Atherogenic Indices and HDL Particle Size as Laboratory Parameters to Evaluate Cardiovascular Risk in the Presence of Dyslipidemia
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Abstract

Dyslipidemia may influence enzymes and transfer proteins needed to the lipoprotein particle remodeling. Calculated indices and evaluation of lipoprotein particle size have widely been used to predict cardiovascular risk. The aim of this study was to evaluate HDL particle size and LDL particle size estimate based on TG/HDL-C as well as apoB/apoA-I ratio as possible marker and atherogenic indices, respectively, of cardiovascular disease risk in the presence of dyslipidemia. We evaluated 100 individuals of both gender, without treatment with lipid-lowering drugs, 27 normolipidemic and 73 dyslipidemic, such as isolated hypercholesterolemia (n = 16), isolated hypertriglyceridemia (n = 13) and mixed dyslipidemia (n = 44).
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hypertriglyceridemia (n = 17), low HDL-C (n = 26) and mixed dyslipidemia (n = 14). The HDL particle size did not differ between groups. The TG/HDL-C ratio was higher in groups with isolated hypertriglyceridemia (4.2 ± 1.5), low HDL-C (5.2 ± 3.1) and mixed dyslipidemia (5.3 ± 1.6). The apoB/apoA-I ratio was increased in all groups of dyslipidemia (apoB/apoA-I > 0.5) when compared to normolipidemic (apoB/apoA-I = 0.5, p < 0.001). There was a positive linear correlation between the TG/HDL-C ratio and the apoB/apoA-I ratio in low HDL-C group (r = 0.507, p = 0.008, Spearman). The results suggest that the evaluations of lipoproteins particles remodeling markers and the use of calculated indices may contribute to the evaluation of cardiovascular disease risk when dyslipidemia take place.
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1. Introduction

Dyslipidemia may be characterized by the presence of abnormal serum lipoprotein concentrations due to changes in the production, catabolism, clearance and disorders of lipid metabolism as a result of genetic and/or environmental factors [1]. The remodeling of lipoprotein particle is due to the action of enzymes and transfer proteins that participates in the metabolism of HDL, such as hepatic lipase (HL), phospholipid transfer protein (PLTP), cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP), lipoprotein lipase (LPL) and lecithin cholesterol acyltransferase (LCAT) [2]-[4]. Dyslipidemia may be negatively implicated in the enzymes and transfer proteins action mechanisms leading to changes in physical-chemical, structural and functional characteristics that, consequently, cause instability in the whole lipoprotein metabolism [5].

HDL particles show highly structure heterogeneity, intravascular metabolism and consequently antiatherogenic properties [6]. HDL shows different subfractions which can be identified based on the density, electrophoretic mobility, particle size and apolipoprotein (apo) composition [7]. The differences in size of these particles may be associated with the number of molecules (e.g., apo) in the surface and the concentration of esterified cholesterol in lipoprotein core [8]. The assessment of lipoprotein particles size has been the subject of many studies, since it contributes to cardiovascular risk evaluation. Numerous evidences suggest that cardioprotective properties of HDL are more associated with HDL2 fraction (larger) than HDL3 (smaller and denser) [9].

The LDL also shows particle size heterogeneity. It has two distinct phenotypes that can be distinguished by size, density, physico-chemical composition, metabolic behavior and atherogenicity. The phenotypes may be “A” (predominantly larger LDL) and “B” (predominance of small and dense LDL (sd LDL)) [10]. Smaller and denser particles are more atherogenic due to their higher ability to inter vessel wall, retention capacity and reduced affinity for physiological LDL receptor (LDL-r). So, they are more susceptible to oxidative modifications [11] [12], normally ascribed to higher plasmatic permanence time. Finally, sd LDL particles have intrinsic features that may slow down metabolism and bring intra/extravascular disturbance when compared with LDL of normal size, and contribute to great atherogenicity [13]. To estimate the presence of sd LDL it is beneficial to quantify the levels of TG and HDL-C, because the quantity, concentration and type of these particles are positively correlated with plasma levels of TG and negatively correlated to HDL-C. The sd LDL estimate is calculated by TG/HDL-C ratio. Ratios higher than 2.0 are indicative of sd LDL (particles ≤ 25.5 nm) [11].

Besides evaluating lipoprotein particles (HDL and LDL) remodeling, the present study evaluates the apoB/apoA-I ratio in different groups of dyslipidemia, according to laboratorial criteria of the IV Brazilian Guidelines for dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis prevention in normolipidemic (Normo); isolated hypercholesterolemia (HCT) (LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL); isolated hypertriglyceridemia (HTG) (TG ≥ 150 mg/dL), Low HDL cholesterol (Low HDL-C) (males < 40 mg/dL and females < 40 mg/dL), and mixed dyslipidemia (Mixed) (LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL and TG ≥ 150 mg/dL) [14]. The measurement of apoB in atherogenic particles (QM, VLDL, IDL and LDL) and apoA-I in antiatherogenic particles (HDL) can improve the Prediction risk of coronary artery disease [15]. The apoB has a more significant value when compared with LDL-C levels to predict the imminent risk of fatal myocardial infarction. LDL-C concentration alone, as cardiovascular risk indicator, has less predictive value when
compared to apoB and apoA-I concentration, and apoB/apoA-I ratio [16]. The evaluation of apoB/apoA-I ratio is an important step to early detection and prevention of cardiovascular risk [15]. The aim of this study was to evaluate the use of HDL particle size, sd LDL (TG/HDL-C) estimate and apoB/apoA-I ratio, atherogenic indices, as possible markers of cardiovascular risk in the presence of dyslipidemia.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Patients

One hundred individuals of both genders (27 normolipidemic and 73 dyslipidemic), between 40 - 70 years old, admitted to the Clinical Biochemistry Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy—Federal University of Bahia (UFBA) were selected by convenience to blood collection. Individuals were allocated according to information at epidemiological questionnaire such as sedentary (75 %), dyslipidemia (73%), hypertension (43%), presence of cardiovascular events in first and second degree relatives (38%), alcohol consumption (20%), diabetes (9%) and smoking (5%). The experimental protocol was approved by the ethical committee of Climério de Oliveira Maternity Hospital and a written informed consent was given by all participants. The participants did not have any renal or hepatic dysfunction and have not been treated with lipid-lowering drugs.

2.2. Biochemical Determinations

Blood samples were collected after 12-hour fasting. The plasma total cholesterol and TG levels were determined by enzymatic colorimetric methods using automated equipment LABMAX 240 (Labtest Diagnostic SA, Brazil). HDL-C was determined using homogeneous method (HDL LE, Reference 98, Labtest Diagnostic SA, Brazil). Briefly, the above methodology utilizes two reagents; the first, a polyanion, aggregates to the apoB containing lipoproteins as stable complexes, and the second, a detergent mixed with cholesterol reaction enzymes, solubilizes and act upon the unprotected HDL cholesterol, respectively. The reagents used to biochemical studies were provided by Labtest (Labtest Diagnostic SA, Brazil). The LDL cholesterol levels were estimated by the Friedewald formula [17], and VLDL cholesterol as triglycerides divided by five.

2.3. HDL Particle Size Determination

The HDL particle size diameters were measured by laser light scattering (LLS), using a ZetaPALS Zeta Potential Analyzer (ZetaPALS; Brookhaven Instruments, Holtsville, NY) as previously described by our group [7]. 10mL of blood were collected from all subjects by a vacuum dispositive into a glass tube containing EDTA (k3E k3-EDTA, VACUETTE®). EDTA plasma was then obtained by centrifugation at 4˚C for 15 min at 1250 g. For the isolation of HDL for subsequent sizing by LLS, we precipitate apoB containing lipoproteins with a solution of polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8000 (400 g/L) in 0.2 mol/L glycine buffer adjusted to pH 10 with NaOH, by mixing 500 µL of the precipitating solution to 500 µL of EDTA plasma and stirred in a vortex-mixer for 30 s. Samples were then centrifuged at 1800 g for 10 min at 25˚C in an Eppendorf® microcentrifuge. A 0.5 mL portion of the supernatant was added to 1.5 mL of NaCl (10 mmol/L), passed through a 0.22 µm filter (Millipore Products Division) just to exclude undesirable particles, and dispensed into a disposable cuvette. The ZetaPALS Zeta Potential Analyzer uses a 29 mW helium-neon laser at 658 nm to excite the samples. Scattered light is collected at an angle of 90˚ by a photon-counting photomultiplier tube and is then directed to a correlator. The BIC particle sizing software derives particle sizes from the correlator function. Results of each sample were expressed as the mean, which is the harmonic intensity-averaged particle diameter. All experiments were performed at 25˚C, and the results are expressed by means of five runs of 2 min each.

2.4. ApoB and ApoA-I Concentration

The apoB and apoA-I levels were determined by immunonephelometry using an automated analyzer, IMMAGE® (Beckman Coulter, USA), by the measurement of light scattered or reflected when radiant energy passing through a solution and encounters a molecule in elastic collision. The resultant light is detected in a different path of the direct transmitted light.

2.5. Estimation of LDL Particle Size

LDL particle size was estimated by TG/HDL-C ratios. Ratios higher than 2.0 were considered indicative of sd
LDL (≤25.5 nm) [11].

2.6. Statistical Analyses

Data normality was tested by D’Agostino procedure, a tests for normal distribution in goodness-of-fit techniques from intuitive biostatistics, and equal variances were verified by Bartlett’s test. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. The inferential analysis was followed by one way-ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer post-test, used to compare the differences between the biochemical parameters, HDL particle size and apoB/apoA-I ratio. Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunns post-test was used to compare TG/HDL-C ratio differences among groups. The Spearman coefficient was used to analyze the linear correlation between TG/HDL-C and apoB/apoA-I ratios. Outlier detection was tested by Grubbs test. All tested data were considered significant when critical value (p) was under 0.05. The statistical analyses were done at GraphPad Prism version 5.01 (USA) statistical packages.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the descriptive analysis of individuals by gender, age and classification of dyslipidemic groups according criteria of IV Brazilian Guidelines for dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis prevention (2007) [14].

Table 2 shows the results of biochemical parameter concentrations value such as lipid profile, urea, creatinine, AST, ALT and glucose between normolipidemic and dyslipidemic groups. It’s important to remark that participating individuals did not use lipid-lowering drugs at the time of blood sampling.

Table 3 shows the results of HDL particle size. The results were not different between groups (p > 0.05). The HDL particle size (nm) values are shown by mean ± SD: Normo (13.0 ± 1.0); isolated HCT (13.5 ± 2.5); isolated HTG (11.7 ± 2.5), low HDL-C (12.6 ± 1.4) and mixed dyslipidemia (11.6 ± 1.3).

As shown in Figure 2, the groups with isolated HTG, low HDL-C and mixed dyslipidemia had higher TG/HDL-C ratios. These groups may have a higher proportion of sd LDL when compared with normolipidemic group (n = 99, p < 0.001). The values obtained are shown as mean ± SD: Normo (1.7 ± 0.7); isolated HCT (1.9 ± 0.7); isolated HTG (4.2 ± 1.5), low HDL-C (5.2 ± 3.1) and mixed dyslipidemia (5.3 ± 1.6).

To help the evaluation of cardiovascular risk, apoB/apoA-I ratio was calculated (Figure 3). The dyslipidemic patients had a higher apoB/apoA-I ratio when compared to normolipidemic. The values obtained are shown as mean ± SD: Normo (0.5 ± 0.1); isolated HCT (0.7 ± 0.2); isolated HTG (0.67 ± 0, 1), low HDL-C (0.8 ± 0.2) and mixed dyslipidemia (0.9 ± 0.2).

Figure 4 shows the results of the linear correlation analysis between TG/HDL-C and apoB/apoA-I ratio in low HDL-C group. The TG/HDL-C ratio was positively correlated with apoB/apoA-I ratio (r = 0.507, p = 0.008; Spearman).

4. Discussion

In the study, patients were grouped by laboratorial and etiologic criteria to evaluate lipid profile based on local regulations (Table 1) according to IV Brazilian Guidelines for dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis prevention (2007) [14]. Table 2 shows the results of lipid, renal and hepatic profiles that were important to validate inclusion and exclusion criteria. The lipid profile parameters concentrations from dyslipidemic were statistically diff-

Table 1. Descriptive analysis of individuals by gender, age and classification of dyslipidemic according to IV Brazilian Guidelines for dyslipidemia and atherosclerosis prevention criteria (2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normo</th>
<th>Isolated HCT</th>
<th>Isolated HTG</th>
<th>Low HDL-C</th>
<th>Mixed</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(n = 27)</td>
<td>(n = 16)</td>
<td>(n = 17)</td>
<td>(n = 26)</td>
<td>(n = 14)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>51 ± 8</td>
<td>52 ± 9</td>
<td>47 ± 8</td>
<td>47 ± 9</td>
<td>59 ± 11</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>53 ± 3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54 ± 12</td>
<td>54 ± 12</td>
<td>57 ± 7</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD. Normo: normolipidemic; HCT: isolated hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL); HTG: isolated hypertriglyceridemia (TG ≥ 150 mg/dL); Low HDL-C: Low HDL cholesterol (males < 40 mg/dL and females < 40 mg/dL); Mixed: mixed dyslipidemia (LDL-C ≥ 160 mg/dL and TG ≥ 150 mg/dL).
Table 2. Biochemical parameters concentrations value such lipid profile, urea, creatinine, AST, ALT and glucose between normolipidemic and dyslipidemic groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochemical parameters</th>
<th>Normo (n = 28)</th>
<th>Isolated HCT (n = 16)</th>
<th>Isolated HTG (n = 17)</th>
<th>Low HDL-C (n = 26)</th>
<th>Mixed (n = 14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TC (mg/dL)</td>
<td>188 ± 26</td>
<td>273 ± 36***</td>
<td>215 ± 21</td>
<td>187 ± 45</td>
<td>283 ± 32***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>90 ± 31</td>
<td>101 ± 30</td>
<td>205 ± 50***</td>
<td>207 ± 50***</td>
<td>233 ± 55***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>53 ± 7</td>
<td>53 ± 10</td>
<td>49 ± 7</td>
<td>34 ± 4***</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>118 ± 23</td>
<td>200 ± 33***</td>
<td>125 ± 21</td>
<td>111 ± 39</td>
<td>192 ± 25***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>18 ± 6</td>
<td>20 ± 6</td>
<td>41 ± 13***</td>
<td>40 ± 30***</td>
<td>46 ± 11***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoA (mg/dL)</td>
<td>161 ± 24***</td>
<td>172 ± 31***</td>
<td>172 ± 27***</td>
<td>127 ± 25***</td>
<td>159 ± 21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>apoB (mg/dL)</td>
<td>81 ± 16***</td>
<td>122 ± 24***</td>
<td>111 ± 18***</td>
<td>99 ± 32***</td>
<td>154 ± 26***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>93 ± 14</td>
<td>92 ± 12</td>
<td>103 ± 17</td>
<td>105 ± 27</td>
<td>102 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea (mg/dL)</td>
<td>25 ± 6</td>
<td>27 ± 8</td>
<td>36 ± 4***</td>
<td>28 ± 8</td>
<td>34 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (mg/dL)</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>24 ± 6</td>
<td>26 ± 5</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
<td>30 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (mg/dL)</td>
<td>24 ± 12</td>
<td>19 ± 7</td>
<td>23 ± 6</td>
<td>27 ± 12</td>
<td>29 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as means ± SD. ANOVA, Tukey-Kramer post test, p < 0.05 (†); p < 0.01 (**), p < 0.001 (***). Normo: normolipidemic; HCT: hypercholesterolemia; HTG: hypertriglyceridemia; HDL-C: HDL cholesterol; Mixed: mixed dyslipidemia. The differences observed on apoA analyses were against Low HDL-C parameter. The differences observed on apoB analyses were against Normo parameter.

Figure 1. HDL diameter (nm) in different groups of dyslipidemia determined by laser-light scattering (LLS) described by Lima and Maranhão, 2004. One-way ANOVA, Tukey-Kramer post test, nonsignificant (n.s.), p > 0.05.

The HDL particle size does not differ among the groups (Figure 1). Several plasma factors alter HDL particle size, in the processes termed remodeling, which include the activity of LCAT, CETP, PLTP plasma transfer proteins, and enzymes (e.g. LPL, HL). These transfer proteins influence free cholesterol, esterified cholesterol, phospholipids content on HDL’s particle [20]. Differences in particle size also may be associated with the number of molecules of apo on lipoprotein surface [8]. These parameters did not influence significantly the HDL size in this study. Some studies have shown that small HDL particles (HDL₃) contribute to increased cardiovascular risk, whereas the large HDL particles (HDL₂) are associated with a decreased risk and they have potent antiatherogenic properties [9] [21]. The HDL’s particle size has been a target of many studies based on evaluation of cardiovascular risk. The study by Azevedo et al. [22] showed that patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) had smaller HDL particles (8.7 ± 0.7 nm) than non-CAD group (9.7 ± 1.6 nm). In another study, was observed that high TG levels in patients with CAD were significantly associated with low HDL₂ (larger particles) with different (p < 0.05) when compared to normolipidemic group. The fact that the participating individuals did not use lipid-lowering drugs at the time of sampling was important to exclude treatment interference on lipid metabolism, a really important aspect not found in several important published studies [18] [19].
Figure 2. TG/HDL-C ratio in different groups of dyslipidemia. Kruskal Wallis test, Dunn’s post test (p < 0.001***). Normo vs. HCT (p > 0.05, n.s.); Normo vs. HTG (p < 0.001***); Normo vs. Low-HDL-C (p < 0.001***); Normo vs. Mixed (p < 0.001***). In low HDL-C group, Grubb’s test was performed to detect outlier.

Figure 3. Comparison of apoB/apoA-I ratio in different groups of dyslipidemia. One-way ANOVA, Tukey-Kramer post test (p < 0.001***). Normo vs. HCT (p < 0.001***); Normo vs. HTG (p < 0.01**); Normo vs. Low-HDL-C (p < 0.001***); Normo vs. Mixed (p < 0.001***).

Figure 4. Linear correlation analysis between TG/HDL-C and apoB/apoA-I ratios in low HDL-C group (r = 0.507, p = 0.008; Spearman). Grubb’s test was performed to detect outlier.
predominance of HDL\textsubscript{3} particles (smaller particles) [23]. Pascot et al. [24] shows that dyslipidemic state like high TG and low HDL-C, are strongly correlated to reduced HDL particle size. These findings were not reproduced in our study since the dyslipidemic groups had HDL’s particle size similar to normolipidemic group.

The TG/HDL-C ratio is a combined parameter, estimated atherogenic index, that facilitates the assessment of the quality and presence of abnormal lipoproteins that corresponds to the sd LDL, which suggests a high risk of developing atherosclerosis [11]. In this study the patients who had a higher TG/HDL-C ratio like the isolated HTG group, low HDL-C and mixed dyslipidemia (Figure 2) correlates to a higher proportion of sd LDL, which is more atherogenic. The values of TG/HDL-C ratio in these groups estimate that LDL’s particle sizes diameter is under 25.5 nm. Sd LDL is considered an important cardiovascular disease risk marker [25]. Therefore, preventive measurements are necessary to control high TG levels and low HDL-C, mainly when associated with phenotype B (atherogenic). Approximately 75% of the small LDL groups, demonstrated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, gold standard, showed TG/HDL-C ratios higher than 2.0 [11]. The TG and HDL-C levels are the major determinants of the variability in the LDL size, which together explain 67% of variability in LDL size [26]. The presence of sd LDL is positively correlated with TG levels ($r = 0.6$, $p < 0.0001$) and negatively with HDL-C ($r = -0.56$, $p < 0.0001$) [27]. Abnormalities in the lipid metabolism such as high TG and low HDL-C levels affect the LDL particle size, density and its composition [28]. The use of TG/HDL-C ratio may be a strong and independent predictor of acute myocardial infarction, better than the TC/HDL-C and LDL-C/HDL-C ratios [29].

The mixed dyslipidemia group showed a higher apoB/apoA-I ratio when compared to other groups (Figure 3). Patients with mixed dyslipidemia have high TG and TC levels which are present in atherogenic lipoproteins like VLDL and LDL, which contain apoB. High apoB levels and low apoA-I levels, in other words, high apoB/apoA-I ratio are strong predictors of cardiovascular risk. They have greater power in predicting risk when compared to serum LDL-C, TC and TG [15]. The apoB/apoA-I ratio represents the proportion of apoB-containing particles rich in cholesterol (atherogenic) and particles containing apoA-I (anti-atherogenic). Moreover apoB/apoA-I ratios are also useful indicators of lipid-lowering therapy [30]. In this study, the linear correlation of apoB/apoA-I with TG/HDL-C (Figure 4) ($r = 0.507$, $p = 0.008$, Spearman) showed a directly proportional relationship, indicating the presence of sd LDL and high risk of developing atherosclerosis in low HDL-C studied group. The Bogavac-Stanojevic’s study [31] revealed that the apolipoproteins ratio was a better marker than lipids/lipoproteins ratios; however, the differences between their discriminating abilities were not statistically significant. Both are risk ratios and they are important parameters used to evaluate cardiovascular risk and useful markers for predicting CAD [31].

5. Conclusion

The study evaluates the presence of cardiovascular risk markers in dyslipidemia. Based on the observation, the dyslipidemia should not importantly influence HDL particle size while comparing normolipidemic and dyslipidemic groups without treatment with lipid-lowering drugs. The estimated LDL particle size was obtained by TG/HDL-C ratio in patients with dyslipidemia, mainly in the groups with isolated HTG, low HDL-C and mixed dyslipidemia, have a larger proportion of sd LDL, or rather, more atherogenic particles concentration that provide higher cardiovascular risk. In this study it was also concluded that patients with dyslipidemia had higher apoB/apoA-I ratio, higher atherogenic particles concentration and lower concentrations of protector lipoproteins. Thus, the results suggest that evaluation of remodeling of lipoprotein particles (HDL and LDL) as well as the use of calculated indices such apoB/apoA-I, TG/HDL-C ratios are important parameters that contribute to cardiovascular risk evaluation in the presence of dyslipidemia.
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