Development of Three Dimensional Automatic Body Fat Measurement Software from CT, and Its Validation and Evaluation
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Abstract

Abdominal obesity describes the accumulation of excessive fat in the abdomen. It is known that depending on its distribution, visceral obesity presents a greater danger to health than subcutaneous obesity. To properly prevent and treat visceral obesity, accurate evaluation methods are necessary, and hence quantitative VAT estimation is extremely important. CT scans are the most accurate method for estimating VAT, but it requires a great deal of time and effort, limiting its use in studying or evaluating obesity in patients. This paper proposed automatic measurement software that could quickly differentiate between and measure VAT and SAT. The method was verified using a total of 100 abdominal CT data values; this paper measured the SAT and VAT in the entire abdomen using the automatic measurement software. Additionally, through a comparative evaluation between the automated measurements and manual measurements such as BMI and waist circumference, clinical reliability and viability were validated and evaluated. Between automated measurements and manual measurements, the TAT ($r = 0.995$, $p = 0.01$), SAT ($r = 0.987$, $p = 0.01$) and VAT ($r = 0.993$, $p = 0.01$) showed high correlation. Using BMI as the main metric, the TAT for automated measurements ($r = 0.674$, $p = 0.01$) and the TAT for manual measurements ($r = 0.703$, $p = 0.01$) showed the strongest correlation. When using waist circumference, the VAT for automated
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measurements ($r = 0.826, p = 0.01$) and the VAT for manual measurements ($r = 0.822, p = 0.01$) showed the strongest correlation. With these results, the reliability and viability of the automatic measurement software were confirmed. The software is expected to help greatly in reducing the time and in providing objective data of VAT measurements from CT scans for clinical research.
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**1. Introduction**

Abdominal obesity refers to the excessive accumulation of adipose tissue in the abdomen, and is defined by waist circumferences over 102 cm for Caucasian males and 88 cm for Caucasian females [1]. Depending on the distribution, total adipose tissue (TAT) can be further divided into subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) and visceral adipose tissue (VAT). Studies have reported that a high amount of VAT buildup, or visceral obesity, presents a greater health risk than subcutaneous obesity. Despres et al. reported a high correlation between VAT and hypertension, an increase in neutral fat concentration, a decrease in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration, and an increase in hyperlipidemia, while Fujioka et al. discussed that VAT as the source of insulin concentration increased insulin resistance and reduced glucose tolerance [2] [3]. Also, though most patients with visceral obesity are aware of their condition, severe cases of VAT accumulation can occur in physically thin patients as well, which are more difficult for the patient to detect, possibly placing them in greater danger [4]. To effectively prevent and treat visceral obesity, it must be precisely evaluated, and this requires a quantitative method of estimating VAT.

Current methods capable of estimating VAT clinically are bioelectric impedance analysis (BIA), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) scanning. BIA sends a weak current through the body and measures the bioelectric impedance to estimate body fat, and uses an internally developed regression equation to calculate the area of VAT [5]. The method is simple and has accordingly seen widespread clinical practice, but there are few cases supporting that its estimations are accurate. MRI provides tissue cross-section images that can be used to measure VAT without exposure to radiation, but is expensive and time-consuming and has been reported to be less accurate in detecting adipose tissue (AT) than CT, thus decreasing the frequency of its actual use [6] [7]. CT images, much like MRI, are cross-section images of patient tissue, and can clearly discern adipose tissue from the surrounding tissue. CT scans provide the greatest accuracy and are widely used as a standard measurement method [8]. However, they accompany the issue of radiation exposure. Furthermore, since all cross section images must be differentiated, it is difficult to use practically, and is costly and time-consuming [9]. Due to these issues, despite its accuracy, CT scans are used little in practice.

This paper aims to resolve the issue regarding inefficiencies in CT measurement by using computer image processing methods. Novel software which can automatically identify and measure SAT and VAT from CT scan data is developed and its accuracy and reliability are validated by comparing the results with the manual evaluation of two experts.

**2. Materials and Method**

**2.1. Research Target**

The CT data used in this paper were taken from a 2010 study by Nam et al. that looked into the relationship between abdominal VAT and colorectal adenoma. Abdominal CT data and manual measurement results were referenced from this paper [10]. The CT data were compiled from 100 patients at the National Cancer Institute, of which 53 were male and 47 were female. Patient age ranged from 29 to 76. CT scan were performed with all subjects in the supine position using Brilliance 64 (Philips, Netherlands; matrix of 512 × 512, slice thickness of 5 mm, 120 kVp, 150 mA). Discharge levels of the abdomen were composed of 24 CT slices (a total of 120 mm). Patients’ privacy information was discarded and managed using anonymous numbers.
2.2. Body Mass Index (BMI)

BMI is simple to calculate and widely used as a standard for obesity, and it has been reported that there is a correlation between CT and simple obesity indicators [11]. Macor et al. established that BMI and TAT \( r = 0.86, p < 0.0001 \) are related, and Enzi et al. also found links between TAT \( r = 0.876, p < 0.001 \), SAT \( r = 0.871, p < 0.001 \), and VAT \( r = 0.503, p < 0.001 \) [12] [13].

2.3. Waist Circumference (WC)

Waist circumference, another simple indicator of obesity, is used as an indicator of cardiovascular disease [14]. It is also correlated to VAT, and reflects VAT more effectively than the waist-to-hip ratio [15]. This paper measured waist circumference using CT, and following WHO guidelines, performing the measurement between the lowest region of the ribs and the middle of the pelvic iliac crest.

2.4. Manual Measurement

This study used manual measurement results from Nam et al. [10]. Nam et al. used the Extended Brilliance Workspace (Version 3.5, Phillips Medical System, NT) software in making manual measurements. The observer outlined the TAT excluding the skin and spinal regions, and abdominal VAT as areas of interest, from which adipose tissue volume was computed within the attenuation value range. The SAT was defined as the difference between the TAT and VAT volumes.

2.5. Automatic Measurement

The automatic measurement software which we developed was used to automatically measure the SAT and VAT within the abdomen. Microsoft Visual Studio (Ver. 2005, Microsoft, Redmond, USA) was used for the development of algorithms and software, and ITK (Ver. 3.14.0, Kitware, USA) and VTK (Ver. 5.10.0, Kitware, USA) were used as libraries. This software uses a pre-processing and mask separation method to differentiate the different types of adipose tissue and evaluate them. Figure 1 is a flowchart of the process.

This paper, to enhance the processing speed of the algorithm and prevent detection error, pre-processed the CT image such that it only contains the abdomen region of the body. This eliminates unnecessary elements such as the bed or sheets from the search region, thereby increasing accuracy. By limiting the region to be processed, the efficiency of the algorithm also is increased [16]. Within the abdomen region, areas with values less than 1000 HU, which correspond to air, are deemed below the threshold and deleted, while the rest of the region is labeled in 3-dimensions, of which the largest region is labeled as the body [17] [18].

![Figure 1. Algorithm flowchart.](image)
Once the pre-processing stage is completed, the narrowed down region is divided and processed for adipose tissue. Once all of the TAT within the region is detected, a separation mask divides the SAT and VAT. TAT was detected by setting the range threshold between −30 and −190 HU [19]. The separation mask generates TAT within the abdominal region excluding the organs. Anatomically, the abdominal cavity, due to being surrounded by muscle and bone, is the most suitable organ to differentiate SAT and VAT. Additionally, among the organs excluding the adipose tissue, muscle and bone are located near the outer regions, making SAT and VAT differentiation possible by creating a separation mask using the outermost outline of the organ region. In this study the organ region excluding TAT was detected, and the Convex Hull algorithm was used to obtain the outermost closed path fully encompassing the organ region. The Convex Hull algorithm calculates the smallest possible convex polygon, and the shortest closed path containing this polygon can be found [20]. However, error does occur as the closed path and organ regions do not always match, and this may affect the quantitative results. Closed path adjustments were thus performed by translating the coordinates such that it matched the organ region. All closed path coordinates not close to the organ region were translated laterally or vertically such that they adhered to the organ region. Once all adjustments were completed, any point is taken as the starting point and is sequentially connected to its next closest closed path point. Using this method, once all connections have been completed, an adjusted closed path such as that in Figure 2(b) can be seen, and the closed path’s interior is defined by the separation mask.

In this study a difference operation on the separation mask region of the detected TAT region was used to detect the SAT region, and an operation to detect the VAT region was separately used.

The Pearson’s correlation analysis was conducted using the manual and automatic measurement results and the simple obesity indicators [21]. For the measured results, TAT, SAT, VAT, and VSR, the ratio between VAT and SAT, which is known to have a close relationship with abdominal obesity, were set as the items to be used, while for the simple obesity indicators, BMI and waist circumference were set as items. The level of significance $\alpha$ was set to 0.01.

3. Results

A separation mask was defined based on the organ region of the abdominal CT, and it was used to differentiate and detect SAT and VAT. Figure 3 and Figure 4 show the developed automatic adipose tissue measurement software and its results.

In order to validate the performance of the developed software and evaluate its clinical viability, a correlation analysis and a consistency evaluation were performed using manual measurement results and simple obesity indicators as comparisons. The simple obesity indicators used were BMI and waist circumference and the data values used in this experiment are listed in Table 1. The mean BMI was 23.54 ± 2.81 kg/m², and the mean waist circumference was 80.53 ± 8.49 cm. Also, the first manual measurer’s results were, TAT at 2512.43 ± 723.56 ml, SAT at 1614.22 ± 432.65 ml, and VAT at 898.21 ± 455.78 ml. The second measurer recorded TAT of 2512.27 ± 724.02 ml, SAT of 1612.51 ± 431.19 ml, and VAT of 899.76 ± 459.28 ml. This paper took the means of these values. The automatic measurement software produced the following results: TAT of 3007.17 ± 846.94 ml, SAT of 1815.84 ± 545.76 ml, and VAT of 1191.33 ± 502.47 ml.

![Figure 2](image-url) Process of automatic adipose tissue detection. (a) Result of convex hull; (b) Result of adjusted closed path; (c) Result of separation mask.
Table 1. Obesity indicators measurement values compared with experts and in-house developed software (n = 100).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± std dev</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>50.04 ± 9.75</td>
<td>28 - 75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.54 ± 2.81</td>
<td>17.3 - 28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>80.53 ± 8.49</td>
<td>60.86 - 98.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Manual 1:
- TAT (ml) | 2512.43 ± 723.56  | 895 - 4122 |
- SAT (ml) | 1614.22 ± 432.65  | 643 - 2781 |
- VAT (ml) | 898.21 ± 455.78  | 174 - 2173 |

For Manual 2:
- TAT (ml) | 2512.27 ± 724.02  | 894 - 4122 |
- SAT (ml) | 1612.51 ± 431.19  | 643 - 2764 |
- VAT (ml) | 899.76 ± 459.28  | 168 - 2173 |

For Automatic:
- TAT (ml) | 3007.17 ± 846.94  | 2031.19 - 4852.58 |
- SAT (ml) | 1815.84 ± 545.76  | 159.88 - 3186.26 |
- VAT (ml) | 1191.33 ± 502.47  | 323.35 - 2669.84 |

A consistency evaluation of the results was conducted with a Bland-Altman plot analysis for SAT and VAT. Analysis indicated that all data were distributed within a 95% reliability range, and this is illustrated in Figure 5 and Figure 6 [22] [23].
Using Pearson’s correlation coefficient (Table 2), the correlations between the automated measurements and manual measurements are as follows; TAT \( (r = 0.995, p = 0.01) \), SAT \( (r = 0.987, p = 0.01) \), VAT \( (r = 0.993, p = 0.01) \). The comparison between BMI and manual measurements shows a strong correlation in SAT \( (r = 0.502, p = 0.01) \) and VAT \( (r = 0.639, p = 0.01) \). Also, a strong correlation was noticed in SAT \( (r = 0.465, p = 0.01) \) and VAT \( (r = 0.639, p = 0.01) \) between the BMI and automated measurements. Further analysis was conducted using waist circumference measurement. It was found that waist circumference showed high correlations with the SAT \( (r = 0.502, p = 0.01) \) and VAT \( (r = 0.639, p = 0.01) \) obtained using the manual measurements. Also, strong correlations were noted between waist circumference and the automatic measurement results, in SAT \( (r = 0.465, p = 0.01) \) and VAT \( (r = 0.639, p = 0.01) \).

Also, to further evaluate the performance of the software proposed in this study, the running time of the software was measured. Only the duration for separating and measuring AT were considered, and it was found that the software required the average of 3 seconds to process 24 CT slices.

4. Discussion

By automating the identification and measurement processes for SAT and VAT using computer image processing methods, quick and simple measurements become possible, and objective quantitative measurements can offer reliable results [24]. These types of automated adipose tissue measurement methods are being
researched by numerous teams around the world; an example is the Active Shape Model (ASM) method and Fuzzy affinity applied Automatic Fat Analysis in Computed Tomography (AFACT) method developed by Bandekar et al. This method differentiates between SAT and VAT, and was evaluated by comparing accuracy and sensitivity with manual measurements performed by experts. Results show high accuracy for both SAT, at 98.29% ± 0.62%, and VAT, at 97.66% ± 0.98% [25]. Kullberg used histograms of MRI images to create AT masks and SAT masks to differentiate between adipose tissue, and created a pelvis model and vertebra model to establish the range of detected tissue. Applying the algorithm to discharge (L4-L5) volumes, the true positive detection results based on the manual measurement results showed VAT of 90 ± 6.5 and SAT of 96 ± 2.3, from a total of 17 data points [26]. All of the research mentioned above exhibited high accuracy, but Bandekar et al. only performed measurements on cross-section images, limiting the reliability of their results. This paper, working from the assumption that the accuracy of adipose tissue measurement of DEXA has been validated, uses CT volume \( r = 0.812, p < 0.01 \) rather than CT cross-sections \( r = 0.058, p < 0.01 \) [27]. It is shown that to achieve high reliability, measurements must be taken over a discharge volume instead of taking a single image. Additionally, the literature presents only limited experimental data and makes comparisons to manually produced results, limiting their clinical reliability. This paper developed software that can automatically measure adipose tissue using abdominal CT, and by comparing results with examination results of two different doctors and various abdominal adipose tissue measurement guidelines, the software’s performance and clinical viability were evaluated.

A consistency evaluation using a Bland-Altman plot showed that 95% of the automatic and manual measurement results differences were within ±1.96 SD, presenting high consistency. Using Pearson’s correlation to perform the correlation analysis, TAT \( r = 0.995, p = 0.01 \), SAT \( r = 0.987, p = 0.01 \), VAT \( r = 0.993, p = 0.01 \), VSR \( r = 0.962, p = 0.01 \) all showed significant results. These results indicate that the automatic measurement method produces similar results to measurements taken by professionals, and that the software provides high reliability.

In comparing automatic measurement results with BMI, TAT \( r = 0.674, p = 0.01 \) showed the highest correlation, while SAT \( r = 0.465, p = 0.01 \), VAT \( r = 0.639, p = 0.01 \), VSR \( r = 0.308, p = 0.01 \) also showed notable results. BMI and manual measurement results showed that TAT \( r = 0.703, p = 0.01 \), SAT \( r = 0.502, p = 0.01 \), VAT \( r = 0.639, p = 0.01 \), VSR \( r = 0.389, p = 0.01 \), exhibiting an identical trend to the automatic results. Additionally, the analysis of CT and BMI correlation done by Macor et al. showed correlation for TAT \( r = 0.86, p < 0.0001 \), while Enzi et al. reported that TAT \( r = 0.876, p < 0.001 \) showed the strongest correlation, which corresponds to the correlation trends between the automated results and BMI [16].

The automatic measurement data showed significant correlation with waist circumference as well, with VAT \( r = 0.826, p = 0.01 \) the highest value, and TAT \( r = 0.765, p = 0.01 \) also showing a high correlation. On the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.859*</td>
<td>0.703*</td>
<td>0.502*</td>
<td>0.639*</td>
<td>0.389*</td>
<td>0.674*</td>
<td>0.465*</td>
<td>0.639*</td>
<td>0.308*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.804*</td>
<td>0.478*</td>
<td>0.822*</td>
<td>0.584*</td>
<td>0.765*</td>
<td>0.430*</td>
<td>0.826*</td>
<td>0.513*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual TAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.802*</td>
<td>0.826*</td>
<td>0.464*</td>
<td>0.995*</td>
<td>0.790*</td>
<td>0.837*</td>
<td>0.326*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual SAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.325*</td>
<td>−0.136</td>
<td>0.825*</td>
<td>0.987*</td>
<td>0.351*</td>
<td>−0.261*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual VAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.863*</td>
<td>0.796*</td>
<td>0.317*</td>
<td>0.993*</td>
<td>0.763*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual VSR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.424*</td>
<td>−0.137</td>
<td>0.845*</td>
<td>0.962*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto TAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.822*</td>
<td>0.810*</td>
<td>0.282*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto SAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.333*</td>
<td>−0.289*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto VAT</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.765*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto VSR</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p = 0.01, significant correlation.

Table 2. Pearson’s correlation matrix among the manual and automatic measurement results and the simple obesity indicators (n = 100).
other hand, SAT \((r = 0.430, \ p = 0.01)\), and VSR \((r = 0.513, \ p = 0.01)\) showed a comparatively low correlation, and this trend is identical to that of the manual results as follows: TAT \((r = 0.804, \ p = 0.01)\), VAT \((r = 0.822, \ p = 0.01)\), SAT \((r = 0.478, \ p = 0.01)\), VSR \((r = 0.584, \ p = 0.01)\). This signifies a close relationship between automatic and manual measurement. Also, this coincides with previous research stating that VAT and waist circumference are related due to the high correlation exhibited by the VAT values [18].

The time for the automatic measurement software to produce 24 CT slides was only 3 seconds on the average, which is hundreds to thousands of times faster than the current manual method. Comprehensively considering the correlation analysis and consistency evaluation results, as well as the processing time mentioned above, there can be a convincing argument for the clinical viability of automatic measurement. However, it should be noted that the result of the automatic measurement varies with the chosen HU range. In this study, the HU range was set to \(-30 \text{ to } -190 \text{ HU}\); many other studies use \(-25 \text{ to } -175 \text{ HU}\). In this study, the correlation between the two HU ranges was analyzed. However, additional studies to evaluate the clinical accuracy of the two HU ranges are desired.

The speed at which measurements are completed, compared to its performance, also reinforce the superior viability of the automatic measurement software. Before the proposed software is introduced clinically, there are limitations in radiation exposure, cost, and CT filming time that should be considered. However, some of these issues can be resolved by taking measures such as the use of low-dose CT, or reusing CT scans from different examinations. CT scans are considered the gold standard and are used not only in obesity evaluation, but for other research pursuits, and the automatic measurement software is expected to provide a means of rapidly providing objective and reliable data.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, when to measure abdominal adipose tissue either for research purposes or clinical need, the highly viable and reliable automatic measurement software presented in this paper should be useful and can be an effective alternative to previous manual measurement.
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