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Abstract

The Generalized Electron Balance (GEB), together with charge balance and concentration balances, completes the set of equations needed for resolution of electrolytic redox systems. The general formulae for GEB were obtained according to Approach II to GEB, i.e., on the basis of the equation 

\[ 2f(O) - f(H) \]

obtained from elemental balances: \( f(H) \) for H, and \( f(O) \) for O. Equivalency of the Approach II and the Approach I to GEB was proved for an aqueous solution and a binary-solvent system. On this basis, a compact form of GEB was derived.
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1. Introduction

The mathematical description of electrolytic redox systems in aqueous media is realizable with use of the set of equations composed of Generalized Electron Balance (GEB), charge balance, and elemental balances, related to elements \( E(i) \) different from H and O [1]-[4]. Ultimately, all the balances are expressed in terms of molar concentrations. The GEB concept can be extended on non-aqueous and mixed-solvent media (binary-solvent systems, in particular), where amphiprotic solvents/co-solvents are involved [5]. The charge balance is based on the principle of electroneutrality of the solution, whereas elemental balances express the preservation of particular elements in the closed system, separated from its environment by diathermal walls; it enables any process in the system to proceed under isothermal conditions. It will also be assumed that none nuclear transformations occur for all elements of the system.

Concentrations of the species in the appropriate equations are also involved in dependencies resulting from the expressions for the equilibrium constants. Because the equilibrium constants are dependent (among others) on the
temperature, the assumption concerning the possibility of carrying out the process under isothermal conditions is advisable.

In the papers issued previously (and ones cited mainly in [1] [3]), two approaches to GEB, named as Approach I and Approach II, were introduced. The Approach I (named as “short” version of GEB) is based on the principle of common pool of electrons, and applicable in the cases where oxidation numbers for all elements in the redox system considered are known beforehand. Combination 2(f(O)-f(H)) of elemental balances: f(H) for H and f(O) for O is the quintessence of the Approach II to GEB. The fundamental advantage of the Approach II (in context with the Approach I) to GEB is that none prior knowledge on oxidation degrees of elements in complex species of definite elemental composition and charge is needed. Also the terms: “oxidizer” and “reductant” are not applicable as starting terms in considerations on redox systems. These facts are of capital importance, when redox equilibria in the systems with complex organic species, involving radicals and ion-radicals, are considered. Both approaches were realized in numerous examples, where results of calculations obtained according to iterative computer programs [4] [6] were illustrated graphically. In the algorithms applied in calculations, all attainable physicochemical knowledge was involved. This knowledge is of qualitative (particular species) and quantitative (equilibrium constants) nature. For example, in the system where the acidified (H2SO4) solution of FeSO4 + H2C2O4 is titrated with KMnO4, we have 41 species and 29 equilibrium constants, whereas in the system CuSO4 + H2SO4 + NH3 + CH3COOH + KI titrated with Na2S2O3, we have 47 species interrelated in 35 equilibrium constants [6]. In the system KIO3 + HCl + H2SeO3 + HgCl2 titrated with ascorbic acid C6H8O6 we have 49 species and 39 equilibrium constants [4] [7] [8]. These numbers give an idea of the complexity of relevant systems. On the other hand, the number of these species makes notation of the appropriate balances lengthy, i.e., they take up many space in the text. The present paper is an attempt of generalizing the notation. This allows the synthesis of certain problems associated with the description of the system using a mathematical formalism.

2. Notations

Let an electrolytic system, of volume \( V \) [mL], be formed by mixing \( J \) different components, \( Y_{ij} \) (\( j = 1, \cdots, J \)), and \( N_{0j} \) be the number of entities (uncharged molecules) of \( Y_{ij} \). In this mixture we have \( I \) different kinds of species \( Y_{izi} \) (\( i = 1, \cdots, I \)) and \( N_i \) be the number of \( Y_{izi} \) entities, where \( z_i \) is the external charge (\( q_i = z_i; e, z_i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \)) of \( Y_{izi} \), expressed in elementary charge units, \( e = F/N_A \); \( F \)—Faraday’s constant, \( N_A \)—Avogadro’s number. Let \( K \) be the number of different elements \( E(k) \) (\( k = 1, \cdots, K \)) composing the system, and \( m_k \) be the number of atoms of the \( k \)-th element in \( Y_{ij} \), and \( n_{AB} \) be the number of atoms of the \( k \)-th element in the species \( Y_{izi} \).

All the species \( Y_{izi} \) in the system are considered in their natural form, i.e. as solvates. So, in aqueous solutions the species exist as hydrates, in principle, i.e. \( Y_{izi} = X_{izi} \cdot n_i H_2O \), where \( n_i \geq 0 \) is the mean number of water molecules attached to \( X_{izi} \). For \( N_i \) entities \( X_{izi} \cdot n_i H_2O \) we apply the notation \( X_{izi} (N_i, n_i) \), e.g. for \( X_{izi} = H^± \) we have \( H^{±i} (N_2, n_2) \); \( N_2 \) ions \( X^±_2 \) = \( H^{±i} \cdot n_2 H_2O \) involve: \( N_2(1 + 2n_2) \) atoms of H, and \( N_2m_2 \) atoms of O. For \( X^±_2 \) in binary-solvent medium, composed of A = CH3CN and B = C2H5OH as co-solvents, we apply the notation \( X^±_2 (N_i, n_{A}, n_{B}) \), where \( n_{A} \geq 0 \) and \( n_{B} \geq 0 \) are the mean numbers of A and B attached to \( X^±_2 \) (not solvated species are included in this notation); e.g. for \( X^±_2 = HBrO \) we have HBrO (\( N_i, n_{A}, n_{B} \)); \( N_i \) entities of HBrO·n2CH3CN·nB(C2H5OH involve: \( N_i(1 + 3n_{A} + 6n_{B}) \) atoms of H, \( N_i(1 + n_{B}) \) atoms of O, \( N_i(2n_{A} + 2n_{B}) \) atoms of C, and \( N_i(n_{A}) \) atoms of N.

The notation can be extended on other co-solvents A, B or more complex systems, with co-solvents A, B, C, ... included. In all instances, the (external) charge of \( Y_{izi} \) is introduced by \( X_{izi} \); the solvating molecules (e.g., H2O, CH3CN, C2H5OH are neutral.

Referring again to \( n_i \), or \( n_{A} \), and \( n_{B} \) values, one should also take into account the fact that the solvents are not always the dominant components of an electrolytic system. In some instances, e.g. concentrated H2SO4, HNO3 or HCl solutions, the roles of solvent and solute may be interchanged. The hydration number of individual species varies with the concentration of a suitable, aqueous solution. It should be noted that these values are factually unknown and vary with concentration of solutes. It suffice to say that even the hydration number of H+ ion in aqueous solutions is not clearly specified, see e.g. [9].
3. Examples

3.1. The (Br₂, H₂O) System

$N_{01}$ molecules of Br₂ is mixed with $N_{02}$ molecules of H₂O, and $V$ mL of the solution is thus obtained. There are the following species: H₂O ($N_1$), H⁺ ($N_2$), OH⁻ ($N_3$), HBrO₃ ($N_4$), Br⁻ ($N_5$), HBrO ($N_6$), BrO⁻ ($N_7$), Br₂ ($N_8$), Br⁵⁻ ($N_9$), Br⁻ ($N_{10}$), involved in the elemental balances:

\[
f(H) = \left(2N_1 + N_2 \left(1 + 2n_1\right) + N_3 \left(1 + 2n_3\right) + N_4 \left(1 + 2n_4\right) + 2N_5n_5 \right.
\]
\[
N_6 \left(1 + 2n_6\right) + 2N_7n_7 + 2N_8n_8 + 2N_9n_{10} + 2N_{10}n_{10} = 2N_{02}
\]

(1)

\[
f(O) = \left(N_1 + N_2n_2 + N_3 \left(1 + n_3\right) + N_4 \left(3 + n_4\right) + N_5 \left(3 + n_5\right) \right.
\]
\[
+ N_6 \left(1 + n_6\right) + N_7 \left(1 + n_7\right) + N_8n_8 + N_9n_9 + N_{10}n_{10} = N_{02}
\]

(2)

\[
f(\text{Br}) = N_4 + N_5 + N_6 + N_7 + 2N_8 + 3N_9 + N_{10} = 2N_{01}
\]

(3)

Then we get $2f(O) - f(H)$

\[
\left(-N_2 + N_3 + 5N_4 + 6N_5 + N_6 + 2N_7 = 0 \right)
\]

(4)

Addition of (4) to charge balance

\[
N_2 - N_3 - N_5 - N_7 - N_9 - N_{10} = 0
\]

(5)

gives the equation

\[
5N_4 + 5N_5 + N_6 - N_9 - N_{10} = 0
\]

(6)

Subtraction of (6) from $Z_{\text{Br}}$ $f(\text{Br})$, where $Z_{\text{Br}} = 35$ is the atomic number for Br, gives

\[
(Z_{\text{Br}} - 5)(N_4 + N_3) + (Z_{\text{Br}} - 1)(N_6 + N_7) + 2Z_{\text{Br}}N_8
\]

\[
+ (3Z_{\text{Br}} + 1)N_9 + (Z_{\text{Br}} + 1)N_{10} = 2Z_{\text{Br}}N_{01}
\]

(7)

Applying the relations:

\[
\left[\frac{X_i}{N_{01}}\right] = 10^3 \cdot \frac{N_i}{N_{01} \cdot V}
\]

(8)

\[
C = 10^3 \cdot \frac{N_{01}}{N_{01} \cdot V}
\]

(9)

gives the equation [6] [10]

\[
(Z_{\text{Br}} - 5)\left([\text{HBrO}_3] + [\text{BrO}_3^{-1}]\right) + (Z_{\text{Br}} - 1)\left([\text{HBrO}] + [\text{BrO}^{-1}]\right)
\]

\[
+ 2Z_{\text{Br}}[\text{Br}_2] + (3Z_{\text{Br}} + 1)\left[\text{Br}^{-1}\right] + (Z_{\text{Br}} + 1)\left[\text{Br}^{-1}\right] = 2Z_{\text{Br}}C
\]

(10)

obtained according to Approach I for $C$ mol/L Br₂. It is assumed that Br₂ does not react with H₂O, i.e., none products of this (virtual, not real) reaction are formed, i.e., application of (8) and (9) to (3) – (6) gives the balances, expressed in terms of molar concentrations:

\[
[\text{HBrO}_3] + [\text{BrO}_3^{-1}] + [\text{HBrO}] + [\text{BrO}^{-1}] + 2[\text{Br}_2] + 3[\text{Br}^{-1}] = 2C
\]

(3a)

\[
-[\text{H}^{+}] + [\text{OH}^{-}] + 5[\text{HBrO}_3] + 6[\text{BrO}_3^{-1}] + [\text{HBrO}] + 2[\text{BrO}^{-1}] = 0
\]

(4a)

\[
[\text{H}^{+}] - [\text{OH}^{-}] - [\text{Br}_2] - [\text{BrO}_3^{-1}] - [\text{BrO}^{-1}] - [\text{Br}^{-1}] = 0
\]

(5a)

\[
5[\text{HBrO}_3] + 5[\text{BrO}_3^{-1}] + [\text{HBrO}] + [\text{BrO}^{-1}] - [\text{Br}_2] - [\text{Br}^{-1}] = 0
\]

(6a)
The Br is the only one electron-active element in the system (Br₂, H₂O). In the terminology relating to card games [11], applied in the Approach I, the redox systems have electron-active elements called as “players” and electron-non-active elements, named as “fans”. In this context, the electrons are seen as “money”. The “player” in HBrO₇H₂O is Br, whereas the elements: H, O are considered as “fans”. The species H⁺₁·n₂H₂O involves only “fans”. Equation (4a), obtained from 2·f(O) – f(H), involves concentrations of the species, composed only from “fans”: H and O.

3.2. The (Br₂, CH₃CN, C₂H₅OH) System

V mL of the solution is obtained by introducing N₀₁ molecules of Br₂ into the mixture of N₀₂ molecules of CH₃CN (=A) and N₀₃ molecules of C₂H₅OH (=B). According to notation applied above, in the mixture thus formed we have the following species:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CH₃CN} & (N₁), \text{C₂H₅OH} (N₂), \text{C₂H₅OH}^{+1} (N₃, n₃A, n₃B), \\
\text{C₂H₅O}^{+1} (N₄, n₄A, n₄B), \text{HBrO₃} (N₅, n₅A, n₅B), \\
\text{BrO}^{−1} (N₆, n₆A, n₆B), \text{HBrO} (N₇, n₇A, n₇B), \text{BrO}^{−1} (N₈, n₈A, n₈B), \\
\text{Br} (N₉, n₉A, n₉B), \text{Br}^{−1} (N₁₀, n₁₀A, n₁₀B), \\
\text{Br}^{−1} (N₁₁, n₁₁A, n₁₁B)
\end{align*}
\]

(11)

The N₁ and N₂ in (11) refer to the numbers of molecules of the co-solvents A and B not involved in the related solvates. On this basis, we formulate the elemental balances:

\[f(H) : \]
\[3N₁ + 6N₂ + N₃ (7 + 3n₃A + 6n₃B) + N₄ (5 + 3n₄A + 6n₄B) + N₅ (1 + 3n₅A + 6n₅B) + N₆ (3n₆A + 6n₆B) + N₇ (3n₇A + 6n₇B) + N₈ (3n₈A + 6n₈B) + N₉ (3n₉A + 6n₉B) + N₁₀ (3n₁₀A + 6n₁₀B) + N₁₁ (3n₁₁A + 6n₁₁B) = 3N₆₂ + 6N₃ \]

(12)

\[f(O) : \]
\[N₂ + N₃ (1 + n₃A + n₃B) + N₄ (1 + n₄A + n₄B) + N₅ (3 + n₅A + n₅B) + N₆ (3 + n₆A + n₆B) + N₇ (1 + n₇A + n₇B) + N₈ (3 + n₈A + n₈B) + N₉ (3 + n₉A + n₉B) + N₁₀ (n₁₀A + n₁₀B) + N₁₁ (n₁₁A + n₁₁B) = N₀₃ \]

(13)

\[f(C) : \]
\[2N₁ + 2N₂ + N₃ (2 + 2n₃A + 2n₃B) + N₄ (2 + 2n₄A + 2n₄B) + N₅ (2 + 2n₅A + 2n₅B) + N₆ (2n₆A + 2n₆B) + N₇ (2n₇A + 2n₇B) + N₈ (2n₈A + 2n₈B) + N₉ (2n₉A + 2n₉B) + N₁₀ (2n₁₀A + 2n₁₀B) + N₁₁ (2n₁₁A + 2n₁₁B) = 2N₀₂ + 2N₃ \]

(14)

\[f(N) : \]
\[N₁ + N₄ (n₄A + n₄B) + N₅ (n₅A + n₅B) + N₆ (n₆A + n₆B) + N₇ (n₇A + n₇B) + N₈ (n₈A + n₈B) + N₉ (n₉A + n₉B) + N₁₀ (n₁₀A + n₁₀B) + N₁₁ (n₁₁A + n₁₁B) = N₀₃ \]

(15)

\[f(Br) : \]
\[N₅ + N₆ + N₇ + N₈ + 2N₉ + 3N₁₀ + N₁₁ = 2N₀₁ \]

(16)

From (12) and (13) we get

\[f(H) - 2 · f(O) = -(2 · f(O) - f(H)) \]

\[3N₁ + 4N₂ + N₃ (5 + 3n₃A + 4n₃B) + N₄ (3 + 3n₄A + 4n₄B) + N₅ (5 + 3n₅A + 4n₅B) + N₆ (−6 + 3n₆A + 4n₆B) + N₇ (−1 + 3n₇A + 4n₇B) + N₈ (−2 + 3n₈A + 4n₈B) + N₉ (3n₉A + 4n₉B) + N₁₀ (3n₁₀A + 4n₁₀B) + N₁₁ (3n₁₁A + 4n₁₁B) = 3N₀₂ + 4N₃ \]

(17)

Addition of (15) to (17) gives
4N_{1} + 4N_{2} + N_{3} \left(5 + 4n_{3A} + 4n_{3B}\right) + N_{4} \left(3 + 4n_{4A} + 4n_{4B}\right) + N_{5} \left(-5 + 4n_{5A} + 4n_{5B}\right) + N_{6} \left(-6 + 4n_{6A} + 4n_{6B}\right) + N_{7} \left(-1 + 4n_{7A} + 4n_{7B}\right) + N_{8} \left(-2 + 4n_{8A} + 4n_{8B}\right) + N_{9} \left(4n_{9A} + 4n_{9B}\right) + N_{10} \left(4n_{10A} + 4n_{10B}\right) + N_{11} \left(4n_{11A} + 4n_{11B}\right) = 4N_{02} + 4N_{03} \tag{18}

Addition of (18) to 2·f(C) (19)

4N_{02} + 4N_{03} = 4N_{1} + 4N_{2} + N_{3} \left(4 + 4n_{3A} + 4n_{3B}\right) + N_{4} \left(4 + 4n_{4A} + 4n_{4B}\right) + N_{5} \left(4n_{5A} + 4n_{5B}\right) + N_{6} \left(4n_{6A} + 4n_{6B}\right) + N_{7} \left(4n_{7A} + 4n_{7B}\right) + N_{8} \left(4n_{8A} + 4n_{8B}\right) + N_{9} \left(4n_{9A} + 4n_{9B}\right) + N_{10} \left(4n_{10A} + 4n_{10B}\right) + N_{11} \left(4n_{11A} + 4n_{11B}\right) \tag{19}

gives

N_{9} - N_{4} - 5N_{3} - 6N_{6} - N_{7} - 2N_{8} = 0 \tag{20}

Subtraction of (19) from the charge balance (21)

N_{9} - N_{4} - N_{6} - N_{7} - N_{10} - N_{11} = 0 \tag{21}

gives the equation 5N_{3} + 5N_{6} + N_{7} + N_{8} - N_{10} - N_{11} = 0 equivalent to Equation (6); then we get Equations (6a) and (10).

Note that the procedure involved with multiplication, e.g. 2·f(O), 2·f(C), f(N) = 1·f(N), and then addition/subtraction of the corresponding equations is a realization of linear combination [4]. Generally, a linear combination of equations \( f_{k}(x) = 0 \) has the form

\[
\sum b_{k} \cdot f_{k}(x) = 0 \tag{22}
\]

where \( b_{k} \)—the pre-assumed numbers.

### 3.3. Comparison of (Br_{2}, H_{2}O) and (Br_{2}, CH_{3}CN, C_{2}H_{5}OH) Systems

From linear combination of the charge balance and elemental balances related to “fans”: H, O, N and C, we obtain the simplest/shortest form of GEB, expressed by Equation (6a); the \( b_{k} \) values (Equation (22)) are properly chosen for this purpose. Equation (10) is the more extended, but equivalent to (6a), form of GEB, obtained for C mol/L according to Approach I. We see that the form of GEB does not depend on the solvent composition—assuming that the solvent does not form other (new) species with a solute.

It should be noted that the balance 2·f(O) – f(H) obtained for the system (Br_{2}, H_{2}O) does not contain, as components, the numbers: \( N_{02}, N_{1} \) and the \( n_{i} \) (i = 2, ···, 10) associated with the (undefined - except \( N_{02} \)) numbers of water molecules. The balance –(2·f(O) – f(H)) (and then the balance 2·f(O) – f(H)) formulated for the system (Br_{2}, CH_{3}CN, C_{2}H_{5}OH) contain the numbers involved with water molecules; the water molecules are cancelled completely after due combination of elemental balances for all “fans”. The difference \( C_{2}H_{2}OH_{2}^{-1} \) plays the role of \([H^{+1}] – [OH^{−1}] \) in aqueous media.

### 4. Some Generalizing Remarks

Let us assume that the aqueous system involves \( K \) elements \( E(k), k = 1,\cdots, K \); \( P \) elements are considered as “players” and then \( F = K – P \) elements are treated as “fans”; the elemental balance related to the element \( E(k) \) will be denoted by \( f(E(k)) \).

A special role among the elements related to aqueous systems play H and O; the related balances are: \( f(E(1)) = f(H) \), and \( f(E(2)) = f(O) \). The balances for successive “fans” are denoted as \( f(E(3)), \cdots, f(E(K–P)) \), whereas \( f(E(K–P+1)), \cdots, f(E(K)) \) are formulated for “players”. Applying the notations specified above, we have:

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{K} n_{kj} \cdot N_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{P} m_{kj} \cdot N_{b,j} \quad (k = 1,\cdots, K) \tag{23}
\]

On this basis we formulate the balance 2·f(O) – f(H)
The elemental balances \( f(E(3)), \cdots, f(E(K-P)) \) are multiplied by the corresponding numbers: \( b_1, \cdots, b_{K-P} \) and the linear combination

\[
2 \cdot f(O) - f(H) + \sum_{k=3}^{K-P} b_k \cdot f(E(k)) = 0
\]

i.e.

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{J} \left( 2n_{i2} - n_i + \sum_{k=3}^{K-P} b_k n_{ik} \right) \cdot N_i - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( 2m_{j2} - m_j + \sum_{k=3}^{K-P} b_k m_{jk} \right) \cdot N_{0j} = 0
\]

Denoting

\[
C_{0j} = 10^3 \frac{N_{0j}}{N_j \cdot V} \quad (j = 1, \cdots, J)
\]

from Equations. (26), (8), (27), after addition of charge balance (28) [12] [13]

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{J} z_i \cdot N_i = 0
\]

we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{J} \left( 2n_{i2} - n_i + z_i + \sum_{k=3}^{K-P} b_k n_{ik} \right) \cdot X_i^{0j} - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left( 2m_{j2} - m_j + \sum_{k=3}^{K-P} b_k m_{jk} \right) \cdot C_{0j} = 0
\]

After a proper choice of \( b_k \) \((k = 3, \cdots, K-P)\) and cancellations, Equation (29) does not involve “fans”; it is the simplest form of GEB. The \( n_{ik} \) and \( m_{jk} \) values should involve particular elements in the corresponding solvates, considered as the species, see Sections 3.1 and 3.2.

### 5. Final Comments

In the article it is proved that the Generalized Electron Balance (GEB), referred to a redox electrolytic system (aqueous media), is derivable from the equation \( 2 \cdot f(O) - f(H) \) resulting from comparison of elemental balances: \( f(H) \) for H and \( f(O) \) for O. This approach, named as the Approach II, is equivalent to the Approach I, based on a common pool of electrons brought by elements forming the system, of any degree of complexity.

The GEB is ultimately expressed in terms of molar concentrations, as charge and concentrations balances, and the expressions for equilibrium constants. Contrary to a redox system, the equation \( 2 \cdot f(O) - f(H) \) related to a non-redox system of any degree of complexity, is linearly independent on charge and elemental balances, related to elements \( \neq H, O \). This property, valid for the systems of any degree of complexity, distinguishes between redox and non-redox systems. The GEB is perceived as a rule of a matter conservation, related to electrolytic redox systems.

The terms: Generalized Electron Balance (GEB) and Generalized Approach to Electrolytic Systems (GATES) are still unknown to a wider community. This article aims to fill this gap. Therefore, this article present a concise description of redox systems, in the context of linear transformations of algebraic equations.
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