Indonesian avian influenza H274Y mutant neuraminidase homology models assessment
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ABSTRACT

Five models of Indonesian H274Y mutant neuraminidase type 1 (N1) were generated from the template 3CKZ by homology modeling. The template has the best similarity percentage 97% with the model sequence. The models was evaluated to search the best model with DOPE, 3D-profiles and PROCHECK in a good rank. The results show model 3 as a potential model to be used in the simulation with the lowest DOPE score, highest verify-3D score and from Ramachandran plots we inferred that it also shared the 1st rank with model 4 based on the 99.4% of the residues found, without Glycine and Proline, at the most favoured and additionally allowed region of both model structures.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The H5N1 avian influenza virus is a tremendous threat for a country with an economical background in poultry farming. If spread of the avian influenza virus (AIV) is left untreated, the poultry industry in a certain country could be disastrous. Indonesia is one of the countries that were impacted as a result of this pandemic. In poultry alone, it took down poultry in 31 of 33 provinces [1].

At the same time the AIV infected poultry, it also crossed species and was able to infect humans in the surrounding area of the infected poultry. Until 21st April 2010, there were 163 human cases with 135 deaths [2]. By this time, the virus had showed its virulence and the resulting high mortality rate. Recent infections of humans with H5N1 avian influenza viruses have rising the popularity of this type of influenza. The effective transmission of the viruses was a big help for the develop-
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Materials

The NA amino acid sequence of Influenza A virus (A/Indonesia/560H/2006(H5N1)) was taken from National Center of Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [22] with the accession code ABW06159. The 449 residues long NA has one single mutation at the 274 residue position from Histidine into Tyrosine. This virus is known as one of Indonesian avian influenza virus that could infect humans.

The NA protein templates for homology modeling were selected from crystallized structures, with protein data bank code 3CKZ, stored in the protein data bank of Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics (RCSB) [23]. The crystal structures of NAs were selected by BLASTP 2.2.16 [24] provided by Swiss Expasy. The database is known to have a collection up to 55,000 sequences.

2.2. Methods

The sequences were then aligned with a few sequences from the template and other virus NAs. The sequences for other viruses were obtained from NCBI and were known to be collected when pandemics occurred (see Table 1). Those NAs were analyzed with multiple sequences alignment focusing on the consensus and trace residues to see the similar pattern of those viruses. A phylogenetic tree was analyzed using neighbor joining method [25], best tree mode and set a distance as Uncorrected (“p”). The parameters were set to simplify the analysis because the result would show the differences in percentage number of residue positions at which the sequences differ.

Building homology models were produced using MODELER [26] which is integrated in Accelrys Discovery Studio 2.1. The parameters during this process were a medium optimization level of models and cut overhangs to remove the terminal unaligned residues in model sequence for comparison reason with the template. The homology modeling generated 5 models that were produced by 3CKZ structure template.

The selected model was evaluated to verify its reliability with Discrete Optimized Protein Energy (DOPE) [27] method using MODELER and 3D-profiles [28,29]. The parameter for MODELER was set with DOPE-HR method, which is very similar to the DOPE method but obtained at higher resolution. The parameter for 3D-profiles was set with smooth windows sized 10 and Kabsch-Sander algorithm for secondary structure method [30]. All models were sent to Swiss Expasy to be analyzed with PROCHECK to see the stereo chemical perspective of the model.

3. RESULTS

The comparison of H5N1 NA sequences with a H274Y mutation from Indonesia with other NA sequences from several pandemics had an objective to see the evolution link and relationship between them. From Figure 1, we could see that the NA from ABW06159 is in a different type with AAO46476 and AAT66420. The differences between them are up to 28% residues. This result showed that the H274Y virus may not correlate with the Asian and Hongkong flu pandemics.

Besides the template structure sequence, the closest sequence similarity was from the AAF77036 NA sequence which is a little bit closer than ADL39249. It could be inferred that the NA may have evolved from the 1918 Spanish flu virus. This is really interesting considering that the NA sequence which showed up with a 2-year separation has more differences than the one that emerged more than 90 years ago. Notice that the compared sequences are sequences that have caused major pandemics throughout the years, thus further investigation must be done with more sequences to seek the general relationship between every pandemic. However, the ABW06159 sequence has a close relationship with both of Spanish flu and the 2009 pandemic.

In Table 2, we provide the information of three different assessment methods of the generated models.

Table 1. The accession codes of NAs of influenza viruses from pandemics that occurred during the 20th and 21st centuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Accession Code &amp; Sequence Name</th>
<th>Virus Name</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>AAF77036</td>
<td>A/Brevig Mission/1/1918(H1N1)</td>
<td>Obtained at Spanish flu occurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>AAT66420</td>
<td>A/Japan/305/1957(H2N2)</td>
<td>Obtained at Asian flu occurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>AAO46476</td>
<td>A/England/878/1969(H3N2)</td>
<td>Obtained at Hongkong flu occurred</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>ADL39249</td>
<td>A/Alaska/WRAIR1114P/2009(H1N1)</td>
<td>Obtained at 2009 pandemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>3CKZ</td>
<td>Sequence of template structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the first score column, there are overall residues verify scores were taken using profiles-3d assessment method. The results show the ranges of score are from 192-201. All the models have a score above the high expected score which is only 175.54. This indicated that most residues of all models seem to be placed in an appropriate environment and are compatible for simulation. The verify score of template 3CKZ is exceeded only by model 3.

After overall verify scores were obtained, we looked further into specific residue verify score to find a negative verify score residue. The negative verify score show poor compatibility of the residue. From the Table 3, we could see three residues that has negative verify score (highlighted in yellow). They are E412-C (partially buried), L412-D (buried) and T413 (partially buried). These occurrences varies, in model 1 and 2, they do not occur. In model 3 there are three residues with a negative value. Negative values of residues are also found in model 4 at residue T413, and in model 5 at L412-D and T413. This is interesting that the model with highest overall verify score has more residues with negative verify score than the other models. And moreover, the model 4 and 5, which has negative verify score residues, possess a rela-
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**Figure 1.** The phylogenetic tree of ABW06159 correlated with several viruses in Table 1.

**Table 2.** The assessment Scores of 5 homology models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Template and Models</th>
<th>Verify Score</th>
<th>DOPE</th>
<th>Most Favoured &amp; Additional Residues Percentage (without Gly and Pro)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1</td>
<td>192.99</td>
<td>−35183</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2</td>
<td>198.73</td>
<td>−34793</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3</td>
<td>200.3</td>
<td>−36386</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td>−35510</td>
<td>99.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 5</td>
<td>197.98</td>
<td>−35311</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template 3CKZ</td>
<td>199.53</td>
<td>−42594</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** The comparisons of specific residue verify score of 5 models from the residues which has a problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residues</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>3CKZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E412-C</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>−0.009</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L412-D</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>−0.012</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>−0.061</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T413</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>−0.002</td>
<td>−0.022</td>
<td>−0.051</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed Information: 412 is the residues that has doubled numbers in one position. There are 412, 412-A, 412-B, 412-C and 412-D.
tively good overall verify score. Another wondering fact is that those three residues had the same secondary structure ($\alpha$-helix) in all models generated. We overlooked the negative verify score residues problems based on argument that the ratio of the number of negative verify score residues and overall residues is very low (3/385), and the specific Ramachandran plot (not shown) did not put these three residues in the disallowed region.

The next column in Table 2 contained DOPE-HR scores that relate with the stability of molecules. The molecule model which has the most stable structure (showed from its energy) related with the ability of the structure to take the temperature increment which related with the kinetic energy applied when molecular dynamics simulation is conducted. The higher DOPE score of the molecule, the more unstable they are. The DOPE scores of our models are varies in range $-36386$ to $-34793$ and all of them had satisfied the spatial restraints. Even the DOPE score for the template 3CKZ is lowest than the models, the model 3 is the model which has a most negative score compared by other models generated in this experiment. It indicates that model 3 is relatively the most stable and reliable model to be simulated from an energetic perspective.

The third column in Table 2 shows the percentage of residues which are placed in most favoured and additionally allowed regions. The template 3CKZ has the best percentage and over all models structures. For the models generated by homology modeling, the rank is divided by three levels. The first rank is occupied by model 3 and 4, the second rank is occupied by model 2 and 5, and the last rank is occupied only by model 1. All models have not any residue which placed in the disallowed region and have a percentage of residues which placed in generously allowed region below 2%. This indicated that the stereo-chemistry of all models were good enough. However, model 3 and 4 are more preferable to be used for simulation. But if we look back at two other assessment methods, we would able to see that model 3 is the most reliable structure to be simulated with molecular dynamics simulation.

4. DISCUSSION

In this study, the experiment used homology modeling which compared structure of template which had similar sequence with H274Y mutant neuraminidase sequence from the virus circulated in Indonesia. Five models have been successfully generated. From the template 3CKZ which the homology models were generated, we found that a few residues are double numbered in one position and some skipped numbers. The residues that were double numbered are at position 169, 345 and 412, meanwhile the skipped residues number are at position 307, 334, 337, 338, 343, 393 and 434 (N1 residues numbering). The double numbered residues do not give any contribution to the homology models we have generated since its does not mean there are two residues in one position, but only the next residue has numbered, with the same number and the addition alphabet after the number, with its previous residue. The residues that double numbered in one position are 169 and 169-A, 345 and 345-A, and 412, 412-A, 412-B, 412-C and 412-D.

The skipped residues number problem is different. The investigation for skipped residues was executed with the align-and-superimpose protein protocol. In this special occasion, main-chain atoms and secondary structures were used to trace the proteins and superimposed them. The results showed that the skipped residues had narrow spaces (in range 0.23 to 1.41 Å) which would not fit even with one amino acid (Figures 2(a), 2(b), 2(c), 2(d) and 2(e)). The models generated (in blue) have been refined during homology modeling. Sequence alignment (see Figure 3) shows there is no gap in the position of the skipped residues number. Figure 3 is the sequence alignment of Indonesian influenza virus H274Y mutant NA and template 3CKZ. If there are no gaps in the sequence were aligned, then the crystals structure itself which has a problem.

Investigation further was done by checking other N1 crystal structure 2HU0 which was obtained from rcsb.org and found that there is no gap between position 306 and 308 (see Figure 4). The others skipped numbered positions are also not showing the broken peptide bond in 2HU0 (not showed in Figure 4). In the Figure 4 showed only residues at positions 306 and 308 for evidence that the broken bond between two residues do not occur in 2HU0 structure. All investigation results indicate that the spaces in the skipped residue numbers might be the broken peptide bond that occurred during the x-ray crystallographic process.

The PROCHECK main structure assessment did not contain glycine and proline residues in the same Ramachandran plots of general residues because they have special properties. They were provided in specific residue Ramachandran plots. The glycine and proline which placed in unfavourable conformation was put on the Table 4 below. The percentage of most favoured and additional residues in overall structure of the models, and with glycine and proline Ramachandran plots may have a relationship with the verify score where model 1 has the lowest verify score. Even if it is have not occurred in the template structure 3CKZ which has 3 glycine in unfavourable conformation where one of unfavourable glycine is the one with a broken peptide bond.

Figure 5 is the Ramachandran plot of model 3 that

Figure 2. The superimposed lost residues show very narrow space (pointed out by arrows): (a) between N306 and Q308; (b) between G333 and S335, and between C336 and G339; (c) between S342 and S344; (d) between V392 and K394; (e) between E433 and S435.

Figure 3. Sequences alignment of indonesian virus and template 3CKZ (Number 1 in this figure is number 83 in N1 amino acid position).

Table 4. The list of gly and pro placed in the disallowed region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycine and proline residues in unfavourable region</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
<th>3CKZ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G200, P341</td>
<td>P271</td>
<td>G200</td>
<td>G200</td>
<td>G200</td>
<td>G200, G297, G333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

shows the residues positioned at categorized regions which are determined by Phi and Psi angles. There are actually four different regions. Most favoured region, additional allowed region, generously allowed region and disallowed region. The stereo-chemistry of model 3 was fit in to the preferably good category. There are no residues placed in the disallowed region. The values of PROCHECK result were compared with other molecules model assessment and show that our resulting model is in the same order. The amylase, ninjurin and lycopene [31-33] models evaluation showed about 87.3%, 87.3% and 88.2% respectively, residues were in the most favoured region while our best model has about 88%. The closest experiment was done with nucleocapsid of H1N1
5. CONCLUSIONS

Homology models generated from homology modeling may contain errors since it is only a method to predict how molecules are shaped based on its secondary structure by comparing with the template that was crystallized. The unique perspective of each assessment method that were used here may be an advantage to selecting the best model to simulate. The preferably good structure chosen is model 3 which have the best rank at verify-3D and DOPE score and shared the 1st rank with model 4 as the percentage of the residues which found at the most favoured and additionally allowed region of both models based on their Ramachandran plots.
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Abbreviations

Amino Acid: G = Glycine; A = Alanine; I = Isolucine; F = Phenylalanine; L = Leucine; V = Valine; P = Proline; W = Tryphtophan; S = Serine; D = Aspartat Acid; M = Methionine; C = Cysteine; E = Glutamic Acid; K = Ly-sine; H = Histidine; R = Arginine; N = Asparagine; Q = Glutamine; T = Threonine; Y = Tyrosine;