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Abstract

In this paper, we approximate the solution to time-fractional telegraph equation by two kinds of difference methods: the Grünwald formula and Caputo fractional difference.
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1. Introduction

The classical telegraph equation has another name of the transmission line equation. Because it is originated from the variational relationship between the voltage wave and the current wave on the well-proportioned transmission line, such equation can describe the ordinary diffusion phenomena well. However, when comes to the abnormal diffusion phenomena during the finite long transmits process, where the voltage wave or the current wave possibly exists, the classical telegraph equation cannot describe it well. Fortunately, we have the fractional telegraph equation to handle certain kinds of abnormal diffusion phenomena. For example, R.C. Cascaval [1] investigated several aspects of the fractional telegraph equation, in an effort to better understand the anomalous diffusion process observed in blood flow experiments. Fractional telegraph equation is an telegraph equation where the integer derivative with respect to time or space is replaced by a derivative of fractional order. Furthermore, the fractional telegraph equation is broadly studied to explain the random walks of the suspension flows.

In fact, there are lots of authors who have studied the fractional telegraph equation. E. Orsingher and X. Zhao [2] used the Fourier transform methods to studied the space-fractional telegraph equation. A so-called perturbation Laplace

Orsingher and Beghin [13] obtained the Fourier transform of the fundamental solutions to time-fractional telegraph equations of order $2\alpha$. For the special case $\alpha = 1/2$, they gave the exact representation of the fundamental solution and showed that it was the distribution of a telegraph process with Brownian time. In this paper, we will consider the numerical solutions to this equation.

Actually, some authors have already studied the numerical solutions to some kinds of time or space fractional telegraph equations, such as C. Li [14], Z. Zhao [15], N. J. Ford [16], A. Sevimlican [17], and M. Dehghan [18]. The fractional telegraph equation we consider here is different from all of which they discussed in their papers. Moreover, we will use some other difference methods which are different from what they used, too.

What we will discuss is the following time-fractional telegraph equation [13]:

$$\frac{\partial^{2\alpha} u}{\partial t^{2\alpha}} + 2\lambda \frac{\partial^{\alpha} u}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \text{ for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1,$$

(1.1)

where $0 \leq x \leq L, 0 < t \leq T$, the coefficient $\lambda \geq 0$.

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, we have the initial conditions,

$$u(x, 0) = \delta(x).$$

(1.2)

While, for $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, besides the condition (1.2), condition

$$u_t(x, 0) = 0$$

is added.

As for the boundary condition, we consider the Dirichlet boundary condition,

$$u(0, t) = u(L, t) = 0, 0 < t \leq T.$$

The fractional derivatives appearing in (1.1) is in the sense of Caputo, that is
2. The Difference Method

In this section, we introduce the basic ideas for the numerical solution to the time-fractional telegraph Equation (1.1) by difference scheme.

For some positive integers $M$ and $N$, the grid sizes in space and time for the finite difference algorithm are defined by $h = L / M$ and $\tau = T / N$, respectively. The grid points in the space interval $[0, L]$ are the numbers $x_i = ih, (i = 0, 1, \ldots, M)$ and the grid points in the time interval $[0, T]$ are labeled $t_n = nr, (n = 0, 1, \ldots, N)$. We denote the values of the function $U$ at the grid points by $U^*_n = U(x_i, t_n)$. $U$ which is the numerical solution to Equation (1.1) can approximate its exact solution $u$.

2.1. The Grünwald Formula

According to [(19), (2.36) and (2.37)], we have the following difference schemes which is accurate of order $O(\tau + h^2)$. To obtain the initial values, we use the method of explicit difference.

Firstly, if $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$, then

\[
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j) + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j) \\
= \frac{c^2}{h^2} (U^*_{i+1} - 2U^*_{i} + U^*_{i-1}), 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\
U^*_0 = \delta_1, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, \\
U^*_n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N,
\end{aligned}
\]

where $\delta_i := \delta(x_i)$. Arranging the system above, we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{c^2}{h^2} U^*_{i+1} + 2\frac{c^2}{h} U^*_i + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j) + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j) \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j), 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\
U^*_0 = \delta_1, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, \\
U^*_n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N.
\end{aligned}
\]

We can write the system above in matrix form,

\[
AU_{i+1} + BU_i + AU_{i-1} = \varphi_i, \quad (2.4)
\]

where $U_i = [U^*_i, U^{*1}_i, \ldots, U^{*N}_i]^T$, and $\varphi_i = [\varphi_i^0, \varphi_i^1, \ldots, \varphi_i^N]^T$, $\varphi_i^0 = \delta_i$, $\varphi_i^j = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \begin{array}{c}
\alpha \\
j
\end{array} \right) (U^*_{i+j} - \delta_j), 1 \leq n \leq N$. 
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Here $A_{(N+1)p(N+1)}$ and $B_{(N+1)p(N+1)}$ are the matrices of the following form,

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$

$$
B = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & \tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} & & \\
p_1 & \tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} & & \\
& \vdots & \ddots & \\
p_{N-1} & & & \tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2}
\end{bmatrix}
$$

where $p_n = (-1)^n[\tau^{-2\alpha}\left(\frac{2\alpha}{n}\right) + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{\alpha}{n}\right)], 1 \leq n \leq N$.

**Remark 2.1.** All the elements above the diagonal line of the matrix $B$ are zero, as well as the elements other than elements on the diagonal line of matrix $A$.

Secondly, if $1/2 \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$
\tau^{-2\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n} (-1)^j \left(\frac{2\alpha}{j}\right)(U_i^{n-j} - \delta_i) + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} (-1)^j \left(\frac{\alpha}{j}\right)(U_i^{n-j} - \delta) \\
= \frac{c^2}{h^2} (U_{i+1}^n - 2U_i^n + U_{i-1}^n), 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1,
$$

$$
U_i^0 = \delta_i, U_i^1 = \delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1,
$$

$$
U_i^n = 0, U_M^n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N.
$$

Similarly, we can write the above system into the form of matrix,

$$
A'U_{i+1} + B'U_i + A'U_{i-1} = \phi_i',
$$

$$
\phi_i' = [\phi_i^{\alpha}, \phi_i^{\alpha+1}, \cdots, \phi_i^{N}]^T
$$

$$
\phi_i^{\alpha} = \phi_i^{\alpha+1} = \delta_i
$$

$$
\phi_i^{n-\alpha} = [\tau^{-2\alpha}\left(\frac{n-2\alpha}{n}\right) + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha}\left(\frac{n-\alpha}{n}\right)]\delta_i, 2 \leq n \leq N.
$$

Here $A'_{(N+1)p(N+1)}$ and $B'_{(N+1)p(N+1)}$ are the matrices of the following form,

$$
A' = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & 1
\end{bmatrix}
$$
\[ B' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ p_2 \\ \vdots \\ p_N \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \]

where \( p_n = (-1)^n[\tau^{-2a}(\frac{2\alpha}{n}) + 2\lambda \tau^{-a}(\frac{\alpha}{n})], 1 \leq n \leq N. \)

### 2.2. The Caputo Fractional Difference

Considering the definition of Caputo derivative, we may use the following difference scheme involved in [[20], (21)]. By the method of implicit difference which is more harmonic with the so-called Caputo derivative we use here, we can get the initial values.

When \( 0 < \alpha \leq 1/2 \), we have the following system

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau^{-2a} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2\alpha-1}{j} (U_{i+j}^{n-j} - U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1}) \\
+ 2\lambda \tau^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha-1}{j} (U_{i+j}^{n-j} - U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1}) \\
= \frac{c^2}{h^2} (U_{i+1}^n - 2U_i^n + U_{i-1}^n), 1 \leq i \leq M-1, 0 \leq n \leq N-1,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.6)

and arrange the system above,

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{c^2}{h^2} U_{i+1}^n + 2\frac{c^2}{h^2} U_i^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{2\alpha-1}{j} (\tau^{-2a} (\frac{2\alpha}{n}) + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} (\frac{\alpha}{n})) U_{i+j}^{n-j} \\
- \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \binom{\alpha-1}{j} (\tau^{-2a} (\frac{\alpha}{n}) + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} (\frac{\alpha}{n})) U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1} - \frac{c^2}{h^2} U_{i-1}^n \\
= 0, 1 \leq i \leq M-1, 0 \leq n \leq N-1,
\end{align*}
\]

\( U_i^0 = \delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq M-1, \)

\( U_0^n = 0, U_M^n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N. \)

Writing the system above in matrix form, we know

\[ AU_{i+1} + B^* U_i + AU_i = \varphi^*_i, \]

where \( \varphi^*_i = [\delta_i, 0, \ldots, 0]^T \), and \( B^*_{(N+1)\times(N+1)} \) are the matrices of the following form,

\[
B^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -p'_0 \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\ -p'_1 \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\ \vdots \\ -p'_{N-1} \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( p'_n = (-1)^n[\tau^{-2a}(\frac{2\alpha-1}{n}) + 2\lambda \tau^{-a}(\frac{\alpha-1}{n})], 1 \leq n \leq N-1. \)
When \( 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1 \), we have the following system
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (2\alpha - 2) (U_{i+j}^{n-j} - 2U_{i+j-1}^{n-j} + U_{i+j-2}^{n-j-2}) \\
+ 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (\alpha - 1) (U_{i+j}^{n-j} - U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1}) \\
= \frac{c^2}{h^2} (U_{i+1}^n - 2U_i^n + U_{i-1}^n), 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\
U_0^0 = \delta_i, U_{i+1}^{n-1} = \delta_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, \\
U_0^n = 0, U_M^n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N, \\
\end{align*}
\]
(2.7)

**Remark 2.2.** We give the supplemental initial values \( U_{i+1}^{n-1} = \delta_i, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1 \) without any conflict.

Arranging the system above, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&\frac{c^2}{h^2} U_{i+1}^n + 2\frac{c^2}{h^2} U_i^n + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ \tau^{-2\alpha} \frac{2\alpha - 2}{j} U_{i+j}^{n-j} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{j} U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1} \right] \\
&- \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left[ \tau^{-2\alpha} \frac{2\alpha - 2}{j} U_{i+j}^{n-j} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \frac{\alpha - 1}{j} U_{i+j-1}^{n-j-1} \right] \\
&+ \tau^{-2\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \left( \frac{2\alpha - 2}{j} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \right) U_{i+j-2}^n \\
&= 0, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, 0 \leq n \leq N - 1, \\
U_0^0 = \delta_i, U_{i+1}^{n-1} = \delta_{i+1}, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1, \\
U_0^n = 0, U_M^n = 0, 0 \leq n \leq N. \\
\end{align*}
\]

Writing the system above in matrix form, we know
\[
A^* U_i' + B^* U_i + A^* U_i = \phi_i^*,
\]
where \( U_i' = [U_i^0, U_i^1, \ldots, U_i^N]^T \), and \( \phi_i^* = [\phi_{i-1}^*, \phi_i^0, \phi_i^1, \ldots, \phi_i^N]^T \), \( \phi_{i-1}^* = \phi_i^0 = \delta_i \), \( \phi_i^0 = 0, 1 \leq i \leq N \).

Here \( A_{(N+2)\times(N+2)}^* \) and \( B_{(N+2)\times(N+2)}^* \) are the matrices of the following form,

\[
A^* = \frac{c^2}{h^2} \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \cdots \\
1 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B^* = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
q_0 & -p_0^* & p_0^* + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\
q_1 & -p_1^* + q_0 & p_1^* - p_0^* + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
q_{N-1} & -p_{N-1}^* + q_{N-2} & \cdots & p_{N-1}^* - p_0^* + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where
\[
q_n = (-1)^n \tau^{-2\alpha} \left( \frac{2\alpha - 2}{n} \right), p_n^* = (-1)^n \tau^{-2\alpha} \left( \frac{2\alpha - 2}{n} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{n} \right) \right), 0 \leq n \leq N - 1.
\]
3. Stability and Convergence of the Method

From difference schemes (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain four couples of matrices. Because every couple of iteration matrices is similar with each other, we take the scheme (2.3) as an example. Treatments of other schemes are the same as treatment of (2.3).

In order to transform the two-step difference scheme in (2.4) into the one-step one, we use the modified Gauss-Elimination method, and transform the Equation (2.4) into the following,

\[ U_i = \alpha_i U_{i-1} + \beta_i, 1 \leq i \leq M - 1. \]  

(3.8)

Next we should determine the matrices \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_i \) above. From \( U_0 = \alpha U_i + \beta_i \), we can choose \( \alpha_i = O_{(N+i)} \) and \( \beta_i = O_{(N+i)} \). Substitute \( U_i = \alpha_i U_{i-1} + \beta_i \) and \( U_{i-1} = \alpha U_{i-1} + \beta_i \) into Equation (3.8), then

\[ (A + B\alpha)U_i + (A\alpha_i + B\beta) = \varphi. \]

Writing into the form

\[
\begin{cases}
A + B\alpha = 0, \\
B\beta = \varphi - A\varphi,
\end{cases}
\]

we obtain the following equalities

\[
\begin{cases}
\alpha_i = -(B + A\alpha)^{-1}A, \\
\beta_i = (B + A\alpha)^{-1}(\varphi - A\varphi),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( 1 \leq i \leq M - 1. \)

Applying the method of analyzing the eigenvalues of the iteration matrices of the schemes, we can obtain the stability.

Let \( \rho(A) \) be the spectral radius of a matrix \( A \), which means the maximum of the absolute value of the eigenvalues of the matrix \( A \). We have the following results.

**Theorem 3.1.** The difference scheme (2.3) is stable.

**Proof.** From the analysis of pages 24 and 83 in [21], we should prove that \( \rho(\alpha_i) < 1, 1 \leq i \leq M \).

1) Obviously, \( \rho(\alpha_i) = 0 < 1 \).

2) Since

\[
\alpha_i = -B^{-1}A = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{c^2}{h^2} & \\
0 & \frac{c^2}{h^2} & \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\
\tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & \ldots & \frac{c^2}{h^2} & \tau^{-2a} + 2\lambda \tau^{-a} + \frac{2c^2}{h^2} \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\beta_i = (B + A\alpha)^{-1}(\varphi - A\varphi),
\]

where \( \varphi \) is a vector of size \( (M-1) \times 1 \) with each entry as a number in \( [0,1] \).
\[ \rho(\alpha) = \frac{\frac{c^2}{h^2}}{\tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{c^2}{h^2}} < 1. \]

3) If \( \rho(\alpha) < 1 \), let us calculate \( \rho(\alpha_{i+1}) \).

Because

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
\frac{c^2}{h^2} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{c^2}{h^2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\frac{c^2}{h^2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{c^2}{h^2} \alpha_{i+2} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{c^2}{h^2} \alpha_{i+3} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau^{-2\alpha} + 2\lambda \tau^{-\alpha} + \frac{c^2}{h^2} \alpha_{N+1,N+1}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

knowing that \( \alpha_{i,j} = \rho(\alpha_i) \) and \( 0 \leq \rho(\alpha_i) < 1 \) for \( 2 \leq j \leq N+1 \), we can obtain that \( \rho(\alpha_{i+1}) < 1 \). Consequently, we can get our conclusion by induction. \( \square \)

Remark 3.1. According to the Lax equivalence theorem [22], we can obtain the convergence of the method from stability and consistency of the proposed scheme.

Corollary 3.1. The difference schemes (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) are all stable and convergent.
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