Normalized intervertebral disc MRI signal as a biomarker of pain
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ABSTRACT

The drop in the MRI signal intensity, analysed without any normalisation, was found related to the intervertebral disc degeneration, but its association with low back pain remains controversial. The authors developed the analysis of MR signal intensity distribution (AMRSID) method that analyzes the 3D distribution of the normalized T2-weighted MR signal intensity within the intervertebral disc using descriptive statistics of histograms and weighted centers. In this study, we hypothesized that the distribution of the normalized MRI signal intensity within T2-weighted images of the intervertebral disc is a biomarker of low back pain (LBP) independently of age and disc degenerescence. The aims were to: 1) characterize intervertebral disc degeneration in vertebral fracture from MR T1-weighted and T2-weighted images; 2) evaluate the sensitivity of the normalized MRI signal distribution to the presence of LBP, discs height loss and aging. We prospectively studied 22 patients who underwent an MRI acquisition within 48h after an accidental lumbar vertebral fracture. The presence of pre-fracture low back pain, spinal stenosis, annular disruption, intervertebral disc height loss was noted from each patient’s medical record. The presence of Modic changes, High-Intensity Zones (HIZs) and vertebral endplate perforations was recorded from MRI. The descriptive statistics of the normalized T2-weighted signal were compared using one-way ANOVAs and a principal component analysis was proposed. MRI, associated to normalisation of the signal intensity and principal component analysis, offers a remarkable potential for in-vivo imaging and analysis of vertebral fractures and adjacent tissues for the patient’s follow-up. The mean normalized MRI signal intensity of the adjacent intervertebral disc to the vertebral fracture was found to be a biomarker of pain, independently of age and disc degeneration. However, the parameters describing the distribution of the normalized signal intensity were found to be not sensitive to the presence of low back pain, discs height loss and aging. Further studies need to be performed to detect small abnormalities that may explain the presence of LBP.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Vertebral endplate damage and annular tears trigger an important intervertebral disc degeneration mechanism characterized by a loss of cellularity and the degradation of the extracellular matrix that entail morphological changes and alteration of the biomechanical properties [1-6]. Secondary changes occurring from the redistribution of the tissue stresses within the intervertebral disc include fibrocartilage production, with a disorganization of the annular architecture and an increase in type-II collagen. The nucleus pulposus becomes more consolidated and fibrous, and is less clearly demarcated from the annulus pulposus.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) offers an important potential for detecting vertebral endplate damage from T1- and T2-weighted images using the Modic changes classification technique [7-9]. The changes observed within the vertebral endplate and marrow are described as follow: Type 1, hypo-intense T1-weighted and hyper-intense T2-weighted; Type 2, hyper-intense on T1-weighted and iso- or slightly hyper-intense T2-weighted; Type 3, hypo-intense T1- and T2-weighted. Despite the signal intensity changes observed after a successful spinal fusion [10], the significance of Modic changes following a surgical treatment remains unclear.

*Corresponding author.
Early MRI work on intervertebral discs consisted in the detection of degenerative abnormalities. Diminished signal intensity in the intervertebral disc and evidence of radial tears in the annulus fibrosus were highly associated with positive symptoms on discography [11-19]. High intensity zones (HIZs) observed on MR T2-weighted images were suggested to originate from a formation of vascularised granulation tissue in the outer annulus fibrosus [20], and to be associated with annular tears [16]. However, these MRI techniques are limited to depict later stage of disease after moderate to severe changes in tissue have occurred. Weighted images are protocol dependent and do not lend themselves to direct comparison. Moreover, clinical MRI of intervertebral discs is limited by low signal-to-noise ratio, low contrast-to-noise ratio, and inadequate spatial resolution [21]. Geometrical data were measured on these MR T2-weighted images of the intervertebral disc, such as the nucleus zone migration that was found significantly correlated to flexion-extension movements of the spine [22-24] or intervertebral disc wedging in scoliosis [25-27]. The intervertebral disc volume was also measured to quantify the effect of spine fusion on the subjacent discs [28] or to improve the diagnosis of idiopathic scoliosis and spondylolisthesis [29].

Low back pain (LBP) is known to be multifactorial and may originate from different spinal structures, including ligaments, zygapophyseal joints, compressed nerve roots, the lumbar dura mater, musculature, intervertebral discs, vertebral body and endplate and the sacroiliac joints. However, whatever causes pain must have a nerve supply and can be associated with inflammation when tissue damage is involved. Annular tears and vertebral endplate damage may induce LBP as the annulus fibrosus is innervated in its outer third part and vertebral endplate alteration may lead to the introduction of inflammatory cytokines within the nucleus pulposus which can be a source of pain [30]. Some authors associated the presence of HIZs as seen from MRI T2-weighted images to a concordant pain [16,20,31-33], but not all [34]. The presence of type 1 Modic changes or combined changes including type 1 and disc degeneration were later associated with pain reproduction at discography [9,35].

While it is well recognized that the drop in the MR signal intensity is related to the intervertebral disc degeneration, its association with low back pain remains controversial. A study of the association between MRI measures and low back pain variables showed the highest association for Modic changes and anterolisthesis, significantly positive associations for hypointense disc signals and reduced disc height, and moderate association for the other disc abnormalities [36]. Disc height was also found associated with back pain variables while annular tears were found associated with LBP frequency and intensity during the twelve months before imaging [37]. However, while early disc degeneration in adolescent patients with low back pain predicted the evolution of enhanced disc degeneration and herniation in adulthood, this degeneration was not found associated with severe low back pain [38]. An increased risk of sciatic pain was found related to posterior disc bulges, but local LBP was not found related to disc degeneration [39]. Multiple logistic regression analysis demonstrated that the extent of disc herniation, the lack of sports activities, and night shift work were significant predictors for disc degeneration but a weak correlation existed between progressive disc degeneration and low back pain [40]. However, all these studies compared the MRI signal intensity drop between patients without considering the different acquisition signal gains, acquisition times, acquisition parameters (repetition and echo times), signal-to-noise ratio, and patients morphologies.

The authors developed the AMRSID (analysis of MR signal intensity distribution) method that analyzes the 3D distribution of the normalized T2-weighted MR signal intensity within the intervertebral disc using descriptive statistics of histograms, weighted centers and volume ratios between the nucleus pulposus and the whole intervertebral disc [29,41]. Their study proved for the first time that changes in the intervertebral disc, non appreciated by direct visual observation as currently performed in clinics, can be detected from these specific indices. Moreover, these indices were able to discriminate between the spinal deformities and their severities, and provide essential information on the composition and structure of the discs whatever the spine deformity considered. We hypothesized that the distribution of the normalized MRI signal intensity within T2-weighted images of the intervertebral disc is a biomarker of pain independently of age and disc degenerescence. The aims of this study were to: 1) characterize intervertebral disc degeneration in vertebral fracture from MR T1-weighted and T2-weighted images; 2) evaluate the sensitivity of the normalized MRI signal distribution to the presence of low back pain, discs height loss and aging.

2. METHODS

2.1. MRI Acquisition

We prospectively studied 22 patients (8 male, 14 female, mean age of 50 years, range 23 - 77 years) who underwent an MRI acquisition (Siemens Avanto 1.5 T system) within 48 h after a lumbar vertebral fracture between 2006 and 2010 at the Hospital Sacré Coeur, with the ethic approval of the institution. The written informed consent for participation in research studies was obtained from all the participants. The MRI protocol included...
axial and sagittal T1- and T2-weighted Turbo Spin Echo and a sagittal short tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequence with a slice thickness of 4 mm for sagittal and 3 mm for axial images. Repetition and echo times TR/TE were 3000-3500/116-121 for T2-weighted and 602-754/14 for T1-weighted images.

2.2. Clinical Parameters

Fractures were categorized according to Magerl’s classification which consists in regrouping the fractures in different categories, sub-categories and specifications based mainly on the pathomorphological criteria [42]. In term of intervertebral disc degeneration, the adjacent intervertebral discs were graded using the Pfirrmann classification [43], based mostly on the structure, the signal intensity and the height of the disc as seen from T2-weighted images. Modic changes, the presence of HIZs, spinal cord compression and roots compression were also studied from both axial and sagittal images.

2.3. Image Segmentation

A semi-automatic segmentation was performed by the same operator within the nucleus pulposus, the annulus fibrosus and the whole intervertebral disc (Figure 1) using SliceOmatic software (Tomovision, Inc.). The repetition of the semi-automatic segmentation by a same operator did not influence the quality of the contour while the instructions given prior to the segmentation influenced the quality of the contour [41].

2.4. Normalisation of the MRI Signal Intensities

The MRI intensities were normalized to account for different acquisition signal gains, acquisition times, acquisition parameter), signal-to-noise ratio, and patients morphology according to the AMRSID method [29,41] using Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, MA). Each intensity value was divided by the mean signal intensity of either the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) or the vertebral cancellous bone. Bone normalization produces an index which jointly highlights intervertebral disc and bone health, whereas CSF normalization only suppresses the effect of the acquisition gain.

2.5. Histogram Analysis

The descriptive statistics computed within the segmented zones (whole intervertebral disc, nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosus) of each subject were the Skewness and the Kurtosis. These parameters are descriptors of the shape of the Gaussian distribution of the normalized MRI signal intensities histogram. The repetition of the semi-automatic segmentation by a same operator did not influence these MRI distribution parameters while the skills of the operator and the instructions given prior to the segmentation influenced these MRI distribution parameters [41].

2.6. Center of Intensity

The center of intensity was defined as a tridimensional point which position was weighted by the MRI signal intensity in each intervertebral disc, nucleus pulposus and annulus fibrosus zones. The normalized distance between the center of intensity and the geometric center of the intervertebral disc was calculated. This distance allows minimizing the effects of the variable intervertebral disc sizes and different local coordinate systems of each disc between subjects on the result. This index represents an undirected translation in the intervertebral disc morphology from an ideally symmetric disc to a pathologic disc.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

From the each patient’s medical record, we noted the age, weight and gender. The presence of pre-fracture low back pain, spinal stenosis, annular disruption and intervertebral disc height loss were also included. Low back pain was identified using the pain drawing score. If
any patient received steroids on admission, it made no difference on the pain drawing score as it concerned the six months before the fracture. The descriptive statistics of the normalized T2-weighted signal were compared using one-way ANOVAs (XLstats, Addinsoft, New York, United States) in which the quantitative dependant variables were the MRI variables and the qualitative independent variables were LBP, age category and disc height loss. A principal component analysis was used to convert the set of possibly correlated variables into a set of linearly uncorrelated variables. The data were first centered and reduced, and organized as a matrix where each row represents a different observation of the experiment and each column gives a different mechanical or MR parameter. The covariance matrix and its eigenvectors and eigenvalues were computed. The cumulative energy content for each eigenvector was used to select a subset of eigenvectors as basis vectors. The source data were then converted into the new basis. The first principal component (F1) has the largest possible variance, and each succeeding component (F2, F3, ···, Fn) has in turn the highest variance possible under the constraint that the current component is orthogonal to the preceding components.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Vertebral Fractures Type, IVD Degeneration Grade and Modic Changes

Eleven patients had previous history of LBP, 18 had at least one intervertebral disc with a severe height loss and 12 had a spinal stenosis with >50% compression (Table 1). Most of the fractures were of type A pattern and resulted in a vertebral foramen compression. Both medial and lateral protrusions of the vertebral body were seen from axial and sagittal T2-weighted images. Spinal cord contact and possible nerve roots compression (Figures 2 and 3) were seen in most patients. Most of the adjacent intervertebral discs were graded ≥4 mainly because of the morphological structure being completely altered. All patients had at least one Modic change type in their lumbar column. Type 1 and Type 3 (Figures 2 and 3) changes were found mostly at the site of fracture in all the 22 patients while 4 patients had Type 2 changes elsewhere within the thoracolumbar segment.

3.2. Presence of HIZs

Within the 22 patients studied, 5 patients had one or more HIZs in their lumbar column. Two of these patients had pre-fracture pain while the other 3 patients had no records related to a previous history of LBP. On the T2-weighted images, all HIZs were located in the outer third part of the annulus fibrosus. We found several profiles of HIZs anteriorly and posteriorly when looking at Axial images including small concentrated areas of spherical shape and HIZs located at the outskirts part of the annulus fibrosus looking like fissures.

3.3. Mean Normalized MRI Signal Intensity

For each mean MRI signal intensity within the annulus fibrosus, the nucleus pulposus or the intervertebral disc,
Table 1. Fractures type, degeneration grade, Modic changes and the presence of HIZ in the thoracolumbar segment for all the patients included in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Fracture type</th>
<th>Adjacent IVD grade</th>
<th>IVD grade range in the lumbar column</th>
<th>Roots compression</th>
<th>Vertebral foramen compression</th>
<th>Modic Changes</th>
<th>HIZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A3.2.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>T11-T12, T12-L1</td>
<td>T12 ~ 30%</td>
<td>1, 3 (stir-)</td>
<td>2 (stir+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>T3 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A1.2.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>T12 ~ 25%</td>
<td>3 (stir-)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>L3 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A1.3 A2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L3-L4</td>
<td>L3 ~ 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>T12 ~ 30%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>L1-L2</td>
<td>L1 ~ 25%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A3.3.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T12 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A3.1.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>L3 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>3 (stir+)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>L2 &gt; 30%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 - 5</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>T12 &gt; 40%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L1 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1 (stir+)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A3.3.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L1 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A2.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L1 &gt; 30%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A3.3.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>T12</td>
<td>T12 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>3 (stir+)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A2.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>L3 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>T12-L1</td>
<td>T12-L1 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>58.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A2.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L1 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>L1</td>
<td>L1 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A1.3 A2.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 - 3</td>
<td>L2</td>
<td>L2 &gt; 50%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 - 4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Grade V was determined mainly from the structure of the IVD and not from its intensity; 2Type 4 Modic changes change consisted in a hyper-intense T1-weighted and hypo-intensity T2-weighted signal intensity.

normalized by either bone or CSF, the ANOVAs showed:

- No significant differences due to the age of the patient (Fisher p-value > 0.17) or due to the disc height loss (Fisher p-value > 0.05);
- Significant differences due to LBP (Fisher p-value < 0.05), except for the mean MRI signal intensity within the annulus fibrosus as normalized by CSF.

Due to the presence of LBP, the mean MRI signal intensity decreased of 35% in the nucleus pulposus or intervertebral disc and of 20% in the annulus fibrosus.

3.4. Histogram Analysis and Center of Intensity

For all distances between the center of intensity and the geometrical center, and for the descriptive statistics of the histogram (Skewness and Kurtosis), the ANOVAs showed no significant differences due to the age of the patient (Fisher p-value > 0.17), due to disc height loss (Fisher p-value > 0.17) or due to LBP (Fisher p-value > 0.09).

3.5. Principal Component Analysis

The spearman correlation matrix showed moderate correlations between LBP and age ($r = 0.6$) or disc height loss ($r = 0.7$). Moderate negative correlations were observed between LBP and normalized signal intensity in the NP and IVD by either CSF or bone ($r = -0.7$). Moderate positive correlations were observed between Skew-
ness or Kurtosis and disc height loss ($\tau = 0.7$).

The principal component analysis reduced our fourteen variables to two principal components $F_1$ and $F_2$ with a cumulative variability of 62%, which increased to 75% and 85% when considering the third principal component $F_3$ and the fourth principal component $F_4$ respectively. The representation of the variables in the ($F_1$, $F_2$) plane (Figure 4) showed correlations when the parameters were located near the circle and near to each other (positive correlation) or symmetric relatively to the circle origin (negative correlation). The location of the parameters near the circle suggested that $F_1$ and $F_2$ expressed mainly these parameters. The location of the parameter age far away from the circle suggested that this parameter is not expressed only by $F_1$ or $F_2$. The eigenvectors of the covariance matrix showed that Age was expressed more by $F_3$ than $F_1$ or $F_2$.

4. DISCUSSION

T1- and T2-weighted images of patients with vertebral fracture were analysed for Modic changes, HIZs, normalized mean MR intensity and parameters describing the distribution of the MR signal within the adjacent intervertebral disc to the fracture. The differences in the mean normalized MRI signal intensity between patients due to LBP and not to age nor disc height loss revealed these parameters as biomarkers of LBP independently of age and disc degenerescence. However, our hypothesis was not verified for the distribution of the normalized signal intensity within the adjacent intervertebral disc to the vertebral fracture, which was found to be not sensitive to the presence of low back pain, discs height loss, annulus fibrosus disruption, spinal compression, patient’s weight or gender and aging.

The normalization of the MRI signal intensity using the AMRSID method accounted for different acquisition signal gains, acquisition times, acquisition parameters (repetition time and echo time), signal to noise ratio (SNR), and patient morphologies. Bone normalisation produces an index which jointly highlights intervertebral disc and bone health, whereas CSF normalisation only suppresses the effect of the acquisition gain, as its chemical contents are invariable and produce the same MRI signal. As opposed to the results of previous studies that compared the MRI signal intensity drop between patients without any normalization [36-40], bone or CSF normalisation revealed relationships between the mean normalized MRI signal intensity and LBP only, not with age nor disc degenerescence.

![Figure 4](image_url)

Figure 4. Representation of the LBP, age, disc height loss, skewness, kurtosis, distances (Dist) in the intervertebral disc (IVD), annulus fibrosus (AF) and nucleus pulposus (NP), and mean signal intensities (Mean) as normalized by Bone or CSF in the ($F_1$, $F_2$) plane of the principal component analysis.
The parameters presented in this study to analyse the MRI signal distribution within the intervertebral disc were found to be sensitive to spinal deformities including adolescent idiopathic scoliosis and spondylolisthesis and their severities [44,45]. The skewness detected the loss of the high white intensities values within the intervertebral disc, which represents the loss of the healthy nucleus pulposus and inner annulus fibrosus. The distance between the weighted center and the geometrical center of the intervertebral disc express structural and composition changes in the intervertebral disc by detecting the asymmetry in the intervertebral disc. However, all these parameters presented no significant differences in the presence of pre-fracture LBP, discs height loss, annulus fibrosus disruption, spinal compression, patient’s weight or gender and aging. The degeneration existing in the intervertebral disc before fracture does not entail asymmetry or morphologic equilibrium disturbance as spinal deformities do.

Most of the vertebral fractures seemed of type A, particularly A2 and A3 subcategories. Fractures types and characteristics were different from one patient to another and this was expected since the traumas were different for each patient. With the use of both sagittal and axial MRI images during analysis, problems of fractures classification reproducibility [46] should be substantially reduced. Surprisingly, most of compression fractures resulted in central vertebral body fissures at both next higher and next lower level. Voormolen et al. [47] has reported that bone marrow edema is presumably the result of micro fractures within the medullar bone and resultant hemorrhage. Kerttula et al. has shown that patients with an earlier vertebral fracture had higher disc degeneration and endplate injury was strongly associated with disc degeneration [3]. On the other hand, a biomechanical study on cadaveric lumbar motion segments has shown that a degenerated disc may predispose the anterior vertebral body to Stress-Shielding [48,49] and the neural arch to resist more of the spinal compressive load [48]. However, injuries to the outer and middle annulus fibrosus have a small impact on intra-discal stresses distribution, while injury to the vertebral endplate will result in an immediate and widespread effect [5]. Heyde et al. has reported that vertebral fractures, associated with a high energy spinal trauma, seem to mark an important trigger mechanism for both morphological and molecular changes of the intervertebral disc matrix [50].

In term of Modic classification, all vertebral fractures included in this study showed type 1 or type 3 within the fractured vertebrae. Type 1 changes are associated with bone marrow edema, inflammation or haematogenous vertebral osteomyelitis and as a result of disruption and fissuring of the vertebral endplate while type 3 represents abnormal fatty marrow [7,8,51]. The normal MR signal intensity of a vertebral body is influenced by fat content, marrow morphology, vascularity, edema, inflammation, and acquisition technical factors [52]. Acute vertebral compression fracture is manifested by a hypo-intense signal intensity on T1-weighted images and a hyper-intense signal intensity on T2-weighted and STIR-weighted within the bone marrow space [47]. On the other hand, some patient had also Modic changes of type 2 at other levels within the thoracolumbar segment. Type 2 changes are associated with a conversion of normal red hemopoietic bone marrow into yellow fatty marrow as a result of marrow ischemia [7,8,53].

All of the 5 HIZs we found were described by a hyper-intense signal T2- and STIR-weighted and iso-intense T1-weighted signal suggesting highly hydrated abnormalities. Interestingly, the closest nucleus pulposus part to theses HIZs was undergoing an important signal decrease. We believe that intervertebral disc degeneration was previously triggered and the presence of these HIZ at the outskirts of the annulus fibrosus suggests also the presence of annular tears.

5. CONCLUSION

MRI, associated to normalisation of the signal intensity and principal component analysis, offers a remarkable potential for in-vivo imaging and analysis of vertebral fractures and adjacent tissues for the patient’s follow-up. The mean normalized MR signal intensity of the adjacent intervertebral disc to the vertebral fracture was found to be a biomarker of pain, independently of age and disc degeneration. However, the parameters describing the distribution of the normalized signal intensity were found to be not sensitive to the presence of low back pain, discs height loss and aging. Further studies need to be performed to detect small abnormalities that may explain the presence of LBP.
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