cm² the DSurf is significantly affected by increasing air gaps greater than 5 mm. For field sizes larger than 10 × 10 cm², DSurf is nearly the same for air gaps of 0 - 5.0 cm. For small fields and 6 MV photon beam, dmax increases with increasing air gap, while for 10 MV beam and smaller field sizes (i.e. 5 × 5 and 10 × 10 cm²) the dmax first decreases and then increases with the air gaps. For both 3DCRT and IMRT plans on Rando®, DSurf reduction is more prominent with increasing air gaps. Conclusion: For field sizes larger than 10 × 10 cm² DSurf is largely unaffected by air gaps. However, smaller air gap results in shallower dmax for both 6 MV and 10 MV photon beams at all field sizes. Special consideration should be taken to reduce air gaps between bolus and skin for field sizes smaller than 10 × 10 cm² or when surface contour variations are greater or when the bolus covers small area and at the border of the field.
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1. Introduction

High energy photon beams typically have a lower dose at skin (DSurf) than dose maximum (dmax) at depth. This phenomenon is known as “skin sparing” and estimated that DSurf can be as low as 25% of the dose at dmax. For treating near surface tumors, bolus is placed on the surface in order to increase DSurf. The effect of dose build-up is more prominent in Mega Voltage (MV) photon beams [1]. DSurf and dmax depend on photon beam energy, field size, beam modification devices, SSD and angle of incidence. These also depend on electron contamination from the flattening filter, beam modifiers and air [2-4]. Accurate measurement of DSurf doses in RT can provide valuable information for clinical use to avoid near surface recurrences while at the same time limiting severe skin toxicity [5,6]. Hsu et al. [7] reported no significant differences in DSurf between IMRT and conventional radiotherapy techniques. Lee et al. [8] found that the average increase of DSurf was about 18% due to bolus effect of thermoplastic shell. They also investigated that with thermoplastic shell DSurf was 84% and 100% of the prescribed dose for parallel opposed (POP) and IMRT treatments respectively. Higgins et al. [9] demonstrated that DSurf using POP, tomotherapy and IMRT were 69%, 71% and 82% respectively. Dogan and Glasgow [10] reported that DSurf with 6MV photon beam IMRT were 8% and 6% lower than those of the open field for zero and 75º gantry angles respectively. Yokoyama et al. [11] stated that DSurf with IMRT was 10% lower than the open field treatment. Gray et al. [12] reported in their investigation of PDD measurements that as the air gap increases from 1 to 15 cm, the dose reduces at the surface. In order to increase DSurf in conventional total body irradiation (TBI) an acrylic sheet of 1cm thickness is placed in front of the...
patient at 15 to 20 cm from the skin surface. In photon beam radiation therapy such as breast and chest wall it is desirable to predict the dose delivered to skin, superficial nodes and/or any remnant in the surgical scar of the patient for better treatment outcome. It is also important to know any injury caused by radiation to the skin. Many biological effects such as skin reactions are correlated with basal cell layer damage. The basal cell layer is located at about 0.07 mm depth and it is very radio-sensitive.

Main objective of this study was to evaluate $D_{surf}$ using a 1.0 cm Superflab bolus while introducing various air gaps (0 cm - 5.0 cm). The following scenarios were investigated:

1) Is zero-air gap absolutely necessary between skin and bolus during real treatment delivery?
2) How depth of maximum dose ($d_{max}$) is affected by the distance between bolus and skin surface.

As discussed earlier the $D_{surf}$ also depends on the delivery technique in addition to bolus-surface distance (BSD). Therefore doses were also measured and compared for 3D-CRT and IMRT techniques. The goal of these measurements was to demonstrate the impact of the delivery technique on the $D_{surf}$ in the presence of air gaps in real clinical situations.

2. Materials and Methods

The effects of Superflab (Med-Tec, Orange, IA) bolus to surface distance on the $D_{surf}$ and variation in the $d_{max}$ were analyzed in a solid water phantom (Gammex RMI Model 457, Middleton, WI) and in an anthropomorphic Rando® phantom (The Phantom Laboratory, Salem, NY).

Rando® phantom was used to simulate head and neck Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT) and rectum 3D-CRT treatment techniques. All measurements were performed on a 2100C (Varian, Palo Alto, CA) linear accelerator (6 and 10 MV), equipped with 120-leaf Millennium MLC.

An exradin ionization chamber (Model A12) was used for dose measurement in solid water phantom and to provide reference measurements for Gafchromic® EBT (International Specialty Products, NJ, USA) film measurements. Calibration of the Gafchromic® EBT film was performed for a range of doses (5 to 300 cGy). Dose measurement accuracy of the Gafchromic® EBT film was better than ±2% with ±1.7 standard deviation. Each batch of the Gafchromic® EBT films was calibrated separately.

2.1. Dose Measurements in Solid Water Phantom

Gafchromic® EBT film can potentially be used for $D_{surf}$ measurement. It is also considered a useful tool for accurate dose measurement near the surface (i.e., within a depth of a few mm), and for CNS junctions. Radiographic films were cut in two different shapes for surface ($3 \times 3$ cm$^2$) and depth ($11 \times 2$ cm$^2$) dose measurements. Depth dose profiles were obtained with films sandwiched vertically in slabs of solid water. For $D_{surf}$ measurements the square films were placed on top of the solid water phantom at beam central axis. The separation between the phantom surface and the bolus was adjusted with Styrofoam sheets of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0 and 5.0 cm as shown in Figure 1. Measurements with bolus placed right on top of the water phantom (0 cm distance) and without bolus were also performed. The source to phantom surface distance was kept constant at 100 cm.

Doses (PDDs and $D_{surf}$) at the central axis with and without bolus material were measured for $5 \times 5$ cm$^2$, $10 \times 10$ cm$^2$, $15 \times 15$ cm$^2$ and $20 \times 20$ cm$^2$ fields. All the films were exposed to 100 cGy. The Gafchromic® EBT film readings (optical densities) were converted to doses using the calibration curve. All the dose profiles were normalized to the maximum dose obtained with 0 cm bolus to skin air gap.

2.2. $D_{surf}$ Measurements on Rando® Phantom

IMRT and 3D-CRT plans were created using Eclipse on a Rando® phantom: one for head and neck and another for a rectum case with a prescribed dose of 200 cGy to the reference point using 6 MV beam. The head and neck IMRT plan was calculated for 5 fields. The rectum plan was delivered with 4-field’s box technique.

Both the plans require the $D_{surf}$ to be 95% of the pre-
lesser attenuation. Nearly identical, the 1.0 cm bolus is more efficient due to field sizes, however for smaller fields (5 × 5 cm² and 10 × 10 cm²) respectively. A change in the dmax decreases by 34% and 30% with a 5 cm air gap for 6 and 10 MV photon beams respectively. A special consideration is needed when using a bolus for dose buildup with smaller field sizes. It has been reported by Kassaee et al. [13] that the spoiler should not be placed at a distance less than 6 cm from skin surface in order to avoid loss of skin sparing during TBI. It means that if the air gap is less than 6 cm, the spoiler will act as a bolus and the skin will receive a higher dose. Gray et al. [12] reported that even when electronic equilibrium is established in the material positioned before the air gap, there is a secondary region of dose buildup beyond the air gap to establish the electronic equilibrium when the air gap is greater than 5 cm. They concluded that the air gap greater than 5 cm should be avoided, because the accuracy of Eclipse™ dose calculation beyond the secondary buildup region is out by ~2.5%. Based on these findings, we selected our air gaps 0 to 5 cm for the current study. Because bolus is only effective within a limited range of BSDs, positioning the bolus requires care on the part of the radiation therapist for accurate dose delivery to the surface.

Figures 3(a) and (b) show that, for a 1 cm water equivalent bolus placed above the phantom, an increase in the air gap decreases the dose measured on the surface for small field sizes (i.e., 5 × 5 cm² and 10 × 10 cm²). On the other hand for field sizes larger than 10 × 10 cm², the Dsurf is less affected by different air gaps. The reason for lower Dsurf for small field sizes are, less scatter contribution from collimator and water phantom. Reduction in the scatter from bolus with increasing air gap, reaching the surface also reduces Dsurf. This loss of scatter radiation is mainly caused by the lateral spread of the scattered radiation within the air gap and is directly proportional to the size of the air gap for small field sizes. Small fields are used clinically for some treatments such as breast boost, and anal verge. In these scenarios the bolus is placed almost in contact with the skin. For larger field size such as chest wall the effect of gaps on the Dsurf is minimal as shown in Figure 2(b). In general, the contri-
The distribution of electrons generated within the bolus increases as the bolus gets closer to the phantom surface and as the field size increases.

The findings shown in Figures 3(a)-(d) indicate that both, field size and beam energy influence the dose buildup and $d_{\text{max}}$. For 6 MV photon beam $d_{\text{max}}$ is less affected for all field sizes while for 10 MV photon beam the relationship was only consistent for $15 \times 15$ cm$^2$ and $20 \times 20$ cm$^2$ field sizes. For smaller field sizes the electronic equilibrium was established at greater depth than for larger field sizes as shown in Figures 3(c) and (d). The $d_{\text{max}}$ is shifted deeper in water phantom for 10 MV beam compared to 6 MV, as expected. The reason being the range of secondary electrons in the air is larger for 10 MV than for 6 MV beam. Electrons from the bolus have limited range and affect the dose only close to the surface and up to $d_{\text{max}}$. In general $D_{\text{surf}}$ due to contamination electrons emanating from the bolus depends on the photon beam energy, air gaps, field size and thickness of the bolus.

The measured dose for IMRT and 3-DCRT treatments show similar effects to those observed with solid water phantom as shown in Figures 5(a) and (b). The reason for higher dose to skin for IMRT plan is mainly due to the presence of larger penumbra and overlapping fields.

5. Conclusion

The dose to the phantom surface in the presence of air gaps.
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Figure 5. (a) Dose build up characteristics on skin surface for an IMRT 6 MV X-ray beam with 1cm of bolus material at 0 - 5.0 cm air gaps; (b) Reduction in dose caused by varying air gaps under 1 cm of bolus for a 4-fields box 3DCRT plan.

gaps with bolus is less affected for large field sizes such as 15 × 15 cm² and greater. For larger field sizes Dsurf greater than 95% was observed for larger air gaps of 5 cm as well. For IMRT and 3DCRT plans delivered to Rando®, 94% Dsurf was observed for 1 cm air gap. Based on our results, special consideration is required when field sizes are smaller and surface contour variations are greater or when the bolus cover small area and at the border of the field. In general it is observed that the closer the bolus to the phantom surface is, the shallower the dmax is for both 6 MV and 10 MV photon beams and all fields sizes. For both energies dmax is approximately proportional to air gaps.
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