DEM Simulations of Granular Soils under Undrained Triaxial Compression and Plane Strain
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Abstract

The paper presents 3D DEM simulation results of undrained tests for loose assemblies with varied porosities under both triaxial compression and plane strain conditions, using a periodic cell. The undrained tests were modelled by deforming the samples under constant volume conditions, which corresponds to saturated soil samples. The undrained stress paths are shown to be qualitatively similar to physical experimental results. The triggering of liquefaction and temporary liquefaction is identified by a microscopic parameter with redundancy factor (RF) equal to unity, which defines the transition from “solid-like” to “liquid-like” behaviour. The undrained behaviour of granular soils is found to be mainly governed by the evolution of redundancy factor, and a reversal of deviatoric stress in stress path (temporary liquefaction) is found to be due to temporary loss of contacts forming a structural mechanism in the system where RF is smaller than unity during the evolution.
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1. Introduction

Interest in undrained behaviour especially for loose sand has received much attention since the pioneering experimental work [1] [2], but the undrained behaviour of loose sand (especially liquefaction) has not been well explained. The traditional analysis of the undrained response of soils has been mainly based on a continuum model, where the microscopic structures at grain scales are usually inappropriately ignored. The Discrete Element Method (DEM) provides an ideal tool to examine the micromechanics of granular materials such as sand. DEM was originally developed in [3] for the analysis of rock mechanics problems. In DEM, a sample consists of discrete particles, which only interact at particle contacts. The particles can rotate, slide and separate. With such a particulate system, DEM is particularly suitable for modelling granular media.

Most DEM simulations are performed “in a vacuum” without fluid, as were done in this paper. The undrained behaviour was modeled using 2D DEM by [4]-[7] among others. Most of these researchers use 2D constant volume simulations for mimicking undrained saturated soil tests, except that both constant volume and coupled
fluid-particle interaction methods are used in [6] [7]. The comparison of undrained behaviour using constant volume and fluid-particle interaction methods showed no difference essentially as indicated [6] [7]. Of all the above researchers, temporary liquefaction is observed only in [6] [7]. It should be noted that the undrained condition modeled by constant volume method is only suitable for saturated soil samples, not for unsaturated samples. The paper presents results of 3D DEM simulations under both undrained (constant volume) triaxial compression (UTC) and undrained plane strain (UPS) conditions and a comparison is made. A micromechanical description of liquefaction and the related phenomena are focused.

2. Simulation Details

Three-dimensional DEM simulations have been performed on polydisperse systems of 3600 elastic spheres using the same TRUBAL code as used in [8]. Contact interactions are calculated using algorithms based on the theories of Hertz (normal interaction) and Mindlin and Deresiewicz (tangential interaction), see [9] [10]. The Young’s modulus and Poisson’s ratio for each particle were specified as $E = 70$ GPa and $\nu = 0.3$, respectively. During the shear stage, the interparticle friction coefficient was set to $\mu = 0.5$. Nine different sizes of spheres were used: 0.25 mm (2), 0.26 mm (20), 0.27 mm (220), 0.28 mm (870), 0.29 mm (1376), 0.30 mm (870), 0.31 mm (220), 0.32 mm (20), 0.33 mm (2), with an average particle diameter of 0.29 mm (the actual number of particles is given in brackets). The notional density of each particle is 2650 kg/m³, which is scaled up by a factor of $5 \times 10^{12}$ in order to perform quasi-static simulations within a reasonable timescale. The time step used in the simulations is based on the minimum particle size and the Rayleigh wave speed [11]. In the DEM simulations presented in this thesis, only contact damping (damping coefficient of 0.05) is used. No gravity field or cohesion is applied. Sample preparation for DEM is a time-consuming process. Details of techniques for generating different samples can be found in [12].

All the undrained simulations reported in the paper were carried out in a periodic cell. A periodic cell allows a particle that moves out of the cell to be re-mapped back into the cell at a corresponding location on the opposite face. The particle that moves out of the cell carries all the same information as that moving into the cell, except for particle positions. An infinite lattice can be imagined by replicating one cell throughout space. Thus, the simulation can be performed free from boundaries. More information about periodic cell can be found in [13]. To mimic undrained conditions, constant volume with strain control mode is used. For the undrained triaxial compression simulations, the specified strain-rates in the three principal strain directions were set to $4.11 \times 10^{-2}$ s⁻¹ (compression), $-5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ and $-5.0 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ (extension) respectively. For the undrained plane strain simulations, the specified strain-rates in the three principal strain directions were set to $1 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹, 0 and $-1 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ respectively. All the simulations start from an initial stress state that is almost isotropic with all the normal (principal) stresses approximately equal to 100 kPa.

During the simulations, the ensemble average stress tensor is calculated (see [14]) as follows,

$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{C} \left[ (R_1 + R_2) N_i n_j + (R_1 + R_2) T_i t_j \right]$$

where the summations are over the $C$ contacts in the volume $V$, $R_1$ and $R_2$ are the radii of the two spheres in contact, $N$ and $T$ are the magnitudes of the normal and tangential contact forces at the contact, $n_i$ is the unit vector normal to the contact plane and $t_j$ is the unit vector parallel to the contact plane.

3. Results and Discussions

In this section, results are presented of undrained test simulations on all the samples and both the macroscopic and microscopic behaviour is discussed. The macroscopic behaviour is presented in terms of stress path and deviatoric stress. The microscopic behaviour is presented in terms of redundancy factor.

3.1. Macroscopic Behaviour

Figure 1 and Figure 2 showed eviectoric stress ($q_{dev} = \sqrt{\left(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2\right)^2 + \left(\sigma_2 - \sigma_3\right)^2 + \left(\sigma_3 - \sigma_1\right)^2}$), for triaxial compression, this degenerates to deviator stress $q_{dev} = \sigma_1 - \sigma_3$ plotted against mean effective stress for all the loose
systems under undrained (constant volume) triaxial compression (UTC) and undrained plane strain (UPS) conditions respectively. It can be seen from the Figures that the overall trends of the stress path are not much different for the two types of strain conditions. In both cases, all the loose sample exhibits an initial increase of $p'$ except the loosest sample ($n = 0.425$). However, the loose sample (porosity, $n = 0.405$) does not exhibit a reversal under UPS, as it does under UTC. This indicates that the undrained behaviour is much related to strain conditions. The reason for this difference could be explained microscopically as explained later.

Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the evolution of the deviatoric stress plotted against deviatoric strain $\varepsilon_{d,e} = \sqrt{\left(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1\right)^2 + \left(\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2\right)^2}$ for all the loose systems under UTC and UPS respectively. It can be seen from both the figures that for the three very loose samples ($n = 0.425$, $n = 0.419$, $n = 0.414$), the initial peak of deviatoric stress occurs at small strains, followed by gradual decrease (with sharpest drop for the loosest sample in each strain condition, but the drop in UPS is less sharp than in UTC), and with further straining the
deviatoric stress becomes more or less constant at small values (called steady state in [15]). Such behaviour of the very loose samples is called liquefaction in soil mechanics literature [15]. It can also be seen from both the figures that for the three medium loose samples, the initial peak deviatoric stress occurs at relatively larger strain compared with that for the three very loose samples except that the medium loose sample (n = 0.405) does not exhibit an initial peak under UPS. Similar to that of the very loose sample, the initial peak for the medium loose samples (except the sample with n = 0.405 under UPS) is followed by a gradual decrease with further straining until a “steady” state is obtained. Such a “steady” state is, however, only temporary for the medium loose samples, called quasi-steady state [16], and with further straining the deviatoric stress goes up again. Such behaviour of the medium loose samples is also called temporary or partial liquefaction in soil mechanics. Both Figure 3 and Figure 4 show that liquefaction occurs for the very loose samples (n = 0.414, n = 0.419, n = 0.425), and temporary liquefaction occurs for medium loose samples except for the sample (n = 0.405) under UPS. It can be concluded that the undrained behaviour is dependent on porosity (strength decreases with increase of porosity).
and strain conditions (UPS is more resistant to liquefaction/temporary liquefaction).

3.2. Microscopic Behaviour

In this section, microscopic description of undrained behaviour in terms of redundancy factor is presented, and the triggering of liquefaction/temporary liquefaction is identified by such a microscopic parameter with the value of unity. Both triaxial compression and plane strain simulation results are presented and compared.

For a granular assembly with spherical particles with a finite value of friction at the contacts, a microscopic parameter termed redundancy factor (RF) is defined [17] [18] as follows,

\[
RF = \frac{C}{N} \left( \frac{3-2f}{6} \right) = Z_\sigma \left( \frac{3-2f}{12} \right)
\]

(2)

where \( f \) is the fraction of sliding contacts and the average coordination number is defined as the ratio of twice number of contacts and number of particles, i.e. \( Z_\sigma = \frac{2C}{N_p} \). If RF > 1 then we have a redundant system and the system can be said to be solid-like, and for \( IR < 1 \) we have a structural mechanism and the system can be said to be liquid-like. Therefore, \( RF = 1 \) defines a limit phase transition condition from solid-like to liquid-like behaviour.

For the six systems examined, the evolutions of redundancy factor are plotted in Figure 5 and Figure 6 respectively, rationally indicating when liquefaction, RF < 1, initiates. In both figures, the horizontal line with

![Figure 5. Evolution of redundancy factor under UTC.](image)

![Figure 6. Evolution of redundancy factor under UPS.](image)
RF = 1 defines a phase transition from solid-like (non-liquefied) behaviour to liquid-like (liquefied or temporarily liquefied) behaviour. It can be seen that the three very loose samples do not re-establish solid-like behaviour after they drop below the phase transition line, indicating liquefaction. The medium loose samples (except the sample $n = 0.405$ under UPS) generally first drop below the phase transition line, and then go up until they get close to or even cross the phase transition line, indicating temporary liquefaction. In both figures, the initial intersection points of the phase transition line and evolution of RF curves indicate the onset of liquefaction or temporary liquefaction. It can be easily seen that a looser sample liquefies earlier in each strain condition (UTC or UPS). Interestingly, in Figure 6 the sample ($n = 0.405$) lies in the solid-like region during all the evolution (or negative “liquefied degree” at any strain range), and this guarantees the system has enough enduring contacts that keep the system stable, therefore, no stress reversal at macroscopic level is observed for the sample ($n = 0.405$) under UPS, see macroscopic behaviour in Figure 2 and Figure 4. On the contrary, in Figure 5 the sample ($n = 0.405$) stays in the solid-like region mostly except when the deviatoric strain is between 0.06 and 0.08, where liquid-like behaviour occurs. This small range of liquid-like region, causes the system ($n = 0.405$) to become a structural mechanism transiently under UTC, which leads to the quick drop of deviatoric stress. Subsequently, the system regains contacts and becomes robust again, and therefore reversal of stress is observed macroscopically for the sample ($n = 0.405$) as shown in Figure 1 and Figure 3.

Figure 7 shows comparison of evolution of redundancy factor under UTC and UPS, where it can be seen that the RF value under UPS is generally above that under UTC for a given deviatoric strain for all the samples except the very loose samples ($n = 0.419$, $n = 0.414$) at relatively larger strain where liquefaction already occurs. Allowing for this deviation, it can be said that due to the restraint of one of the principal directions, the system under UPS becomes more robust and has more enduring contacts than that under UTC, which could also be inferred the sample ($n = 0.405$) under UPS does not have a stress reversal while the same system under UTC has a stress reversal as discussed above.

4. Conclusions

A micromechanical formula for defining the triggering of soil liquefaction (temporary liquefaction) is established in terms of redundancy factor. The relation among redundancy factor, average coordination number and percentage of sliding contacts is provided. The evolution of redundancy factor is provided under undrained axisymmetric compression and plane strain conditions and compared. A phase transition is associated with redundancy factor of unity, which defines the transition from solid-like behaviour to liquid-like behaviour. This phase transition corresponds to a limit average coordination number slightly in excess of four. From comparison of simulation results under two types of strain conditions, it is found that:

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Comparison of evolution of redundancy factor under UTC and UPS.
1) A reversal of deviatoric stress in stress path under undrained conditions is due to the fact that the system becomes a structural mechanism ($RF < 1$) during part of the evolution. If $RF > 1$ during all the evolution, the reversal does not occur.

2) A system under undrained plane strain is generally more robust in resisting liquefaction than under UTC.
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