Morphology observation of dipalmitoyl phosphatidyl choline (DPPC) monolayer on water surface by dropping method
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ABSTRACT

Preparation of DPPC lipid monolayer in water trough has been done by dropping method and compared with compression method. Monolayer was studied by surface pressure isotherm, fluorescence microscopy, Brewster angle microscopy, and infrared external reflection spectroscopy. Results of these measurements showed that dropping method gave better results compared to compression method. In dropping method, transition from liquid expanded state to liquid condensed is gradual compared to sharp one in compressed method. During monolayer formation, adjustment and interaction between hydrophilic part of lipid and water and among hydrophobic part of lipid molecule are slow, stable, and more natural as worked out from surface area versus pressure isotherm. At a given molecular area, surface pressure is less compared to compression method thus monolayer is in more fluidic state in dropping method than compression method. The observation was supported by all techniques described above.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Biomembranes have fundamental fluid structures representing “fluid mosaic model” proposed by Singer and Nicolson [1], in which functional proteins are buried in bilayers consisting of various kinds of phospholipids [2]. From the viewpoint of membrane fluidity, it is important to examine the properties of not only proteins but also phospholipids membrane. Various investigations on lipid monolayers and bilayers, micelles, vesicles, and liposomes, have been performed to clarify details of cellular functions, substance transportation into and out of membranes, and chemical and physical molecular recognition [3,4]. Lipid monolayers formed at water-air interface have been studied extensively as a model membrane as they are easy to prepare and study [5].

Ohe et al. [6] studied interaction between antibiotic and phospholipids monolayer at water-air interface applying vibrational sum frequency generation spectroscopy. Okahata and co-workers [7-9] have performed systematic studies on the molecular recognition of lipid monolayer formed on water surface using quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) method capable of nanogram order level detection. Monolayer on water surface is generally formed by compression method [10]. In this method, a fixed volume of solution containing monolayer sample was dropped on water surface and partition board compresses monolayer molecules lying on the water surface after solvate evaporated completely. Monolayers are formed automatically using monolayer formation apparatus, but it requires artificial compression force applied to monolayer.

The dropping or expanding method described in this study is that solution droplets of DPPC-chloroform are
dropped continuously in water trough. When first drop expands on water surface, next drop is added; thus, at intervals, drops are added continuously on water surface. In this dropping method, a large volume of solution and enough time are required to make monolayer. But advantage is monolayer forms spontaneously and molecules are at stable equilibrium state.

The interaction between hydrophobic groups in monolayer is an important factor for maintaining the structure of amphiphilic monolayer. Hydrophobic interaction is also important to keep balance between hydrophilic groups in monolayer and water molecules. Stability of water-hydrophilic interaction is important since water is >70% component in the living bodies [11] and also plays an important role in cellular function [12]. Dropping method is better for forming fluid monolayer on water surface which makes monolayer a good candidate to study model membrane.

In this work, we report morphology of dipalmitoyl phosphatidyl choline (DPPC) monolayer formed on water surface by dropping method. Monolayer was studied by applying a number of physicochemical methods: surface tension measurement (STM), fluorescence microscopy (FM), Brewster angle microscopy (BAM), and infrared external reflection spectroscopy (IERS). We succeeded in noticing the unique difference in fluidic-monolayer formed by dropping method than that obtained by compression method. Role of hydrophilic interaction in monolayer formation has also been discussed.

2. MATERIALS, METHODS, AND APPARATUS

2.1. Materials

Dipalmitoyl phosphatidyl choline (DPPC > 97%) which was used to prepare membrane was purchased from Fluka Chemical Co. Inc. and used without further purification. Spreading solvent for DPPC monolayer was chloroform (99.0%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries Ltd.). Purified water with conductance < 0.07 μS/cm was obtained using a Super Water Purifying System (WL-21P; Yamato Scientific Corp. Ltd.).

2.2. Monolayer Preparation

1 mM DPPC-chloroform solution was spread on a purified water surface with a 100 μl syringe to form DPPC monolayer. Preparation of monolayer was following. Purified water of 100 ml was poured into a glass dish of diameter of 95 mm and height 17 mm. 1 μl DPPC-chloroform solution droplet was dropped gently on the water surface and the next drop was added after >1 min so that previous droplet expanded on the water surface before second comes in. As described in Section 2.3, the expanding process of first drop was confirmed by the fact that the value of surface tension becomes constant after dropping. This action of dropping and spreading was repeated until DPPC monolayer was formed and dropping volume reached to 28 μl. Completion of monolayer formation was confirmed by the fact that the formation of lenses was observed on the water surface and the value of surface tension did not change when the dropping amount of DPPC-chloroform solution exceeded 28 μl.

2.3. Surface Tension Measurement (STM)

STM for DPPC monolayer was carried out on a Surface Tensiometer (CBVP-A3; Kyowa Interface Science Corp. Ltd.). The surface pressure of the monolayer was recorded as a function of the spreading volume at 26.0°C ± 0.1°C. Surface pressures were measured at four spreading volumes that corresponded to 4 mN/m, 8 mN/m, 12 mN/m, and 42 mN/m. From surface pressure and molecular area values an isotherm was constructed as shown in Figure 1.

2.4. Fluorescence Microscopy (FM)

For observation of fluorescence, a fluorescent probe, rhodamine-dimyristoyl phosphoethanolamine (Rh-DMPE, Avanti Polar Lipids Inc.) was incorporated at 0.1 mol% in 1 mM DPPC-chloroform solution. A cover glass of area 18 × 18 mm² and thickness 0.12 mm was chemically cleaned by Piranha treatment (immersion in a mixed solution of H₂SO₄ ((98%)/H₂O₂ (33%) 7/3) for 10 min. The cleaned glass was fastened to a tip of handmade auto-elevation system and immersed into water phase in the glass dish. The DPPC-chloroform solution containing Rh-DMPE probe in four dropping volume corresponded to surface pressures of 4, 8, 12, and 42 mN/m, was expanded.
on the water surface at 26.0°C ± 0.1°C. After more than 30 min from finishing of each monolayer formation, the
glass in the water phase was scooped horizontally from
under the monolayer using scooping-up method [13] at
transfer velocity of 1 mm/min and the monolayer was
transferred on the glass. Fluorescence microscopy (FM)
for visualizing the morphologies of DPPC monolayer
was carried out on a commercial total internal reflection
fluorescence (TIRF) microscope (TE2000-U; Nikon Co.)
at room temperature. Microscope was equipped with an
oil immersion objective lens (Plan Apo 100 x H, nu-
merical aperture ; 1.45; Nikon Co.), a 575 nm dichroic
mirror, a set of barrier filters (590 nm long pass and 765 -
855 nm band pass filters), a laser with λ = 532 nm
(CL532-025-L, 25 mW; Crystallizer, Reno, USA), a
cooled CCD camera (ORCA-ER; Hamamatsu Photon-
icis,), and AQUA-COSMOS imaging analysis software.
The lateral resolution of FM was about 0.1 μm.

2.5. Brewster Angle Microscopy (BAM)

BAM for visualizing the morphologies of DPPC mono-
layer was carried out on a commercial Brewster angle
microscope (EMM633K; Filgen Inc.). BAM is essen-
tially an ellipsometry and the monolayer image arises
from the refractive index difference between the mono-
layer and the water phase. BAM device was mounted
onto a glass dish and P-polarized light of 632.8 nm
wavelength from a 10 mW He-Ne laser was irradiated at
the Brewster angle of 53.1°. The reflected light was magni-
fied with a 40 mm focal length lens and detected by a
charge-coupled device fitted with CCD camera (C5948-
70; Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu). The formation
process of DPPC monolayer by spreading method was
observed on real-time at 26.0°C ± 0.1°C and the typical
images were captured in computer with USB-CAP type
(SD-USB2CUP3; AREA Co. Ltd.). The lateral resolution
of BAM was about 1 μm.

2.6. Infrared External Reflection
Spectroscopy (IERS)

The orientation of alkyl chains of DPPC molecule was
investigated by (IERS). An FT-IR spectrometer (FTS-
45A; Bio-Rad Inc., FT-IR-600Plus; JASCO Int. Co. Ltd.,
and FT-IR; JIR-5500; JEOL Inc.) with a liquid-nitrogen-
cooled MCT detector and a reflectance apparatus were
employed in combination. The DPPC-chloroform solu-
tion was expanded on the water surface in a poly(tetra-
fluoroethylene) trough (20 × 62 mm²) at room tempera-
ture, according to the spreading method as described in
Section 2.2. Two kinds of monolayers of surface pres-
ures of 12, and 42 mN/m were measured after 30 min of
preparation to arrive equilibrium. p-polarized IR light at
incident angles of 20° and 75° were irradiated on the
monolayer and reflected light was detected by MCT de-
tector to know molecular orientation. More than 1000
scans were averaged with a resolution of four cm⁻¹. The
IER spectra were converted to the absorption spectra
( k-spectra) by the reported method [14] using Lorentz
oscillator model (LOM) calculation under the assump-
tions:

1) the n values (the real part of complex refractive in-
dex) of limiting of the high-wavenumber for in-plane component and the vertical component are 1.50; 2) the
thickness of DPPC monolayer is 2 nm; CH2 antisymmet-
ic stretching vibration band (νas(CH2)) and the CH2 sym-
metric stretching vibration band (νs(CH2) of methylene
group are in CH stretching vibration region.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Surface Tension Measurement (STM)

Figure 1(A) shows surface pressure (π) versus mo-
nolecular area (A) isotherm curve of the DPPC monolayer
obtained at 26.0°C using the dropping method. The hori-
zontal axis represents molecular area calculated from mo-
nolecular numbers in the dropping volume. The vertical axis
represents surface pressure recorded after dropping of
DPPC-chloroform droplet on water surface. When sur-
face pressure reached at constant value after a certain
time that pressure value was recorded as the value of the
composition. We also showed the π-A curve of the DPPC
monolayer obtained using compression method in Figure
1(B). Many surface pressure studies of DPPC monolayer
formed on the water surface using the compression method
[15] have shown that DPPC monolayer forms a liq-
uid-expand (LE) state at low surface pressures and a liq-
uid-condensed (LC) state at high surface pressures. The
LE-LC transition occurs at a surface pressure of ap-
proximately 8 mN/m also confirmed in Figure 1(B).
However, our π-A curve obtained using the dropping
d method gave a different result as shown in Figure 1(A).
The surface pressure increases gradually from molecular
area > 1.5 nm². A transition occurred at molecular area
0.65 nm² where surface pressure showed a steep increase
to a value of 42 mN/m. At 0.5 nm² surface pressure in
compression method is higher (50 mN/m) than dropping
method (42 mN/m).

A remarkable point was the limiting molecular area
(A0) of DPPC monolayer by dropping method. Under the
present experimental conditions, the DPPC monolayer
was close to its maximum packing (16) and A0 was 0.65 ±
0.05 nm²/molecule (ca. 40 mN/m).

Advantage of dropping method proved to be that lim-
iting molecular area (A0) of DPPC monolayer at water
interface was 0.65 ± 0.05 nm²/molecule corresponded to
surface pressure 40 mN/m. While in compression method
was A0 = 0.48 nm²/molecule [6].
This value was 30% larger than that by compression method. It is concluded that formation of monolayer by dropping method makes monolayer in a “semi-expanded state” and had a “fluid structure”, similar to the fluidity rich membrane. The hydrophilic group of the DPPC molecules (\{(\text{CO})-\text{O}\}-\text{CH}_2\cdot\text{CH}-\text{CH}_2\cdot\text{O}\}-\text{POO}^–\cdot(\text{CH}_2)_2\cdot\text{N}^+(\text{CH}_3)_3) and water molecules would structure themselves in most comfortable hydrogen-bonding network (hydrophilic interaction), since enough time is kept until the interaction between the hydrophilic group and water molecules reaches to equilibrium state after second DPPC-chloroform droplet was dropped on the water surface. It is also possible that the solvent (chloroform) of dropped solution disentangles unbalanced domain of DPPC molecules. Those hydrated water molecules play an important role in maintaining the fluid structure of monolayer formed by the dropping method. Here, we called this new state as “semi-LC phase”.

3.2. Fluorescence Microscopy (FM)

In order to support the interpretation of \(\pi-A\) isotherm of DPPC monolayer, we have recorded the FM observation of DPPC monolayer containing FM probes (Rh-DMPE, 0.1 mol%). Figure 2 shows the FM images of the DPPC monolayer transferred on the cover glass using scooping-up method at four surface pressures (a): 4, (b): 8, (c): 12, and (d): 42 mN/m, the same values as shown in Figure 1(A).

At 4 mN/m (Figure 2(a)), the FM image showed the homogeneous dark contrast, which represents LE phase of DPPC monolayer. With increase in dropping volume, the image became bright and monolayer contrast became sharper (Figure 2(b)). At surface pressure 12 mN/m (Figure 2(c)) the monolayer morphology had best contrast that was identified as semi-LC phase. Apart from LC phase there exists LE domain with less bright phase. Image 2(c) was contrary to that reported previously by compression method [17] in which small and very bright condensed LC domains dispersed in a continuous background of the darker LE phase. This image corresponded to the results of STM which do not show the clear LE to semi-LC transition. A further increase in dropping volume decreased the size of hole-type LE domains (data not shown) and subsequently the image became homogeneous bright of semi-LC phase at 42 mN/m (Figure 2(d)).

From the analysis of fluorescence intensity profile, dark part in Figures 2(a) and (b) coincided with those of dark hole part in Figure 2(c) and bright part in Figure 2(d) also with those of bright domain in Figure 2(c). It was found that the DPPC monolayer by dropping method traced a unique formation process different from that by compression method. The comfortable state between hydrophilic group of DPPC molecules and water molecules would lead to the appearance of expanded semi-LC phase by dropping method.

3.3. Brewster Angle Microscopy (BAM)

FM requires addition of fluorescent amphiphilic probes (Rh-DMPE) in DPPC monolayer to detect fluorescence. However, there is a possibility that FM probes behave as an impurity and affect the original monolayer behavior, though the amount of probes is small of 0.1 mol%. To avoid this unwanted effect on monolayer, we have performed the observation of an in situ direct monolayer by BAM, which does not require additional substances and is sensitive to the surface density and anisotropy monolayer phase.

Figure 3 shows the BAM images of the DPPC monolayer taken at four surface pressures similar to that of FM. At 4 mN/m (Figure 3(a)), the BAM image showed the homogeneous LC phase with dark contrast. At surface pressure 8 mN/m BAM image is getting slightly brighter but no so big difference can be seen compared to image (Figure 3(a)). With increase in dropping volume further, the image became apparently brighter (Figure 3(c)) indication of appearance of semi-LC phase when surface pressure increased to 12 mN/m. Hole-type LE domain existed in the semi-LC phase at pressure 12 mN/m, same observation was noticed in FM image (Figure 2(c)). A further increase in dropping volume showed homogeneous bright semi-LC phase at 42 mN/m (Figure 3(d)). All BAM images corresponded to the results of FM. The FM observations are secondary as monolayer was mixed with FM probe. However the method gave important observa-
Figure 3. BAM images of the DPPC monolayer at various surface pressures: (a) 4 mN/m; (b) 8 mN/m; (c) 12 mN/m; (d) 42 mN/m.

Figure 4. (a) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 12 mN/m at incident angle 20°, (dotted) measured and (solid) simulated spectrum; (b) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 12 mN/m at incident angle 75°, dotted measured and solid simulated spectrum; (c) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 42 mN/m at incident angle 20°, dotted measured and solid simulated spectrum; (d) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 42 mN/m at incident angle 75°, dotted measured and solid simulated spectrum; (e) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 12 mN/m at incident angle 75°, dotted measured and solid simulated spectrum; (f) IER spectrum of DPPC monolayer at pressure 42 mN/m at incident angle 75°, dotted measured and solid simulated spectrum.

3.4. Infrared External Reflection Spectroscopy (IERS)

To investigate the molecular orientation of the alkyl chains in the DPPC monolayer, we have performed the IERS measurement of two kinds of DPPC monolayer by using p-polarized light at incident angles 20° (Figure 4(a)) and 75° (Figure 4(b)). We have recorded spectra at low pressure 12 mN/m and higher pressure 42 mN/m at these two angles 20° (Figure 4(c)) and 75° (Figure 4(d)).

Dotted line shows measured spectra and solid line is the simulated spectra based on the LOM calculation by assuming a three-layer model. The calculation also provided the absorption spectra (k-spectra) as a function of wavenumber, as shown in Figure 4(e). The solid line represents the components parallel to the DPPC monolayer surface plane x and y.

Dotted line represent those perpendicular to the surface z, out-of-plane. The CH₂ anti-symmetric stretching vibration band ($ν_{as}(CH₂)$) and the CH₂ symmetric stretching vibration band ($ν_{s}(CH₂)$) for bands of the methylene groups were observed at 2922 cm⁻¹ and 2853 cm⁻¹, respectively.

With increase in surface pressure to 42 mN/m both $ν_{as}(CH₂)$ and $ν_{s}(CH₂)$ bands showed the lower-shift in wavenumber. It revealed that the decrease in gauche defect of two alkyl chains in the DPPC monolayer at higher pressure 42 mN/m i.e. the formation of all-trans structure of chains. The intensity ratio of $ν_{s}(CH₂)/ν_{as}(CH₂)$ of bulk DPPC molecules was in range 0.6 - 0.7. This value presents isotropic orientation of methylene groups.

At coexistence state of LE and semi-LC phase at 12 mN/m anisotropy orientation of methylene groups in DPPC monolayer could not be find out in $k_x$ and $k_z$ spectra at 12 mN/m. In $k_y$ spectrum of the DPPC mono-
layer at 42 mN/m, the ratio was more than 1 (Figure 4(f)), suggesting the anisotropic orientation of alkyl chains in the monolayer at semi-LC phase (42 mN/m). This means that the axis of alkyl chain is tilting from normal monolayer surface and the plane of carbon skeleton plane tends to stand from the surface plane [18]. These IERS results correspond to that of STM at which the $A_0$ by dropping method was larger than that by compression method as described in Section 3.1.

4. CONCLUSION

DPPC’s peculiar phase structures (crystalline, gel, ripple, liquid crystal) of various aggregation (micelle, vesicle, liposome, lamella) in water phase have been extensively studied using differential thermal analysis, X-ray diffraction, small angle X-ray scattering, neutron scattering measurement and nuclear magnetic resonance [2,19]. These measurements showed that these aggregates were hydrated by many water molecules in hydrophilic DPPC molecule [20]. Monolayer of DPPC at water interface generally exists in environment that upper part of monolayer is in gas-like phase i.e. very loosely bound water. For this reason, the morphology of monolayer is different from other lipid aggregates mentioned above. Numbers of physicochemical methods that we have employed have revealed that DPPC monolayer formed on water surface by the dropping method is semi-expanded and has a fluidic structure which is different from condensed DPPC monolayer mostly obtained by compression method. The advantage of dropping method is the spontaneous behavior of DPPC molecules on water surface that is apparent and dominant where DPPC molecules on water surface are able to arrange in comfortable states due to interaction between hydrophilic groups and bulk water.
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