Enzyme Assisted Ultrasound Scouring of Raw Wool Fibres
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ABSTRACT

Scouring of raw wool is a chemical treatment that needs a high amount of detergents, alkalis and water. Effluents produced by this treatment are extremely polluted with chemicals and impurities washed out from the fibers. It is well known that the ultrasound washing can remove effectively different substances from the textile surfaces even without surfactants due to the cavitations occurring at certain parameters of the ultrasound field. On the other side water treatments of wool combined with mechanical agitation provoked felting which can impair the quality of wool materials. Felting itself depends not only on the parameters of water treatments but also on the structure of wool cuticle. Partial hydrolysis of the cuticle with some proteases can decrease considerably the wool felting. The aim of this work is to study the possibility of applying the ultrasound at the process of raw wool scouring and the influence of proteases on the felting properties of wool at these conditions. It has been found out that ultrasound environment applied does not impair the specific activity of enzyme auxiliaries used and leads to increasing of their effect on the surface of wool fibers. Thus the scouring process studied could be used for developing of a technology producing lower amount and less polluted effluents.
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1. Introduction

The tendency of wool to felt and shrink is mainly due to its scaly structure. Wool shrinkage occurs when the fibers are subjected to mechanical treatments combined with higher temperatures and use of different detergents. It is well known that the scouring of raw wool fibers is a textile chemical processing that needs a lot of detergents and water due to the high amount of impurities [1]. On the other side there are many data that ultrasound (US) could destroy and remove the pollutants on textile surfaces even without any additional auxiliaries in the washing bath [2] Logically it can be expected that US will increase wool fiber felting occurring at the conditions of scouring. The chlorine-Hercosett is the most widespread process used to modify the surface of wool fibers in order to provide resistance to felting and shrinkage [3,17,18]. Currently a wide range of enzymatic treatments are being studied as an alternative of chemical treatments [4,20]. The corresponding technologies of textile processing usually combine the enzyme application with an intensive mass transfer (for example by stirring) [1,14,16]. There is no available data if US scouring of raw wool fibers is equally effective to the classical detergent washing and if it can cause higher wool felting and shrinkage. It is of a practical interest if the application of protease auxiliaries originally formulated for use as detergent additives can influence the process of US wool scouring.

Bearing in mind the above mentioned the aim of this work is to study the possibility of applying the ultrasound at the process of raw wool scouring and the influence of proteases on the felting properties of wool at these conditions.

2. Experimental

2.1. Fibers and Chemicals

Raw wool treated were Bulgarian merino fibers, quality 64 (22-25 μm). The auxiliaries used were the enzyme containing Bioprot Multi and Bioprot Gentle (Biocon S.A.).

2.2. Enzyme Activity and Protein Concentration

The activity of proteases in Bioprot Multi and Bioprot Gentle was measured by incubating 1ml of these prod-
ucts with 1ml of 2% casein solution and 2ml 0,1 M bo-
rate buffer, for 20 min at temperature 37˚C. After incu-
bating the reaction was stopped by adding 4 ml 6% tri-
cloroacetic acid solution, and then the precipitate was
removed by centrifugation at 5000rpm, at room tem-
perature for 10 min. The absorbance due to the amino
acids produced was analysed at 280 nm.
Temperature and pH dependences of enzyme activity
were investigated as follows:
- at different pH-values and 37˚C,
- at pH 7.8, and different temperatures.
The concentration of protein was determined by
Lowry method [5], using bovine serum albumin as a
standard.

2.3. Characterization of Wool Fibers
Wool fibers (treated with enzyme and combined en-
zyme/ultrasonic) were characterized by their felting
properties and by the weight lost after treatment.
The felting ability of wool fibers was evaluated by
Blankenburg method. Diameters of wool spheres formed
during the agitation test were measured and the changes
of felting ability were calculated from them.
The weight lost of pre-treatment wool fiber was calcu-
lated in the following way. Wool fabrics were condi-
tioned at 100˚C for 2 h, desiccated and weighed until
constant weight was reached.

2.4. Determination of Tyrosine
Tyrosine is a mino acid produced from the reaction of
protein with enzyme [5]. Its concentration was measured
in the following way. A sample of 6ml solution was
taken from the enzyme reactor and added to 5ml of
0.11M tricloroacetic acid solution. The precipitate was
removed by filtration and centrifugation. Then, 2ml of
filtrate were mixed with 5ml of 0.05M Na2CO3 solution
and 1ml of two-fold diluted Folin’s reagent. After mixing,
the colour was allowed to develop for 30 min at 37˚C.
The light absorbance related proportionally to the con-
centration of amino acid produced was analyzed at 660
nm, based on dl-tyrosine as standard.

2.5. Experimental Design
The experimental equipment designed for this study is
shown on Figure 1. The enzymatic treatment (with and
without US) of wool fibers was performed in a glass bot-
tle with total volume of 300ml. Wool fiber samples of 3
g each were treated with enzymes in phosphate buffer
solution (pH 7.8, 0.01M) in a water bath at 37˚C for 5
hours and 80 rpm.

In the present study, the cavitations experiment (US
irradiation treatment) were carried out by using an ultra-
sonic bath form VWR-China with capacity 3,7L, HF-45
kHz and 117V. The actual intensity of US was calculated
according to the method introduced by Lorimer et al.
(1991) [6]. The field intensity in the glass bottle of ultra-
sonic vessel used for our study was 95 W/cm2.

3. Results and Discussion
The proteolytic activity of auxiliaries used was calculated
in order to give a more precise qualitative evaluation of
the influence of US treatment. Proteolytic activity was
defined according to Equation 1, pH7.8 and temperature
of 37˚C on casein as substrate.

\[
\text{Equation: } \frac{U}{\text{mg}} = \frac{(A_{280nm} \times 1000)}{(t \times a)}
\]

Where:
- A is the adsorption of reaction media at 280nm,
- a is the quantity enzyme in test chub,
- t is the incubation time.

The results obtained showed that the application of US
did not change the position of the maximum of pH de-
pendence. For Bioprot Multy these maximums are in pH
Table 1. Enzyme activity of the Bioprot Multi and Bioprot
Gentle at pH 7.8 and 37˚C on casein as substrate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Bioprot Multi, U/mg</th>
<th>Bioprot Gentle, U/mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With ultrasound</td>
<td>89672</td>
<td>87570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without ultrasound</td>
<td>81159</td>
<td>81125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
range of 7.5 – 8.5. For the other product studied – Bioprot Gentle - the maximum is at pH 6.5. The tendency of increasing the relative intensity of proteolytic effect with US is well pronounced in all pH range studied for both enzymatic auxiliaries.

Temperature of application is the other important factor for the effectiveness of enzymatic treatments. Dependences given in Figure 3 showed again that the application of US does not change the position of enzyme activity maximum. The ultrasound applied increases the intensity of casein degradation in the range of 20-70°C. For both auxiliaries studied the temperature of maximum enzyme activity remains 60°C with and without US application.

3.1. Effect of Ultrasound Treatment on Raw Wool Fibers.

The effect of scouring conditions (enzymatic and combined enzymatic/ultrasound) on the fiber shrinkage properties was studied in order to show the possible changes of quality of scoured wool fibers.

Wool active proteases hydrolyzing some protein compounds at the enzymatic treatment may degrade the cuticle scales of wool fiber, which are responsible for the wool textile tendency to undergo felting and shrinkage. The proteolytic attack, however, is not only limited to the fiber surface, since proteases can easily penetrate inside the wool fiber, causing to wool fibers significant weight and tensile strength loss [4,19].

The used auxiliaries containing proteases (Bioprot Multi and Bioprot Gentle) are originally formulated as detergent additives [8]. Data obtained from our experiments showed a significant decrease of shrinkage of wool fibers treated with enzymes compared to the fibers treated only with a buffer solution Figure 4. For both studied auxiliaries the increase of their concentration in the scouring bath produces wool fibers with a lower shrinkage. The best results obtained at our experimental conditions are with Bioprot Gentle - 6.5mg/ml and Bioprot Multi - 6.5mg/ml with sonication. Taking into consideration the enzyme concentration in the products studied, we can make a conclusion that Bioprot Multi has a higher level of affinity to the wool fibers. These results were also confirmed by the determination of the shrinkage using a Blankenburg method presented on Figure 4.

These results were also confirmed by SEM microphotographs of the scoured wool fibres shown on Figure 5. The fibers were treated with enzyme with concentration 6.5mg/ml at 37°C, pH 7.8 for 300min with and without ultrasound. On Figure 5 picture (a) and (c) show that US/enzymatic treatment significantly changed the wool surface, while after the classical enzymatic treatment picture (b) and (d) it remains with well pronounced scaly
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Figure 4. Shrinkage (g/cm^3) of merino raw wool of 100%, treated with different enzyme concentration E_T = [0.5; 1; 1.5; 2; 2.5; 3; 3.5; 4; 4.5; 5; 5.5; 6; 6.5mg/ml] at 37°C, pH7.8 for 300min, ((a)-Bioprot Multi and (b)-Bioprot Gentle).

Figure 5. SEM microphotographs of the raw wool fibres after treatments with total enzyme concentration E_T: 6.5(mg/ml): (a / c) US combined Bioprot Multi / Bioprot Gentle, (b / d) Bioprot Multi / Bioprot Gentle.

structure. The reasons behind can be found in the protease nature and morphological characteristics of wool fiber. It has been proved that the proteolytic attack is not only limited to the fibre surface, since proteases can easily penetrate inside the wool fiber, causing significant weight and tensile strength loss to wool fibers [4,9]. Picture (a) and (c) could be considered as proves that the combination of US with some proteases would be a promising option for an effective anti-felting processing in wool industry.

In order to explain the results obtained from the experiments with a combined enzymatic/US processing, the adsorption of Bioprot Gentle and Bioprot Multi on wool was studied. As it can be seen at Figure 6 the ultrasound assisted adsorption shows a lower level of saturation compared to the case of enzymatic treatment where no saturation is observed. The lack of saturation could be explained with the constant diffusion of the proteolytic enzyme into the wool fiber that has been proved in several investigations [4,10,21].

Together with the wool surface saturation with enzyme registered in presence of ultrasound, a higher level of Tyrosine formation was found out in our experiments Figure 7. That was considered as an indicator for keratin destruction caused by proteases [4]. Definitely the concentration of Tyrosine at enzymatic-ultrasound treatment is higher than that concentration at the classical enzymatic treatment, suggesting a higher level of surface hydrolysis when the combined treatment is applied. There could be two main reasons for this phenomenon. The first one could be the change of mass transfer conditions (adsorption - desorption equilibrium of the enzyme and the
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products of hydrolysis) stimulated by the sonification. The other hypotheses can be connected to the irradiation of water caused by the US waves. This irradiation leads to a breakdown, or sonolysis, of the liquid resulting in the formation hydroxyl and hydrogen radicals [11]. These molecules have a higher level of reaction ability and could combine between themselves as to form H$_2$O$_2$. [4] It is reported that H$_2$O$_2$ is likely to promote a partial removal of the bounded fatty acid barrier of the epicuticle, probably more effective than the enzyme treatment. On the other hand sonication has acceleration effect on the enzyme-catalyzed reactions [12-16]. This corresponds to Figure 8, where weight loss is presented at the both studied scenarios. It can be noticed that at a higher enzyme concentration the weight loss is also increased, but not at such degree as tyrosine formation.

4. Conclusion

Ultrasound applied together with proteases increases the enzyme activity up to 10%. This effect can be applied in combined enzymatic-ultrasound scouring of raw wool fibers. Wool treated in this way shows lower shrinkage which indicates a higher level of proteolysis on the fibersurface. The surface wool proteolysis at enzymatic/ultrasound treatment is partly proved by the adsorption model for the enzyme which is characterized with a saturation which differs from the model in the classical enzymatic treatment. Ultrasound/ enzymatic scouring could be applied for producing of wool fibers with less felting ability. An additional advantage of this treatment is avoiding of detergent use that could be considered more positive from the point of view of washing effluents pollution and purification resulting in energy consumption and overall processing costs.
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