Measuring obesity: results are poles apart obtained by BMI and bio-electrical impedance analysis
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To analyse the use of BMI and bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) in assessment of adiposity among young and elderly population. Materials and methods: Age, height, weight and percent body fat (PBF) of 101 young and 276 elder subjects were recorded. PBF was measured directly by BIA instrument (PBFb) and also calculated from BMI (PBFf). The classification of subjects into underweight, normal, overweight and obese was based on the age-and sex-specific BMI cutoff values and PBFb following standard guidelines. Results: The calculated mean BMI values of young and old age groups were statistically same. PBF was significantly high in elder subjects. There was no statistical difference in mean PBFb and PBFf in young subjects but the difference was significant in elder subjects. The PBFf values were highly correlated (r: 0.92 to 0.96) with PBFb values in young age groups unlike elder groups of both males and females. PBFb based categorization of subjects’ presented totally different scenario compared to results obtained by BMI analysis to assess adiposity. Conclusion: The cases such as increasing fatness with aging even when BMI remains constant, the causes of country or ethnic differences in BMI analysis, poor correlation in PBFb and PBFf values in elder age group emphasize on the limitations of BMI based analysis. PBFb within limitations seems to be an improved phenotypic characteristic over BMI.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a complex condition having serious social and psychological dimensions. It has reached epidemic proportions globally, with more than one billion adults overweight—at least 300 million of them clinically obese [1]. Its prevalence in developing countries is increasing at alarming rate with incomes rising and populations becoming more urban [1-3]. The health consequences associated with obesity like osteoarthritis, Type 2 diabetes and hypertension reduce the overall quality of life and induce disability in adults [2,4,5]. Thus it contributes in increasing health related burden (2% - 7% of total health care costs) on society [1,6].

To date, the choice of weight-loss medications or surgical interventions is very limited [6]. Therefore the health care officials are more concentrating on effective weight management for individuals and groups who are overweight and at risk of developing obesity [7,8]. This makes it indispensable to evaluate prevalence of overweight and obesity in different populations.

In present study, with results observed, a rational analysis is imparted on the use of BMI and BIA in assessment of obesity.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The data was collected from 101 young (undergraduate medical students; age 18 - 22 years) and 276 elder subjects (age 55 - 70 years) reported for routine medical checkup at AVB Rural Hospital, Wardha (M.S.), India. Subjects were included in the study with their verbal consent. They were excluded if they had a history of recent acute illness (e.g. pneumonia or myocardial infarction), had a chronic condition (e.g. cancer, uncontrolled high blood pressure, dialysis or with symptoms of edema or osteoporosis), or taking any drug therapy like vasoconstricting or vasoconstricting medications.

Data were collected in the morning after an overnight fast and the first urine void. Percentage body fat (PBFb) was measured using the stand-on Beurer’s body fat analyser (BS 60). All instructions were followed as prescribed by manufacturer. With this process, an imperceptible and completely safe current allow to pass from
the body tissue for few seconds. The measurement of electrical resistance (impedance) used to determine the percentage of fat. Muscle tissue and water have good electrical conductivity, and therefore a lower resistance. On the other hand, fatty tissue has a low conductivity, as the fat cells hardly conduct the current due to their very high resistance [9].

Although all the subjects were healthy, the scale was not used on persons with medical implants (e.g. pacemakers) or having substantial anatomical deviations in the legs relative to their total height (leg length considerably shorter or longer than usual), having severe obesity [9] or were actively engaged in a vigorous (>6 h/wk) physical activity training program. Anthropometric measurements including height and body weight were taken according to protocols recommended for prediction of BMI. It was calculated as body weight divided by squared height (kg/m²). Five standard equations [10-15] were employed separately (Table 1) for the prediction of percent body fat (PBFf) using BMI.

Subjects were divided into four groups: young male, young female, old male and old female. They according to their body compositions were further classified into four categories: underweight, normal, overweight and obese. Body compositions were assessed by two different methods. First method was based on BMI analysis (Table 2) following WHO’s published guidelines. A lower BMI cutoff values specific for Asians has also been suggested [16-18]. In second method, subjects were classified according to PBFb using published guidelines (Table 3) [19]. According to Table 3, PBF equal to 25 or less is corresponding to “BMI < 18.5” hence considered underweight and PBF equal to 35 corresponds to “BMI ≥ 25” so overweight. That means if a woman subject aged between 20 - 39 years having PBF in-between 25 to 35 will be classified under normal category.

Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of each parameter were calculated for each group. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine whether any statistically significant difference exist among PBFb and PBFf. Polynomial regression analysis were applied to calculate correlation coefficient between values of PBFb and PBFf.

### 3. RESULTS

The data obtained are summarized in Table 4. Age, height, weight, PBFb were recorded directly whereas BMI and PBFf were calculated. Data has been represented in form of calculated mean ± SD values. In young male group, PBF values predicted by five different formulas (PBFf) were almost same and vary from 14.23 ± 8.19 to 16.30 ± 6.12. This variation was much lesser (24.84 ± 7.35 to 25.95 ± 5.50) in young female group.

In old age groups, the PBF values showed greater variation and were poorly correlated with PBFf of the same group. Figures 1-3 show percent populations of different groups classified according to their body composition. Subjects were divided in young and old age groups of male and female separately.

### 4. DISCUSSION

For the prevention of obesity WHO expert consultation identified research needs of prospective studies on body composition and risk factors mainly in younger populations and adolescents [4]. With increasing age and number, prevalence of overweight and obesity is also increasing in elderly people who, as expected, are more prone to diseases even at lower BMI [20].

In this study, two different but most common approaches, BMI as an indirect and BIA as direct measure of body fat, were used to define overweight and obesity. The obtained data was specifically examined to answer whether the use of BMI and BIA analysis show same values of adiposity.

Obesity has been identified as a condition of excessive fat accumulation to the extent that health and well-being are affected. This fat in term of percent body fat can directly be measured by a number of methods including underwater weighing, deuterium dilution, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), and skinfold thickness measurements; however, the applications of these direct methods are limited to laboratory settings or small samples. For a clinician in routine practice or for a researcher when conducting epidemiological studies, these
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BMI is a surrogate of body fat. The consequences lead to mortality and morbidity are due to access accumulation of fat. As shown in Table 4, the BMI of young males (23.47 ± 5.08) and females (22.67 ± 4.60) were almost same that of old males (21.63 ± 4.42) and females (22.12 ± 4.23). Unexpectedly, the PBF values of young and old age group were significantly different, either measured by BIA instrument or calculated by different formulas. Studies indicate that relative fatness in adults increases with age. Although the mechanisms behind this observation are not fully understood, an important and as yet unanswered question is whether the greater fatness with older age, even after BMI is same as of young population, poses additional health risks [19]. Experts has recommend to measure adiposity in combination of BIA and with other risk factors of morbidity and mortality; rather than relying only on BMI cut-points [29,30]. However, our results shows that increased PBF and its consequences cannot be predicted by BMI analysis in elder group of both, males and females.

4.2. PBFₙ is Different from PBFᵣ in Aged Group
In young age group of either of males or females, there

NS: Non significant and *: Significant difference (p < 0.001) between PBFᵣ and PBFₙ values of same group. r: Correlation coefficient obtained by polynomial regression analysis form/with PBFₙ in the same column.
was no statistical difference between PBF$_b$ and PBF$_f$, and the PBF$_f$ values are highly correlated with PBF$_b$ values as correlation coefficient (r) varies from 0.92 to 0.96 (Table 4). We do not know whether it can be taken as a standard for reliability of both methods. The difference between PBF$_b$ and PBF$_f$ of each elder group was...
highly significant and the formula values (PBF\textsubscript{f}) did not or poorly correlated with PBF\textsubscript{b}. Perhaps, the formulas were derived on young populations; and elder populations were ignored during the development of standard equations.

The BMI scale cannot be generalized for all populations [4]. It was recommended to modify BMI cutoff value for different ethnic groups in relation of risk factors and other consequences associated with obesity [16,31]. It is interesting to see, as present work shows that even in same population BMI could not predict PBF (PBF\textsubscript{f}) accurately in different (elder) age groups.

4.3. Classification Gives Opposite Results

The subjects were classified into four groups as per different recommendations (Figures 1-3). The bar diagrams illustrate that all three approaches give different results. According to Figure 1, about 52% - 65% population of different groups had normal body composition, less than 20% were overweight and less than 10% were obese. 9.8% young males, 26% young females, 23.5% old males and 21.3% old females were overweight.

As per BMI cut off values recommended for Asians [17], percent population having normal body composition was reduced to range of 34% - 45% in all four groups (Figure 2). The number of overweight and obese had increased significantly.

It was quite unexpected that PBF\textsubscript{b} based categorization present totally different scenario. As shown in Figure 3, among young subjects maximum population was almost equally distributed in underweight and normal category. Less than 12% subjects were overweight and less than 8% were obese. In case of elder subjects, maximum (about 53%) were obese, 23% - 27% were overweight, upto 14% were underweight and about 13% were under normal category. These results were poles apart with those, were obtained from BMI based analysis.

4.4. Limitations of BIA

Studies indicate that PBF measured by BIA is highly correlated with visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue in both genders, being reasonably effective in discriminating the presence or absence of excess visceral fat alone or associated with overweight/obesity [22]. Still, it is not the gold standard method for estimation of body fat. There are 2 or 3 subtypes also available in BIA analysis whose relative accuracy is again matter of debate [31,32]. The optimum situation (having gold standard method) was not possible in the present study and it is likely that in routine practice or any large-scale study clinicians would face similar methodological issues. However, exclusion criteria were designed to cover all possible limitations of BIA analysis [33].

It was recently identified the need of a feasible tool which can differentiate individual with benign obesity from non-benign obese individual [34].

4.5. Strength of the Study

Though our findings are not very much new but evoke an important issue on the use of BMI based analysis in routine clinical practice and in many research reports [35,36]. BMI based analysis is in use without considering age, sex and ethnic variations among subjects. Few reports which declare good correlation of BMI analysis with other methods of body fat evaluation were conducted on children or young population [37,38].

It was recently confirmed that the use of BMI as a measure of obesity can introduce misclassification problems [39,40]. Our results make further addition that BMI cutoff values developed for an ethnic group can misclassify elder subjects even in the same population.

The increase body fat does not drive clinician towards treatment of obesity until and unless presence of any symptomatic disease that may improve with weight loss. However, increased values of body fat may inspire older as well as in younger adults for voluntary weight loss which may help to prevent the adverse health consequences of obesity. Accurate body fat measurement is also required in studies on the pharmacokinetics of drugs in humans. We acknowledge the fact that our subjects, by necessity, were a convenient sample and may not be representative of the population from which they were recruited.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The cases such as increasing fatness with aging even when BMI remains constant, the causes of country or ethnic differences in BMI analysis, poor correlation in PBF\textsubscript{b} and PBF\textsubscript{f} values in elder age group emphasize on the limitations of BMI based analysis. The healthy range of PBF needs to be validated in different populations and other methodological problems are yet to be overcome. There is a requirement of a method with nominal sensitivity and specificity which can be opted for routine clinical practice and for population study to evaluate body composition according to adiposity without getting affected with factors like age, sex and ethnicity. Being associated with Clinical Biochemistry, authors expect that it would be a biochemical parameter which can identify and quantify healthy range of adiposity. Till that PBF\textsubscript{b} can be taken as an improved phenotypic characteristic over BMI when functionality and mortality risk are considered.
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