A hybrid wavelet and time plane based method for QT interval measurement in ECG signals
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ABSTRACT

Here we present a method of QT interval measurement for Physionet’s online QT Challenge ECG database using the combination of wavelet and time plane feature extraction mechanisms. For this we mainly combined two previous works one done using the Daubechies 6 wavelet and one time plane based with modifications in their algorithms and inclusion of two more wavelets (Daubechies 8 and Symlet 6). But found that out of these three wavelets Daubechies 6 and 8 gives the best output and when averaged with the interval of time plane feature extraction method it gives least percentage of error with respect to the median reference QT interval as specified by Physionet. Our modified time plane feature extraction scheme along with the wavelet method together produces best results for automated QT wave measurement as its regular verification is important for analyzing cardiac health. For the V2 chest lead particularly whose QT wave is of tremendous significance we have tested on 530 recordings of Physionet. This is because delay in cardiac repolarization causes ventricular tachyarrhythmias as severe as torsade de pointes (TdP) in some critical cases [2,3]. Hence accurate measurement of the QT interval is very important for intensive cardiac care and also for pharmaceutical industry. A statistically significant increase in the mean QT interval (corrected for heart rate) as small as 6 milliseconds between baseline and maximal drug effect may be important as a signal of repolarization abnormality [4]. QT intervals can be detected manually, but these are not so accurate as well as not repeatable in general. Still we compare our results with the ‘gold standard’ reference QT measurements taken from the Physionet challenge 2006 because these were very precisely taken to build the database for the challenge so that the participants could compare their algorithms with the manual methods. Rather automatic QT interval measurement techniques are more accurate and reproducible, except for the experience of the physician/doctor giving some extra suggestions which may be beneficial in some particular special cases on neglecting the time factor [5,6]. Moreover if a bit of adaptiveness can be added to it via trained neural network it might be a great effort. Many researchers have performed several fundamental works on determination of QT interval along with other characteristic waves. Yan Sun et al. have proposed a multiscale morphological derivative (MMD) transform-based singularity detector for the detection of fiducial points in ECG signal, where these points are related to the characteristic waves such as the QRS complex, P wave and T wave [7]. Laguna P, Jane R, Caminal P have developed a method where the intervals of clinical importance can be detected by a multilead QRS detector that locates each beat, using a differentiated and low-pass filtered ECG signal as input and the waveform boundaries are located in each lead.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The QT interval is measured as the time interval between the onset of the QRS complex and the end of the T wave. At the end of the T wave repolarisation is completed and the T wave voltage amplitude returns to the baseline [1]. The QT interval is thus a measure of the duration of the ventricular depolarisation and repolarization. Some error may introduce in the QT interval measurement due to the fact that it may not return back to the base line or it may go below the base line along with the onset of U wave occasionally.

Many drugs prolong cardiac repolarization which in turn increases the QT interval. This might lead to ventricular arrhythmia as severe as torsade de pointes (TdP) in some critical cases [2,3]. Hence accurate measurement of the QT interval is very important for intensive cardiac care and also for pharmaceutical industry. A statistically significant increase in the mean QT interval (corrected for heart rate) as small as 6 milliseconds between baseline and maximal drug effect may be important as a signal of repolarization abnormality [4]. QT intervals can be detected manually, but these are not so accurate as well as not repeatable in general. Still we compare our results with the ‘gold standard’ reference QT measurements taken from the Physionet challenge 2006 because these were very precisely taken to build the database for the challenge so that the participants could compare their algorithms with the manual methods. Rather automatic QT interval measurement techniques are more accurate and reproducible, except for the experience of the physician/doctor giving some extra suggestions which may be beneficial in some particular special cases on neglecting the time factor [5,6]. Moreover if a bit of adaptiveness can be added to it via trained neural network it might be a great effort. Many researchers have performed several fundamental works on determination of QT interval along with other characteristic waves. Yan Sun et al. have proposed a multiscale morphological derivative (MMD) transform-based singularity detector for the detection of fiducial points in ECG signal, where these points are related to the characteristic waves such as the QRS complex, P wave and T wave [7]. Laguna P, Jane R, Caminal P have developed a method where the intervals of clinical importance can be detected by a multilead QRS detector that locates each beat, using a differentiated and low-pass filtered ECG signal as input and the waveform boundaries are located in each lead.
Later in their wavelet based method of QT interval measurement Mahmoodabadi et al. [8] evaluated the MIT-BIH database using the multiresolution wavelet for the modified lead II (MLII) and found that Daubechies 6 works the best. Here a slightly modified wavelet algorithm was applied on the V2 chest lead specifically, for 530 recording of the Physionet database using wavelets daubechies 6 and 8 as well as symlet 6. The choices of these wavelets were based on a huge amount of trial and error carried out on the group of inbuilt wavelets present under MATLAB® and comparing their mean square error with those of ‘gold standard’. Later we found that Daubechies 6 gives the least error of the three and for the time plane features we found that using our modified time plane feature detection [9] method we have approximately similar percentage of error as the Daubechies 6 but in the opposite direction. So averaging both of these methods and combining we have less than 1% error in total while for the case of individual data the error percentage is within +10% to -7% from the adjusted reference herewith.

2. WAVELET FEATURE EXTRACTION

The flowchart for the wavelet analysis is shown in Figure 1. Here, we have used the technique devised by Mahmoodabadi et al. [8], for the automated feature extraction of the ECG Signals using Wavelet Domain. The algorithms are applied directly at one run over the whole digitized ECG signal which are saved as .mat files provided by Physionet as in Figure 2.

There are actually four separate algorithms, each of which is designated to extract certain features of the ECG signal. The description of the ECG feature extraction algorithm is shown in the Figure 2. First, the peak of the QRS complex with its high dominated amplitude in the signal is detected. Then Q and S waves are detected. The Zero voltage level of the signal is found next. The last step includes the calculation of the onset and offset of the P wave.

Peak of the R waves in signals from the lead have the largest amplitudes among other leads. In order to detect the peaks, specific details of the signal were selected. Details D3-D5 were kept and all the other details were removed. This procedure removes low frequencies and high frequencies. The attained signal samples were then squared. High amplitude transitions of the signal were then more noticeable, even if R peaks are deformed.

Then a practically lower limit is applied on the signal to remove unrelated noisy peaks. Because no subsequent beats happen less than 25 second, pseudo-beats are also removed. Detection of R peaks is very important because they define the cardiac beats and the exactness of all forthcoming detections is dependent on this.

Q and S peaks occur about the R peak with in 0.1 second. In order to make the peaks noticeable, all the details of the signal were removed up to detail D5. The approximation signal remained, was searched for extremum points about the R peaks formerly detected. The left point denoted the Q peak and the right one denotes the S peak. A normal QRS complex indicates that the electrical impulse has progressed normally from the bundle of His to the Purkinje network through the right and left bundle branches and that normal depolarization of the right and left ventricles has occurred.

Figure 1. Wavelet feature extraction method.
Although one might think that the electrocardiograph machines for recording electrocardiograms could determine when no current is flowing around the heart. However, many stray currents exist in the body, such as currents resulting from skin potentials and from differences in ionic concentrations in different parts of the body. These stray currents make it impossible for one to predetermine the exact zero reference level in the electrocardiogram. At the end of the QRS complex, no current is flowing around the heart. Even the current of injury disappears at this point and the potential of the electrocardiogram at this instant is zero.

This point is known as the J point. Most people, however, are conditioned to consider the TP segment of the electrocardiogram as the reference level rather than the J point which is much easier to be found correctly. By experiment we found that the voltage at this level is closely equal to the zero crossing of the approximation signal, keeping details D1-D5, before the Q peak. There is another zero crossing after the S peak. Comparing these two points is essential for detecting current of injury and ST segment shift.

These waves are more noticeable when keeping details D4-D8. At these levels, lower frequencies and high frequency ripples of the signal are removed. The extremes of the signal before and after the zero crossings about each R peak which are formerly detected denote P and T peaks. Zero crossings of the signal about the P and T peaks which were detected are the onset and offset points of the waves, respectively.

3. TIME PLANE FEATURE EXTRACTION

The flowchart for the time plane analysis is shown below. Here, we have used the techniques devised by Mitra M, Pal S and Majumder S [2], for the automated feature extraction of the ECG Signals using time domain analysis after some amount of modification in their algorithm which was not robust. The algorithms are applied directly at one run over the whole digitized ECG signal which are saved as .mat files provided by Physionet. Like the Wavelet Analysis here also we have four different steps for the different features for extraction. The flow diagrams of the steps are given in Figure 3. So we take one feature at a time to go for the extraction.

---

**Figure 2.** ECG feature extraction algorithm.

**Figure 3.** Time plane based feature extraction method.
The R wave detection step is the first and the most important step of the entire analysis procedure. First from the many R peaks available one in the middle has to be detected. For this a part of the absolute differentiated wave [12,13] is taken and the maximum point in that interval is calculated using the MATLAB® sorting. Here for differentiation we use the fivepoint differentiation equation given in Equation (1). The interval should be chosen such that it is smaller than the value of the RR interval. The corresponding value of the differentiated wave at this point is calculated and a conditional loop is initiated to find out whether it is negative or positive. This step is the very important as the rest of the analysis depends on it. Depending upon what the value comes out to be, the lowest point to the left or to the right of the peak is calculated. This point is the R point.

\[
f'(x) = \frac{-f(x+2h) + 8f(x+h) - 8f(x-h) + f(x-2h)}{12h}
\]

(1)

Then we go for the detection of the Q point. The proper detection of the R peak above step is very important here. After getting the R peak point, the highest point to the left of the R value in the absolute differentiated wave is found out. This point is stored in the memory as the temporary Q point. From this point as a reference the lowest point to the left of this point is again calculated. This point is the Q point.

For the detection of the S point on the wave the procedure is the same as that for the Q wave detection. Here too the proper detection of the R peak is very important. After getting the R peak point, the highest point to the right of the R value in the absolute differentiated wave is found out. This point is stored in the memory as temporary S point. From this point as a reference the lowest point to the right of this point is again calculated. This point is the S point.

The final step is the detection of the T wave peak and the T wave offset points. For this step to be successful, the correct S point detection is a must. Taking the S point detected above, the highest point on the differentiated wave to the right of the signal is calculated. This point is marked as the T wave peak point. To get the point of T peak offset, the value of the differentiated wave at each point to the right of the T peak point is found out and that point where the value becomes less than 0.1 is taken as the T peak offset point.

4. SIMULATION OF THE METHODS

Both the methods of wavelet and time plane based were programmatically linked with a GUI (Figure 4) where
for wavelet analysis we can select the wavelet and then get the values of the intervals of RR and QT.

Later using Eqs. (2) and (3) get QTc from QT interval and the heart rate from RR interval. Here firstly the “Load Data” button presents the user with a dialog to select the appropriate signal from the database. The “Clear Data” Button clears the cache after the execution of the program is complete. After the data is loaded the control can be passed to either the Wavelet or the Time Analysis. The Wavelet part contains additional buttons for selecting the different wavelet families “db6” for Daubechies 6, “db8” for Daubechies 8 and “sym6” for Symlet 6. Pressing any one of them starts the analysis procedure and after the completion of the analysis the original waveform is displayed.

To get the value of the QT Interval the button “QT Interval” is pressed. The QT waveform is displayed and the value of the interval is made available at the corresponding text box. The “QTc Interval” button displays the value of the modified QT according to the regression based approach.

The exact method which has been implemented here is that developed by Sagie et al., [14] as given in Eq. (2) below.

$$QTc = QT + 0.154(1 - RR)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The RR Interval which is important in the sense that it defines the cardiac beat cycle can be obtained by pressing the “RR Interval” button on the GUI which displays the R to R waveform. Along with that the value of the interval is displayed in the corresponding text box of wavelet based or time plane based side as per the technique selected. To get the heart rate of the patient the button “Heart Rate” is pressed and the calculated value of the heart rate of the patient is displayed in the corresponding text box using Eq. (3) [15] below.

$$Heart Rate = \frac{60}{\sqrt{RR}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The final button is the “Full Wave Graph” display button. This button displays the entire ECG wave over a full cardiac cycle.

5. RESULTS ANALYSIS

Of the huge amount of database (530 recordings) analyzed for result part we hereby provide only the details of 24 patients selected arbitrarily as providing whole data set will cover up a huge amount of article area. Here our primary motive is to first select the wavelet family which we would be using for the wavelet analysis so based on Table 1. Here we have the QT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SL</th>
<th>Physionet Patient ID</th>
<th>Adjusted QT Ref. (in secs)</th>
<th>Wavelet based QT detected (in secs)</th>
<th>TIME based QT (in secs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>s0500_re</td>
<td>0.3971</td>
<td>0.3390 0.3400 0.3360</td>
<td>0.4430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>s0499_re</td>
<td>0.3438</td>
<td>0.3470 0.3270 0.3370</td>
<td>0.3430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>s0497_re</td>
<td>0.3993</td>
<td>0.3730 0.3560 0.3650</td>
<td>0.3440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>s0496_re</td>
<td>0.3460</td>
<td>0.3080 0.2920 0.3020</td>
<td>0.3790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>s0491_re</td>
<td>0.3840</td>
<td>0.3490 0.3400 0.3180</td>
<td>0.4190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>s0487_re</td>
<td>0.4018</td>
<td>0.3820 0.3310 0.3450</td>
<td>0.4120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>s0486_re</td>
<td>0.3645</td>
<td>0.3270 0.3310 0.3450</td>
<td>0.4110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>s0481_re</td>
<td>0.3735</td>
<td>0.3450 0.3580 0.3370</td>
<td>0.4370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>s0480_re</td>
<td>0.3714</td>
<td>0.3260 0.3160 0.3150</td>
<td>0.3910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>s0479_re</td>
<td>0.3809</td>
<td>0.3770 0.3720 0.3670</td>
<td>0.4180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>s0474_re</td>
<td>0.3528</td>
<td>0.3420 0.3470 0.3270</td>
<td>0.3960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>s0473_re</td>
<td>0.3439</td>
<td>0.3120 0.3210 0.3040</td>
<td>0.4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>s0472_re</td>
<td>0.3137</td>
<td>0.3260 0.3110 0.3190</td>
<td>0.3480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>s0471_re</td>
<td>0.3563</td>
<td>0.3190 0.3140 0.3370</td>
<td>0.4120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>s0468_re</td>
<td>0.3507</td>
<td>0.3430 0.3520 0.3310</td>
<td>0.3380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>s0467_re</td>
<td>0.3429</td>
<td>0.3190 0.3360 0.3300</td>
<td>0.3930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>s0466_re</td>
<td>0.3587</td>
<td>0.3310 0.3270 0.3240</td>
<td>0.4070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>s0465_re</td>
<td>0.3785</td>
<td>0.3270 0.3070 0.3180</td>
<td>0.4080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>s0464_re</td>
<td>0.4098</td>
<td>0.3360 0.3420 0.3550</td>
<td>0.4040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>s0463_re</td>
<td>0.4109</td>
<td>0.3810 0.3420 0.3530</td>
<td>0.4280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>s0462_re</td>
<td>0.4022</td>
<td>0.3460 0.3430 0.3610</td>
<td>0.4330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>s0461_re</td>
<td>0.3753</td>
<td>0.3130 0.3280 0.3450</td>
<td>0.4210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>s0460_re</td>
<td>0.4243</td>
<td>0.3300 0.3740 0.3740</td>
<td>0.4270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>s0452_re</td>
<td>0.4249</td>
<td>0.3860 0.4250 0.1090</td>
<td>0.4430</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interval for 24 patients as detected by the three wavelets and the time plane. As per error calculations we found that the average error with respect to the adjusted reference [11] we have for “db6” 8.8%, “db8” 9.51%, for “sym6” 12.23% and for “time” -7.43% as per the data of 24 patients given in Table 1 while for the whole set of 530 patients we have for “db6”, “db8”, “sym6” and “time” error percentages 8.7483%, 8.9034%, 10.7794% and -7.157% respectively. Thus among the wavelet family “db6” gives least error strengthening findings of Mahmoodabadi et al along with it is “db8” but inspite of the nearby percentage of error as its type 6 variant still the fluctuations are more in this case as it can be viewed from the graphical representation. But the main important part of the analysis of Table 1 is that the time plane error and db6/db8 error complement each other very well so we average them and use the value.

![Figure 5](image-url)

**Figure 5.** QT interval Percentage of error for the different techniques employed.

![Figure 6](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Reference QT interval and averaged QT interval of wavelet (db6) and time plane method.

The error percentages for extended set of 530 readings similar to the 24 data as in Table 1 are plotted in Figure 5. When we plot the averaged time and wavelet (db6) based QT intervals with the adjusted reference as in Figure 6 we see that we are having about 0.96% of average error in total with variation from 6.2487% to -4.2% for the given data but except for some skewed cases it lies within ±5% for the whole data analyzed.

But on taking the whole data into account we have total average error as only 0.72%. The percentage of error variation for the averaged wavelet and time plane based QT interval data and total average of 0.96% are shown in Figure 7.

The Daubechies 8 “db8” based results were also good but not as good as Daubechies 6 “db6” while the sym6 results were up to the mark except for some special cases where the Symlet “sym6” regularly gave some fluctuations when compared with the measured values. Thus as it can be seen from the Figure 5 we have some high peaks (30-50%) errors in case of Symlet “sym6”.

### 6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, therefore proves that Daubechies 6 is the best wavelet for wavelet based QT interval detection supporting Mahmoodabadi et al. and the clubbing together of a novel time plane based method [9] along with the wavelet based method [8] has hereby produced considerably better results compared to the wavelet and time plane based method when used separately. Moreover this is an extension of our work [16] where we have increased the data set on which the algorithm has been tested.
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