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ABSTRACT

This work started out with the in-depth feasibility study and limitation analysis on the current disease spread estimating and countermeasures evaluating models, then we identify that the population variability is a crucial impact which has been always ignored or less emphasized. Taking HIV/AIDS as the application and validation background, we propose a novel algorithm model system, EEA model system, a new way to estimate the spread situation, evaluate different countermeasures and analyze the development of ARV-resistant disease strains. The model is a series of solvable ordinary differential equation (ODE) models to estimate the spread of HIV/AIDS infections, which not only require only one year’s data to deduce the situation in any year, but also apply the piecewise constant method to employ multi-year information at the same time. We simulate the effects of therapy and vaccine, then evaluate the difference between them, and offer the smallest proportion of the vaccination in the population to defeat HIV/AIDS, especially the advantage of using the vaccination while the deficiency of using therapy separately. Then we analyze the development of ARV-resistant disease strains by the piecewise constant method.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There is an ancient Arabic saying, those who predict the future, lie, even if they think they are telling the truth. This saying succinctly sums up the great uncertainty in projecting the future, especially for a complex problem such as HIV/AIDS spread estimation issues. As the HIV/AIDS pandemic enters its 27th year, both the number of infections and number of deaths due to the HIV/AIDS continue to leap. Even though an enormous amount of effort, our global society remains uncertain on how to most effectively estimate the spread of this disease, evaluate different countermeasures to it and allocate resources to fight this epidemic.

Nevertheless, attempts to predict future trends and prevalence of HIV/AIDS have been carried out with a wide range of errors, using the following methods for estimating HIV/AIDS prevalence, such as back-calculation method, “ratio” method, multiplying the estimated annual HIV/AIDS cases by 20, using the results of serological surveys and extrapolating these data to the total 15–49 years old population and some recently developed methods, the workbook method, and the special computer models [1,2].

Based on the differential equation theory, we propose a novel algorithm model system, EEA model system, a new way to estimate the spread of HIV/AIDS, evaluate different countermeasures to HIV/AIDS and analyze the development of ARV-resistant disease strains. It is a series of solvable ordinary differential equation (ODE) models to estimate the spread of HIV/AIDS infections, which not only require only one year’s data to deduce the situation in any year, but also apply the piecewise constant method to employ multi-year information at the same time, overcoming the limitation of the classic infection model (SI model) which ignores the change of the population, and the scarcity and error of data.

We simulate the effects of therapy and vaccine, then evaluate the difference between them, and offer the smallest proportion of the vaccination in the population to defeat HIV/AIDS, especially the advantage of using the vaccination while the deficiency of using therapy separately. At last, we analyze the development of ARV-resistant disease strains by the piecewise constant method.
According to our models, we can firstly outline the spread period of HIV/AIDS without any control in a country can be reasonably divided into three main periods (Figure 1.) [3,4]: free spread period, burst spread period and stable spread period.

In recent years, the demand on modeling capability has increased rapidly in the areas of disease analysis, drug design study, environmental assessment, etc. Most modeling approaches are still based on the traditional single-CPU reservoir simulators and have reached their limits with regard to what can be accomplished with them. During the same period, high performance computing (HPC) technology has increasingly been recognized as an attractive alternative modeling approach to resolving large-scale or multi-million-cell simulation problems [5]. As a result, parallel computing techniques have received more attention in this modeling community.

2. AN IDEAL MODEL TO ESTIMATE THE SPREAD OF HIV/AIDS

Build a solvable model to estimate the proportion of the number of HIV/AIDS infections in the population for any country in any year, in the absence of any additional interventions.

Model 1

2.1. Assumptions and Definitions

Ⅰ. All the derivatives referred in the equations exist.

Ⅱ. t is time (unit: year).

N (t) is the population of the country at t.

N (t) obeys Logistic Population Model

Ⅲ. The crowd is divided into the susceptible crowd and the infective crowd.

i (t) is the proportion of the infected population in the total population at t.

The rest of the N (t) is the susceptible crowd.

Ⅳ. Two main transmission ways:

Cross infections: T-1

Sharing drug injecting equipment and transfusion of blood or blood-derived products. Vaginal intercourse without a condom (man to woman and woman to man) and anal sex without a condom (both partners are at risk). We assume as the average number of persons infected by an HIV patient per year. Single-chain infections: T-2

An infected mother to her baby during pregnancy, at childbirth, or by breast feeding. And is the birth rate of infected infants in infected crowd per year.

V. $K_3$ is the rate of patient death.

2.2. Design of the Model

Through the first transmission way, every patient can infect $K_1(1-i(t))$ healthy people, so there are $K_1(1-i(t))i(t)N(t)$ people infected every year.

Through the second transmission way, the number of infected people is $K_2N(t)i(t)$.

We can get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(N(t)i(t)) = K_1N(t)(1-i(t))i(t) + K_2N(t) - K_1N(t)i(t)$$

(1)

After deduce, we can get

$$i'(t) = (K_1 + K_2 - K_3)i(t) - \frac{N(t)}{N(t)}i(t) - K_1i(t)^2,$$

So that we can get

$$i'(t) = (K_1 + K_2 - K_3 - \frac{d}{dt}\ln(N(t)))i(t) - K_1i(t)^2$$

The following question is how to solve those equations. Based on the classic Logistic population model, N (t) should satisfy

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = -aN^2 + bN,$$

Its solution is

$$N(t) = \frac{b}{a + ce^{-\alpha t}},$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants. c is determined by initial condition.

After fitting, we find out, for the countries in the chart, $\alpha$ is smaller than $\beta$ by several orders of magnitude averagely. So we let $\alpha = 0$ in computation.

The population data of China in this diagram come from[13]. Then,

$$N(t) = c^{-1} \beta e^{\alpha t}$$

(2)

So $\frac{d}{dt} \ln N(t) = \beta$ is a constant value for the same country.

Let $a = K_1$ ,

$$b = K_1 + K_2 - K_3 - \frac{d}{dt}\ln N(t)$$

and add the initial condition I ($t_0$) = c, meaning c is the proportion of the infected people at $t_0$. Then we can get
Finally we get
\[ i(t) = \frac{b}{a - (a - \frac{b}{c})e^{b(t-t_0)}} \quad (5) \]
where \( a, b \) are undetermined coefficients.

When it comes to how to get \( a \) and \( b \), we believe the best way is to get the statistical value of \( K_1, K_2, K_3 \) and \( \frac{d}{dt} \ln(N(t)) \), then get \( a \) and \( b \) by (3). Of course this will burden the workload of the public health department, but that worth it greatly, because these two constants will tell people the destination and rapidity of the HIV/AIDS infections.

It's easy that
\[ \lim_{t \to \infty} i(t) = \frac{b}{a} \quad (6) \]
That means, without any additional interventions, the proportion of infected population will steadily come to \( \frac{b}{a} \). Meanwhile \( b \) indicates the speed of \( i(t) \) which tends to limit. So the larger the value of \( b \) of a country is, the faster the rate of change in the number of HIV/AIDS infections. It's significant to estimate the value of \( a \) and \( b \). Then we can realize the potential destroy of HIV/AIDS to a country.

It is a pity that we can not get the believable conclusion about \( K_1, K_2, K_3 \), so we have to apply the fitting method as a makeshift.

The detailed method is as the followings.

Because the solution of (4) exists and only exists, we can get a series of equations about \( a \) and \( b \) by referring to the data between 1995 to 1997, and get a series of approximate solutions, while the rest of the data are applied to test the model.

The reason why we take the data in early times is that in those days the infection situation we take as without too many additional interventions which is very necessary and reasonable for our model.

Also we can forecast the trend of HIV/AIDS infections in a country, especially the coming of the peak and the appropriate time to take appropriate measures to control the situation.

**Table 1. Data of china**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>1997</th>
<th>1999</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of HIV/AIDS infections</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>660,000</td>
<td>840,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This model ignores some additional interventions, but is easy to be modified to satisfy the requirements in the following models, focusing on two interventions: provision of antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapies, and provision of a hypothetical HIV/AIDS preventative vaccine.

The data in Figure 2 refer to Table 1.

The situation of the spread of HIV/AIDS in China accords with our three main periods (as Figure 3 shows). The spread of HIV/AIDS in China is in the free spread period.

### 3. MODELS TO EVALUATE COUNTER-MEASURES TO HIV/AIDS

Based on the estimating model, we take the following scenarios into consideration to build three models to solve different problems and come to the significant results.

1. Antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapy. **Model 2.1**
2. A preventative HIV/AIDS vaccine. **Model 2.2**
3. Both ARV provision and a preventative HIV/AIDS vaccine. **Model 2.3**

Assume in these scenarios that there is no risk of emergence of drug-resistant strains of HIV which we will examine this issue later.

#### 3.1. Model in Scenario 1: Antiretroviral (ARV) Drug Therapy

**3.1.1. Assumptions and Definitions**

First, based on \( I_{I}, I_{II}, I_{IV}, I_{V} \) in Model 1.

And,

\[ i(t) \] is the percentage of the infection in the population \( N(t) \) at \( t \), which is infective.

\[ r(t) \] is the percentage of patients accepting ARV in the population at \( t \). \( r(t) \leq i(t) \).

These people take the lower death rate \( p \) and are stopped to take the infection actions, while all the non-infected are susceptible.

Figure 2. Number of infections in China 1995 to 2010
∀ n, 1 − 1 = rₙ s.t. max |r(t) − rₙ| is very small, so, r(t) ≈ rₙ, at n − 1 < t ≤ n

During solve the equation, we consider r(t) is a constant in the same year, that is, when n ≤ t < n + 1, r(t) = rₙ, where n is the number of years.

We can assert the expression of i(t), which is not a approximate solution calculated by computer simulation. Meanwhile, from the subsequent data, we can find out there is no obvious error. Actually, our calculated result is very accurate.

And because we can take \( \frac{d}{d(t)} \ln N(t) \) as a constant value (see (1)), the deformation course of the equation will be

\[
i\frac{dN}{dt} + N\frac{di}{dt} = (-K_i)^2 + (K_i(1-r_i) + K_r - K_i - c)N, \]

\[
\frac{di}{dt} = -a(i^n) + (b + r_i)N - c, \]

where \( i\frac{dN}{dt} + N\frac{di}{dt} = (-K_i)^2 + (K_i(1-r_i) + K_r - K_i - c)N, \) and \( c=(a-b-p)r_i \).

These are all undetermined coefficients, while \( r_i \) is determined by outside conditions.

With an initial condition, \( i(n) = i_{n+1}, (0 ≤ i_{n+1} ≤ 1) \)

\[
i'(t) = -a(i^n) + (b + r_i)N - c \]

\[
i(n) = i_{n+1} \quad (n ≤ t ≤ n + 1) \]

Let \( i_{n+1} = i(n + 1) \), we can backwards calculate all the values in n years.

Similarly we can get

\[
i'(t) = -a(i^n) + (b + r_i)N - c \]

\[
i(n + 1) = i_{n+1} \quad (n ≤ t ≤ n + 1) \]

Let \( i_{n+1} = i(n) \), so we can calculate forwards. Then we finish the solving course of Model 2.1.

Now we consider how to apply it into the numeric calculation.

First, based on assumptions IV, a and b here are the same with those are in the Model 1.

So we can continue to use the precious fitted data in Model 1, while r(n) is determined by outside aid and the population in this country which is given before. So based on the above solving course of the two problems, we just have to get the proportion of the infections in the population in any year after the appearance of HIV/AIDS, and put the data into them inductively to forecast or backdate the value of t in this country in any year.

Then the calculation of the rate of the change of the infections this year is as follows, \( \frac{d}{dt}(N_i) = aN(i-r)(1-i) + (b + \beta)N_i \), where N can be looked up in the data[13], while \( b = \frac{d}{dt}\ln N \) can be fitted by the least-square estimation based on N, which is different for different countries. (Figure 1)

3.1.2. Model Analysis and Application

The following results base on the credible data from [10] [12]. From the diagram (Figure 4), we can find out the effect
of the Antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapy is tiny, as Russia is in Burst Spread Period, despite of the number of infections who received the treatment is very large.

However, if the therapy can be offered earlier, the effect is delectable. As we can see in the diagram (Figure 5) below, about 280,000 infections have received ARV treatment every year since 2002. At that time, Russia is in the end of Free Spread Period. So the ARV drug therapy should be offered as early as possible (better before the Burst Spread Period).

So what is the effect of the ARV drug therapy? Based on our model, we can find out it will delay the coming of the infection peak. As what the following diagram shows, the peak will come late at least 5 years, which means we have 5 more precious years to solve it.

3.2. Model in Scenario 2: Preventative HIV/AIDS Vaccine

3.2.1. Assumptions and Definitions

First, based on 1, II, IV and V, And, III'.

We assume vaccine is fully effective without any inefficacy and drug-resistant strains, and it will give the patient whole life immunity.

\( i(t) \) is the percentage of the infections in the population (\( N(t) \)) at \( t \), which is infective.

\( s(t) \) is the percentage of vaccine injections in the population at \( t \), which is not susceptible.

The rest of the \( N(t) \) is the susceptible crowd. VII.

\( \forall \ n, \exists \ \ s_n \text{ s. t. } \ max_{n-1 < t \leq n} |s(t) - s_n| \) is very small, so, \( s(t) \approx s_n \), when \( n-1 < t \leq n \).

During solving the equation, we consider \( r(t) \) is a constant in the same year, that is, when \( 1 \leq t \leq n \), \( s(t) = s_n \), where \( n \) is the number of years.

So we can directly deduce the expression of \( i(t) \), which is not a approximate solution calculated by computer simulation. Meanwhile, from the subsequent data, we can find out there is no obvious error. Actually, our calculated result is very accurate.

And because we can take \( \frac{d}{dt} \ln N(t) \) as a constant value (Model 1), the deformation course of the equation will be

\[
\frac{dN}{dt} + N \frac{di}{dt} = (-K_1i^2 + (K_i(1-s_n) + K_2 - K_i))iN,
\]

\[
\frac{di}{dt} = -ai^2 + (b-s_n a)i, \tag{7}
\]

where \( a = K_i \), \( b = K_i + K_2 - K_1 - \frac{d}{dt}(\ln N) \), and these are all undetermined coefficients, while \( S_n \) is determined by outside conditions.

With an initial condition, \( i(n) = i_n, (0 \leq i_n \leq 1) \)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\dot{i}^n &= -ai^2 + (b-s_n a)i, \\
i(n) &= i_n \quad (n \leq t \leq n + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{8}
\]

So we can get

\[
\begin{aligned}
i(t) &= (b - as_n)/(a - a(i_n + s_n) - b) \quad e^{-(b-as_n)(t-n)} \\
i(n+1) &= i_{n+1} \quad (n \leq t < n + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}
\]

Similarly we can get

\[
\begin{aligned}
i(t) &= (b - as_n)/(a - a(i_{n+1} + s_n) - b) \quad e^{-(b-as_n)(t-n)} \\
i(n+1) &= i_{n+1} \quad (n \leq t < n + 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}
\]

Then we finish the solving course of Model 2.1.

From (11), we can get \( \lim_{t \to \infty} i(t) = \frac{b}{a} - s \), so when \( \frac{b}{a} = s \), \( i(t) = 0 \). That is, when \( s \leq \frac{b}{a} \), maybe we will clear up HIV/AIDS. That means, when the percentage of vaccine injections in the population is lower than \( b/a \), the percentage of the infections in the population will finally tend to \( b/a-s \), so only when it equals to or is higher than \( b/a \), we can finally defeat HIV/AIDS. That really provides a great meaning suggestion to the government layout and deployment.

Now we consider how to apply it into the numeric calculation.

First, it’s not hard to find out, \( a, b \) here have the same definitions with those are in the Model 1. So we can continue to use the precious fitted data in Model 1, while \( s(n) \) is determined by outside aid and the population in this country which is given before. So based on

![Figure 6. Proportion of infections in the population for Russia from 1999 to 2050](image_url)
the above solving course of the two problems, we just have to get the proportion of the infections in the population in any year after the appearance of HIV/AIDS, put the data into the inductive formula, \( i_n = i(n) = \lim_{x \to \infty} i(x) \), so we can forecast or backdate the value of \( t \) of this country in any year.

### 3.2.2. Model Analysis and Application

Take the situation in Brazil as an example to explain our model and result.

The reason why we take Brazil as an example is that the percentage of ARV treatment there has come to 100\%. \[12\] So we believe it’s reasonable and representative to select Brazil to show the effect of the vaccination.

So in Brazil we let the proportion of vaccination is 0.081, which approximates to \( b/a \) (refer to Model 1). When we use the vaccine measurement in 2010, the rate of change in the number of HIV/AIDS infections will slow down obviously. So if the proportion of vaccination is over 0.08 every year (see Figure 7), and the trend of defeating HIV/AIDS maybe will appear.

So we come to the conclusion: Compared with ARV treatment, vaccination is a better way to clear up the HIV/AIDS, which has the following advantages:

- Less cost
- Convenient application
- Better efficiency in short period
- Clearing up finally

But, it’s a pity that the efficient vaccine is not available now.

### 4. MODEL IN SCENARIO 3: BOTH ARV PROVISION AND PREVENTATIVE HIV/AIDS VACCINE

#### 4.1. Assumptions and Definitions

First, based on I, II, IV, V, VI, and VII. And, III’’’

\( i(t) \) is the percentage of the infection in the population (\( N(t) \)) at \( t \), which is infective.

\( s(t) \) is the percentage of patients accepting ARV in the population at \( t (s(t) \leq i(t)) \). These people take the lower death rate \( p \) and are stopped to take the infection actions, while all the non-infected are susceptible.

\( r(t) \) is the percentage of vaccine injection in the population at \( t \), which is not susceptible.

#### 4.2. Design of the Model

During solving the equation, we consider \( r(t) \) and \( r(t) \) are constants in the same year, that is,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN}{dt} + N \frac{di}{dt} &= (-K_i^2 + (K_i(1-s_n+r_n)+K_2-K_3)i-c)N, \\
\frac{di}{dt} &= -ai^2 + (b-s_n a + r_n a)i - c,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( a = K_i \), \( b = K_i + K_2 - K_3 - \frac{d}{dt} (\ln N) \), and \( c = a(1-s_n)r_n \), and these are all undetermined coefficients, while \( s_n \) is determined by outside conditions.

With an initial condition, \( i(n) = i_n (0 \leq i_n \leq 1) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  i' = -ai^2 + (b+(r_n-s_n)a)i - r(as+b+\frac{d}{dt} \ln N-p) \\
  i(n+1) = i_n 
\end{cases} \quad (n \leq t \leq n+1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[ d = b + (r_n-s_n)a, \]

\[ c = r(-as + b - p + \frac{d}{dt} \ln(N)), \quad (n \leq t \leq n+1). \]

Similarly we can get

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
  i' = -ai^2 + (b+(r_n-s_n)a)i - r(as+b+\frac{d}{dt} \ln N-p) \\
  i(n+1) = i_{n+1} 
\end{cases} \quad (n \leq t \leq n+1)
\end{align*}
\]

\[ i(t) = \frac{1}{2a}(\arctg(-\frac{1}{2}(t-n-1)\sqrt{4ca-d^2}) + \arctg(-d+2i_{n+1}a)) / \sqrt{4ca-d^2 + d}) \]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\end{align*}
\]
Then we finish the solving course of Model 2.1
First, it’s not hard to find out, a, b here have the same
definitions with those are in the Model 1. So we can
continue to use the precious fitted data in Model 1, while
s(n) is determined by outside aid and the population in
this country which is given before. So based on the
above solving course of the two problems, we just have
to get the proportion of the infections in the population
in any year after the appearance of HIV/AIDS, and put
the data into the above formulas inductively, to forecast
or backdate the value of t of this country in any year.
Then the calculation of the rate of the change of the in-
flections this year is as follows,
\[
\frac{d}{dt}(N(t)) = aN(i - r_n)(1 - i - s_n) + (b + \beta)N(i - r_n) + pNr_n,
\]
where N can be looked up in the data that ICM provides,
while \( \beta = \frac{d}{dt} \ln N \) can be fitted by the least-square es-
timation based on N, which is different for different
countries.

4.3. Model Analysis and Application

In this model, we can estimate the expected rate of
change in the number of HIV/AIDS infections for a
country under realistic assumptions for two scenarios:
Antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapy and a preventative
HIV/AIDS vaccine.
Take the situation in India as an example to explain
our model and result [12].
The reason why we take India as an example is that
India, a developing country, will have the largest popula-
tion in the world in the not far future.
As the above two conclusions we come to, the effi-
ciency of vaccination is better than treatment. So in the
less fund situation, vaccination is the first choice.

To India, a developing country with a very large
population, should apply vaccination, under the condi-
tion, certain amount of treatment.

5. Models to Analyze the Development
of ARV-resistant Disease Strains

We will re-formulate the three models developed in last
section, taking into consideration the following assump-
tions about the development of ARV-resistant disease
strains.
A person receiving ARV treatment with adherence
below 90 percent has a 5 percent chance of producing a
strain of HIV/AIDS which is resistant to standard
first-line drug treatments. Second-and third-line ARV
drug therapies are available, but assume that these drugs
are prohibitively expensive to implement in countries
outside of Europe, Japan, and the United States. Model 3

5.1. Assumptions and Definitions

First, based on I, II, IV, V, VI, and VII.
And,

\[ \Pi^{'''} \]

\( i(t) \) is the percentage of the infection in the population
(N(t)) at t, which is infective.
\( r(t) \) is the percentage of patients accepting ARV in the
population at t (\( s(n) \leq t(n) \)).
These people take the lower death rate p and are
stopped to take the infection actions, while all the
non-infected are susceptible.
\( s(t) \) is the percentage of vaccine injection in the popu-
lation at t, which is not susceptible.
\( p(t) \) in \( \Pi^{'''} \) is the function of t, and
\[
\forall \ n, \exists \ p_n \ s.t. \ \max_{n-1 \leq t \leq n} |p(t) - p_n| \ is \ very \ small, \ so, \\
p(t) \approx p_n, \ at \ n-1 < t \leq n.
\]

5.2. Design of the Model

At first, we have to do some necessary modifications.
The development of ARV-resistant disease strains
doesn’t have effect on the model without ARV treatment,
Model 2.2. So we just have to focus on Model 2.1 and
Model 2.3. Because of the similarity between these two
models, we just take Model 2.1 as an example.
The development of ARV-resistant disease strains will
cause the rising of the proportion of the patients with
ARV treatment, that is, p is bigger. To get the more general
result, we assume p is the function of t, p(t).

Remain the rest of the assumptions, the model will be
modified into

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{dt}(N(t)i(t)) &= K_NN(t)(1-i(t))(i(t) - r(t)) \\
+ (K_2 - K_1)N(t)(i(t) - r(t)) - p(t)Nr(t) \\
N(n)i(n) &= N_i n
\end{align*}
\]
Just as how we deal with $r(t)$ and $s(t)$, we assume $p(t) = p_a (n \leq t < n+1)$.

Then deduce functions, we get
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{di}{dt} &= -ar^2 + (b+ar)i - c \\
i(n) &= i_n \quad (n \leq t \leq n-1)
\end{align*}
\]
and
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{di}{dt} &= -ar^2 + (b+ar)i - c \\
i(n+1) &= i_{n+1} \quad (n \leq t \leq n-1)
\end{align*}
\]
where $a = K_1$, $b = K_2$, $c = -d\ln N$, $c = (a+b - p_1 r_n) (n \in Z)$.

The solutions of the above questions is uniquely exists, and have the expressions, which we will not give unnecessary details to.

Then with $i_m = i(m) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -m} i(x)$, we can get a satisfied curve of the rate of the change of infections starting at one point.

5.3. Model Analysis and Application

The result of the model is as the following diagram shows (Figure 9).

We take India as an example to explain the result. (HIV/AIDS infections data from [10])

The development of ARV-resistant disease strains will cause the rising of the proportion of the patients with ARV treatment. And India has a long way to solve the HIV/AIDS problem.

We can satisfy all the requirements in the last section, under the conditions in this section, using our models with the similar methods, so we will not give unnecessary details to the realization of other models in this section.

6. HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING PLATFORM

Dawning 4000L, IDC data processing machine, as Figure 10, shows, located in Shenzhen Institute of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, has been designed to provide more than 3 teraflops computing capability with 644 processors, 644GB physical memory and 100 terabytes storage. The machine can be upgraded online to 6.75 teraflops computing capability with 1300 processors, 4000GB physical memory and 600 terabytes storage.

The computing capability of the common PC will be enough for our model, while in simulating a large scale and establish the relation between time and variation ratio in real time, especially when amount of data become very large, the performance of database management system will drop sharply, ability of data of organization and management weaken greatly, can't realize the rapid searching for the a great deal of data. And what is more, it can even cause the breakdown of system when amount of data is getting larger, so high performance computing platform will come to be necessary.

Each CPU will compute the variable situation in a certain area which divided by grids ($P(n): M(n) \rightarrow n$, $P$ is the processor, $M$ is the certain area, and $n$ is the number. As Figure 11 shows, with the communication in the results, finally, we can get the whole situation in a large scale area, such as a country, a continent and the global world, and the acceleration rate will come to around 4 to 5.5.

7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We identify the scenarios and problems in spreading and countermeasures evaluating, and propose a novel algorithm model system, the EEA model system, with three distinctive main conclusions. First, three main periods spread of HIV/AIDS, and finally comes to the stable spread period. Secondly, the limitations and applications of the antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapies. Thirdly the minimum proportion of vaccination in a country to eliminate HIV/AIDS.

Based on the design, analysis, and application of our model system which based on high performance computing platform, we can safely draw the conclusion that the EA model system exploits a new way to estimate the spread of HIV/AIDS, evaluate different countermeasures to HIV/AIDS and analyze the development of ARV-resistant disease strains and will behave a great positive effect on defeating HIV/AIDS.

The future work we should focus on is the improvement of algorithm for this issue on the HPC platform to arise the accuracy of estimation, the stability of countermeasures evaluation and identification of more significant issues, such as this model maybe will be applicable for the terrorist infection spreading and counter measures evaluation.
Figure 10. High-performance Computing Platform

Figure 11. Computation and communication in the CPUs
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