Arteriovenous Shunt Stenosis Evaluation Using a Fractional-Order Fuzzy Petri Net Based Screening System for Long-Term Hemodialysis Patients
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Abstract

This paper proposes the evaluation of arteriovenous shunt (AVS) stenosis using a fractional-order Fuzzy Petri net based screening system for long-term hemodialysis treatment of patients. The screening system uses the Burg method, the fractional-order chaos system, and the Fuzzy Petri net (FPN) for early detection of AVS dysfunction. The Burg method is an autoregressive (AR) model that is used to estimate the frequency spectra of a phonoangiographic signal and to identify the spectral peaks in the region from 25 Hz to 800 Hz. In AVS, the frequency spectrum varies between normal blood flow and turbulent flow. The power spectra demonstrate changes in frequency and amplitude as the degree of stenosis changes. A screening system combining fractional-order chaos system and FPN is used to track the differences in the frequency spectra between the normal and stenosis access. The dynamic errors are indexes that can be used to evaluate the degree of AVS stenosis using a FPN. For 42 long-term follow-up patients, testing results show that the proposed screening system is more efficient in the evaluation of AVS stenosis.
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1. Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a worldwide public health problem with high rates of morbidity and mortality [1]. According to reports from the Department of Health (DOH), the rate of end stage renal disease (ESRD) in Taiwan is 2,447 per million [2]. CKD is usually an irreversible and progressive disease and has five stages of severity. Patients are treated with hemodialysis, peritoneal dialysis, or a kidney transplant. Hemodialysis is one of the treatment choices for these patients and arteriovenous shunt (AVS) is vital for hemodialysis therapy, because it makes a surgical connection between an arterial anastomosis site and a venous anastomosis site. AVS occlusion and failure cause turbulent flow, high wall shear stress, and high blood pressure [3]. In clinical examinations, for visualization of the vascular access, using angiography is the gold standard for the diagnosis of stenosis [4]. Flow rates for arteriovenous grafts typical range from 600 - 1000 ml/min, which is necessary for efficient hemodialysis, but can cause flow transition to a turbulent state that is discernable by a palpable thrill or audible bruit [5]. Stenoses are often associated with unusual blood sounds, resulting from turbulent flow over a narrowed blood vessel [6]. These auscultatory sounds can be recorded by one or two electronic stethoscopes and diagnosis is made or confirmed before and after angiography, which was accompanied by angioplasty [7]-[9]. As an early detection tool, phono-angiographic signals provide a non-invasive and low cost technique to monitor the sounds of an AVS.

Studies [10]-[12] have shown that stenosis produces a general increase in sound level and new high frequency components in the power spectra. Frequency analysis, such as Fourier transform and wavelet transform, is used to preprocess the phonoangiographic signals. The changes in frequency and amplitude are dependent on the stenosis site and its severity, and appear as frequency peaks in the region from 100 Hz to 800 Hz in the sound recording from stenotic vessels. Prior studies have indicated an increase in the high-frequency component (300 Hz - 800 Hz) of phono-angiographic signals, following a higher degree of stenosis. Fourier transform is a non-parametric method for the estimation of power spectra. However, it is not an optimal method, because it suffers from spectral leakage effects due to the size of the sampling window. Wavelet transform [12] is a parametric method that provides better frequency resolution than non-parametric methods. Significant features are extracted at specific coefficients with different types of wavelets and a trial procedure of wavelet decomposition. The Burg method is also a parametric method for the estimation of frequency spectra; this method can use a small data set to reduce the number of stored samples and memory requirements [13]-[16]. It produces smoother spectra than the Fourier transform method, but it is susceptible to frequency shifts. Thus, the Burg method is used to find the characteristic frequencies from phono-angiographic signals. However, it lacks an automatic diagnosis function.

In addition, heartbeat regulation and blood flow show non-linear characteristics. The morphology of blood vessels includes circumferential, longitudinal, and radial deformation. A non-uniform laminar flow causes turbulent flow and anomalous diffusion in the stenosis vessel. This phenomenon can be described as fractional-order diffusion [17]-[19]. Fractional-order chaos systems (FOCSs) are used to construct self-synchronization error formulation for systems characterized by random signals and to identify a broad range of anomalous phenomena and frequency spectra. Therefore, Chen-Lee based fractional-order chaos system is used to track the differences in the frequency spectra between normal condition and AVS stenosis. This approach uses a master system (MS) and a slave system (SS). Using a coupling variable, the dynamic error equations are defined as the differences between the SS and the MS. These differences are used as indexes for presenting the degree of AVS stenosis. The Fuzzy Petri net [20]-[23] is a dynamic and marked graphical system. This system has been extended to develop an inference algorithm to deal with Fuzzy reasoning problems and multi-criteria decision-making applications. It is used for representing Fuzzy inference rules in knowledge based systems, and can perform Fuzzy reasoning. The decision-making system developed in this study combines signal processing, fractional-order chaos system, and Fuzzy Petri net to evaluate the degree of AVS stenosis.

For 42 long-term follow-up patients, the results show that the proposed screening system is more efficient for AVS stenosis evaluation. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 addresses the problem formulation and Sections 3 and 4 describe the methodology and screening system implementation, respectively. In Sections 5 and 6, experimental results and conclusions are provided to show the efficiency of the proposed method.

2. Problem Formulation

Arteriovenous shunts, including arteriovenous fistula (AVF) and arteriovenous graft (AVG), are the pathological
As daily sites for hemodialysis, these vascular accesses must be punctured every two days. The maintenance of the proper function and morphology of these vascular accesses is the most important issue for uremic patients. After repeated puncturing of the vascular accesses and long-term use, the interior of the accesses can exhibit pathologic changes, including the formation of a thrombus, intimal hyperplasia and changes in the aneurysmal deformability of the access, as shown in Figure 1. AVS stenosis is the pathologic change of AVS and most commonly occurs in patients receiving long-term hemodialysis therapy. Over 85% of documented reports, the most common cause is stenotic lesions at the arteriovenous anastomosis or along the proximal venous limb.

More than 75% of narrowing of the lumen diameter in the vascular access may result in a need for percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) or surgical revision [7] to clear the residual thrombus and dilate the stenotic segment. In clinical practice, Doppler ultrasound, phonoangiography, and angiography (X-ray) are used to detect the presence of a stenosis inside these vascular accesses [9]-[10]. Stationary instruments provide reliable techniques and high accuracy in clinical assessment. However, they are not suitable for early detection or homecare applications by the patients themselves.

Clinical physicians also sometimes use a stethoscope to evaluate the condition of vascular accesses. This is a simple, non-invasive, and non-expensive technique for monitoring the vascular access functions. The literature shows that the phonoangiographic (PCG) signal of AVS stenosis produces higher frequency components in the frequency spectra and spectral changes in frequency and magnitude [11]-[13]. As shown in Figure 2, an electric stethoscope can be used to record the PCG signals originating from AVF and AVG [8]. Using this information, the frequency spectra are used to determine the parameters for stenosis evaluation. Frequency feature extraction from PCG signals was obtained using the fractional-order chaos system (FOCS). Finally, Fuzzy Petri net was proposed to screen the degrees of AVS stenosis.

3. Methodology Description

3.1. Frequency Feature Extraction

The Burg method was used to estimate the frequency spectra by fitting an autoregressive (AR) model of a given specific order to the PCG signals. This was used to estimate the power spectral density function associated with the phonoangiographic signals. Each sample of a signal can be expressed as a linear combination of previous samples and residual values \( \text{res} \). With a discrete set of \( n \) sampling points, \( K \) coefficients are used to approximate the original data of \( x_i \), where \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \), presented as [13]-[14]

\[
x_i = -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \gamma_k x_{i-k} + \text{res}_i
\]

where \( x_i \) represents the signal samples in this study and \( K \) is the AR model order, where \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K \), and \( \gamma_k \) stands for the model coefficients of the AR model.

This method uses the optimal parameters \( \gamma_k \) to minimize the square error between the original and the ap-
proximated data. Forward and backward linear prediction requires the minimization of $F_k$ and $H_k$, as [15]

$$F_k = \sum_{i=k}^{n} (x_i - w_i)^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \left( \gamma_0 x_i - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_j x_{i-j} \right) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \left( f_k (i) \right)^2$$

(2)

$$H_k = \sum_{i=k}^{n} (z_i - z_i)^2 = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \left( \gamma_0 x_i - \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n} \gamma_j x_{i+j} \right) \right)^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( h_k (i) \right)^2$$

(3)

where $w_i$, $i \in [k, n]$, is a linear weighted combination of $k$ previous known data, and $z_i$, $i \in [0, n-k]$, is a linear weighted combination of $k$ next known data. The sum of the residual energies at stage $k$ is $E_k = F_k + H_k$. The Levinson-Durbin recursion algorithm is used to minimize the total sum of the forward and backward prediction errors for determining the model coefficients [16].

The frequency spectra are normalized between zero and one, making it easy to find the characteristic frequencies. The frequency spectra for AVS stenosis and the normal condition are shown in Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(d), respectively. It can be seen that the characteristic frequencies have the distinguishing peak amplitude of central spectra and occupy different frequency bands. These characteristic frequencies provide indices for the detection of AVS stenosis and the evaluation of the AVS degree.

3.2. Self-Synchronization Error Formulation (SSEF)

Synchronized chaotic systems are widely used in non-linear physical applications and physical informatics. Generally, a chaotic system consists of a master system (MS) and a slave system (SS), whose behavior can mimic each another [24] [25]. Typical chaos synchronization (CS) system can be described as

Master System: $\dot{X} = A(X)X$ (4)

Slave System: $\dot{Y} = A(Y)Y + U$ (5)

where $X = [x_1, x_2, x_3]^T$ and $Y = [y_1, y_2, y_3]^T$ are state variables, $A(X)$ and $A(Y)$ are termed the coefficient matrices, and $U = [u_1, u_2, u_3]^T$ is the control input.
Many phenomena and human physiologies demonstrate chaotic behaviors, fractional-order dynamics and non-linear characteristics. A non-uniform laminar flow causes turbulent flow and murmurs in the coronary artery, stenosis vessel, involving high-pitch stenosis murmurs \[26\]-\[29\]. The fractional-order differentiator has been proposed to track the differences of frequency spectra between normal and abnormal AVS. According to the definition by Grünwald-Letnikov \[18\] \[19\], the fractional rate of change of frequency spectra can be expressed as

\[
D^\alpha_t e \approx \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)} e^{x^{1-\alpha}}
\]  

(6)

where \(x\) is the relative frequency spectrum for all \(\alpha\), and \(\varepsilon\) is any real number. If parameter \(\alpha\) is taken as 1, then the rate of change is like a slope. The choice of the parameter \(\alpha\) determines the phenomena that are modeled. By selecting \(\alpha\) for the range 0 to 1, the Equation (4) can be referred to as the fractional rate of changes, and anomalous phenomena can be described.

The Chen-Lee system exhibits good symmetrical behavior and is widely used in CS applications \[24\] \[25\]. It is a simplified mathematical model of a chaotic attractor. The master system and the slave system with a control term can be represented as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Master System:} & & 
\begin{bmatrix} 
D_{x_1} \\
D_{x_2} \\
D_{x_3} 
\end{bmatrix} & = &
\begin{bmatrix} 
a & -x_3 & 0 \\
0 & b & 0 \\
n/3 & 0 & c 
\end{bmatrix} 
\begin{bmatrix} 
x_1 \\
x_2 \\
x_3 
\end{bmatrix} + 
\begin{bmatrix} 
u_1 
\end{bmatrix} \\
\text{Slave System:} & & 
\begin{bmatrix} 
D_{y_1} \\
D_{y_2} \\
D_{y_3} 
\end{bmatrix} & = &
\begin{bmatrix} 
a & -y_3 & 0 \\
y_3 & b & 0 \\
n/3 & 0 & c 
\end{bmatrix} 
\begin{bmatrix} 
y_1 \\
y_2 \\
y_3 
\end{bmatrix} + 
\begin{bmatrix} 
u_2 \\
u_3 
\end{bmatrix} 
\end{align*}
\]  

(7)
where $a$, $b$, and $c$ are system parameters. In order to track self-synchronization errors, the control term $u_1 = u_2 = u_3 = 0$, is used. The errors are defined as $e_1 = (x_1 - y_1)$, $e_2 = (x_2 - y_2)$, and $e_3 = (x_3 - y_3)$, $e = [e_1, e_2, e_3]^T$, and Equation (8) is subtracted from Equation (7), so the dynamics of error system can be represented as

$$
\frac{De}{Dt} = \begin{bmatrix}
  a & -e_3 & 0 \\
  e_3 & b & 0 \\
  \frac{1}{3}e_2 & 0 & c
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  e_1 \\
  e_2 \\
  e_3
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  a & -e_3 & 0 \\
  0 & b + \frac{(e_3)^2}{a} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & c
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  e_1 \\
  e_2 \\
  e_3
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{9}
$$

In order to express the fractional-order diffusion, a fractional-order error system is used, and the ordinary differential system (9) can be modified by fractional-order derivatives as follows [29] [30]:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
  D^{\alpha}e_1 \\
  D^{\alpha}e_2 \\
  D^{\alpha}e_3
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  a & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & b' & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & c'
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  e_1^{1+\alpha} \\
  e_2^{1+\alpha} \\
  e_3^{1+\alpha}
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{\Gamma(1)e_1e_2^\alpha}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \\
  \frac{\Gamma(2)(e_1^2e_2^\alpha)}{a\Gamma(2+\alpha)} \\
  0
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{10}
$$

where $q = (1 - \alpha)$ is the fractional order that satisfies $0 < q \leq 1$, $a$ is the even value rounded up to the nearest integer 1, and $\Gamma(\bullet)$ is the Gamma function ($\Gamma(1) = \Gamma(2) = 1$). This is the definition of usual derivatives when the order $q = 1$ ($\alpha = 0$). System (9) acts as a chaotic attractor, satisfying [29] [30]

$$
\begin{align*}
  a' &= \frac{a\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha)} > 0, \\
  b' &= \frac{b\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha)} < 0, \\
  c' &= \frac{c\Gamma(2)}{\Gamma(2+\alpha)} < 0, < 0 < a' < (b' + c')
\end{align*}
\tag{11}
$$

where the system parameters, $a'$, $b'$, and $c'$, are nonzero constants. The phase trajectories show various dynamic behaviors when the fractional order is $q$.

For computer implementation, discrete fractional-order chaos system is proposed to detect AVS and to evaluate the outcome of a surgical operation. Let the error states be $e_1[i] = x[i] - y[i]$, $e_2[i] = x[i+1] - y[i+1]$, and $e_3[i] = x[i+2] - y[i+2]$, $i = 1, 2, 3, ..., n - 2$, so the self-synchronization error formulations, $\Phi_1[i]$, $\Phi_2[i]$, and $\Phi_3[i]$ are defined, as

$$
\Phi_1[i] = a'(e_1[i])^{1+\alpha} - \frac{\Gamma(1)e_1[i]e_2[i]}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} (e_1[i])^\alpha
\tag{12}
$$

$$
\Phi_2[i] = b'(e_2[i])^{1+\alpha} - \frac{\Gamma(2)(e_1[i])^2(e_2[i])^{1+\alpha}}{a\Gamma(2+\alpha)}
\tag{13}
$$

$$
\Phi_3[i] = c'(e_3[i])^{1+\alpha}
\tag{14}
$$

where $x[i]$, $x[i+1]$, and $x[i+2]$ represent the data sequence of the frequency spectra using the reference/baseline data after/before percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) treatment, $y[i]$, $y[i+1]$, and $y[i+2]$ represent the data sequence of undergoing further collection of data based on routine examinations, and $n$ is the integer number of the frequency.

The self-synchronization error formulations have three dynamic errors and they change their values depending on the error states, $e_1$, $e_2$, and $e_3$. With the maximum values, $\max\{\Phi_1[i]\}$, $\Phi_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{+\alpha}$, $\max\{\Phi_2[i]\}$, $\Phi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{+\alpha}$, and $\max\{\Phi_3[i]\}$, $\Phi_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{+\alpha}$; we evaluate the degree of AVS stenosis using the index, $\Psi$, as in [30]

$$
\Psi = \sqrt{\max\{\Phi_1[i]\}^2 + \max\{\Phi_2[i]\}^2 + \max\{\Phi_3[i]\}^2}
\tag{15}
$$
It is expected that the vibration and turbulent flow caused by stenosis produce different central spectra and frequency bands. Refer to Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(d), the dynamic errors, $\Phi_{1}[t]$, $\Phi_{2}[t]$, and $\Phi_{3}[t]$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, n-2$ ($n = 800$), can be calculated using the system parameters $a = 2$, $b = -4$, and $c = -3$, in Equation (11). When the fractional order $q = 0.98$, the dynamic errors are also identified using the system parameters $a' = 1.983$, $b' = -3.966$, and $c' = -2.9745$, as shown in Figure 3(b) and Figure 3(e). Using fractional orders, the dynamic behaviors of a FOCs become relatively broad, and a broad range of features is identified. Figure 3(c) and Figure 3(f) show the phase diagram for $\Phi_{1}$ versus $\Phi_{2}$ with fractional orders $q = 0.90 - 0.98$. The self-synchronization errors seem similar and stable, and the scaling factors, $a'$, $b'$, and $c'$, control the phase trajectories of the chaotic motion and could be bounded within a limited region. We hypothesize that the origin is a stable point under a normal condition and that the phase trajectories increase as the stenosis become more serious.

3.3. Fuzzy Petri Net (FPN)

A set of Fuzzy IF-THEN rules is commonly used to represent linguistic inference rules. Let inference rule $R = \{R_1, R_2, R_3, \ldots, R_n\}$, where the form of the $r$th rule be presented as

$$R_r: \text{IF } \Psi_r \text{ THEN } C \ (\text{CF} = \mu_r)$$

where $\Psi_r$ and $C$ are propositions, each proposition is a real value, and $\mu_r$ is the value of the certainty factor (CF), $\mu_r \in [0,1]$. The CF can indicate the grade of membership of $\mu_r$, $r = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N_r$, in the Fuzzy set, including triangular, trapezoid, and Gaussian membership function. A Gaussian membership function can be parameterized by a mean and a standard deviance, $\sigma_r$. It is a nonlinear classifier and is defined by

$$\mu_r = \exp \left[ -\frac{(\psi_r - \text{mean})^2}{\sigma_r^2} \right]$$

The larger the value of $\mu_r$, and the more confidence is confirmed.

We can use a Fuzzy Petri net (FPN) to represent the Fuzzy IF-THEN rules of a rule-based system. The FPN is a marked graphical system, containing two types: places (P) and transitions (T), where circle symbols represent places, and bar symbols represent transitions. Each transition is associated with a CF value between zero and one. In this study, the definition of the FPN is as follows [20]-[23]:

$$\Phi_{FPN} = (\Pi, T, \Delta, \Psi, X, \mu, \theta, \beta, \Omega)$$

where $P = \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{N\pi}\}$ is a finite set of places, $T = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_{N\pi}\}$ is a finite set of transitions, $D = \{d_1, d_2, d_3, \ldots, d_{N\pi}\}$ is a finite set of propositions, $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \psi_3, \ldots, \psi_{N\pi}\}$ is the input function, a mapping from transitions to desired places, $C = \{C_1, C_2, C_3, \ldots, C_{N\pi}\}$ is the output function, a mapping from transitions to desired places, $\mu = \{\mu_{t_1}, \mu_{t_2}, \mu_{t_3}, \ldots, \mu_{t_{N\pi}}\}$ is a membership function, defined in [0,1] from inputs to transitions, $\theta = \{\theta_{t_1}, \theta_{t_2}, \theta_{t_3}, \ldots, \theta_{t_{N\pi}}\}$ is a membership function, defined in [0,1] from places to transitions, $\beta$ is a weighted value from transitions to desired places, and $W$ is a weighted value from transitions to desired outputs. The structure of FPN can be represented by a rule connectivity graphical system, as shown in Figure 4. According to this theory, inference functions contain “AND” or “OR” operators with min and max composite operations, which is known as “multivalued logic (Boolean rule of C. G. Looney [31])”. In this study, indexes $\Psi$, provide key information for making decision rules for an FPN based screening system.

4. Screening System Implementation

4.1. Signal Preprocessing

Long-term hemodialysis treatment of patients was chosen for clinical investigation at the Department of Surgery, National Cheng Kung University Hospital (Tainan City, Taiwan). Phonoangiographic signals were recorded using an electronic stethoscope (3M™ Littmann 4100 Series, Minnesota, USA) with a 4 KHz sampling rate at measurement sites. A band pass filter with cut off at frequencies from 40 Hz and 800 Hz to prevent the base line from wandering and maintain the characteristic frequencies at the signal preprocessing stage [11] [12]. Frequency spectral evaluation was then used to find the characteristic frequencies using the Burg AR method with a given AR order of $P = 8$ [30]. The frequency region, <800 Hz, was chosen in this study. The self-synchroniza-
tion error formulations were used to calculate dynamic errors. Depending on the dynamic errors, indexes $\Psi$, the status of AVS can be identified.

4.2. Preliminary Diagnosis and Classification

In clinical cases, following multiple PTA treatment, the vessel can develop severely fibrous soft tissue and sclerosis, leading to graft thrombosis, or loss of the available graft puncture area [32]. For example, polytetrafluoroethylene graft failure results in thrombosis, either at the graft-vein anastomosis or in proximal vein. In clinical research, the degree of narrowing of the normal vessel is an index, the so-called degree of stenosis (DOS). This index was confirmed the specific degree from images examinations, which are defined as in [33]

$$DOS\% = \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{D^2}\right) \times 100\%$$

(19)

$$\Delta DOS\% = DOS_{pre}\% - DOS_{post}\%$$

(20)

where $D$ is the diameter of the normal graft or vessel in the direction of the blood flow, $d$ is the diameter of the stenosis lesion, $DOS_{pre}\%$ is the $DOS\%$ before PTA, and $DOS_{post}\%$ is the $DOS\%$ after PTA. The examination results have been classified into three classes by clinical physicians, as shown in Table 1. When the $DOS\%$ is greater than 50%, PTA or surgical revision is required to dilate the stenotic lesion or to remove the thrombus. The parameter $\Delta DOS\%$ is the reduction degree of residual AVS stenosis from before and after PTA. This gives us the following two hypotheses:

- AVS has still residual stenosis, such as elastic recoil or graft thrombosis, etc., after PTA as small values, $\Delta DOS\%$ and index $\Psi$.
- AVS has stenosis upon monthly examination when the $DOS\%$ and index $\Psi$ are increasing.

For the surgical results among 42 patients (IRB, under contract number: ER-99-186), the overall $DOS\%$ of patients is greater than 80% before PTA, [28] which is regarded as the reference level, and in three groups (>50%, 30% - 50%, <30%) after PTA. In statistics, there is no statistical significance between the groups in arterial anastomosis sites (A-sites) and venous anastomosis sites (V-sites), $p > 0.05$. Therefore, the correlation between $\Delta DOS\%$ and index $\Psi$ at the V-sites is closer than for the A-sites, as shown in Table 1 [30]. We can use exponent regression to model the relationship between $\Delta DOS\%$ and index $\Psi$, as well as $DOS\%$ and index $\Psi$, respectively. The prediction model is fitted a non-linear curve that passes directly through all of the experimental data, as shown in Figure 5(a). It can be seen the correlation between $\Delta DOS\%$ and the Index, $\Psi$, at the V-site, as

$$\Delta DOS\% = 0.1914 \times \exp(0.2333\Psi), R^2 = 0.3802$$

(21)
Figure 5. (a) The $\Delta$DOS% versus index $\Psi$ at V site, (b) Order of severity for the evaluation of AVS stenosis.

### Table 1. The correlation results of DOS%, $\Delta$DOS% and Index $\Psi$ at A-site and V-site [30].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Class I (No)</th>
<th>Class II (8)</th>
<th>Class III (26)</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$DOS_{pre}$%</td>
<td>81 - 97</td>
<td>87 - 100</td>
<td>81 - 99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$DOS_{post}$%</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>30 - 50</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta$DOS%</td>
<td>23 - 42%</td>
<td>45 - 66%</td>
<td>55 - 88%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi$ at A-site</td>
<td>4.25 ± 1.08</td>
<td>4.37 ± 1.07</td>
<td>5.03 ± 0.43</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi$ at V-site</td>
<td>3.63 ± 1.46</td>
<td>4.80 ± 0.62</td>
<td>4.90 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.129</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exponential regression was used to perform a least square curve fit, which minimizes the sum of the squares of the deviations of the experimental data from the prediction model. Thus, the examination criteria can be obtained for a prediction approach. As shown in Figure 5(b), the specific ranges, including Class I: $\Psi < 3$, Class II: $3 < \Psi < 5$, and Class III: $\Psi > 5$, are used to evaluate the residual stenosis after PTA. We also compare the index $\Psi$ before and after PTA at a monthly examination and suggest the specific ranges for evaluating AVS stenosis, order of severity, including Class III: $\Psi < 3$, Class II: $3 < \Psi < 5$, and Class I: $\Psi > 5$. 
4.3. Fuzzy Petri Nets Based Screening System

According to Table 1 and Figure 5, a Gaussian membership function can be parameterized by means (mean = 0.00 - 6.00) and a standard deviance (σ1 = σ2 = σ3 = … = σr = 0.3802) using Equation (17). Figure 6 illustrates the Gaussian membership functions for three classes. In this way, we have 7 membership functions μr, r = 1, 2, 3, …, 7, with specific ranges Ψr. The CF of each input in the different ranges is distributed in the range of [0, 1]. The FPN can perform Fuzzy inference to evaluate the degree of AVS stenosis of each proposition specified by the clinical physician, as shown in Table 2. Assume the degree of proposition Cm (Class I - Class III), m = 1, 2, 3, place pm is associated with the proposition dm = θm(pm), m = 1, 2, 3. Thus, the FPN based screening system can be determined, as shown in Figure 4. The FPN performs max operations (OR operator) to generate the goal proposition C, C = max {C1, C2, C3}. The FPN algorithm is summarized as follows:

Step 1) IF Ψr THEN tr, CF = μr, where tr = μr(Ψr), r = 1, 2, 3, …, 7 (Nr = 7).

Step 2) IF (μ1 or μ2 or … or μr) THEN pm, pm = max {μ1 × β1, μ2 × β2, …, μr × βr}, m = 1, 2, 3, …, Nr (Nr = 7).

Step 3) Compute the proposition dm = θm(pm), m = 1, 2, 3 (Nm = 3).

Step 4) IF (d1 or d2 or … or dm) THEN Cm, Cm = max {θ1(pm1) × W, θ2(pm2) × W, …, θm(pm) × W}, m = 1, 2, 3 (Nm = 3).

Step 5) Final output C = λm × max {C1, C2, C3}.

Table 2. Inference rules of Fuzzy Petri nets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input</th>
<th>GMF</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Proposition</th>
<th>Output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ψ1</td>
<td>μ1</td>
<td>t1 = μ1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ2</td>
<td>μ2</td>
<td>t2 = μ2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ3</td>
<td>μ3</td>
<td>t3 = μ3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ4</td>
<td>μ4</td>
<td>t4 = μ4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ5</td>
<td>μ5</td>
<td>t5 = μ5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ6</td>
<td>μ6</td>
<td>t6 = μ6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ψ7</td>
<td>μ7</td>
<td>t7 = μ7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Goal Proposition:
Cm = max {d1 × W, d2 × W, d3 × W}

Final Output:
C = λm × max {C1, C2, C3}
The index, $\lambda_m$, is a real number, and is defined as
- residual stenosis evaluation: $\lambda_1 = 1$ for Class I, $\lambda_2 = 2$ for Class II, and $\lambda_3 = 3$ for Class III,
- AVS stenosis evaluation: $\lambda_1 = 3$ for Class III, $\lambda_2 = 2$ for Class II, and $\lambda_3 = 1$ for Class I.

The goal proposition $C$ indicates the possible degree of AVS senosis. Therefore, function $\theta_m(p_m)$ is a nonlinear approximator, and is defined by

$$\theta_m = \exp\left(- (1 - p_m)\right), \quad 0 < \theta_m < 1$$

where value $p_m$, $0 \leq p_m \leq 1$, can determine the output of function $\theta_m$ ($N = 3$), and the larger value, the more likely it is the output goal proposition will occur. Its solution list is monotonically decreasing, and the degree can be divided into three classes.

5. Experimental Results and Discussion

The proposed screening system with the signal processing, Burg AR method, self-synchronization error formulations, and Fuzzy Petri nets was developed on a PC AMD Athlon II × 2 245 2.91 GHz with 1.75 GB RAM and Matlab software. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed model for AVS stenosis evaluation, 42 subjects were tested. There were 23 females and 19 males with a mean age of 63 ± 10.2 years (49 to 81 years). The AVS types included 22 arteriovenous grafts (AVGs) and 20 arteriovenous fistulas (AVFs) to surgically connect the artery and vein. The participants’ mean duration of long-term hemodialysis therapy was 48 ± 31 months. Preliminary diagnosis results confirmed the specific degrees by ultrasonic image examination and observation by clinical physicians. Two case studies, residual stenosis after PTA and long-term/monthly examinations were used to validate the proposed screening system, as detailed below.

5.1. Residual Stenosis Evaluation after PTA

The records of the 42 subjects were divided into three groups. The overall testing results are shown in Figure 7, and the accuracy (90.47%) and sensitivity (92.30%) are greater than 90% with 4 failures. Each subject used at least 2 records for 8 seconds at the venous anastomosis site before PTA and after PTA. We classified the AVS stenosis according to three main degrees, as “Class I”, “Class II”, and “Class III”, corresponding to monotonically decreasing curves that define the level to quantify the similarity in each class. The output function of $\theta_m$, $m = 1, 2, 3$, itself can be an arbitrary curve, and we can define it as a function that must vary between 0.3679 and 1.0000 for Class I, 0.7358 and 2.0000 for Class II, 1.1037 and 3.0000 for Class III, respectively. Nonlinear Gaussian classifiers are used to screen possible classes from the three groups. Then, the place $p_m$ can determine the similarity level more likely to be close to each nonlinear approximator. If place $p_m$ is similar to any proposition, its value spreads between 0 and 1. It can be seen the function of AVS can be evaluated by the proposed screening system.

![Figure 7. Feasibility screening results of residual stenosis evaluation after PTA (42 tests).](image-url)
In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, 10 patients were also randomly selected to verify the residual stenosis evaluation, as shown in Table 3. A clinical physician verified that these subjects had a high degree of $DOS\%$ before PTA. PTA treatment or surgical revision is used to dilate the stenotic lesion and to enlarge the focal site with balloon expansion. Then, the proposed screening system has high confidences for early detection to decide the degree of residual stenosis, and has a non-invasive means of measurement and an automatic diagnostic algorithm for monitoring AVS conditions. According to this examination, we recommend increasing surveillance and confirmation analysis, especially in “Class II and III”. This confirms the FPN based screening system provides promising results for residual stenosis evaluation at the venous anastomosis site.

### 5.2. Long-Term Monthly Examinations

As an example, a female hemodialysis patient, aged 54, AVG type (Right Forearm Loop), agreed to participate in the long-term examination and allowed further data collection through monthly observation from June 25, 2011 to November 12, 2012. In a routine monitoring cycle, a monthly examination was used to evaluate the AVG function, as shown in Table 4. On September 6, 2011, the patient had severe AVG occlusion, $DOS\% = 92\%$, and received PTA treatment. After multiple PTA procedures, the vessel became severely fibrous and sclerotic. An immediate elastic recoil can occur after PTA treatment, which contributes to blood flow resistance from the outflow to the total occlusion condition. On November 12, 2011, using the ultrasonic image examination, were found this type of recoil at the venous anastomosis site. This type of case can fall into one of the two following scenarios:

#### Table 3. Results of residual stenosis evaluation at venous anastomosis site (V-site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Number</th>
<th>Index $\Psi$</th>
<th>$DOS%$</th>
<th>$\Delta DOS%$</th>
<th>Class CF ($\rho$, $\theta$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before PTA</td>
<td>After PTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 AVF</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 AVF</td>
<td>5.33</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 AVG</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 AVG</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 AVG</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 AVG</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 AVG</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 AVF</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 AVG</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 AVG</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4. Results of long-term monthly examination at venous anastomosis site (V-site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data 2011</th>
<th>Stenosis condition</th>
<th>Characteristic frequency</th>
<th>Index $\Psi$</th>
<th>Class CF ($\rho$, $\theta$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st 2st 3rd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/25</td>
<td>Inflow</td>
<td>96 378 650</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>III (0.8029, 2.4634)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08/06</td>
<td>Inflow</td>
<td>113 409 661</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>III (0.3027, 1.4938)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/06</td>
<td>Outflow</td>
<td>187 396 631</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>I (0.9892, 0.9893)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/13</td>
<td>Outflow</td>
<td>103 333 -</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>II (0.4704, 1.1776)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/02</td>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>36 - -</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>I (0.5879, 0.6623)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• From inflow to outflow stenosis condition: with focal increase in velocity, the main characteristic frequency gradually shifts from low frequency components to high frequency components, such as the 1st characteristic frequency could increase from 96 Hz to 187 Hz in three-months of observation.

• From outflow to total occlusion condition: the 1st and 2nd characteristic frequencies return to their previous levels, but the frequencies of the 2nd and 3rd characteristic disappear.

Thus, the index $\Psi$ gradually increases as the stenosis gradually becomes severe. For example, index $\Psi = 5.04$, the diagnostic procedures of the FPNs are:

Step 1) Compute the CFs of $\mu_r(f_r)$, then transition $T = [t_1, t_2, t_3, \ldots, t_7] = [0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0000, 0.0007, 0.9892, 0.0019]$.

Step 2) Perform OR operations with 3 combinations of transitions, and then place $P = [p_1, p_2, p_3] = \left[ \max \{(t_1 \times 1), (t_2 \times 1), (t_3 \times 1), (t_4 \times 1)\}, \max \{(t_4 \times 0.4), (t_5 \times 0.8), (t_6 \times 0.4)\}, \max \{(t_5 \times 0.4), (t_6 \times 1), (t_7 \times 1)\} \right] = [0.0000, 0.3957, 0.9892]$.

Step 3) Compute the proposition $D = [d_1, d_2, d_3] = [0.3679, 0.5465, 0.9893]$.

Step 4) Perform OR operations with 3 combinations of three groups of places, and then the goal proposition $\max((d_1 \times 1), (d_2 \times 1), (d_3 \times 1)) = \max(C_1, C_2, C_3) = 0.9893$.

Step 5) Final output $C = \lambda_3 \times \max(C_1, C_2, C_3) = 0.9893$ ($\lambda_3 = 1$).

Overall results of one routine monitoring cycle were shown in Table 4. These results confirm that the proposed screening system can also be used to evaluate the degree of AVS stenosis for monthly examinations.

5.3. Performance Tests and Discussion

Table 5 shows comparison performances using the proposed screening method, a support vector machine (SVM) [11] and a probabilistic neural network (PNN) [34]. After collecting recorded data, 40-sets of training data from input-output pairs were used to train a PNN and a SVM, as shown in Figure 8(a) and Figure 8(b). So the structures of multi-layer networks can be determined using input-output training data, and be built with a network topology $1 \times 3 \times 3$ for SVM and a topology $1 \times 40 \times 4 \times 3$ for PNN. Updating of network parameters was performed after the network structure had been determined. For adaptive applications, traditional optimization me-
Table 5. Comparison of performances between the proposed method and other methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>task</th>
<th>FPN</th>
<th>PNN [34]</th>
<th>SVM [11]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network architecture</td>
<td></td>
<td>7-3-3-1</td>
<td>1-40-4-3</td>
<td>1-3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training data</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activation function</td>
<td>Gaussian membership function (GMF):</td>
<td>Equations (17), (22)</td>
<td>Gaussian Function [11][34]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inference/learning algorithm</td>
<td>Multivalued logic Max operation</td>
<td>• Least mean square algorithm • Gradient descent method • Particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter assignment</td>
<td>from transitions to desired places</td>
<td>Means and standard deviations of GMFs • Weighted values, $\beta$, from transitions to desired places</td>
<td>$\sigma$, $\alpha$, $\text{bias}$, constraint: $0 &lt; \gamma &lt; 1$ • Uniformly random numbers • Maximum number of allowable iteration: $g_{max}, g = 1, 2, \ldots, g_{max} = 50$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustable parameter</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$\alpha$, $\beta$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iteration training</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$&lt; 50$</td>
<td>20 - 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convergent condition</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>MSEF $&lt; 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>MSEF $&lt; 0.2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Activation function: (1) PNN [34]: $H_i = \exp \left( -\frac{(\Psi(k) - \Psi)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$ (2) SVM [11]: $f(\Psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \gamma_i \exp \left( -\frac{(\Psi(k) - \Psi)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right) + \text{bias}$ where $\Psi$ is the input testing data, and $\Psi(k), k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, K (K = 40)$, is the training data.

Methods, such as the gradient descent method and the least-square algorithm, were used to choose the optimal network parameters. As seen in Table 5, an adaptive mechanism design with updating the parameters, $\alpha$, and was adopted to minimize the mean squared error function (MSEF) between the actual output and desired output [34] [35]. However, traditional optimization methods have two bottlenecks: 1) MSEF is a non-linear function and its partial differential equation is difficult to obtain, and 2) It cannot guarantee convergence to a global optimal solution as the iteration computing and the number of training data increase.

The particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm is an evolutionary optimization technique, which provides more efficiency in solving multiple optima, high dimensionality, featuring non-linearity, and nondifferentiability problems [36]. For the same training data, the evolution computations of this technique were used to minimize the MSEF, as shown in Figure 9. It can be seen the solution list of the PNN classifier is monotonically decreasing, but the MSEF could not reach the convergent condition (MSEF $< 10^{-2}$).

In addition, only slight improvement in the optimal parameters was obtained by increasing the number of iteration training and population sizes ($G = 20 - 40$).

A SVM can find an optimal hyper-plane for linearly or non-linearly separable patterns, as shown in Figure 8(a), and also can transfer input data into higher dimensional space. A specific function, kernel-based transformation, transfers the input space into higher dimensional space by non-linear transformation and tuning the parameters, $\tau$, $\alpha$, and $\text{bias}$. For the same training data, the solution list of the SVM classifier can rapidly reach the convergent condition, as shown in Figure 9. However, its solution list involves vibration convergence and is trapped to the local optimal solution due to updating of three parameters at each iteration computation. With a non-linear SVM mechanism, it is not suitable to train a classifier under low-dimensional pattern space. Its accuracy is only 65% (with 14 failures), which less than PNN.
The multi-layer networks provide promising solutions to perform classification tasks, but the algorithms are difficult implement in hardware devices. The proposed screening system provides a rule-based mechanism and automatic weighted Fuzzy reasoning. A flexible and intelligent approach requires no iteration computation for updating network parameters. Thus, it can overcome the complexity of adjustable mechanism design, and the prototype device can be implemented with fundamental mathematic operations and logical operation functions as needed in a short design cycle, as seen in Appendix.

Some advantages of the proposed screening system are summarized as follows:

- Burg autoregressive (AR) method is used to identify the characteristic frequencies.
- Self-synchronization error formulation (SSEF) is used to track the differences of frequency spectra between normal and abnormal conditions.
- Fractional-order dynamic error can be used to scale the equal difference values within the specific ranges.
- Fuzzy Petri net (FPN) requires no assignation of a specific objective function and no iteration computing to update parameters.

6. Conclusion

In Taiwan, more than 66 thousand people need to receive the hemodialysis treatment and this number is increasing year by year. For long-term use, AVF or AVG accesses must be punctured every two days. Maintenance of proper AVS function is the most important issue for end stage renal disease patients. The proposed screening system has developed an early detection tool for evaluating AVS condition. With the noninvasive phonoangiographic signals, the Burg AR method was used to estimate the characteristic frequency spectra. Using fractional calculation with fractional orders, $0.90 < q < 0.98$, the self-synchronization error formulations are used to compute the fractional-order dynamic errors, which are orthogonal components bound to the limit values in the first quadrant. Thus, the norm of the dynamic errors is used to specify the degrees of the stenosis. Then, we implemented human diagnosis work to present a Fuzzy Petri net based inference algorithm for a rule-based screening system, and the degree subdivides into three classes to screen the residual stenosis and occlusion levels. We used 42 case studies for “residual stenosis evaluation” and 10 case studies for “long-term monthly examination” to verify the feasibility of the proposed screening system. It can provide early detection in homecare applications and is easy to implement with a portable medical monitor.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Medical Device Innovation Center (MDIC) at National Cheng Kung University, under contract number: D102-21001 (January 1, 2013-December 31, 2013). The Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the National Cheng-Kung University Hospital approved this study, under contract number: ER-99-186.

References


Appendix

The authors designed a prototype of arteriovenous shunt (AVS) stenosis screening system using the LabVIEW graphical programming (National Instruments™ Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA), as shown in Figure A1. It includes phonoangiographic (PCG) signals recording, signal processing, feature extraction, and Fuzzy Petri net. The proposed screening system has developed an assistant tool for residual stenosis evaluation and AVS stenosis evaluation. It also allows applications for the detection of arterial occlusive diseases and coronary artery diseases.

Figure A1. Main human-computer interface.