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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Exploring the EEG alpha oscillations generates considerable interest because there is well known its role in cognitive and psycho emotional aspects of human life. However, till now there isn’t well determined definition what is alpha activity phenomena and which indices are characterize it. Method: This article focuses on the attempt to determine EEG alpha-activity phenomena, its physical, molecular and morphological nature, to highlight its indices and their role in optimal functioning. Results: Specifically, this article examines individual alpha activity indices: 1) the individual alpha peak frequency; 2) activation magnitude measured by estimation the amount of alpha amplitude suppression in response to eyes open and individual alpha band width; 3) three alpha “autorhythmicity” indices: intra-spindle amplitude variability, spindle length and steepness. Conclusions: Throughout, the article provides a number of suggestions which alpha activity indices and in which conditions could be applied in psycho physiological investigations, what is their role in optimal functioning and what are possible directions for future research.
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1. Introduction

Individuality is one of the most frequently investigated fields in cognition and, despite changes in research paradigms, it has not lost its relevance. From the time of the Great Russian physiologist Ivan Michailovich Sechenov, it was pointed out that the body is an amazing system with many complexities and a special potential for improving itself or feedback connections [1]. Later it became clear that such condition in healthy subjects is called “peak performance” [2,3], or “optimal functioning” [4]. The recent identification of specific brain signatures involved in optimal cognitive and psychomotor functioning demonstrate its association with general enhancement EEG alpha amplitude [5-8]. So the general line of the most prevailing argumentation [9,10] have to be that alpha activity reflects a certain type of self-control (top-down) process. Despite the key role of EEG alpha activity in optimal functioning known since Berger’s time, till now it is not clear which quantities characterize “alpha status:” increasing or decreasing alpha amplitude, left or right shift of spectral peak frequency, synchronization or desynchronization. Moreover the potential performance enhancing benefits of EEG alpha biofeedback remain unclear because a number of methodological factors that may impact the effectiveness of such training are unexplored. So the aims of this review are 1) to determine what phenomena could be called “EEG Alpha activity” and what brain mechanisms are underlying this, 2) which EEG parameters characterize EEG alpha activity and what is their intra- and inter individual variability and 3) what alpha-biofeedback applications benefit in optimal functioning.

From physical point of view waves activity could be measured in terms of frequency, amplitude, and phase. So it’s possible to define 3 main groups of the alpha activity indices: 1) the frequency of the dominant posterior EEG rhythm [11,12], which is assessed as individual alpha peak frequency in an eyes-closed condition (IAPF) [13-15]; 2) the amount of alpha amplitude suppression in response to eyes-open [12,16,17] which defines the activation magnitude, and the width of the frequency range in which amplitude suppression occurs, or alpha band width [7,18]; 3) the “autorhythmicity” (or bursting/spindle forming process), which is result of phase resetting process [19-21] (Figure 1).

2. The Individual Alpha Peak Frequency (IAPF)

Many experimental studies present evidences that IAPF varies intra-individually as a function of age: increases
Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations is associated with a block of the spindle network, which depends on Ca$^{2+}$ T-channels activity [35-37]. Luthi & McCormick, (1998) argued that block of the spindle wave refractory period such that continuous 6- to 10-Hz oscillations were generated throughout the net-work.

So the Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations frequency determines the dominant brain frequency [38]. These experimental results revile the Michael Nikolaevich Livanoiv’s and John Eccles hypothesis about inhibitory role of delaying the rhythmic waves generated in thalamus for brain self-control and mind [1,39]. Among different alpha frequency measurements (individual alpha peak frequency, mean and center gravity) IAPF is believed to reflect aggregate alpha generation [15,35]. It is evident now that IAPF reflects individual genetic influences on the underlying neural mechanisms of the generation of alpha activity [35,37]. But the definition of appropriate frequency bands and choice of recording reference limit the interpretability of quantitative EEG, which may be further compromised by distorted topographies or inverted hemispheric asymmetries when employing conventional (non-linear) power spectra. The analysis of fixed frequency band could therefore blur the real alpha peak, masking the age-or functions related modifications. For identification experimental conditions which are the most opportune used for learning alpha frequency endophenotypic qualities we questioned when and where topographically IAPF is the most stable and reproducible? For it we had provided the “test-retest” EEG recordings in 96 male subjects aged 26 - 40 twice over 14 - 15 days in resting eyes closed and eyes open conditions and analyzed EEG in fixed standard 8 - 12 Hz and individually determined alpha band. It’s appeared that intra-individual correlation coefficient (ICC) is the strongest in posterior brain area, in eyes closed condition and assignable in individual alpha band; the weakest—in anterior area in the eyes open condition and defined in fixed standard range [14]. We didn’t find the laterality influence on the IAPF mean. Moreover the same results that genotype-dependent difference in IAPF was independent of EEG location received by Bodenmann and coauthors [40]. These data reflect the universal corticothalamic origin for alpha generation in healthy men.

Artur Nikolaevich Lebedev (1994) has proposed a functional role for the human alpha rhythm in stating that “cyclical oscillations in an alpha rhythm determine the capacity and speed of working memory. The higher frequency the greater the capacity and the speed of memory” [41,42]. In addition, Klimesch (1997) has argued that thalamo-cortical feedback loops oscillating within the alpha frequency range allow searching and identification of encoded information. He speculated that faster oscillating feedback loops would correspond to faster access to encoded information. These theories are supported by the experimental results of Klimesch, Schimke and Pfurtscheller research (1993). They argued that alpha frequency of good working memory performers lies about 1 Hz higher than that of poor working memory performers. We had shown that score for musical sight reading is higher in musicians with higher, than lower IAPF [32].

![Figure 1. Alpha activity indices (EC-eyes closed—bold line spectra, EO-eyes open—dotted line spectra).](image-url)
The same results were received by the functional Val158Met polymorphism of COMT study, where LAF-Val/VAL homozygote subjects demonstrated lower score in counting task than HAF-Met/MET homozygote young men [40]. So, rise the question: are smarter brains running faster? Posthuma, Neale, Boomsma and de Geus (2001) concluded that both peak frequency and the dimensions of IQ were highly heritable (range, 66% to 83%). But large part of the genetic variance in alpha peak frequency as well as in working memory and processing speed was due to nonadditive factors. Moreover there was no evidence of a genetic correlation between alpha peak frequency and any of the four WAIS dimensions. So there must be additional EEG indexes predicting cognitive ability.

In our experiment using the median of posterior IAPF in resting eyes closed condition, 96 healthy male subjects were divided into groups; with low (LAF - IAPF < 10 Hz) and high (HAF - IAPF ≥ 10 Hz) alpha frequency subjects. It appeared that LAF and HAF subjects differed in psychometric strategies for achieving success in nonverbal creative tasks performance. LAF subjects emphasize originality while HAF—fluency in reaching the same score of Torrance test performance [7]. The subjects with highest and lowest IAPF level showed the highest originality score in nonverbal creativity task performance (Figure 2). So we proposed that different behavior strategies observed in LAF and HAF subjects could be due to 1) genetic factors for individual patterns of spontaneous waking EEG and 2) different neurophysiological mechanisms of brain activation in low and high alpha frequency ranges.

3. The Activation Magnitude

Vaez Mousavi, Barry, Rushby and Clarke (2007) had conceptualized separately two aspects of energetic state, “arousal” and “activation”. “Arousal” has been defined as the energetic state at any particular time, and task-related “activation” as the task-related change in state from resting baseline to the task situation. According EEG data activation magnitude indicates the amount of alpha amplitude suppression in response to eyes-open conditions [16,43]. This child study confirms the generality of eyes-closed vs eyes-open EEG measures differing in mean power levels and topography, the latter indicating brain activity related to visual processing. Skin conductivity level was negatively correlated with mean alpha power levels in the eyes-closed condition, and increased significantly from eyes-closed to eyes-open. Reductions were found in across-scalp mean alpha power from eyes-closed to eyes-open. It’s important to note no topographic changes were evident in real alpha band. This fact should be considered when evaluating EEG research, and in choosing baseline conditions for different paradigms [16]. These differences should be recognized when evaluating EEG research, and considered when choosing eyes-open or eyes-closed baseline conditions for different paradigms [44]. Even at 1970 Nowlis and Kamiya were able to show significant differences in alpha biofeedback impact on activation magnitude between alpha enhancement in eyes closed and eyes open trials [45].

It’s known that physiological responses to stimuli in a task are dependent on the arousal level at the time of stimulus presentation, rather than the task-related activation. In contrast, performance on the task is dependent on the task-related activation, rather than the current arousal level. Doppelmayr, Klimesch, Hodlmoser, Sauseng, and Gruber (2005) found a significant interaction of task difficulty and activation in the upper alpha band, indicating both, a weaker activation for the high intelligent subjects during the easy tasks, and a significant increase from easy to difficult tasks for this group only [46]. So it could be proposed that activation magnitude varies in dependent on cognitive task difficulties. Increasing of the task-related relative activation magnitude varies on the intra-individual level and particularly associates with reaction time [47] and psychomotor task performance skill [48]. Amount of alpha suppression in response to eyes open increases with maturation and decreases after 40 years old [23], depends on the neurohumoral status [27, 49] and even eyes movement direction [50]. Decreased amount of alpha suppression in response to eyes open was demonstrated in spinal cord injured in comparison with healthy able-bodied participants [51], and in attention deficit patients [52]. Moreover increased activation magnitude is result of upper alpha stimulating neuron-feedback training [32,53]. From the other side recent investigations Loo and Smalley (2008) showed that EEG measures of visual and cognitive activation are a promising avenue of study in
the search for putative endophenotypes for ADHD [54] or for individual cognitive strategy type [54,55]. Our results of multiple regression discriminated different predictors of the amount of alpha suppression: in low alpha frequency group (LAF)—amplitude variability, but in high alpha frequency group (HAF)—alpha spindle amplitude variability [56]. Analogical different correlations of amplitude suppression (desynchronization) with EEG components in different alpha frequency peaks was obtained by Pfurtscheller and Lopes da Silva (1999). They hypothesized that with an increasing number of interconnecting neurons and there with an increasing number of coherently activated neurons, the amplitude increases and the frequency decreases. The lower alpha component in Figure 3 has a larger spectral peak magnitude than the higher alpha component [57].

Mazaheri and Jensen found too that 10 Hz oscillations after visual stimuli preserve their phase relationship with respect to before the stimuli, while 8 Hz phase-resetting oscillations are responsible for visually evoked responses [11]. These different interrelations between amount of alpha amplitude suppression (desynchronization) and other EEG indices in low and high frequency ranges is consistent with the idea that alpha desynchronization serves an integrative role through a corticocortical “gating” [17,33]. This means that the neurophysiological mechanisms by which activation is generated in LAF and HAF subjects are different (Bazanova et al., 2008) what was theoretically supported in previous investigations [17,58].

In EEG-fMRI coupled investigations, Laufs and coauthors showed that spontaneous reductions in alpha amplitude associated with increasing cognitive activity is connected to general brain activation in a wide (not only standard alpha 8 - 12 Hz) spectral frequency range [59]. Actually, till now, the alpha frequency range boundaries were defined on the basis of general agreement, without a theoretical basis, without respect to functional features—reactivity to visual stimulation [21,60,61]. Meanwhile the individual alpha bandwidth (IABW) is dependent on the neurohumoral status—in high estrogen and progesterone stages alpha band is wider than in lower hormonal phases during the female menstrual cycle [27] and gender (women have a higher low alpha band boundary frequency than men [27,62]). Moreover, individual alpha frequency range could vary in accordance with brain activation [7,61] (Figure 4).

For example, Individual alpha band width is wider in highly-skilled professional musicians than in non-musicians [6], and positively correlated with the overall Torrance creativity coefficient and flexibility in creative task performance [7], academic achievements [18] and biofeedback training efficiency [48].

By the results of D. Kaiser (2001) and our study it have demonstrated the importance of accounting for individual alpha ban range [61,63]. Neurofeedback training applied in individual EEG frequency ranges was much more efficient than neurofeedback training of standard EEG frequency ranges [18] (Figure 5). Moreover, the standard theta/beta decreasing neurofeedback training protocol could aggravate clinical condition of ADHD patient [61, 63].

The enlargement of the individual alpha bandwidth is one of the main indicators of success in both neurofeedback and heart rate decreasing biofeedback training [56]. Thus, it may be concluded that the ability for enhancing self-control could be associated with the individual alpha band broadening.

![Figure 3](image1)  
**Figure 3.** Results of a simulation study displaying the relationship between frequency and interconnectivity of neurons. The area of synchronous inhibition is marked. TCR thalamic relay cells; IN interneurons, (Figure from G. Pfurtscheller, & F. H. Lopes da Silva (1999)).

![Figure 4](image2)  
**Figure 4.** EEG spectral power in the parietal-occipital areas in the eyes-closed (white) and-open (gray) conditions in healthy subjects. Spectral power decreases in eyes open by more than 20% from baseline eyes closed within individual alpha ranges (black); a and b examples of different alpha-bandwidths with the same individual alpha peak frequency. The abscissa shows frequency, Hz; the ordinate shows spectral power at the frequencies indicated, µV²; IABW is individual alpha bandwidth; IAPF is individual alpha peak frequency [18].

Copyright © 2012 SciRes.

JBBS
Franklin and colleagues [29] have considered the effect of alpha amplitude as a sensitive biomarker, the higher the amplitude the more prominent the activation. The heart rate is also linked with alpha activity. At first glance, alpha activity and heart rate appear contradictory, yet they are linked in that both are sensitive biomarkers of nervous system activity. However, the link between alpha activity and heart rate is much stronger than between heart rate and the other EEG activities. In fact, the link between alpha activity and heart rate is so strong that it is possible to predict the heart rate from the alpha activity. In contrast, the link between alpha activity and the other EEG activities is much weaker. For example, the correlation between alpha activity and the theta activity is only about 0.15, while the correlation between alpha activity and the beta activity is only about 0.2. Therefore, alpha activity is a much more sensitive biomarker than the other EEG activities.

4. The Alpha Spindle-Form

The spindle-form or autorhythmcity of alpha oscillations is a product of the dynamics of neuronal assemblies in the underlying cortical activity [69-72]. Starting from Livanov’s studies, it has been shown that spindle oscillations are essential for memory formation [41,42,73] and associated with short- and mid-term synaptic plasticity [37]. A probable molecular mechanism of this phenomena was proposed by Destexhe and Sejnowski (2003). They suggested that spindling may activate the protein kinase A molecular “gate”, thus opening the door for gene expression and allowing long-term changes to take place following subsequent inputs [74].

Average amplitude within segment indicates the volume of the neuronal population: indeed, the more neurons recruited into an assembly through local synchronization of their activity, the higher will be the oscillation amplitude of the corresponding assembly [35,64,70]. The assumption that alpha amplitude reflects inhibition may— at first glance— appear contradictory to the idea that alpha plays an active role in information processing, but the idea is that inhibition is an important factor that controls the exact timing of an oscillation. Thus, inhibition helps to establish a highly selective activation pattern [10].

Average spindle lifetime represents the functional lifespan of the neuronal population or the duration of operations produced by such a population [75]. It has been shown that longer spindles indicate a more relaxed state [76]. The spindle lifetime is correlated with fluency in cognitive task performance [7], and efficiency of the single biofeedback training session [32,48]. Additionally, alpha-spindles are longer in highly-skilled musicians than in amateurs [32]. The shortest alpha segments belong to HAF subjects with the highest individual alpha peak frequencies and LAF subjects with the lowest individual alpha peak frequencies [56]. So the longest spindles belong to persons with an average, or approximately average, 10 Hz individual alpha peak frequency. Multiple regression analysis showed that spindle lifespan is positively related to individual alpha peak frequency in LAF subjects, but to spindle steepness in HAF subjects [56].

These data suggest the different spindle formation neuronal mechanism in LAF and HAF subjects. The membrane bistability might play an important role in different patterns of spindles displayed by thalamocortical neurons. Actually, as shown by Fuentetilla and colleagues (2005), the reticular neurons display membrane bistability, as...
indicated by two discrete electrical potential modes, with differential responsiveness to cortical inputs [77]. Additionally in vivo [37,78] and in vitro intracellular [79] studies have revealed at least two different patterns during spontaneously occurring spindles, which may be related to the actions exerted by non-bistable and bistable neurons, respectively. Indeed, non-bistable neurons fired stronger bursts with higher intra-burst frequencies, which are assumed to generate IPSPs, ~7 - 10 Hz. By contrast, IPSPs with lower amplitudes and higher frequency are likely to be mainly generated by single action potentials, as they occur during the depolarizing plateau in bistable cells [77]. If we assume that longer spindles of stable brain activity imply less information to process (as reflected by a higher stability of the brain generator), whereas shorter segments imply a higher number of brain microstates caused by more different steps of information processing, then it is possible to suggest that the intra-segment alpha amplitude variability could be indexing a phasic event [80]. Probably, alpha-bursts are associated with a brain microstate change (such as sleep spindles), as demonstrated by its phasic intrusion in a desynchronized background of brain activity [68]. Important to know that intra-spindle amplitude variability decreases in coma or stupor [81], but increases during cognitive loading [75] and in relation to the ability for self-control in neurons in distributed networks to effectively activate neuronal plasticity, excitability and inhibition. In this way these parameters could characterize self-regulation ability.

5. The Alpha Activity Phenomena Hypothesis

According the Klimesh’s “time inhibition” theory the active role of alpha waves is seen in a mechanism that may also underlie the functional role of other oscillations [10]. Synchronization in the alpha frequency range helps neurons in distributed networks to effectively activate common target cells [9,10]. This alpha-frequency dependent mechanism plays an important role in the top-down control of cortical activation. Mechanisms giving rise to alpha amplitude desynchronization also reflect the dependence of brain activation on the alpha frequency range. Klimesh has proposed that upper-frequency alpha oscillations are related to top-down processes in a complex sensory-motor system that controls the access to and manipulation of stored information [10]. For example, when a task requires that certain types of processes be performed with stored information (e.g., stored information must be kept in mind, must not be retrieved or must be manipulated such as with highly-skilled musicians during musical performance), individual alpha-activity increases (“alpha status” develops). As an overall brain activity, top-down control is not a unitary phenomenon. Recent Ben-Simon, Podlipsky, Arieli, Zhodanov and Hendler (2008) combined fMRI/EEG study proposed two parallel patterns of alpha modulations and underpin their anatomical basis in the human brain. These findings suggest that the human alpha rhythm represents at least two simultaneously occurring processes which characterize the “resting brain”, one is related to expected change in sensory information, while the other is endogenous and independent of stimulus change. Although twin studies have long shown that heritability of EEG oscillations in waking is substantial [78], very little is known about the genes underlying distinct EEG traits. Early linkage analyses identified a genetic locus on the distal part of chromosome 20q to modulate alpha power which authors named “alpha-activity” [84]. It is likely, however, that multiple genes contribute to “alpha phenotypes”, and a few candidate genes were indeed found to affect alpha oscillations. So, recent genetic polymorphism studies indicated that the gene on chromosome 5q13-14 of corticotrophin releasing hormone-binding protein (CRH-BP) modulates alpha power in isolated Plains American Indians and Caucasians [85]. Moreover, a functional variation in exon 7 of the gene on chromosome 6 encoding the human GABAB receptor (GABABR1) also influences EEG voltage in the alpha range [86]. Finally, more recent data of Bodenmann and coauthors (2009) demonstrate that mechanisms involving COMT contribute to inter-individual differences in alpha oscillations frequency, which are functionally related to executive performance [40]. Thus presented here data emphasize the genetically different EEG patterns and connected with them behavioral strategies in dependence on alpha waves frequency.

6. Conclusion

Thus it could be concluded that studying the alpha—activity does not mean investigation only alpha amplitude intra- and inter-individual variability. EEG Alpha activity phenomena analysis should include in line with amplitude two other important physical characteristics: frequency and phase of alpha oscillations. Moreover the most interesting electrophysiological evidence that alpha oscillations play an active role in cognitive processing and self-regulation suggests that they will be different in de-
dependence on the high or low alpha waves frequencies generated. The neuronal activation strategies for achieving the “alpha status” as a result of obvious training or biofeedback-training are different according to the individual alpha frequency too. Several factors may be common in the generation of different types of oscillations. Nonetheless, the exact mechanisms for generating an oscillation may differ widely between different frequency waves such as low and high frequency alpha, depending on individual network properties, cell types, cell physiology, hormone level, brain cells blood feeding etc. Role such biological factors as immunological, neurohumoral, activity of heart-vessel and breathing systems in generation and forming alpha oscillations is still unknown. Thus, for a better understanding the functional role of alpha activity indices in peak performance achievement through Biofeedback or BCI technologies, the investigation of cortico-visceral interplay in dependence on the inherited alpha frequency EEG types may be of crucial importance.
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