A comparison of flow cytometry detection of minimal residual disease and chimerism kinetics in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
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ABSTRACT

Determination of minimal residual disease (MRD) remains crucial for the follow-up after therapy in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients. Chimerism was assessed by short tandem repeat (STR)-PCR and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP)-PCR, and MRD by a multicolor flow cytometric approach in 12 consecutive patients with CLL after they received allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT). Overall, 11 patients achieved MRD flow negativity [10 had full donor chimerism (FDC) and one had mixed chimerism (MC)]. Only one patient remained with MRD flow positivity and displayed MC. Fifty-six samples were concomitantly studied by both chimerism and MRD flow. A significant correlation was observed between MRD flow data and chimerism in both PB and BM by using a mixed effect linear regression (p < 0.001). Flow cytometry approach of MRD can be easily combined with chimerism during the follow-up post-allogeneic SCT. Both techniques appeared complementary for guiding post-transplant immunomodulation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Important advances have been made over the past two decades in the prognosis and treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [1]. New therapeutic approaches aim to induce molecular remission. The better
MRD flow cytometry needs to be reevaluated. The aim of the present study was to compare chimerism and MRD flow cytometry level after allogeneic SCT in order to fully document CR and to guide immunomodulation by donor lymphocyte infusions (DLI).

2. PATIENTS AND METHODS

2.1. Patient Characteristics

Between 2000 and 2007, 12 CLL patients (9 males, 3 females) with a median age of 51 years (range 31 – 66 years) underwent allogeneic SCT in our institution. At the time of diagnosis, 2 patients were in stage A, 6 in stage B and 4 in stage C according to Binet’s classification [12]. Matutes’ score was noted at 5 for 7 patients, 4 for 2 patients and 3 for the last 3 patients. Before allogeneic SCT, one patient received one line of conventional therapy and 11 received more than 2 lines: 11 patients received fludarabine-based therapeutic regimens, 6 received immunotherapy with rituximab and/or alemtuzumab, 3 have previously been auto-transplanted, and one has received a first allogeneic SCT. At the time of allogeneic transplant, 3 patients were in CR, while 7 were in partial remission (PR) and 2 in progressive disease. Response criteria were defined according to NCI guidelines for CLL [8].

2.2. Transplant Procedure

Patients underwent SCT from HLA identical sibling donors after signing a written informed consent. Transplant characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Regarding hematopoietic stem cell source, 9 patients received PB stem cells and 3 patients BM stem cells. One patient was allografted twice. For the second allogeneic transplant, he received PB stem cells. Regarding conditioning regimen, 2 patients received a myeloablative regimen combining total body irradiation (TBI) (12 grays) with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient #</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>BINET Stage</th>
<th>Disease status at Transplant</th>
<th>Conditioning regimen</th>
<th>HSC</th>
<th>Sex matched</th>
<th>Diagnosis to AlloSCT (years)</th>
<th>N of previous therapeutic lines</th>
<th>Previous SCT</th>
<th>Acute GVHD</th>
<th>Chronic GVHD</th>
<th>Parallel evaluation MRD vs chimerism at 1 year after SCT</th>
<th>Outcome at last follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P # 1</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>CYT 120 + 12 TBI</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>R/F/D-M</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 82+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>CYT 200 + ATG PBSC</td>
<td>R/M/D  F</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>ND/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 80+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>CYT 120 + 12 TBI</td>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>ND/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 77+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PD (Relapse)</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI PBSC</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>Grade 1 Limited</td>
<td>ND/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 74+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI PBSC</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>Grade 3  Extensive</td>
<td>Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 53+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI PBSC</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>Grade 2 Limited</td>
<td>Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 52+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI PBSC</td>
<td>R/M/D  F</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Death, 28+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 8</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Fluda + Busulfan + ATG</td>
<td>R/M/D  F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Allo</td>
<td>Grade 3 Extensive</td>
<td>Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 24+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>CYT 200 + ATG PBSC</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grade 2 Limited</td>
<td>Neg/MC stable</td>
<td>ND/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 29+ months, (MRD neg/MC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 10</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI PBSC</td>
<td>RM/D  F</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Grade 1   No</td>
<td>Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 3+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>Fluda + 2 TBI BM</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Auto</td>
<td>Grade 3 ND Neg/FDC</td>
<td>Alive, 4+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P # 12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>CYT 200 + ATG PBSC</td>
<td>Sex matched</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>ND/MC</td>
<td>Pos/MC</td>
<td>Alive, 4+ months, (MRD neg/FDC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR = complete response, PR = partial response, PD = progressive disease, CYT 120 = cyclophosphamide (60 mg/kg x 2 days), CYT 200 = cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg x 5 days), 12 TBI = total body irradiation (12 Grays), 2 TBI = total body irradiation (2 Grays), 6TBI = total body irradiation (6 Grays), SCT = stem cell transplantation, ATG = anti-thymoglobulins, BM = bone marrow, PBSC = peripheral blood stem cells, R = recipient, D = donor, F = female, M = male, GVHD = graft-vs-host disease, FU = follow-up, FDC = full donor chimerism, MC = mixed chimerism, ND = not done, pos = positive, neg = negative, MRD = minimal residual disease, Auto = autologous SCT, Allo = allogeneic SCT.
cyclophosphamide (60 mg/kg/day × 2 days) and 10 patients received a RIC constituted of either fludarabine (30 mg/m²/day × 3 days) and TBI (2 grays) (n = 6), or anti-thymoglobulines (ATG) (2.5 mg/kg/day × 5 days) or fludarabine (30 mg/m²/day × 5 days), busulfan (4 mg/kg/day × 2 days) and ATG (2.5 mg/kg/day × 2 days) and cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg/day × 4 days) (n = 3), (n = 1). Graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) prophylaxis consisted of either cyclosporine alone (n = 1), or cyclosporine and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) (n = 7), or cyclosporine and methotrexate (n = 4). Five patients were sex-mismatched with the recipient (4 females to males, and one male to female) and for the second transplant the male recipient received PB stem cells from another HLA identical sister. Regarding cytomegalovirus (CMV) status, 4 pairs were CMV mismatched (1 D–/R+, 3 D+/R–), 4 pairs were CMV positive (D+/R+) and 4 pairs were CMV negative (D–/R–). In the case with a second allogeneic SCT, the pair was D+/R+. Four patients presented an ABO incompatibility (3 minor and one major).

2.3. Graft-versus-Host Disease

After transplant, 6 patients developed a grade 2 (n = 3) or 3 (n = 3) acute GVHD and 8 patients developed a chronic GVHD (4 limited and 4 extensive). The patient allografted twice presented after the second SCT, a grade 2 acute GVHD followed by a limited chronic GVHD. At the time of the last follow-up [median, 53 months (range: 3 – 82 months)], 2 patients had died from infections, while 10 were still alive.

2.4. Chimerism Analyses

Chimerism was documented on days 30, 60, 90, and on months 6 and 12 during the first year following SCT, and then twice a year. Chimerism was assessed on total cells, selected CD3+ cells, and selected CD19+ cells by short tandem repeat (STR)-PCR (sensitivity [S]: 5%) or by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) real-time (RT)-PCR (S: 0.2%) [13,14]. Overall, 192 PB chimerisms and 59 BM chimerisms were performed with a median of 16 PB chimerisms (range: 3 – 41) and 5 BM chimerisms (range: 1 – 16) per patient. Chimerism was assessed by STR-PCR from 2000 to 2005 and by RT-PCR afterwards. The percentage of recipient cells (RC) in each sample was determined by using STR analysis with Promega multiplex kits (CTTV, FFFL and gamma STR) based fluorescent analysis of repetitive sequences peak areas. Mixed chimerism was defined by the presence of at least 5% RC. The RT quantitative PCR chimerism assay, using the Taqman technology and ABI 7700 (Applied), has been previously described [14]. Here, mixed chimerism was defined by the presence of at least 0.1% of RC.

2.5. MRD Flow Cytometry Analysis

MRD flow cytometry was assessed, every 3 months during the first year following transplant, and every 6 months afterwards, on PB and/or BM cells by an international standardized multicolor approach (S: 0.01%) [7]. Overall, 65 PB MRD flows, and 23 BM MRD flows were performed with a median of 5 PB MRD analyses (range: 1 – 20), and 2 BM MRD analyses (range: 1 – 5) per patient. Patients were considered MRD flow positive if the detected MRD CLL levels were ≥ 10–4. Specificity and sensibility of the technique were validated by using a series of 10 normal PB and BM samples. MRD was studied by using quadruple antigenic combinations: CD20-FITC/CD79b-PE/CD19-PerCP-Cy5.5/C5-APC, CD43-FITC/CD79b-PE/CD19-PerCP-Cy5.5/C5-APC, CD22-FITC/CD79b-PE/CD19-PerCP-Cy5.5/C5-APC, and CD22-FITC/CD79b-PE/CD19-PerCP-Cy5.5/C5-APC. In BM samples, the combination containing CD38 was used to better discriminate CLL cells from B-cell precursors (hematogones). In addition to daily calibrations using Calibre beads (Becton Dickinson) and FACSComp v4.2 software (Becton Dickinson), isotype controls were used to optimize light scatter, amplification and threshold. For our study, at least 50 CLL cells forming a population in light scatter in at least two of the three MRD tests (excluding K/L antigens) were required as evidence for MRD (absolute specificity threshold). The result was classified as positive if the level was above the mean number of the false positive cells in healthy controls. A median of 300,000 leucocytes/samples (range 50,000 and 600,000) depending on time point was acquired using CellQuest Pro software (Becton Dickinson) and analyzed using Paint-A-Gate software (Becton Dickinson). The complete gating strategy for identification of CLL specific phenotype (MRD flow assay) has been previously described, using a CD43+ as fourth criterion for CLL cells [5].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Kaplan Meier product-limit estimates were used to assess the probability of overall survival (OS). The relationship between chimerism and MRD flow assay was evaluated by a linear mixed effects model [15]. The model included MRD as the resulting variable, chimerism as the fixed effect and patients as the random effect. An analysis was performed for BM and a second analysis was performed for PB. Significative effects were defined by p values of less than 0.05. All analyses were performed using Splus 6.2 (Insightful, Seattle).

3. RESULTS

At the time of study, the median follow-up was 53 months. Two patients had died from infection, while 10
were still alive. The 2 patients who died displayed MDR negativity and had FDC. Among alive patients, 9 were with MRD flow negativity (8 had FDC and one had MC), while one was with MRD flow positivity and displayed MC. Two hundred and fifty-one samples were tested for chimerism (192 from PB and 59 from BM) and 88 for MRD flow cytometry (65 from PB and 23 from BM). Only 56 samples were studied at the same time point, and were then used for comparing quantitative MRD flow cytometry and chimerism.

### 3.1. Chimerism Analysis

Comparison of results obtained from PB and BM samples showed 93% of concordance. The conversion of mixed chimerism (MC) to full donor chimerism (FDC) at Day 30 post-transplant was observed in 5 cases. The conversion was observed between Day 30 and Day 120 in 3 cases and after Day 120 in one case. Three patients never converted to FDC (patient # 12 had not enough follow-up, patient # 9 remained in stable MC at 3 months post-transplant, and patient # 8, who relapsed after a first RIC SCT, underwent then a second allogeneic SCT from another HLA identical sister allowing a durable FDC). Eleven patients had their chimerism done on selected cells, of whom 4 with MC (Table 2).

### 3.2. MRD Flow Cytometry Analysis

Comparison of results obtained from PB and BM samples showed 100% of concordance. Because of the recent introduction of MRD flow assay in the clinical routine, longitudinal data during the first year post-transplant were only available for 8 patients. They showed MRD flow negativity at Day 30 in one case, at Day 90 in another case, at Day 120 in 3 cases, and at 12 months post-transplant in 2 cases. One patient remained positive, but displayed decreasing MRD flow levels. Regarding the 4 patients without optimal longitudinal follow-up, all patients achieved MRD negativity but were only assessed at time points for which samples were available (on months 30, 36, 42, and 48 post-transplant, respectively). Patient # 8 never achieved MRD negativity after the first transplant, but showed a low MRD level at 12 months and MRD negativity 24 months after the second SCT.

### 3.3. Comparison of Chimerism and MRD Kinetics

Results are summarized in Table 2. Regarding kinetics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient #</th>
<th>Days +21 to +56 (1-2 months)</th>
<th>Days +100 (3 months)</th>
<th>Days +180 to +270 (6-9 months)</th>
<th>Days +360 (1 year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chimerism</td>
<td>MRD flow</td>
<td>Chimerism</td>
<td>MRD flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PB BM CD3 CD19</td>
<td>PB/BM</td>
<td>PB BM CD3 CD19</td>
<td>PB/BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>● ●</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>● ●</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>○ ○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>● ○ ●</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>●</td>
<td>●/ND</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SCT 1</td>
<td>● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SCT 2</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ● ND/●</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>□/ND</td>
<td>□/ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>● ●</td>
<td>● ● ○</td>
<td>○ ○</td>
<td>□/ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>● ●</td>
<td>● ● ○</td>
<td>● ● ●</td>
<td>ND/●</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

○ complete donor chimerism (< 5% donor cells by STR and < 0.2% donor cells by SNP RT-PCR); ● mixed chimerism; □ negative MRD flow (CLL cells < 1 x 10^{-4} by MRD flow); ■ positive MRD; ND, not done.
of disappearance of a detectable disease, a strict concor-
dance was established between MRD flow assay and
chimerism in 50 of the 56 available samples (89%).
Analyses, using a mixed effect linear regression to ac-
count for repeated measures for each patient, showed a
significant correlation between MRD flow and chimer-
ism (p < 0.001) tested on PB samples and between MRD
flow and chimerism (p < 0.001) tested on BM samples.
The 6 non concordant samples were all harvested in a
single patient. In this case, a decreasing percentage of
RC was observed, but remained stable until the sixth
month post-transplant [MC < 1% (range: 0.2 – 0.9)].
During the same time, MRD flow negativity persisted
from 12 months post-transplant until the time of last
follow-up.

4. DISCUSSION

Important advances have been made in the prognosis and
treatment of CLL over the past two decades [1]. With
conventional therapy, a large proportion of patients can
achieve CR [16,17]. However, allogeneic SCT remains
the only curative therapy [18,19]. The number of alloge-
neic SCT performed in this disease is therefore suscep-
tible to significantly increase, mainly due to the recent
development of RIC allogeneic SCT in older patients
[20,21]. New technologies such as 4-color flow cytometry
and RT quantitative PCR have identified the persistance
of a detectable disease in patients considered in CR ac-
cording to international guidelines [5]. The absence of
detectable leukemia by these sensitive tests seems to be
an important prognostic factor [9,22], since it has been
shown correlated with a longer survival. A strong con-
cordance has been described between these two tech-
niques for MRD evaluation, with a limit of detection of
one malignant cell per 10,000 total cells and 100% ap-
plicability of MRD flow assay.

The present series, summarizing our experience in the
setting of allogeneic SCT in CLL, tends to confirm pre-
vious reports. All patients, except one, showed MRD
negativity when tested by flow cytometry. Ten patients
obtained FDC, while 2 only achieved MC. We first es-
tablished a positive concordance between PB and BM
for both chimerism and MRD flow. Secondly, a positive
concordance (89%) was confirmed between determina-
tion of residual disease by both flow cytometry and chi-
erism. Two types of conversion after transplantation
were described for both chimerism and MRD flow: an
earlier conversion following transplant and a later con-
version. The kinetics of conversion to FDC was faster
than kinetics of disappearance of detectable disease as
observed by using flow cytometry: 42% of documented
cases converted to FDC at Day 30, while at the same
time only 12% of assessable cases were MRD negative
by flow cytometry. Flow cytometry detection of MRD
seems therefore more sensitive. Interestingly, detection
of MRD by flow cytometry seemed also more accurate
than total cell chimerism evaluation among the non
concordant samples coming from one single patient.
However, when considering lineage specific chimerism
by selecting CD19+ cells for instance, a full donor pro-
file quite concordant with MRD flow negativity was
observed.

However, as no relapses were reported in the follow-
up of our series, after reaching negativity, it is difficult
to judge the relevance of the suggested use of MRD instead
of chimerism in the long-term follow-up. The two tech-
niques must certainly be considered as complementary
for documenting MRD in CLL patients after allogeneic
SCT and guiding immunomodulation.

The quantitative techniques used for the assessment of
MRD and chimerism seemed therefore interesting tools
for documenting disease and transplant status in CLL, as
it has been also previously demonstrated in other hema-
ological malignancies [23].

In the transplant setting for CLL patients, MRD de-
tection should be considered as a surrogate marker for dis-
ease eradication. Our results are in agreement with pre-
viously published data showing the importance of MRD
eradication assessed by flow cytometry during the post-
transplant follow-up in CLL [2,3,6,10]. However we
reported only on a small series heterogeneous in terms of
conditioning regimens, and chimerism results could be
different after myeloablative and non-myeloablative
conditioning. MRD flow appeared more specific than
total cell chimerism evaluation. MRD flow assay also
appeared as a faster and less expensive technique for
guiding adoptive immunotherapy or treatment abstention
after allogeneic transplantation. Nevertheless, both tech-
niques appeared complementary, most specially when
studying lineage specific documentation. Another im-
portant point is to consider a strict schedule of evaluation.
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