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ABSTRACT
For the past few years, noise pollution has been more and more serious, and it may lead to several diseases. While the humans expose to noise in quantity for a long time, their blood pressure will change, and even cause changes in cardiac function. In our study, we attempt to find the relationship between occupational noise and hypertension and impaired hearing, cardiac function. It may be helpful to obtain some useful information on occupational noise exposure of humans. The participants were divided into noisy group ≥90 dB (A) and non-noisy group ≤70 dB (A). We performed this research in an automobile manufacturing company of Chongqing, China during 2011-2012. Our study showed that there may be positive associations between occupational noise and hypertension and impaired hearing, but no conclusion can be drawn between occupational noise and ECG.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Noise, defined as the boring or unnecessary sound, exists in the environment and workplaces widely. Nowadays, noise pollution has been an important problem which is prevalent in the world [1-4]. Simultaneously, occupational noise is also the main section and a large number of workers are suffering a high level of noise un-
tional health examinations held by the Center for Disease Prevention and Control of Chongqing from November, 2011 to March, 2012. This study performed with the Recommendations from the Declaration of Helsinki (1983) and was approved by every subject and the ethics committee of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, before conducting it. Eliminating 35 workers who had cardiovascular diseases before working in this company and 287 workers who worked under the noise exposure (75.0 - 88.2 dB, mean = 78.6 dB). Checking all the items, the results of 728 workers were collected and analyzed in the study. The workers who received health examination were obtaining written informed consent (men 588, women 140) and then taking medical examinations, including general medical check, audition screening, blood pressure, electrocardiogram, blood test, X-ray, ophthalmology and otolaryngology, type-B ultrasonic, liver function, lung function routine examinations etc. All the examinations were carried out between 9:00 and 12:00, requesting all workers to take test and not to eat anything until completing the examinations day ahead.

Questionnaires with all inspection items were distributed to every worker the day before checking up and objects were requested to paste the copy of identification card on the top left corner of the cover and to fill in essential information (including name, sex, age, weight, body height and so on), history of diseases, smoking years, drinking years, diet habits and so on. Whether the family had hypertension or other cardiovascular diseases was included in the history of diseases.

For the present study, the workers (n = 728) were assigned into noisy group and non-noisy group, different types of work in production had different levels of noise, so 442 workers from workshops where the level of noise was ≥90 dB (A) were divided into noisy group, and another 286 workers from office rooms and one depository where the level of noise was ≤70 dB (A) were divided into non-noisy group, none of the workers used ear protectors.

2.2. Determination of Workplace Noise

The levels of noise exposure were measured by sound level meters (TES-1357 sound level meter, Taiwan), workshops, offices and two depositories were all measured from 9:00 to 17:00 consecutively. The meters were placed 1.5 m above the workshops’ ground surface and 1.1 m above the offices’ and depositories’ ground surface. To exclude the interference of results by wind, we surveyed the wind velocity of all destinations which were all below 1 m/s. The average A-weighted sound levels of 8 h were accounted before examinations, those who worked in the environment which the average level and the minimum level both ≥90 dB (A) were assigned into noisy group. The level of noise in one depository was 80.2 dB and workplaces which some cleansers worked were between 75.0 - 88.2 dB (mean = 78.6), so these workers were excluded from the research.

2.3. Determination of Blood Pressure

The measurements of blood pressure were performed in a quiet room in the morning using mercurial sphygmomanometer, conducted by the policy of Bailey and Bauer [16]. The workers must have a rest at least for 10 minutes before entering the room, and then were guided in the sitting position after about 5 minutes rest testing the blood pressure of brachial artery for three times, the data was used in mean of them to express individuals’ blood pressure in this study. Hypertension was defined by the World Health Organization: a systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg or a diastolic BP ≥ 90 mmHg, or had been treated for hypertension [17].

2.4. Determination of ECG and Hearing

In this study, doctors used electrocardiographs (ECG-E31A, Guangdong, SHENZHEN ORIENTAL SCIENCE & TECH. CO. LTD) to test every participant’s ECG, and they determined weather normal or abnormal by the results of examinations. In these workers, the types of abnormal were sinus tachycardia, sinus bradycardia, left axis deviation, right axis deviation, premature ventricular contraction, sinus arrhythmia, incomplete right bundle branch block and heart rate over 100 times/min; when a worker had one of these symptoms was defined as abnormal ECG. After taking examinations, we collected all the data together to analyze.

Simultaneously, doctors use audiometers (MM622, Denmark, Madsen) to test every participant’s hearing, and they determined weather normal or abnormal by the results of audiogram. The abnormal hearing ability of most workers of was V type audiogram, when the average value of 3000 Hz - 6000 Hz > 50 dB was defined as hearing impairment.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

In this study, we used the logistic regression to analysis the relations between noise and hypertension, ECG, impaired hearing, and used x^2 test to compared the differences between noisy group and non-noisy group, including the rate of hypertension, the abnormal rate of ECG, the abnormal rate of hearing and the rate of them in different working years. All the values are presented as means ± SD, and analyzed by SPSS17.0 (a = 0.05).

3. RESULTS

In present study, all questionnaires were collected by
staffs of the CDC after health examination, and then all data was recorded as Excel format in computers. BMI was calculated and showed a decrease in noisy group but did not reach statistical significance (data not shown).

As shown in Table 1, more than one fifth workers were diagnosed with hypertension (21.49%) in noisy group and was significance compared with non-noisy group (p < 0.01). Equally, workers who worked in noisy worksites had a worse audition than those who worked in control conditions (p < 0.01), while ECG presented an increase abnormal rate but showed no significance.

In this factory, about one fifth workers are women and four fifth workers are men, to eliminate the effects of sexuality we compared sex structure between two groups which shown no significant difference (data not shown). Both in two groups, the rate of hypertension in women was significantly higher compared with men; in noisy group was higher than non-noisy group (p < 0.01). On the contrary, the prevalence of ECG in women was lower compared with men but still showed no significant difference (p > 0.05). The percentage of hearing damage was similar in men and women, no significance was observed in Table 2.

The rates of hypertension and audiometric injuries were significantly increased (p < 0.01) with working years growing in noisy group, but this trend was not seen in ECG. Only in noisy group, ECG among workers worked 10-year were significantly higher than those who worked 0-year, workers who worked more than 15 years increased but did not reach statistical significance. In non-noisy group, ECG was no significant difference among working years groups. As is shown in Table 3, the rate of impaired hearing indicated an increase with working years while 15- group was below significance (p > 0.05).

After analyzed the data shown in previous tables, we further used the logistic regression statistics and the results are presented in Table 4. The total odds ratios of hypertension, ECG, impaired hearing were 2.63, 1.19, 2.21 and the 95%CI were 1.66 - 4.15, 0.76 - 1.86, 1.31 - 3.70. To certify the relation of noise and hypertension, ECG, impaired hearing were 2.63, 1.19, 2.21 and the 95%CI were 1.66 - 4.15, 0.76 - 1.86, 1.31 - 3.70. To certify the relation of noise and hypertension, ECG, impaired hearing, we must evacuate the effects of other factors such as age, BMI, lifestyle items (including smoking, drinking, exercise, diet and so on). The results after adjusted these factors were shown in Table 4.

4. DISCUSSION

Nowadays, noise pollution has been an important problem in the world. Many studies researched about occupational noise exposure and hearing, blood pressure, cardiovascular changes in various countries [18-23], however, similar research was seldom carried out in China and no report was found in large-scale industrial city of Chongqing. To resolve this problem in the area, we did this study. In the study, we found the percentage of hypertension in the noise exposure group was significantly higher than the control group. This result was in accordance with previous studies [9,24-27]. These studies reported that there was a positive relation between hypertension and noise exposure, and some of them analyzed the possible reasons. 1301 workers in Taiwan were used independently and joint effect methods suggested that those who have TT variant allele (one genotype of angiotensinogen gene) would get hypertension easily [25]. In addition, there were different effects when the metabolic syndrome (MetS) was defined differently while occupational exposure to workload or noise adjusted coronary heart disease (CHD) risk. When MetS defined by high triglycerides, BMI and low HDL-C, the occupational noise exposure has a protective effect and can decrease the risk of CHD. While if MetS defined by high blood pressure, BMI and glucose, no protective effect is shown [26], and when noise exposure above 80 dB (A) correlated with hypertension and 4000 HZ has the most enormous effect [9]. All these are the possible reasons for why noise could impact blood pressure of people.

In our study, we found another special phenomenon that the prevalence of hypertension in women was significant higher than men, and the result was not consistent with the previous study [28]. The possible reasons were as follows: firstly, men both in noisy and non-noisy group did more physical work than women; secondly, fat accumulated with age and exorbitant fat of body was one of induced factors leading to hypertension, and body fat of women is higher than men with age increasing; thirdly, the questionnaires showed most of male workers had a drinking habit about 50 - 100 ml/day, and only a few of them were used to drinking abuse.

ECG is the main parameter responding the functions of cardiovascular, weather noise exposure is related with it or not is controversial. The abnormal rate of it in our study presents that there may be no associations with occupational noise. The result is not in concordance with some previous studies which showed no relations between noise exposure and hypertension or cardiovascular diseases [29-31]. This may be as a result of the data only collected from a company, and in order to achieve a more credible conclusion, further research on general population is needed in our team.

The rate of hypertension and impaired hearing increased with noise exposure years, and we analyzed the data by the logistic regression model. The results showed positive relations between occupational noise exposure and hypertension, impaired hearing. The possible confounding factors are age, BMI, smoking, drinking, exercise, diet and so on. A study suggested that BMI, waist circumference and weight were associated with chronic diseases such as hypertension, hyperlipemia and diabetes.
Table 1. Detection rate of hypertension, ECG and impaired hearing in two exposure groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All (n)</th>
<th>Hypertension % (n)</th>
<th>ECG % (n)</th>
<th>Impaired Hearing % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noisy group</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>21.49 (95)**</td>
<td>13.80 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-noisy group</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>9.44 (27)</td>
<td>11.89 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>16.76 (122)</td>
<td>13.05 (95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: The rates of hypertension, ECG and impaired hearing were used $x^2$ test to compared the differences between noisy group and non-noisy group. ECG: It was defined as the rate of workers who were diagnosed sinus tachycardia, sinus bradycardia, left axis deviation, right axis deviation, premature ventricular contraction, sinus arrhythmia, incomplete right bundle branch block or hart rate over 100 times/min. **p < 0.01 noisy group vs non-noisy group.

Table 2. Detection rate of hypertension, ECG and impaired hearing in male and female groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>All (n)</th>
<th>Hypertension % (n)</th>
<th>ECG % (n)</th>
<th>Impaired Hearing % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men 588</td>
<td>10.54 (62)^a</td>
<td>2.89 (17)</td>
<td>9.01 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women 140</td>
<td>23.57 (33)^**a&amp;</td>
<td>7.14 (10)^*</td>
<td>5.71 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All % (n)</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>21.49 (95)</td>
<td>9.44 (27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: To see the differences between men and women, the data used $x^2$ test to analyze. *,**p < 0.05, 0.01 men group vs women group; &,**p < 0.05, 0.01 noisy group vs non-noisy group.

Table 3. Detection rate of hypertension, ECG and impaired hearing in different exposure years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Working years (y)</th>
<th>All (n)</th>
<th>Hypertension % (n)</th>
<th>ECG % (n)</th>
<th>Impaired Hearing % (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-186</td>
<td>591 (11)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11.29 (21)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-83</td>
<td>6.02 (5)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>8.43 (7)</td>
<td>0.479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-48</td>
<td>43.75 (21)**</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22.92 (11)**</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-53</td>
<td>24.53 (13)**</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>18.87 (10)</td>
<td>0.147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-72</td>
<td>62.50 (45)**</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16.67 (12)</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All 442</td>
<td>21.49 (95)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13.80 (61)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: To assess the associations between the rate of hypertension, ECG or impaired hearing and noise exposure years, separately. **,**p < 0.05, 0.01. 5-, 10-, 15- and 20- groups vs 0- group, respectively.

Table 4. Odds ratios of hypertension, ECG, impaired hearing in noisy and non-noisy group workers (n = 728).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypertension</th>
<th>ECG</th>
<th>Impaired Hearing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
<td>95%CI</td>
<td>Odds Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.66 - 4.15</td>
<td>3.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjusted by age</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.76 - 1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjusted by lifestyle factors</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.53 - 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adjusted by BMI</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.35 - 4.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: OR values were calculated and adjusted Confounding factors such as age, BMI, lifestyle items (including smoking, drinking, exercise, diet and so on). OR > 1 means positive correlations between hypertension, ECG or impaired hearing and noise exposure.
mellitus [32]. To receive more credible results, we adjusted these factors. The values of OR were changing slightly, but the conclusions were invariable.

Finally, from this study we could draw several conclusions: 1) There may be a positive relationship between exposure occupational noise and hypertension and impaired hearing; 2) The risk of hypertension and impaired hearing increased with working years; 3) Whether occupational noise affected cardiovascular or not was unclear. Further study with more samples from different areas was needed; 4) Wearing personal protective equipments (PPE) is very important for workers, but the workers in the study hardly use them for various reasons. Therefore forcible measures should be taken to guarantee PPE wearing rate among workers in China.
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