Induction of Apoptosis in Lung Cancer Cells by TRAIL and L-Leucyl-L-Leucine Methyl Ester
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ABSTRACT

Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is a member of the tumor necrosis factor superfamily that induces apoptosis in many tumor cells. Previous studies suggested that TRAIL treatment might also cause release of lysosomal cathepsin proteases to the cytosol, thus further promoting apoptosis. L-leucyl-L-leucine methyl ester (LeuLeuOMe) is a lysosome-destabilizing agent that may cause release of cathepsins into the cytosol and ensuing apoptosis. We hypothesized that a combination of TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe may synergistically promote apoptosis in lung cancer cells. The human epidermoid lung carcinoma cell line Calu-1 (TRAIL-resistant) and human large cell lung carcinoma cell line NCI-H460 (TRAIL-sensitive) were assayed for sensitivity to TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe, given alone or in different combination doses. Each agent alone induced a dose-dependent cytotoxicity, with substantially different efficacies of the two agents for the two cell types. When both agents were combined, synergistic cytotoxicity was achieved even in the TRAIL-resistant cells. TRAIL-induced cytotoxicity was completely inhibited by pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk, but not by cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d. Conversely, E-64d totally blocked LeuLeuOMe-induced cytotoxicity. TRAIL caused mitochondrial damage, while enlarged lysosomes and lysosomal rupture were observed in LeuLeuOMe-treated cells. Our data suggest that, while TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe cause apoptosis through pathways that differ in their involvement of lysosomal cysteine proteases, mitochondrial and lysosomal destabilization have converging pro-apoptotic effects. Thus, the synergy of TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe may be used therapeutically to promote apoptosis in lung cancers, even those with intrinsic or acquired resistance to TRAIL.
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1. Introduction

Many lung tumors and their metastases are resistant to chemotherapeutic or radiation-therapy due to their ability to evade apoptosis [1-3]. Thus, facilitating apoptosis or restoring inherently inactive apoptosis pathways in resistant tumors would enhance the efficacy of cytotoxic agents [4,5].

TRAIL (tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand) is a member of the TNF family with a dual role in tumor defense [6,7]. It is of special interest for cancer therapy since it selectively kills cancer cells while sparing normal cells [7-9] and is also involved in immune surveillance against tumor metastasis [9-11]. Studies on TRAIL knockout mice showed a critical role for TRAIL in suppressing tumor initiation and metastasis [12,13]. On the other hand, hypoxia inside fast growing solid tumors or long-term exposure to cytotoxic drugs can result in acquired apoptosis resistance to TRAIL and other therapeutic agents in tumor cells [14-16]. Depending on the cell type, TRAIL-induced apoptosis in tumor cells is mediated through both mitochondria-dependent and/or-independent pathways [17-20].

Recently, destabilization of lysosomes and release of lysosomal cathepsin proteases and other lysosomal contents have been implicated in apoptotic cell death, and there is increasing evidence for the existence of a lysosomal pathway of apoptosis [14,21-23,25-30]. For lung cancer, the extent to which endogenous lysosomal cathepsins either promote or diminish the spread of tumors is unknown, as is their role in cancer progression versus apoptosis. However, destabilization of lysosomes and lysosomal rupture in response to certain pro-apoptotic stimuli may be the first step in cathepsin-mediated activation
of the apoptosis cascade [1,2,23,25-30].

We hypothesized that activation of this lysosomal apoptosis pathway in TRAIL-resistant lung cancers may enhance TRAIL-induced apoptosis. This concept is based on several considerations: 1) TRAIL-based therapies are now being tested for prevention of tumor spread and metastasis; 2) TRAIL is cytotoxic for many malignant cells but not normal cells; 3) lysosomotropic drugs may enhance TRAIL susceptibility in inherently resistant cells; 4) combined TRAIL/LeuLeuOMe treatment may restore apoptosis pathways that are deficient in some cells. Therefore, we have tested the cytotoxic effects and activation of pro-apoptotic proteases after exposure of lung cancer cell lines to TRAIL and/or the lysosomotropic drug LeuLeuOMe. Our results suggest that the combination clearly has pro-apoptotic synergy, even in the case of TRAIL-resistant cells.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

Recombinant human TRAIL, LysoTracker Green DND-26, and MitoTracker CMXRos were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). LeuLeuOMe and z-VAD-fmk (carbobenzoxy-Val-Ala-Asp-fluoromethylketone) were purchased from Bachem (Torrance, CA). E-64d [(L-3-trans-ethoxycarbonyloxirane-2-carbonyl)-L-leucine (3-methylbutyl) amide] was from Peptides International (Louisville, KY), z-IETD-fmk (carbobenzoxy-Ile-Glu-Thr-Asp-fluoromethylketone), z-LEHD-fmk (carbobenzoxy-Leu-Glu(OMe)-His-Asp(OMe)-fluoro methylketone), and Annexin V recombinant antibody were from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). HBSS (Hank's balanced salt solution) and sulforhodamine B was from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Fluo-3 and Hoechst 33342 stain were from EMD Biosciences (San Diego, CA). LeuLeuOMe and LysoTracker Green DND-26, and MitoTracker CMXRos were purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). E-64d [(L-3-trans-ethoxycarbonyloxirane-2-carbonyl)-L-leucine (3-methylbutyl) amide] was from Peptides International (Louisville, KY), z-IETD-fmk (carbobenzoxy-Ile-Glu-Thr-Asp-fluoromethylketone), z-LEHD-fmk (carbobenzoxy-Leu-Glu(OMe)-His-Asp(OMe)-fluoro methylketone), and Annexin V recombinant antibody were from BD Pharmingen (San Diego, CA). HBSS (Hank’s balanced salt solution) was from Lonza (Walkersville, MD).

2.2. Cell Lines and Cultures

The human non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cell lines Calu-1 (pleural lung metastasis, adenocarcinoma; ATCC # HTB-54) and NCI-H460 (large cell lung carcinoma; ATCC # HTB-177) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium (Calu-1) or RPMI 1640 medium (NCI-H460), each supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 20 units/ml penicillin, 20 µg/ml streptomycin (all from Cellgro, Herndon, VA) and 10 % FBS (Atlanta Biologicals, Lawrenceville, GA). Cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO2.

2.3. Cytotoxicity Assays

Cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 8000 cells per well in triplicate. Following attachment (after 24 h), cells were treated with different concentrations of TRAIL (20, 40, 100 or 200 ng/ml for Calu-1 cells; 2, 10, 20 or 40 ng/ml for NCI-H460 cells), or LeuLeuOMe (0.2, 0.4, 1.0 or 2.0 mM), or a combination of both agents. Control cells received only the solvent dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). Where indicated, cell-permeable enzyme inhibitors were added 2 h before treatments. Cytotoxicity was assessed after 6 h using the sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay [31]. Briefly, the medium was discarded, and the adherent cells were fixed by 100 µl of cold 10% trichloroacetic acid (w/v) in each well for 1 h at 4°C. The plate was then washed 5 times with deionized water and air-dried. Cells were stained with 50 µl/well of 0.4% (w/v, in 1% acetic acid) SRB solution for 20 min at 22°C, and then washed 5 times with 1% acetic acid. After air-drying, 100 µl of 10 mM Tris (pH 10.5) was added to each well and the absorbance was read at 530 nm. Cytotoxicity is expressed as the percent of cells in treated wells relative to number of cells in the solvent only control set to 100%. Each experiment was performed independently at least 2 times in triplicate and cytotoxicities are given as means ±SD.

2.4. Caspase Activity Assays

Caspase-3, -8, or -9 activity in cultured cells was measured using the respective fluorometric caspase activity assay kits according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The cell pellet of 1 million cells was resuspended in 50 µl sample buffer. After centrifugation, 50 µl of cleared lysates was transferred to a 96-well plate, mixed with 50 µl assay buffer and 10 µl of fluorescence labeled corresponding caspase substrate DEVD, IETD, or LEDH. Using excitation at 400 nm and emission at 505 nm, the plate was read immediately, and again after incubation at 37°C for 2 h. Caspase activity was expressed as increase in relative fluorescence units (RFU) per million cells between the two readings.

2.5. Fluorescence Microscopy

The integrity of mitochondria and lysosomes was visualized based on the uptake of MitoTracker Red CMXRos and LysoTracker Green DND-26. Briefly, MitoTracker was added to the cells at a final concentration of 25 nM. After 25 min of incubation at 37°C, LysoTracker and Hoechst 33342 were added to the cells at final concentrations of 75 nM and 0.5%, respectively. After incubation for 5 min, culture medium was removed, changed to HBSS, and cells were observed with a Nikon fluorescence microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY). Cell images
were captured with a Nikon TE-FM Epi-Fluorescence system, pseudocolored using Metamorph software (Universal Imaging Corporation, Buckinghamshire, UK) according to the corresponding dye color.

2.6. Flow Cytometry
Cells were treated for 6 hrs with LeuLeuOMe (2 mM), TRAIL (200 ng/ml for Calu-1; 40 ng/ml for H460), or combined agents (2mM LeuLeuOMe plus 200 ng/ml TRAIL for Calu-1, or 2 ng/ml for H460). Cells were trypsinized, washed in ice-cold PBS, resuspended in 1X Binding Buffer (1 × 10^5 cells/0.1 ml in 10 mM Hepes pH 7.4, 2.5 mM CaCl2, 140 mM NaCl), and Annexin V-APC (5 μl) added per 10^5 cells for 15 min at RT. Cells were analyzed on a FACS Calibur using FlowJo software (BD Biosciences; Franklin Lakes, NJ).

2.7. Statistical Analysis
Data were expressed as mean values ±S.D. Statistical analysis was determined by two-tailed Student’s t-test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results
3.1. Differential Resistance of Lung Cancer Cell Lines to TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe
Cytotoxicity assays confirmed that NCI-H460 cells were very sensitive to TRAIL with nearly 90% cell death at <50 ng/ml, whereas Calu-1 cells were highly resistant to TRAIL up to 200 ng/ml for a 6 hour exposure (Figure 1(a)) [32]. Interestingly, similar differential sensitivity was also observed for LeuLeuOMe treatment, although the difference between cell lines was not as pronounced as for TRAIL (Figure 1(b)).

To investigate whether the remaining viable H460 cells following exposure to TRAIL at 200 ng/ml for 6 hour (Figure 1(a)) represented a sub-population, the surviving treated cells were allowed to recover for 90 h and then re-exposed to either 40 or 200 ng/ml TRIAL for 6 h. The recovered cells showed 54% cytotoxicity at 40 ng/ml TRAIL and 71% cytotoxicity at 200 ng/ml TRAIL, compared to 84% and 91%, respectively, for cells not pre-exposed to TRAIL (not shown). This indicates that the residual resistance to TRAIL did not involve a particularly resistant sub-population of H460 cells.

3.2. TRAIL Induces Cathepsin-Independent Apoptosis in Lung Cancer Cell Lines
The effects of various protease inhibitors on TRAIL cytotoxicity were determined (Figure 2). Calu-1 or NCI-H460 cells were treated with TRAIL (40 ng/ml for 6 hours) in the absence or presence of pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk or cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d. For both cell lines, z-VAD-fmk completely inhibited TRAIL cytotoxicity, whereas only negligible effects were observed for E-64d (Figure 2(a)).

Examination of caspase activities showed that TRAIL treatment resulted in strong activation of caspase-3, and several-fold increases of caspase-8 and caspase-9 (Figure 2(b)). z-VAD-fmk completely blocked caspase-3 activation as well as activation of caspase-8 and caspase-9. However, E-64d did not affect activation of these caspases. These data indicate that TRAIL-induced apoptosis in both cell lines does not involve endogenous cysteine proteases.

Fluorescence microscopy after staining with Mitotracker Red CMXROS, LysoTracker Green DND-26, and Hoechst 33342 showed that many of the Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells had typical apoptotic morphology such as condensed and fragmented nuclei after TRAIL treatment (Figure 2(c)). In both cell types, TRAIL treatment caused mitochondria to lose their fine structure and be

Figure 1. Differential resistance of lung cancer cell lines to TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe. Calu-1 (●) or NCI-H460 (□) cells were treated for 6 hours with different concentrations of TRAIL from 0 to 200 ng/ml (a) or LeuLeuOMe from 0 to 2.0 mM (b), followed by SRB assays to determine dose-dependent cytotoxicity. Each point indicates the mean ±SD of 3 replicate measurements.
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Figure 2. TRAIL-induced cathepsin-independent apoptosis in lung cancer cell lines. Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells were treated with 40 ng/ml TRAIL for 6 hours. a: Cytotoxicity was measured using SRB assay after pre-treatment of cells with 20 \( \mu \)M protease inhibitors z-VAD-fmk (Z) or E-64d (E) for 2 hours, followed by TRAIL treatment. Calu-1, open bars; NCI-H460, closed bars. b: Activity assays for caspase-3 (black bars), caspase-8 (open bars), and caspase-9 (grey bars) for cells treated as in a. Caspase activity is expressed in relative fluorescence units (RFU). Each bar indicates the mean ±SD of 3 replicate measurements. */** vs. control, * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \); +/++ vs. TRAIL, + \( p < 0.05 \), ++ \( p < 0.01 \). c: Fluorescence microscopy images of TRAIL-treated Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells after co-staining with LysoTracker Green DND-26, MitoTracker Red CMXRos and Hoechst 33342 (overlays, bar: 25 \( \mu \)m). Individual images for LysoTracker and MitoTracker are also shown together with selected enlarged regions (boxed insets: 40 \( \mu \)m).

3.3. LeuLeuOMe Triggers Cathepsin-Dependent Apoptosis in Lung Cancer Cell Lines

The effects of various protease inhibitors on LeuLeuOMe cytotoxicity were determined (Figure 3). Calu-1 or NCI-H460 cells were treated with LeuLeuOMe (1.0 mM for 6 hours) in the absence or presence of pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk or cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d (Figure 3(a)). As shown above (Figure 1(b)), LeuLeuOMe treatment caused moderate cytotoxicity in Calu-1 cells (~15%) and NCI-H460 cells (~35%). For Calu-1 cells, LeuLeuOMe cytotoxicity was completely blocked by z-VAD-fmk and partially blocked by E-64d. Intriguingly, a 2- to 3-fold enhancing effect was observed for E-64d alone, reflecting a slight toxicity at the applied dose of 20 \( \mu \)M for Calu-1. On the other hand, LeuOMe-induced cytotoxicity in NCI-H460 cells could be significantly inhibited by z-VAD-fmk and completely blocked by E-64d. For H460 cells, E64d at 20 \( \mu \)M was not cytotoxic (Figure 3(a)).

Examination of caspase activation in Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells after LeuLeuOMe treatment revealed that both cell types have similar responses (Figure 3(b)). There was a strong increase for caspase-3, a moderate increase for caspase-9, and no detectable change for caspase-8 in treated cells compared to control cells. Pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk and E-64d completely blocked caspase-3 and caspase-9 activation following exposure to LeuLeuOMe.

When exposed to LeuLeuOMe, both cell lines also exhibited typical apoptotic morphology including condensed and fragmented nuclei. In LeuLeuOMe-treated cells, there was pronounced lysosomal rupture, while mitochondrial integrity was relatively unaffected (Figure 3(c)).
3.4. Caspases 8 and 9 Play Key Roles in TRAIL- or LeuLeuOMe-induced Apoptosis in Lung Cancer Cell Lines

The effect of caspase-8 inhibitor z-IETD-fmk or caspase-9 inhibitor z-LEHD-fmk on TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe cytotoxicity was also assessed (Figure 4). Calu-1 or NCI-H460 cells were treated with TRAIL (40 ng/ml for 6 hours) or LeuLeuOMe (1.0 mM for 6 hours) in the absence or presence of z-IETD-fmk or z-LEHD-fmk. For both cell lines, z-IETD-fmk completely inhibited the cytotoxic effects of both TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe, although only at higher concentration (80 µM) for NCI-H460 cells compared to Calu-1 cells (20 µM). On the other hand, the caspase-9 inhibitor only partially blocked the effects of both agents, with ~70% - 80% inhibition for TRAIL and ~50% - 60% inhibition for LeuLeuOMe.

3.5. TRAIL plus LeuLeuOMe Synergistically Induce Partially Cathepsin-dependent Apoptosis in Lung Cancer Cell Lines

We examined whether the cytotoxic effects of TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe would be synergistic (Figure 5). TRAIL-resistant Calu-1 cells showed approximately 15% toxicity at 200 ng/ml TRAIL alone, and only ~25% toxicity at 2 mM LeuLeuOMe alone. However, combinations of TRAIL (from 2 to 200 ng/ml) and LeuLeuOMe (from 0.2 to 2.0 mM) for 6 hours caused significant synergistic cytotoxicity. Co-treatment with TRAIL (40 ng/ml) and LeuLeuOMe (2.0 mM) resulted in 90% toxicity for Calu-1 (Figure 5(a)). In TRAIL-sensitive NCI-H460 cells, similar synergistic effects were achieved at much lower doses of TRAIL (2 to 20 ng/ml) and LeuLeuOMe (0.2 to 1.0 mM). These cells showed ~85% toxicity at 40 ng/ml TRAIL alone, while LeuLeuOMe alone at 2 mM caused ~50% toxicity. Co-treatment resulted in 95% toxicity at 2 ng/ml TRAIL plus 2 mM LeuLeuOMe, or at 40 ng/ml TRAIL plus 0.2 mM LeuLeuOMe (Figure 5(a)). Synergy was verified by isobole plots for 50% cytotoxicity (ED 50) in both Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells [33]. Both curves were distinctly concave for combination treatment data, indicating true synergy between TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe when co-administered (Figure 5(b)).
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Figure 4. Caspase-8 and caspase-9 as key regulators during TRAIL- or LeuLeuOMe-induced apoptosis in lung cancer cell lines. Cytotoxicity for Calu-1 (black bars) or NCI-H460 (white bars) cells was measured using SRB assays; each bar indicates the mean ± SD of 3 replicate measurements. Pre-treatment was done for 2 hours with caspase-8 inhibitor z-IETD-fmk or caspase-9 inhibitor z-LEHD-fmk (20 μM for Calu-1 cells; 80 μM for NCI-H460 cells). (a) Cytotoxicity after treatment with 40 ng/ml TRAIL for 6 hours. +/++ vs. TRAIL, +p < 0.05, ++p < 0.01. (b) Cytotoxicity after treatment with 1.0 mM LeuLeuOMe for 6 hours. +/++ vs. LeuLeuOMe, +p < 0.05, ++p < 0.01.

When treated cells were allowed to recover from exposure and re-assayed for viability, the combination treatment permanently inhibited cell proliferation from which both cell types could not recover after 4 days (Figure 5(c)). This effect was not seen for treatments with TRAIL alone. Intriguingly, Calu-1 cells were also permanently affected by LeuLeuOMe alone whereas NCI-H460 cells could recover, further supporting the notion that the two agents affect different apoptotic pathways in different cell types.

Cytotoxicities were determined for combinations of two different TRAIL (20 or 40 ng/ml for Calu-1 cells; 2 or 10 ng/ml for NCI-H460 cells) plus the same LeuLeuOMe concentration (0.4 mM), either in the absence or presence of protease inhibitors (Figure 6). As shown above, higher TRAIL dose induced higher cytotoxicity at the same dose of LeuLeuOMe. For both cell lines, the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk or caspase-8 inhibitor z-IETD-fmk totally blocked the synergistic toxicity achieved by TRAIL plus LeuLeuOMe, whereas the cysteine protease inhibitor E-64d only partially inhibited this combined effect (Figure 6(a)). Interestingly, the cytotoxicity in the presence of E-64d at either low or high TRAIL concentration plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe was close to the cytotoxicity caused by the two corresponding TRAIL concentrations alone. E-64d only fractionally inhibited cell death, presumably blocking the effect of LeuLeuOMe but not that of TRAIL. The caspase-9 inhibitor z-LEHD-fmk also only partially inhibited cytotoxicity of both combination treatments especially in NCI-H460 cells.

Examination of caspase activities demonstrated similar effects for both the TRAIL-resistant Calu-1 and the TRAIL-sensitive NCI-H460 cell lines. Co-treatment for 6 hours with TRAIL (40 ng/ml for Calu-1; 10 ng/ml for NCI-H460) plus LeuLeuOMe (0.4 mM for both Calu-1 and NCI-H460) activated caspase-3, caspase-8, and caspase-9. This effect was completely reversed by the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk, but only partially reversed by E-64d (Figure 6(b)). As above, presumably only the LeuLeuOMe-mediated cytotoxicity was inhibited by E-64d.
Figure 5. Synergistic effects of TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe on cytotoxicity in lung cancer cell lines. Calu-1 or NCI-H460 cells were treated with TRAIL and/or LeuLeuOMe as detailed below. Cytotoxicity was measured using SRB assay; each graph shows the mean ±SD of 3 replicate measurements. a: Cytotoxicity after treatment for 6 hours with TRAIL alone (0 - 200 ng/ml for Calu-1 cells; 0 - 40 ng/ml for NCI-H460 cells), LeuLeuOMe alone (0 - 2.0 mM), or combinations of the two with LeuLeuOMe at: ◊, 0 mM; X, 0.2 mM; ▽, 0.4 mM; ◄, 1.0 mM; +, 2.0 mM. b: Isobolographs for 50% cytotoxicity in Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells, both exhibiting concave curves that indicate synergy. c: Recovery of cells after treatment with TRAIL and/or LeuLeuOMe. Cells were treated on day 1 for 6 hours with TRAIL only (200 ng/ml for Calu-1; 40 ng/ml for H460), LeuLeuOMe only (2 mM for each), or a combination of both (TRAIL at 200 ng/ml for Calu-1 and 2 ng/ml for H460, LeuLeuOMe at 2 mM for each). After recovery for an additional 3.5 days in regular media, the surviving cell numbers were determined by SRB assay. d1, d4: percent of cells at day 1 or day 4 relative to positive control at day 1, respectively; positive control: media only, vehicle control: media plus DMSO solvent.

After co-treatment with TRAIL (40 ng/ml for Calu-1 cells, 10 ng/ml for NCI-H460 cells) plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe for 6 hours, both cell lines exhibited typical apoptotic morphological features, such as condensed and fragmented nuclei. Lysosomes apparently became fewer and enlarged, and mitochondria appeared to have lost their fine structure (Figure 6(c)).

Combination treatment resulted in increased expression of the early apoptosis marker protein Annexin V in both cell lines, and lead to some (Calu-1) or substantial (NCI-H460) nuclear collapse and DNA condensation (Figure 7). DNA condensation was visible even in NCI-H460 cells treated with only TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe, but not in Calu-1 cells. The numbers of affected cells observed by immunofluorescence and flow cytometry are slightly different, since for flow cytometry all attached and detached cells were used, whereas for Hoechst staining only attached cells were visualized.

Copyright © 2011 SciRes.
Figure 6. Effects of combination treatments on lung cancer cell lines. (a) Cytotoxicity after pretreatment of cells for 2 hours with protease inhibitors z-VAD-fmk (Z, 20 μM), E-64d (E, 20 μM), z-IETD-fmk (C8I, 80 μM for Calu-1 cells; 20 μM for NCI-H460 cells), or z-LEHD-fmk (C9I, 80 μM for Calu-1 cells; 20 μM for NCI-H460 cells). This was followed by combination treatment for Calu-1 cells (0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe plus 20 ng/ml TRAIL, open bars, or plus 40 ng/ml TRAIL, grey bars) or for NCI-H460 cells (0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe plus 2 ng/ml TRAIL, open bars, or plus 10 ng/ml TRAIL, grey bars). (b) Caspase activity after treatment with TRAIL alone (40 ng/ml for Calu-1 cells; 10 ng/ml for NCI-H460 cells), LeuLeuOMe alone (0.4 mM for both cells), or a combination (40 ng/ml TRAIL plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe for Calu-1 cells; 10 ng/ml TRAIL plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe for NCI-H460 cells); pre-treatment of cells with 20 μM of z-VAD-fmk (Z) or E-64d (E) for 2 hours was done as in a. Activity for caspase-3 (black bars), caspase-8 (open bars), and caspase-9 (grey bars) is expressed in relative fluorescence units (RFU). †/†† vs. TRAIL, †p < 0.05, ††p < 0.01; ‡/‡‡ vs. the combination of TRAIL plus LeuLeuOMe, ‡p < 0.05, ‡‡p < 0.01. (c) Fluorescence microscopy images of cells after combination treatment (40 ng/ml TRAIL plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe for Calu-1 cells; 10 ng/ml TRAIL plus 0.4 mM LeuLeuOMe for NCI-H460 cells) and co-staining with LysoTracker Green DND-26, MitoTracker Red CMXRos and Hoechst 33342 (overlays, bar: 25 μm). Individual images for LysoTracker and MitoTracker are also shown together with selected enlarged regions (boxed insets: 40 μm).
Figure 7. Combined treatment with TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe causes apoptosis in both TRAIL-resistant and TRAIL-sensitive lung cancer cell lines. a. Flow cytometry for Annexin V staining of Calu-1 and NCI-H460 cells treated for 6 hours with TRAIL only (200 ng/ml for Calu-1; 40 ng/ml for H460), LeuLeuOMe only (2 mM for each), a combination of both (TRAIL at 200 ng/ml for Calu-1 or 2 ng/ml for H460, LeuLeuOMe at 2 mM for each), or of untreated control cells. Treated cells are shown as blue lines, untreated control cells as red lines. b. Fluorescence images of nuclei stained with Hoechst dye of NCI-H460 and Calu-1 cells treated with TRAIL and/or LeuLeuOMe as in a (scale bar 2 μm). Selected areas (white boxes) are shown as 5x magnified insets.

4. Discussion

We are exploring novel treatment options for facilitating cell death in lung cancers with intrinsic or acquired resistance to apoptosis-inducing therapeutic agents. As model system, we have used a TRAIL-resistant and a TRAIL-sensitive lung carcinoma cell line, and LeuLeuOMe as lysosome-destabilizing drug. The molecular events that render some lung tumors resistant to TRAIL-mediated apoptosis, and the role of lysosomal proteases in this process, are unclear. TRAIL is a particularly promising therapeutic agent since it is non-toxic.
to normal cells but has consistently shown toxicity towards most tumor cells tested, making it suitable for local or even systemic delivery. Furthermore, various lysosome-stabilizing drugs are in fact available [26,28,29,34-36], although none of them has actually been tested in the context of triggering lysosome-mediated apoptosis for therapeutic applications.

4.1. Sensitivities to TRAIL Are Paralleled by Differential Sensitivities to LeuLeuOMe

As described previously [32], Calu-1 cells were highly TRAIL-resistant, whereas NCI-H460 cells were very TRAIL-sensitive. We showed here that LeuLeuOMe sensitivity paralleled TRAIL sensitivity, although less pronounced. Interestingly, our treatment/recovery protocol showed that any low-level residual TRAIL resistance in NCI-H460 cells was only transient since the cells could re-establish most of their sensitivity over time.

Our data showed that TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe apparently use different but converging pathways for their cytotoxic effects, since their dose-response curves differed and cytotoxicities were differentially affected by a cysteine protease inhibitor (Figure 8). On the other hand, both pathways involve caspase-mediated events, since caspase activities increased after treatment and cytotoxic effects were totally blocked by a pan-caspase inhibitor. TRAIL activated all three caspases with the highest increase for caspase-3, whereas LeuLeuOMe activated mainly caspase-3 and -9 but not caspase-8; this response was also seen in the co-treatment regimens. Sensitivity to LeuLeuOMe was lessened by the cysteine protease inhibitor whereas TRAIL sensitivity was not affected, indicating that the LeuLeuOMe-mediated pathway involves lysosomal cysteine proteases, presumably cathepsin B and/or cathepsin L [14,24,25]. On the other hand, involvement of cathepsins has been reported for the TRAIL-mediated pathway as well, and alternative complementary pathways acting simultaneously with and without participation of cathepsins were observed in tumor cells [14,25-27]. Thus, involvement of lysosomal cathepsins appears to be tumor- or cell type-specific.

As predicted by our model, pre-incubation with the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk resulted in inhibition of cytotoxicity, which was paralleled by prevention of caspase activation for either TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe treatment. However, caspase activation was blocked by E-64d only for LeuLeuOMe-mediated but not for TRAIL-mediated cytotoxicity, again reflecting the differential involvement of cysteine proteases. When using inhibitors specific for either caspase-8 or caspase-9, the residual cytotoxicity observed in the presence of the caspase-9 inhibitor suggests that the mitochondria-mediated pathway may be used as alternative but not essential pathway in this system. Such an amplification role has been described previously for this pathway [14,21].

4.2. Synergistic Effects of TRAIL Plus LeuLeuOMe Combination Treatments

An important observation with clinical implications was that TRAIL plus LeuLeuOMe co-treatment resulted in substantial TRAIL sensitization of the intrinsically resistant Calu-1 cells. This effect was clearly synergistic and may enable potential therapeutic application once further tested and optimized for additional cell lines in vitro and in vivo [29,30]. Also, the combination treatment elicited a cytotoxic effect from which both cell types could not recover after several days, whereas this effect was not seen for individual treatments with either TRAIL or LeuLeuOMe alone.

Pre-incubation with E-64d partially reduced the effect of combination treatment in both cell lines. This decreased cytotoxicity presumably was caused by inhibition of the LeuLeuOMe-induced effect only, since it reduced cytotoxicity to a level equal to the same TRAIL dose alone. Thus, E-64d only protected the cells from LeuLeuOMe-induced but not from TRAIL-induced cytotoxicity in the combination treatment. This confirmed that the TRAIL-induced pathway does not involve endogenous cysteine proteases, but rather involved only the caspase-dependent pathway. As for the individual treatments, synergistic cytotoxicity of the combination treatment in both cell lines could be completely inhibited by the pan-caspase inhibitor z-VAD-fmk, demonstrating that both TRAIL and LeuLeuOMe cytotoxicity involved caspase activation.

Direct observation of organelles in co-treated cells also
showed that lysosomes became fewer in numbers, some of the residual lysosomes were enlarged, and that mitochondrial integrity was partially lost, reflecting an at least partial destabilization of these key organelles.

4.3. Therapeutic Implications

The long-term goal of this work is to validate the lysosomal apoptosis pathway and its therapeutic potential in vivo. A nude mouse xenograft model using human lung carcinoma cells and local co-administration of TRAIL and/or lysosome-destabilizing drugs will be a suitable test system for toxicity and efficacy studies. Tumor-specific delivery of lysosomotropic drug may be feasible using drug-conjugated nanoparticles to specifically target tumor cells. Conceivably, drug-mediated destabilization of lysosomes can sensitize lung cancer cells to TRAIL, and potentially to other cell death-inducing therapeutic agents [29,30]. Combined drug-TRAIL treatment for therapeutic applications may allow lowering systemic doses, resulting in less toxicity and higher efficacy than TRAIL or drug alone. This may lead to a novel strategy for preventing tumor progression and metastatic spread even of apoptosis-resistant lung tumors [26,28-30].

4.4. Conclusions

Intrinsic TRAIL resistance in lung carcinoma cell lines can be overcome by co-treatment with a lysosome-destabilizing drug. The cytotoxic effect of co-treatment with TRAIL plus a lysosome-disrupting drug is synergistic and substantially lowers the effective TRAIL dose. The lysosome-mediated apoptosis pathway involves cysteine proteases, whereas the TRAIL-mediated pathway does not. This synergistic co-treatment may enable novel therapeutic treatment options for lung carcinomas with intrinsic or acquired resistance to tumor cell cytotoxic treatment agents.
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