Combination Therapy of Capecitabine with Cyclophosphamide as a Second-Line Treatment after Failure of Paclitaxel plus Bevacizumab Treatment in a Human Triple Negative Breast Cancer Xenograft Model
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ABSTRACT
We examined the antitumor efficacy of the capecitabine (CAPE) plus cyclophosphamide (CPA) combination as a 2nd-line therapy after paclitaxel (PTX) plus bevacizumab (BEV) treatment in a xenograft model of human triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell line, MX-1. After tumor growth was confirmed, PTX (20 mg/kg; i.v.) + BEV (5 mg/kg; i.p.) treatment was started (Day 1). Each agent was administered once a week for 5 weeks and tumor regression was observed for at least the first 3 weeks. For 2nd-line treatment, we selected mice in which the tumor volume had increased from day 29 to day 36 and was within 130 - 250 mm^3 on day 36. After randomization of mice selected on day 36, CPA (10 mg/kg; p.o.) and CAPE (539 mg/kg; p.o.) were administered daily for 14 days (days 36 - 49), followed by cessation of the drugs for 1 week. The tumor growth on day 57 was significantly suppressed in the CPA, CAPE and CAPE + CPA groups as compared with the control group (p < 0.05). Furthermore, the antitumor activity on day 57 of CAPE + CPA was significantly stronger than that of CPA alone or CAPE alone (p < 0.05). The thymidine phosphorylase (TP) level in tumor tissue was evaluated by immunohistochemistry on day 50, and was significantly higher in the CPA group than those in the control group (p < 0.05). Upregulation of TP in tumor tissues by CPA treatment would increase the 5-FU level in tumor tissues treated with CAPE. This would explain the possible mechanism that made CAPE + CPA superior to CAPE alone in the 2nd-line treatment. Our preclinical results suggest that the CAPE + CPA combination therapy may be effective as 2nd-line therapy after disease progression in PTX + BEV 1st-line treatment for TNBC patients.
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1. Introduction
Bevacizumab (BEV) is a genetically engineered humanized monoclonal antibody derived from murine anti-human vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) monoclonal antibody A4.6.1 [1,2]. It binds specifically to human VEGF, thereby blocking the binding of VEGF to VEGF receptors expressed on vascular endothelial cells. By blocking the biological activity of VEGF [3], anti-human VEGF antibodies such as BEV inhibit neovascularization in tumor tissues and thus suppress tumor growth [1,4-9]. Paclitaxel (PTX) binds to β-tubulin and stabilizes microtubules, which represses the dynamic instability of spindle microtubules and results in blocking the cell cycle at the metaphase-to-anaphase transition [10]. In clinical, BEV in combination with PTX (PTX + BEV) significantly prolonged progression-free survival as compared with paclitaxel alone in the 1st-line treatment of metastatic breast cancers [11]. However, which treatment modality is effective as a 2nd-line therapy after disease progression of PTX + BEV treatment is controversial. On the other hand, combination therapy of capecitabine (N4-pentyloxycarbonyl-5'-deoxy-5-fluorocytidine, CAPE) plus cyclophosphamide (CPA) is considered to be effective for patients with HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer who have been treated with anthracyclines [12]. CAPE is an oral fluoropyrimidine drug widely used for breast cancers which generates the active substance 5-FU in tumors by a three-step cascade of enzymes lo-
cated in the liver and tumors. The final step is the conversion of 5'-DFUR, an intermediate metabolite, to 5-FU by thymidine phosphorylase (TP), which is highly expressed in tumors. Therefore, in CAPE treatment, tumor tissues that have higher expression levels of TP would be expected to have higher levels of 5-FU. Indeed, it has been reported that the antitumor activity of CAPE did correlate with TP levels in tumor xenograft models, whereas that of 5-FU did not [13,14]. Some antitumor modalities, such as CPA, taxanes, oxaliplatin, erlotinib, and radiation, have been reported to increase the levels of TP in tumors in xenograft models and to show significantly more potent antitumor activity in combination with CAPE than each agent or treatment as a monotherapy [15-20].

In this study, we examined the antitumor efficacy of the CAPE + CPA combination as a 2nd-line therapy after disease progression in PTX + BEV 1st-line treatment in a xenograft model. For this purpose, we used a MX-1 human triple negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell xenograft model because, as we have previously reported, treatment with the PTX + BEV combination in this model showed higher antitumor activity than PTX or BEV alone [8] and, thanks to CPA upregulation of TP, CAPE + CPA showed a significant antitumor activity as a 1st-line treatment [15].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Antitumor Drugs and Reagents

BEV and CAPE were obtained from F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd. (Basle, Switzerland). Human IgG (HuIgG) was purchased from MP Biomedicals, LLC (Solon, OH, USA). BEV and HuIgG were diluted with saline and were administered intraperitoneally (i.p.). PTX was commercially obtained from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. (Osaka, Japan). PTX was dissolved in Cremophor EL-ethanol solution (1:1) and diluted 1:10 with saline just before intravenous (i.v.) administration. Cremophor EL-ethanol solution (1:1) diluted 1:10 with saline was administered as the CAPE vehicle. Cremophor EL was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corp. (St. Louis, MO, USA). CPA, which was purchased from Shionogi & Co., Ltd. (Osaka, Japan), was diluted with distilled water (DW) and administered orally (p.o.). DW was administered as the CAPE vehicle. CAPE was suspended in 40 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 5% gum arabic as the vehicle and given p.o. The 40 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) containing 5% gum arabic was administered as the CAPE vehicle.

2.2. Animals

Five-week-old female BALB-nu/nu (CAnN.Cg-Foxn1 < nu > /CrlCrj nu/nu) mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories Japan, Inc. (Kanagawa, Japan). All mice were housed in a pathogen-free environment under controlled conditions (temperature 20°C - 26°C, humidity 30% - 70%, light/dark cycle 12 hours/12 hours). Chlorinated water and irradiated food (CE-2; Clea Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) were provided ad libitum. All mice were allowed to acclimatize and recover from shipping-related stress for 11 days prior to the study. The health of the mice was monitored by daily observation. The protocol was reviewed by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. and all mouse experiments were performed in accordance with the Guidelines for the Accommodation and Care of Laboratory Animals promulgated in Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

2.3. MX-1 Human Breast Cancer Xenograft Model

The MX-1 human breast cancer cell line was kindly provided by Dr T. Tashiro (Chemotherapy Center, Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research, Tokyo, Japan). A piece of minced MX-1 tumor (approx. 10 mm$^3$) was inoculated subcutaneously into the right flank region of each mouse.

2.4. 1st-Line Treatment in the MX-1 Model

Nineteen days after the MX-1 inoculation, mice bearing a tumor of 200 - 800 mm$^3$ in volume were selected and were randomly allocated (day 1) to control (5 mice) or PTX + BEV treatment group (158 mice). As a 1st-line treatment, PTX (20 mg/kg, i.v.) with BEV (5 mg/kg, i.p.) was administered weekly for 5 weeks starting from day 1. HuIgG (5 mg/kg) and PTX vehicle were administered in the control group.

2.5. 2nd-Line Treatment and the Evaluation of Antitumor Efficacy

For 2nd-line treatment, mice bearing a tumor that was 130 - 250 mm$^3$ on day 36 and that had increased between day 29 and day 36 were selected. The selected mice were randomized on day 36 into 4 groups (control [2ndL], CAPE, CPA, and CAPE + CPA; 6 mice per group) for the evaluation of antitumor efficacy, and 2 groups (control [2ndL], CPA; 6 mice per group) for TP analysis. CPA at 10 mg/kg and CAPE at 539 mg/kg (MTD) [13,14] were given p.o. daily for 14 days (day 36 to 49), followed by cessation of the drugs for 1 week. The antitumor efficacy was evaluated by tumor volume (TV) and the percentage of tumor growth inhibition (TGI%) on day 57. The TV was estimated using the equation $V = ab^2/2$, where a and b are the length and width of the tu-
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mor, respectively. TGI% was calculated as follows: TGI% = \[\frac{1 - (\text{mean change in TV in each group treated with antitumor drugs/mean change in TV in control group}) \times 100.\] TV and body weight were monitored twice a week starting from the first day of the treatment.

2.6. Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of TP in Tumor Tissues from 2nd-Line Treatment

After mice from the 1st-line treatment had been randomly allocated to CPA and control groups (6 mice per group). CPA or CPA vehicle (DW) was given daily for 14 days (day 36 to 49) as the 2nd-line treatment. The tumors were excised on day 50, and 4 μm-thick sections were prepared from paraffin-embedded formalin-fixed tissues. IHC of TP was performed using anti-TP antibody (Anti-TYMP, rabbit monoclonal antibody; SIGMA Life Science, MO, USA) and peroxidase-labeled polymer-horseradish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulins (Envision + System-HRP-DAB; Dako, Tokyo).

IHC was evaluated by scoring the positive staining area and positive staining strength in each mouse in CPA post-PTX+BEV or control group post-PTX+BEV. Scores are as follows: −, negative; ±, very slightly positive; +, slightly positive; ++, moderately positive; ++++, markedly positive. In order to perform a statistical analysis, the IHC scores −, ±, +, ++ and +++ were quantified as 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of TV and IHC scores was performed using the Wilcoxon test (SAS preclinical package, SAS Institute, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Differences were considered to be significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Antitumor Activity of 1st-Line and 2nd-Line Treatment

During 1st-line treatment, an obvious antitumor effect was observed in the PTX + BEV group, as was seen in the previous study [8]. As for the 2nd-line treatment, the average TV in each group on the starting day of 2nd-line treatment (day 36) was 182 - 184 mm³. On day 57, the TV (mean ± SD) of each group was as follows: control [2ndL] group, 2721 ± 772 mm³; CPA group, 1325 ± 294 mm³; CPA group, 1665 ± 314 mm³; and CAPE + CPA group, 214 ± 42 mm³. TGI% on day 57 was 55% in CPA group, 42% in CPA group, and 99% in CAPE + CPA group. The TV of the CPA, CAPE, and CAPE + CPA groups was significantly lower compared to that of the control [2ndL] group (p < 0.05, Figure 1).

3.2. IHC of TP in Tumor Tissue

The results of IHC on TP in tumor tissues obtained on day 50 are shown in Figure 2 and Table 1. The score of positive staining area in the CPA group was significantly higher than that of the control [2ndL] group (p < 0.05). The score of positive staining strength of the CPA group was also significantly higher than that of the control [2ndL] group (p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

In a phase III trial (E2100), BEV in combination with PTX significantly prolonged progression-free survival and increased the objective response rate compared with PTX alone in patients with metastatic breast cancer [11]. On the other hand, combination therapy of CAPE + CPA is considered to be effective for HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer [12]. In preclinical study, using an MX-1 xenograft model, it has been reported that antitu-
Table 1. IHC score of TP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Control [2nd L] group</th>
<th>CPA group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mouse No.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive staining area*</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive staining strength*</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IHC was evaluated by scoring the positive staining area and positive staining strength of each mouse in the CPA and control [2nd L] groups. Scores are represented as: +, slightly positive; ++, moderately positive; ++++, markedly positive. The statistical analysis was performed after quantification of the scores as described in Materials and Methods. *p < 0.05 CPA vs control group by Wilcoxon test.

Figure 2. IHC of TP in tumor tissues from mice treated with CPA or vehicle as the 2nd-line treatment. Mice were treated as described in Figure 1. The selected mice were randomized into control [2nd L] and CPA groups of 6 mice each on day 36. CPA or DW as a vehicle was given daily for 14 days. The tumors were collected on day 50 for IHC of TP.

Figure 2. IHC of TP in tumor tissues from mice treated with CPA or vehicle as the 2nd-line treatment. Mice were treated as described in Figure 1. The selected mice were randomized into control [2nd L] and CPA groups of 6 mice each on day 36. CPA or DW as a vehicle was given daily for 14 days. The tumors were collected on day 50 for IHC of TP.
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colorectal cancer xenograft models [7]. PTX has been reported to increase the level of TP in xenografted tumors [16], and, therefore, the TP level in tumor would be increased by PTX + BEV treatment in the 1st-line treatment in our study. However, because antitumor activity gradually receded during the 1st-line treatment, the amount in tumor tissue caused by facilitated conversion from CAPE by TP. These results are similar to that in the 2nd-line treatment, CAPE + CPA combination showed an extremely high antitumor activity compared to CAPE or CPA monotherapy. Because the TP level in tumor was upregulated by CPA treatment, the superior antitumor effect of CAPE + CPA combination compared to CAPE monotherapy may be attributed to the increased 5-FU level in tumor tissue caused by facilitated conversion from CAPE by TP. These results are similar to that in the 1st-line therapy reported previously [15].

Our preclinical results suggest that the CAPE + CPA combination therapy may be effective as a 2nd-line therapy for progressive disease after PTX + BEV 1st-line treatment in TNBC patients.
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