Surface Modification of PEEK and Its Osteoconductivity and Anti-Inflammatory Properties
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Abstract

Polyetheretherketone (PEEK) is known as one of the “super-engineering plastics” and is used as an intervertebral disk spacer in the body. PEEK has a hydrophobic surface (water contact angle (WCA) > 80°) and high chemical resistance, and it is thus difficult to perform any surface treatment, such as hydrophilization. In this study, we aimed to form a hydrophilic surface on PEEK without coating layers by using hydroprocessing (aqueous solution processing), and we examined the osteoconductivity and anti-inflammatory properties of surface-treated PEEK in vivo compared with Ti implants. The WCA value of PEEK reached ~20° using a combination of immersion in a solution of >16.2 M H2SO4 and ultraviolet irradiation (172 nm). In vivo testing, the hydrophilization of PEEK by surface modification without a coating layer improved the osteoconductivity and anti-inflammatory properties. The relationship between the bone-implant contact ratio and the WCA values of the surface-modified PEEK agreed well with that of the surface-treated Ti.
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1. Introduction

Polymer materials are used in the orthopedic and dental fields as important biomaterials alongside metallic and ceramic materials. In general, when biomaterials are used in the body, it is known that the bioresponse depends on surface
characteristics such as hydrophilicity [1] [2] [3] [4]. Our previous study using valve metals and their alloys showed that hydrophilic metallic surfaces have high osteoconductivity [5]. Many kinds of polymers have a high chemical stability as well as a hydrophobic surface. For example, polyetheretherketone (PEEK), which is used as an intervertebral disk spacer, has a hydrophobic surface (water contact angle (WCA) > 80˚); however, based on our previous studies with metallic materials, this feature does not indicate that PEEK has high biocompatibility. Indeed, postoperative infection caused by a PEEK disc spacer has been reported and solutions to such potential bio-incompatibility have been proposed [6]. PEEK is known as one of the “super-engineering plastics” that have a high chemical resistance; consequently, a surface treatment, such as hydrophilization, is very difficult to apply in the same manner as for polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE). Initially, hydrophobic PEEK was coated with hydroxyapatite (HAp), which was believed to be a bioactive substance [7], but the adhesion of HAp on PEEK was poor. It is believed that the root of this problem is that PEEK shows very stable hydrophobicity.

Plasma irradiation is commonly used for the hydrophilization of various polymer materials [8]. However, the nearly line-of-sight nature of plasma irradiation and the normally relatively inhomogeneous irradiation can produce surface unevenness, thereby limiting the usefulness of this technique because many implants have a complex shape. In this study, we aimed to form a hydrophilic surface on PEEK without coating layers by using hydroprocessing (aqueous solution processing), and we examined the osteoconductivity and anti-inflammatory properties of the surface-treated PEEK compared with the surface-treated Ti implants in vivo.

2. Theory of Polymer Hydrophilization

It is well known that the copolymer of polylactic acid-glycolic acid (PLGA) degrades in the body [9]. However, its degradability is not very fast and acceleration methods have been proposed [10]; for example, it was reported that the immersion of PLGA into alkaline aqueous solution was effective in accelerating the degradation. In our preliminary experiment, a PLGA sheet was immersed in several aqueous solutions, such as distilled water (DW), and ~5 mol L⁻¹ NaOH aqueous solution (NaOHaq). Figure 1 shows the change in the WCA of the PLGA sheet with time. The immersion in DW decreased the WCA, but not at a fast rate and the WCA value converged to ~80˚, after which it remained unchanged. After immersion in NaOHaq, the WCA decreased more quickly than in DW and converged to a smaller value. That is, the hydrophilization by hydrolysis made only very slow progress and a higher NaOH content decreased WCA more quickly; moreover, the WCA value that was reached was smaller. It is thought that the neutralization (acid-base) reaction removed the acid compound formed from the hydrolysis and this advanced the degradation of PLGA. From this experiment, we considered that at least a two-step reaction was necessary as a
trigger for the hydrophilization of the polymer, disconnection of the bonds (e.g., hydrolysis), and its acceleration. In addition, fixation of the hydrophilic group (e.g., -OH, -COOH) to the disconnected bond was an indispensable condition for hydrophilization.

3. Experimental

3.1. Surface Modification

We previously reported that the surface roughness of implants affected osteoconductivity after implantation [11]. PEEK plates (φ6 mm) were polished by buffing using Al₂O₃ particles (particle size = 0.05 μm) and the surface roughness (Ra) was set as Ra/μm < 0.1. Samples were immersed in ~18 M (=mol L⁻¹) H₂SO₄, ~12 M HCl, ~13 M HNO₃, ~17 M CH₃COOH, or ~30 vol.% H₂O₂ for up to 1 d at 30°C for the disconnection of the ether bond in PEEK, considering the chemical resistance of PEEK. The immersed samples were picked up from the solution and rinsed in distilled water, acetone, ethanol, or toluene, respectively, and dried in vacuum. After drying, the samples were subjected to the following second step for the hydrophilization. The samples were irradiated either with ultraviolet (UV, 172, 254, or 365 nm wavelength) radiation for up to 6 h at 20°C, or with atmospheric plasma for up to 1 h. As a storing environment for the hydrophilicity of surface-treated samples, DW, phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (−), five times concentrated PBS (−) (×5 PBS (−)), and air were selected, respectively, and the hydrophilicity value of the samples was maintained. In addition, the WCA of the sample surface was controlled using the change in storing period and environment. WCA was measured using a 2-μL droplet of distilled water. The modified surfaces were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) in attenuated total reflection (ATR) mode.

Figure 1. Water contact angle change with immersing time in (a) distilled water, (b) 0.1 M NaOH aqueous solution, and (c) 0.5 M NaOH aqueous solution.
3.2. Protein Adsorption Testing

In the protein adsorption testing, fibronectin and albumin were picked up as a protein that was either a cell-adhesive protein or not, respectively [12]. A fibronectin (~1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) or albumin (~50 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) aqueous solution droplet (40 μL) was put on the surface of the WCA-controlled PEEK samples, respectively, and these were kept at 37°C for up to 3 d. Then, they were rinsed out with ultrasonic cleaning in the distilled water and dried naturally. The amount of adsorbed protein was determined using FTIR in attenuated total reflection (ATR) mode. The protein content was evaluated from the peptide binding (1650 cm\(^{-1}\)) in the protein in the FTIR spectra. The content of the different three positions was measured for each samples using calibration curve, and the mean value was calculated.

3.3. In Vivo Testing

All the animal studies were conducted in the laboratory at HAMRI Co., Ltd., Japan, of the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International (AAALAC).

1) Testing of osteoconductivity [13]

The sides of PEEK rods (2 mm in diameter, 5 mm in length) were polished by buffing using 0.05 μm Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles. Hydrophilic PEEK rods (WCA = 85°) were prepared in a combination of immersion in 16.2 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) for 5 s at 30°C and UV irradiation (172 nm) for 3 h. For the formation of the protein-adsorbed samples, hydrophilic PEEK samples were immersed in 1.0 mg mL\(^{-1}\) fibronectin aqueous solution for 48 h at 37°C. Next, they were rinsed with ultrasonic cleaning in the distilled water and dried naturally. All the surface-treated rod samples (n = 5) before and after protein adsorption were implanted in rat tibia for 14 d for evaluation of osteoconductivity. The samples were longitudinally sliced and stained with toluidine blue. The interface between the implant and the cortical bone, as well as the cancerous bone, was observed by optical microscopy. The sum of the linear bone contact with the implant surface was measured and was expressed as a percentage over the entire implant length (the bone-implant contact ratio, BIC) in the cancerous bone and in the cortical bone parts. Significant differences in BIC were analyzed statistically using the Tukey-Kramer method [14].

2) Testing of anti-inflammatory properties

PEEK rods of 1 mm in diameter and 5 mm in length were used in the anti-inflammatory testing. The method of WCA control and fibronectin adsorption was the same as in the osteoconductivity testing. The surface-treated samples (n = 3) were implanted in the subcutaneous of the back of rats by inoculation using an injection needle and the rats were sacrificed at 14 d post implantation. Because serious inflammation, necrosis, suppuration, and bleeding were not detected around the surrounding tissue of implants by visual observation, tissue samples were fixed in 10% formalin, and embedded in methyl methacry-
late (MMA) polymer. The samples were sliced and stained with toluidine blue. Optical microscope observation of the interface between the implanted and the surrounding tissue revealed whether inflammatory cells such as lymphocytes and neutrophils were present, as well as a new generation of vascular, and formation of a fibrous capsule film. The capsule film thickness of the eight positions was measured for each samples, and the mean value was expressed as an index of anti-inflammatory properties.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Surface Modification

Figure 2 shows photographs taken after immersion in several aqueous solutions and washing in distilled water. The immersion in 12 M HCl, 13 M HNO₃, 17 M CH₃COOH, and 30 vol.% H₂O₂ did not change the surface morphology of PEEK. The WCA values were 81°, 84°, 80°, and 83°, respectively, and they did not increase or decrease dramatically from the as-polished PEEK (~85°). Immersion in H₂SO₄ had a clear effect on the surface appearance. In particular, 18 M H₂SO₄ attacked the surface and the color turned white from beige, and the Ra value increased from 0.05 to 0.44 μm. For immersion in 16.8 M H₂SO₄, SEM observation confirmed the attack, although discoloration and Ra change did not occur. For the immersion in less than 15 M, no changes were observed. Figure 3 shows FTIR spectra of samples after 18 M H₂SO₄ immersion and washing in DW. Figure 3 indicates that the immersion in H₂SO₄ broke the
ether bond, the C–C single bond, and the C=C double bond, and increased the sulfo group. Because the subsequent washing process decreased the sulfo group, it was thought that the WCA value did not decrease after immersion in H$_2$SO$_4$, which in any case satisfied the first step for polymer hydrophilization, that is, the disconnection of the bonds.

As a second step, UV (172 nm) irradiation (>10 mW cm$^{-2}$, with 3 mm distance) and atmospheric plasma irradiation (500 W, 50 mm distance) were selected and applied on the solution-treated samples: immersion in 16.2 M H$_2$SO$_4$ for 5 s and rinsing in DW. With atmospheric plasma, the WCA value decreased rapidly and reached a constant WCA of ~10˚ in 10 min, regardless of the first step. FTIR showed that there was no change in the surface functional group by the plasma treatment (Figure 3(e)), and therefore it was thought that radicals might contribute to hydrophilization. However, the hydrophilicity formed by plasma irradiation, could not be maintained, and the WCA value rose rapidly in 1 d (Figure 4). In contrast, it took 1 - 2 h for the solution-treated samples to reach a constant WCA of ~20˚ under UV irradiation, and the Ra value was the same as after H$_2$SO$_4$ immersion (Ra = 0.05 μm). FTIR showed that the UV irradiation created a carboxyl group. By examination of UV irradiation under different partial pressure environments of CO$_2$ (Ar or CO$_2$ atmosphere), we determined that this carboxyl group was formed from CO$_2$ in air. The UV treatment on the as-polished sample gave a surface with ~40˚ and a longer irradiation time did not result in further reduction in WCA. The hydrophilicity could be kept for

**Figure 3.** FT-IR spectra of PEEK samples processed by following treatment: (a) as-polished, (b) immersion in 18 M H$_2$SO$_4$, (c) after rinse of (b) in distilled water, (d) after ultraviolet (UV) irradiation (172 nm) to (c), and (e) atmospheric plasma irradiation to (c).
Figure 4. Water contact angle change with (1) irradiation time (●: 18 M H₂SO₄ immersed sample and ▲: as-polished sample) and (2) storing time (●: five times concentrated phosphate buffered saline (x5 PBS(-)), □: air, and Δ: distilled water) of (a) atmospheric plasma irradiation and (b) ultraviolet (UV) irradiation (172 nm).

7 d by storing in x5 PBS (−) in the same manner as hydrophilic Ti and its alloy samples [15]. It is thought that ions in x5 PBS (−), such as Na⁺, were adsorbed on the hydrophilic PEEK surface. Thus, in the following hydrophilization experiment, PEEK was immersed in 16.2 M H₂SO₄ for 5 s and rinsed in DW, and then irradiated with UV (172 nm) for more than 3 h in air. To maintain hydrophilicity, the surface-treated PEEK was stored in x5 PBS (−).

4.2. Evaluation of Osteoconductivity

Figure 5 shows the relationship between the BIC value of surface-treated PEEK and WCA compared with WCA-controlled Ti. The BIC value of as-polished PEEK was very low, and a fibrous capsule film formed over the entire surface in some samples. In contrast, hydrophilic PEEK had a high BIC value. This tendency agreed well with our results for surface-treated Ti [15]. The hydrophilization of PEEK dramatically improved the osteoconductivity, meaning that the osteoconductivity strongly depended on the surface property and not on the implant materials themselves. Based on our previous work [16], we believed that the osteoconductivity was not directly affected by the WCA of the implants. In other words, it was thought that the WCA affected protein adsorption, which in turn controlled the osteoconductivity of the implants. The amount of adsorbed protein (fibronectin and albumin) with respect to the WCA value is shown in Figure 6(a) & Figure 6(b). Neither protein was adsorbed on the as-polished PEEK surface. In contrast, on surface-treated PEEK, the proteins were adsorbed,
Figure 5. Relationship between bone-implant contact ratio (BIC) and water contact angle (WCA). (1) as-polished, (2) ultraviolet (UV) irradiated to as-polished, ((3), (4)) ultraviolet (UV) irradiated to 16.2 M H₂SO₄ immersed, and (5) fibronectin adsorbed to sample (3) and ○: surface treated Ti sample. *: p < 0.05.

Figure 6. Adsorbed value of protein ((a) fibronectin and (b) albumin) to the water contact angle controlled PEEK. ●: fibronectin, ▲: albumin, and ○, Δ: surface treated Ti sample.

depending on the WCA value. This tendency agreed well with our results for surface-treated Ti [15]. The BIC value of the fibronectin-adsorbed samples (n = 5) on the hydrophilic samples (n = 3) was also high, and the same level of hydrophilic sample, in spite that the fibronectin-adsorbed sample did not have a hydrophilic surface (WCA = 48°). This also supports the notion that the osteoconductivity was not directly affected by the WCA of implants.

4.3. Evaluation of Anti-Inflammatory Properties

Figure 7 shows photographs inside of the stripped skin and optical micrographs of surface-treated PEEK samples. Serious inflammation and necrosis were not
detected around the surrounding tissue of implants. A fibrous capsule film formed over the entire surface in all samples, and the inflammatory reaction occurred over the whole surface of all samples. The thickness of the capsule film was used as an index for the evaluation of anti-inflammatory properties. **Figure 8** shows the thickness of the capsule film formed on the implants. On the
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**Figure 7.** Photographs of the inside of the striped skin and the optical micrographs of surface treated PEEK samples implanted in the subcutaneous of back of rats for 14 d.

**Figure 8.** Relationship between the thickness of fibrous capsule and WCA. (1) as-polished, ((3), (4)) ultraviolet (UV) irradiated to $16.2$ M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ immersed, and (5) fibronectin adsorbed to sample (3).
as-polished sample, a thick capsule film was formed, suggesting that the inflammation was strong, as reported [17]. However, the inflammation was weaker on the surface-treated PEEK, which was not related to the WCA value. In the implantation of the fibronectin-adsorbed PEEK samples on the hydrophilic surface in advance, the inflammation was not suppressed further. It is noteworthy that the surface treatment can suppress the inflammation without an anti-inflammatory drug, compared with as-polished PEEK.

5. Conclusion

The WCA value of PEEK reached ~20° using a combination of immersion in >16.2 M H2SO4 and UV irradiation (172 nm). The immersion of the surface-treated PEEK in ×5 PBS (–) maintained the hydrophilic WCA. Although as-polished PEEK could not adsorb protein, hydrophilization gave rise to protein adsorption. In vivo, the hydrophilization of PEEK by surface modification without a coating layer improved the osteoconductivity and anti-inflammatory properties. The relationship between BIC and WCA values of surface-modified PEEK agreed well with that of the surface-treated Ti.
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