A Dead Sea Water-Enriched Body Cream Improves Skin Severity Scores in Children with Atopic Dermatitis
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ABSTRACT*

Dead Sea (DS) mud and water are known for their unique composition of minerals, and for their therapeutic properties on inflammatory skin diseases. The objective of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of an emollient cream enriched with DS water in children with atopic dermatitis (AD). Eighty-six AD children were randomized in a double-blind controlled study to receive twice-daily topical treatment with a body cream enriched with DS minerals (TP) compared to two types of control: 1) DM, DS minerals with lower DS water concentrations than TP, and 2) an emollient (E) with no DS minerals. Efficacy was assessed by a change in clinical skin severity scores: SCORing Atopic Dermatitis (SCORAD), investigator’s global assessment (IGA) and patient global assessment (PGA) as well as by objective physiological parameters: transepidermal water loss (TEWL), stratum corneum hydration (SCH), affected body surface area (BSA) and Objective Severity Assessment of Atopic Dermatitis (OSAAD). The total length of the trial was 12 weeks divided to 6 visits at weeks 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12. The study showed that both TP and DM creams improved OSAAD scores. Only TP improved TEWL and SCH. TP was the most effective regarding TEWL, SCH and OSAAD compared to DM and E. Treatment with E decreased more significantly IGA score compared to TP. Although within each treatment group significant improvements in SCH, BSA, SCORAD, IGA and PGA were observed, the reduction in BSA, SCORAD and PGA was not significantly different among the groups. Our results clearly show the benefits of TP as a leave on-skin emulsion enriched with DS water in terms of skin barrier function. Thus, TP can serve as an effective adjuvant treatment for AD skin as well as for its maintenance.
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1. Introduction

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a frequent Th2-mediated inflammatory disease, which occurs in persons of all ages but is more common in children, with the prevalence rate of around 10% to 20%. Its chronically relapsing course is influenced by local, immunological, genetic and environmental factors [1-3]. Dry skin, intense pruritus, impaired epidermal barrier function and a susceptibility to cutaneous infections are major features of AD [4,5].

Topical treatments focus on reducing both the dryness and inflammation of the skin. Daily application of moisturizing emollients is crucial to improve dry and inflamed skin [4,6-8].

The beneficial effects of Dead Sea (DS) climathotherapy in inflammatory skin disorders had been repeatedly demonstrated. [9-11]. DS minerals (i.e. DS mud and DS water) composition is especially rich in magnesium, calcium, sodium, potassium, zinc, strontium, sulphides and bromides [12,13]. Some of these minerals are known to influence signal transduction and cell metabolism: it has been demonstrated that Mg2+ ions inhibit the antigen-presenting function of human epidermal Langerhans cells in vivo and in vitro [14,15]. Bathing in a magnesium-rich Dead Sea salt solution improves skin barrier function, enhances skin hydration, and reduces inflammation in atopic dry skin [16]. Zinc is involved in epidermal proliferation and wound healing [17]. The thera-
peutic properties of the mud are ascribed to its content of reduced sulphur species, its physical and chemical properties and its mineral content [18]. Therapeutic value of DS minerals, reported on various inflammatory skin disorders, such as psoriasis, contact and atopic dermatitis, might be enhanced by easier trans-epidermal penetration in lesional areas, due to stratum corneum damage [19]. Alternatively, limited penetration may be enough to activate signaling pathways by receptor binding [17,20], or even non-binding activation may occur [21].

Dermud™ cream (DM) and Clineral Topic™ Body cream (TP) were formulated as a cosmeceutical compositions by Ahava-Dead Sea Laboratories Ltd and patented by Dead Sea Laboratories LTD (US patent 6582689).

DM is a commercial product, especially designed for dry and sensitive, but otherwise healthy skin and contains 1.9% Dead Sea mud and 1% Dead Sea water. Previous studies revealed that DM has protective, anti-oxidant and anti-inflammatory properties that can antagonize biological effects of UVB irradiation in skin explants [22]. Based on its beneficial effects on normal skin, the need to develop a cream containing DS minerals for atopic disordered skin had been arisen.

Clineral Topic™ Body cream (TP), was specially designed to alleviate symptoms related to AD. It contains 1.8% DS mud and 2.5% Dead Sea water and hence, contains a higher 2.5-fold content of DS water than to DM. Significant improvement of clinical inflammatory signs skin barrier function was lately reported in patients with AD following bathing in DS water [16]. Therefore, we hypothesized that DS water may be valuable in the treatment of AD. The aim of the present study was to investigate the safety and efficacy of topical application with TP versus DM and versus an emollient control cream with no DS minerals but with active ingredients (E) in the treatment of AD in children.

2. Methods
2.1. Study Design
The present study was a randomized controlled double blind trial carried out between July 2005 to December 2007 and between May 2008 to July 2008 in the Pediatric Dermatology Unit, Schneider Children’s Medical Center, Israel.

Efficacy was assessed by a change in objective and subjective severity assessment parameters of AD. The total length of the trial was 12 weeks divided to 6 visits at weeks 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12. Assessment of all efficacy scales performed at visits 1 - 6 and was documented and defined as baseline at visit 1 (week 0).

The study was presented and approved by the Institutional and Ministry of Health Ethics Committee, approval number 920050440.

2.2. Study Population
Eighty six children aged 2 to 10 years with mild or moderate AD were enrolled to the study. The diagnosis of AD has been established using modified Hanifin-Rajka criteria [23]. The patients had no other significant concurrent illness and no known allergy to ingredients of the tested creams. Controlled use of topical corticosteroids and oral administration was allowed. The amount of topical corticosteroids had been recorded on each visit.

2.3. Treatment Regimen
After meeting all inclusion criteria, subjects were randomized to one of three treatment groups. The patients were instructed to apply the assigned treatment assignment to the whole body skin area (BSA) twice daily (morning and evening) after bathing.

All treatments used in this study were manufactured by AHAVA Dead Sea Laboratories, Ltd., Israel. All three treatments were non-fragranced, oil in water stable emulsions with a pH = 7. In two groups: TP and DM the applied emollient cream contained DS water and DS mud.

**DS water:** the major constitutes of DS water are the following ions: Mg²⁺ (92,500 mg/l), Ca²⁺ (38,000 mg/l), K⁺ (1400 mg/l), Na⁺ (2000 mg/ml), Sr²⁺ (800 mg/l), Cl⁻ (345,000 mg/l), Br⁻ (11,500 mg/l).

**DS mud:** the major constitutes of DS mud are the following ions: Mg²⁺ (35 g/l), Ca²⁺ (22.5 g/l), K⁺ (7 g/l), Na⁺ (27 mg/ml), Cl⁻ (174.5 g/l).

E: a control emollient that does not contain DS minerals, but does include ingredients that have a soothing effect on skin. It contains Lactic Acid, Ethylhexyl Palmitate, Glycerin Dimethicone, and Propylene Glycol as emollients; PEG-40 Stearate, Cetearyl Alcohol (and) Ceteareth-30, Glyceryl Stearate, Cetyl Alcohol as emulsifiers; Additionally, the product contains Aloe Barbadensis Leaf Extract, and Allantoin as anti-irritants. Triclosan is an anti-fungal and anti-bacterial compound, incorporated as a disinfectant for the skin and Vitamin E as an antioxidant. The preservative system is a mixture of Butyl Paraben, Propyl Paraben, Methyl Paraben, Ethyl Paraben, Isobutylparaben, and Phenoxyethanol.

**DM:** DM contains DS water and DS mud. It also contains Lactic Acid, Ethylhexyl Palmitate, Glycerin Dimethicone, and Propylene Glycol as emollients; PEG-40 Stearate, Cetearyl Alcohol (and) Ceteareth-30, Glyceryl Stearate, Sorbitan Tristearate and Cetyl Alcohol as emulsifiers; Additionally, the product contains Zinc Oxide, Aloe Barbadensis Leaf Extract, and Allantoin as anti-irritants. Triclosan is an anti-fungal and anti-bacterial compound, incorporated as a disinfectant for the skin and
vitamin E as an antioxidant. The preservative system is a mixture of Butyl Paraben, Propyl Paraben, Methyl Paraben, Ethyl Paraben, Isobutylparaben, and Phenoxyethanol.

TP: TP contains DS water and enriched with DS mud. It also contains Lactic Acid, Grapefruit seed oil, Ethylhexyl Palmitate, Glycerin, Propanediol and Dimethicone as emollients; PEG-40 Stearate, Cetearyl Alcohol, Ceteareth-30, Glyceryl Stearate, Sorbitan Tristearate and Cetyl Alcohol as emulsifiers; Additionally, the product contains Zinc Oxide, Aloe Barbadensis Leaf Extract, Bisabolol, Hippophae oil, Calendula Officinalis Flower Extract and Allantoin as anti-irritants and Dipotassium Glycyrrhizinate as an anti-inflammatory. Xanthan Gum is a thickener and Vitamin E acetate an antioxidant. The preservative system is a mixture of potassium sorbate, 1,2-Hexanediol and Caprylyl glycol.

2.4. Blinding
This was a double blind, controlled study. DM, TP, or E creams were identical in color, texture and scent. All were packaged in containers void of labeling except for the treatment code number and were identical in terms of shape, size and color so that identification of treatment assignment was unknowable to the participant, study investigators and medical personnel.

2.5. Outcome Measures
The efficacy assessment parameters were determined as a change from baseline scores of the following variables:

Transpidermal water loss (TEWL) was measured with the open chamber system (Tewameter TM 300, Courage & Khazaka, Kln, Germany) on uninvolved, mildly involved and severely involved skin sites for each patient. To avoid anatomical differences, the flexor surface of the forearm was used as the measuring site on uninvolved, mildly involved and severely involved skin whenever possible. All patients were acclimatized in the study room for at least 15 min before the measurements. The evaluation of skin physiology variables for each patient was performed under same room temperature and humidity conditions. The patients were instructed not to apply any topical medication or emollients within 4 h preceding the measurements. Thirty successive measurements were performed during 30 seconds period at each site and the mean values obtained, expressed in g·m⁻²·h⁻¹ [24].

Stratum corneum hydration (SCH) was assessed by measuring capacitance (Corneometer 825, Courage & Khazaka, Kln, Germany) on the same uninvolved, mildly involved and severely involved sites for each study subject. Three measurements were performed at each site and the average values, expressed as arbitrary units (AU) were recorded [25].

The body surface area (BSA) of unaffected, mildly affected and severely affected skin was estimated using a computer-assisted method based on OSAAD software (Courage & Khazaka, Kln, Germany).

OSAAD—Objective Severity Assessment of Atopic Dermatitis
score is derived from measurements of stratum corneum barrier function and SCH and normalized for extent of disease [8]. The OSAAD score was calculated for each study subject on the basis of measurements of TEWL, SCH and computer assisted estimation of BSA. The calculation of OSAAD provides an objective continuous numerical index and its values may range from 0 to 100 [6].

SCORAD—SCORing Atopic Dermatitis was an index of severity derived from measurement of affected skin area and assessment of erythema, edema, oozing or crusting, excoriations, dryness and subjective severity of pruritus and sleep loss.

SCORAD values may range from 0 (no disease) to 103 (whole skin surface is maximally affected). All estimates were performed by the same investigator (AZ).

The SCORAD index was calculated for each patient by estimation of the intensity and extent of affected skin, and subjective symptoms such as sleep loss and pruritus according to the published guidelines [26].

IGA—Investigator’s Global Assessment had been rated on every visit on a visual analogue scale (0 - 10).

PGA—Patient Global Assessment had been rated by the patient’s parents on every visit on a visual analogue scale (0 - 10).

Efficacy was evaluated by a change in SCORAD and OSAAD scores, TEWL and SCH, as well as PGA and IGA.

2.6. Adverse Events and Tolerance
All adverse events were recorded and compared by treatment assignment.

Previous clinical trials that were performed independently in order to examine tolerance of DM and TP indicated that these treatments are safe for use.

2.7. Data Analysis
The statistical analysis using paired t-test for the differences in the subjective and objective variables before and after treatments was performed with SPSS12 software (SPSS Software, Chicago, IL, USA). The comparison was between TP and E as well as between TP and MD.

3. Results
86 children with AD were recruited for the study, among them 7 dropped out after the first visit due to stinging
upon application the cream: 1 in TP group, 4 in DM
group and 2 in E group. Of the remaining 79 patients, 55
(72%) completed the study protocol: 11/13 (85%) in the
study group of TP, 16/26 (62%) in the control group of
DM and 28/40 (70%), in the control group of E.

A summary of the remaining 79 patients’ assessment
parameters at the baseline is presented at Table 1.

### 3.1. Post Treatment Efficacy within Study Groups

Change from baseline was calculated by subtracting the
baseline score from the post-treatment score of each visit.

An overall improvement in subjective and objective
variables relatively to baseline was observed in the total
study population. Tables 2, 3, 4 show the significant
changes from baseline of each treatment group: E, DM
and TP.

### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the study population be-
fore the treatments assignment in the three groups of study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>E (N = 40)</th>
<th>DM (N = 26)</th>
<th>TP (N = 13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.61 ± 0.51</td>
<td>5.70 ± 0.60</td>
<td>6.63 ± 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWEL—uninvolved</td>
<td>(g·m⁻²·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>17.49 ± 8.51</td>
<td>14.81 ± 6.91</td>
<td>15.92 ± 3.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWEL—mild</td>
<td>(g·m⁻²·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>24.86 ± 16.34</td>
<td>22.53 ± 8.44</td>
<td>21.22 ± 8.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWEL—severe</td>
<td>(g·m⁻²·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>32.00 ± 16.37</td>
<td>34.16 ± 16.57</td>
<td>32.11 ± 14.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH—uninvolved</td>
<td>(AU)</td>
<td>37.42 ± 17.43</td>
<td>36.53 ± 9.64</td>
<td>26.80 ± 8.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH—severe</td>
<td>(AU)</td>
<td>20.30 ± 12.23</td>
<td>19.58 ± 10.16</td>
<td>12.34 ± 7.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA—total (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.78</td>
<td>6.12 ± 1.41</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORAD</td>
<td>(Score points)</td>
<td>36.35 ± 13.19</td>
<td>33.27 ± 11.81</td>
<td>43.58 ± 7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAAD</td>
<td>(Score points)</td>
<td>32.71 ± 12.90</td>
<td>36.91 ± 12.47</td>
<td>24.86 ± 10.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA (Score points)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.52 ± 1.48</td>
<td>2.69 ± 1.58</td>
<td>1.89 ± 1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA (Score points)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.93 ± 2.40</td>
<td>6.50 ± 2.76</td>
<td>5.44 ± 3.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment parameters (TEWL, SCH, BSA, SCORAD, OSAAD, IGA and PGA) at the baseline was evaluated and recorded. The data presented as MEAN of each study group ± SEM.

### Table 2. Efficacy assessment parameters—E group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Significance vs week 0 (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCH—severe</td>
<td>8, 12</td>
<td>0.022, 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA—total</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0.001, 0.008, 0.010, 0.11, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORAD</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes from baseline severity scores by treatment assignment in E group. The data presented as the significant p values regarding the means between the first visit (week 0) and visits 2 - 6.

### Table 3. Efficacy assessment parameters—DM group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Significance vs week 0 (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEWL—severe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.025 (increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH—uninvolved</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA total</td>
<td>6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0.014, 0.042, 0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAAD</td>
<td>8, 12</td>
<td>0.029, 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORAD</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
<td>4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0.012, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0.001, 0.002, .., 0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes from baseline severity scores by treatment assignment in DM group. The data presented as the significant p values regarding the means between the first visit (week 0) and visits 2 - 6.

### Table 4. Efficacy assessment parameters—TP group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Significance vs week 0 (p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TEWL—uninvolved</td>
<td>4, 6, 8</td>
<td>0.006, 0.009, 0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH—uninvolved</td>
<td>2, 4, 6</td>
<td>0.009, 0.027, 0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH—mild</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8</td>
<td>0.005, 0.008, &lt;0.001, 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA total</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>0.001, 0.004, 0.004, 0.007, 0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSAAD</td>
<td>2, 4, 6</td>
<td>0.009, 0.005, 0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCORAD</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, &lt;0.001, 0.014, &lt;0.001, &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGA</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 8, 12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001, 0.008, .., 0.019, 0.019, 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGA</td>
<td>2, 4, 12</td>
<td>0.020, 0.024, 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Changes from baseline severity scores by treatment assignment in TP group. The data presented as the significant p values regarding the means between the first visit (week 0) and visits 2 - 6.

Treatment with E led to a significant increase in SCH of severe areas as well as a reduction in total affected BSA, SCORAD, IGA and PGA. No improvement had been detected in TEWL and OSAAD (Table 2). Treatment with DM markedly decreased total affected BSA, OSAAD, SCORAD, IGA and PGA, but increased TEWL in severe areas at week 6. It also significantly increased SCH in uninvolved areas (Table 3). Treatment with TP significantly decreased TEWL of uninvolved areas, total affected BSA, OSAAD, SCORAD, IGA and PGA. It also significantly increased SCH in uninvolved and mild areas (Table 3).

### 3.2. Post Treatment Efficacy between Study Groups

Although each treatment group demonstrated an im-
provement in skin severity scores, treatment with TP
mostly improved severity scores as compared to both E
and DM groups; it showed a significant improvement in
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Figure 1. Changes from baseline scores of TEWL in uninvolved (a), mild (b) and severe (c) areas. Changes were calculated by subtracting the baseline score from the post-treatment scores of each visits (weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12). Data is presented as MEAN ± SEM. *p < 0.05 TP vs DM.

SCH (Figure 2) and OSAAD (Figure 3(b)) scores compared to E group. TP also exhibited a significant improvement in TEWL (Figure 1), SCH (Figure 2) and OSAAD (Figure 3(b)) scores as compared to DM.

Treatment with E decreased more significantly IGA scores compared to TP (Figure 4(a)).

Differences in changes from baseline scores in BSA (Figure 3(a)), SCORAD (Figure 3(c)) and PGA (Figure 4(b)) were not significant between the all study groups.

4. Discussion

AD is a barrier disease in which antigens and irritants might penetrate non-lesional skin, trigger or worsen the dermatitis and lead to infections. [27,28] Due to their delicate and vulnerable skin and defective barrier func-
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Figure 3. Changes from baseline scores of total BSA (a), OSAAD (b) and SCORAD (c). Changes were calculated by subtracting the baseline score from the post-treatment scores of each visit (weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12). Data is presented as MEAN ± SEM. *p < 0.05 TP vs E; #p < 0.05 TP vs DM.

Figure 4. Changes from baseline scores of IGA (a) and PGA (b). Changes were calculated by subtracting the baseline score from the post-treatment scores of each visit (weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 12). Data is presented as MEAN ± SEM. *p < 0.05 TP vs E.

Our results show the beneficial effects of leave-on skin emulsions enriched with DS minerals, especially in TP group, on skin barrier function and hydration. Taken together both analysis within and among groups regarding TEWL, SCH and OSAAD scores—TP significantly exhibited the most positive influence on skin (Figures 1, 2 and 3(b)). Moreover, at most time points of the treatment, TP application demonstrated the highest efficacy in AD severity indices as compared to the other creams.

The clinically normal, but dry and scaly uninvolved skin of AD patients seems to play a key role in relapses of the dermatitis. The cutaneous barrier function is generally impaired similarly in both non-lesional and lesional skin areas [28,31]. Considering this, it is notewor-
thly that TP significantly reduced TEWL in uninvolved-appearing skin areas from week 4. Treatment with TP also increased SCH compared to DM and E mostly in uninvolved and mildly affected areas. Furthermore, TP was superior in reducing the OSAAD score compared to the other tested treatments.

In most severity indices, TP stands out relatively to DM and E. Thus, the observations concerning the clinical severity scores demonstrate significant benefits that can be attributed to DS water rather than DS mud.

It is notable that each one of the treatment regimens induced a significant improvement in the severity indices, as detected by total BSA, SCORAD, IGA and PGA (Figures 3(a)-(b), 4(a)-(b)). Regarding IGA scores, E showed a better decrease than TP (Figure 4(a)). Treatment with E also alleviated SCH in severe areas. The beneficial effects of all creams, including E, can be due to the involvement of active ingredients with anti-inflammatory properties, such as Bisabolol, Allantoin and Calendula extract [32]. Since among the treatment groups the efficacy of TP was the highest in most severity scores, it is possible that DS water play a key role regarding the improvement of skin condition. TP contains a higher concentration of DS water than DM and thus enriched with DS minerals. It can be speculated that DS minerals in this concentration might react with the other ingredients in a synergistic manner and thus, enhance the improvement efficacy. However, the action mechanism is unknown and this has to be further investigated.

The beneficial effect of DS water was reported also on aged human keratinocytes in cultures and human skin organ explants. This study demonstrated that the addition of DS water decreased the expression of some aging markers such as p16 and promoted proliferation by Ki67. DS water also limited apoptotic damage after UVB irradiation [33].

Although DS minerals did not exhibit an added value concerning skin inflammation indices in comparison to non-mineral treatment, laboratory results on skin models support anti-inflammatory potential of both DM [22] and TP (unpublished data). The immune response in AD is Th2-mediated contributing to the high IgE levels [4,5]. Hence, it is possible that objective measurements for inflammation status regarding biochemical markers related to Th2, may demonstrate an improvement following application of DS minerals-containing creams.

In conclusion, we found that AD treatment with TP, which is a DS water enriched body cream, markedly improved its severity scores. TP was more effective than DM and E concerning skin barrier function and skin hydration. Thus, TP can provide a maintenance therapy for AD patients. Further investigations should be employed in order to elucidate the effects of DS minerals containing creams on biochemical markers for inflammation, such as the levels of Th2-cytokines, IgE and eosinophils.
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