Behaviour of Couple Stress Fluids in Porous Annular Squeeze Films
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Abstract

The laminar squeeze flow of an incompressible couple stress fluid between porous annular disks is studied using hydrodynamic lubrication theory. The modified Reynolds’ equation is derived using Stokes microcontinuum theory and is solved analytically. Analytical expressions for the squeeze film pressure and the load carrying capacity are obtained in terms of Fourier-Bessel series. Numerical results are obtained for the sinusoidal motion of the upper disk. The effect of couple stresses and that of porous facing on the squeeze film behaviour are analysed through the squeeze film pressure and the load carrying capacity. Further, the equation for the gap width between the disks is obtained from the inverse problem.
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1. Introduction

Squeeze film technology is widely applied in many areas of Engineering and Applied Sciences such as clutch plates in automotive transmission, impact film in bio-lubricated joints and turbo-machinery. The classical continuum theory focuses on the use of a Newtonian lubricant in various squeeze film mechanisms [1-4]. The non-Newtonian characteristics of lubricants become important, when the lubricants contain additives with large quantity of high molecular weight polymers as the viscosity index improvers. Grease, emulsion, liquid crystals and body fluids like blood and synovial are examples of such lubricants. As the classical continuum theory of fluids neglects the size effects of particles, a microcontinuum theory has been developed by Stokes [5] to take into account the particle size effects of such non-Newtonian fluids. Many researchers have applied the microcontinuum theory of couple stress fluids in various squeeze film investigations [6-8].

Self lubricating porous bearings have been widely used in industry for a long time due to their special feature of self contained oil reservoir apart from low cost and other aspects of lubrication mechanism. In such bearings, as two surfaces approach each other, a part of the fluid will be squeezed out and the remaining part will flow through the porous media. This will reduce the time required for the oil to reach a prescribed thickness and will change the nature of the flow pattern. There have been numerous studies on various types of such porous bearings with a Newtonian or a non-Newtonian lubricant [9]-[12].

Naduvanmani et al. [13] have examined the rheological effects of the couple stress fluids in porous journal bearings. Several investigations reveal the combined effects of couple stresses and surface roughness between various porous geometries [14]-[16].

In this paper, the couple stress fluid flow between porous annular disks is considered. On the basis of hydrodynamic lubrication theory and Stokes microcontinuum theory the modified Reynolds’ equation is derived and is solved analytically. The effects of couple stresses and the porous facing on the squeeze film pressure, load carrying capacity and film thickness as a function of response time are studied.

2. Mathematical Formulation

The axisymmetric laminar flow of an incompressible couple stress fluid between porous annular disks is considered as shown in Figure 1. The upper disk with porous facing at \( z = h(t) \) is approaching the lower non-porous disk at \( z = 0 \) with a squeezing velocity of \( \frac{dh}{dt} \). On the basis of Stokes microcontinuum theory and the assumption that the body forces and body couples are absent, the governing equations of motion of couple stress fluids take the form

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (ru)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \frac{\mu}{\eta} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} - \frac{\eta}{\eta^2} \frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial z^4}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = 0
\]

where \( u \) and \( w \) are the velocity components in the radial and axial directions respectively, \( p \) the squeeze film pressure, \( \rho \) the fluid density, \( \mu \) the shear viscosity and \( \eta \) is the new material constant responsible for the couple stress property with the dimension of momentum.

The flow of couple stress fluid in a porous matrix is governed by the modified form of Darcy’s law which accounts for polar effects given by

\[
u^* = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu(1-\beta)} \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial r}
\]

\[
w^* = -\frac{\kappa}{\mu(1-\beta)} \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial z}
\]

where \( u^* \) and \( w^* \) are respectively the radial and axial components of the fluid velocity in the porous region, \( p^* \) the film pressure in the porous region, \( \kappa \) the permeability of the porous facing and \( \beta = \frac{\eta}{\mu} \). The parameter \( \beta \) represents the ratio of microstructure size to the pore size. The ratio \( \frac{\eta}{\mu} \) has a dimension of square of length and this length may be regarded as the chain-length of the polar additives. If \( \frac{\eta}{\mu} \approx \kappa \), i.e. \( \beta \approx 1 \), then the mi-

![Figure 1. Annular squeeze film geometry.](image-url)
crostructure additives present in the lubricant block the pores in the porous layer and thus reduce the Darcy flow through the porous matrix. When the microstructure size is very small when compared to the pore size, i.e. $\beta \ll 1$, the additives percolate in the porous matrix.

Due to the continuity of flow in the porous region, the velocity components in the porous region, given by Equations (4) and (5), satisfy the continuity Equation (1). This result in Laplace equation in polar form for the squeeze film pressure in the porous region is given by

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 p^*}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad (6)$$

The boundary conditions for the velocity components are the no-slip condition on $z = 0$ and slip condition on $z = h(t)$ given by

$$u = 0, \quad w = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = 0$$
$$u = 0, \quad w = w^* + \frac{dh}{dt} \quad \text{on} \quad z = h(t) \quad (7)$$

and the no-couple stress conditions are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = h(t) \quad (8)$$

The boundary conditions for the squeeze film pressure are

$$p(r_o) = 0 \quad (9)$$
$$p(r_i) = 0 \quad (10)$$

where $r_o$ and $r_i$ are the outer and inner radii of the annular disks respectively. The film pressure $p^*$ in the porous matrix satisfies the following conditions

$$p^*(r_o, z) = 0 \quad (11)$$
$$p^*(r_i, z) = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial p^*}{\partial z} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad z = h + h^* \quad (13)$$

where $h^*$ is the thickness of the porous layer. Also the continuity condition on the squeeze film pressure at the disk film interface is given by

$$p(r) = p^*(r, h) \quad (14)$$

3. Solution Methodology

From the axial momentum Equation (3), it is clear that the pressure $p$ in the film region is independent of $z$. Solving the radial momentum Equation (2) and using the boundary conditions for $u$ given in Equations (7) and (8), expression for the radial velocity component $u$ is obtained as

$$u = \frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} \left\{ z^2 - h + 2l^2 - 2l^2 \frac{\cosh[(2z - h) / 2l]}{\cosh(h / 2l)} \right\} \quad (15)$$

where $l = \left( \frac{\eta}{\mu} \right)^{1/2}$. Substitution of the expression of $u$ from Equation (15) into the continuity Equation (1) and integration yields

$$w|_{r=h(t)} - w|_{r=0} = \frac{f_o(h, l)}{12\mu} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{dp}{dr} \right] \quad (16)$$

where $f_o(h, l) = h^3 - 12l^2h + 24l^3 \tanh \left( \frac{h}{2l} \right)$. Substitution of the boundary conditions for the axial velocity com-
ponent from Equation (7) into Equation (16) results in
\[
\frac{f_0(h,l)}{12\mu} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{dp}{dr} \right] = \frac{dh}{dt} + w^* \tag{17}
\]

Using the expression for \( w^* \) from Equation (5), the modified Reynolds equation is derived as
\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{dp}{dr} \right] = \frac{12\mu}{f_0(h,l)} \left( \frac{dh}{dt} - \frac{\kappa}{\mu(1-\beta)} \left[ \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial z} \right]_h \right) \tag{18}
\]

Equation (6) is solved for the film pressure in the porous matrix using the variable separable method and using the boundary conditions for film pressure in the porous matrix from Equations (11)-(13). Thus, the pressure in the porous matrix is obtained as
\[
p^* = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n e^{\alpha_n z} \left[ 1 + e^{2\alpha_n (h+b'-z)} \right] U_0(\alpha_n r) \tag{19}
\]

where \( U_0(\alpha_n r) \) is the \( n \)th eigenfunction defined by
\[
U_0(\alpha_n r) = Y_0(\alpha_n a) J_0(\alpha_n r) - J_0(\alpha_n a) Y_0(\alpha_n r) \tag{20}
\]

and \( \alpha_n \) is the \( n \)th eigenvalue that satisfies the equation given by
\[
Y_0(\alpha_n a) J_0(\alpha_n b) - J_0(\alpha_n a) Y_0(\alpha_n b) = 0 \tag{21}
\]

Equation (19) on differentiation gives
\[
\frac{\partial p^*}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \alpha_n e^{\alpha_n z} \left[ 1 + e^{2\alpha_n z} \right] U_0(\alpha_n r) \tag{22}
\]

On using \( \frac{\partial p^*}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z=0} \) from Equation (22), the modified Reynolds Equation (18), yields
\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} \left[ r \frac{dp}{dr} \right] = \frac{12\mu}{f_0(h,l)} \left( \frac{dh}{dt} - \frac{\kappa}{\mu(1-\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \alpha_n e^{\alpha_n z} \left[ 1 + e^{2\alpha_n z} \right] U_0(\alpha_n r) \right) \tag{23}
\]

Integrating Equation (23) twice with respect to \( r \) with the use of pressure boundary conditions given in Equations (9) and (10), the squeeze film pressure is obtained as
\[
p = \frac{3\mu}{f_0(h,l)} \frac{dh}{dt} \left[ (r^2 - r_a^2) - (r_a^2 - r_b^2) \frac{\log(r/a)}{\log(r_b/a)} \right] + \frac{12\kappa}{f_0(h,l)(1-\beta)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n \alpha_n e^{\alpha_n z} \left[ 1 - e^{2\alpha_n z} \right] U_0(\alpha_n r) \tag{24}
\]

Use of Equations (19) and (24) in the interface condition (14) yields
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n e^{\alpha_n h} \left[ \left( 1 + e^{2\alpha_n h} \right) - \frac{12\kappa}{f_0(h,l)(1-\beta)} \alpha_n \left( 1 - e^{2\alpha_n h} \right) \right] U_0(\alpha_n r) \tag{25}
\]

The constants \( c_n \) in Equation (25) can be determined using the orthogonality of eigen functions and are given by
\[
c_n = \frac{24\mu}{f_0(h,l) \alpha_n r_a^2 \xi_n} \frac{dh}{dt} \frac{1}{\xi_n} \left[ e^{\alpha_n h} \left( 1 + e^{2\alpha_n h} \right) - \frac{12\kappa}{f_0(h,l) \alpha_n (1-\beta)} \left( 1 - e^{2\alpha_n h} \right) \right]^{-1} \tag{26}
\]

where \( \xi_n = Y_0(\alpha_n r_a) \left[ r_a J_1(\alpha_n r_a) + r_b J_1(\alpha_n r_b) \right] - J_0(\alpha_n r_a) \left[ r_a Y_1(\alpha_n r_a) + r_b Y_1(\alpha_n r_b) \right]. \)
The following quantities are introduced to non-dimensionalize the flow variables:

\[ R = \frac{r}{r_s}, \quad H = \frac{h}{h_0}, \quad H^* = \frac{h^*}{h_0}, \quad T = \omega t, \quad L = \frac{l}{h_0}, \]

\[ f_{o}^*(H, L) = f_{o}(h, l) \frac{h_0}{h_0^2}, \quad \alpha = \frac{r}{r_s}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_n = r_s \alpha_n, \quad \psi = \frac{kh}{h_0^2}, \quad P = \frac{k_h^2 p}{\mu \omega r_s^2}. \]  

(27)

Here \( h_0 \) is the initial film thickness and \( 1/\omega \) is the characteristic time. Substituting Equation (26) in Equation (24) and applying the non-dimensional quantities given in Equation (27), the squeeze film pressure in the non-dimensional form is obtained as

\[ P = \frac{3}{2 f_{o}^*(H, L)} \frac{dH}{dT} \left[ (R^2 - 1) - \left( \alpha^2 - 1 \right) \frac{\log(R)}{\log(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \alpha)} \right] \]

\[ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{24 U_4(\bar{\alpha} R)}{f_{o}^*(H, L) \bar{\alpha}_n} \frac{dH}{dH} \left\{ f_{o}^*(H, L) (1 - \beta \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \left( 1 + \exp(2 \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \right) \right\}^{-1} \]

The squeeze film force is found by integrating the squeeze film pressure over the disk surface

\[ f_{sq} = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} P \, r \, dr \]

(29)

The non-dimensional form of the squeeze film force is given by

\[ F_{sq} = -\frac{3\pi}{2 f_{o}^*(H, L)} \frac{dH}{dH} \left[ (\alpha^2 - 1) - \frac{\log(\alpha)}{\log(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \alpha)} \right] \]

\[ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{48\pi}{f_{o}^*(H, L) \bar{\alpha}_n^2} \frac{dH}{dH} \left\{ f_{o}^*(H, L) (1 - \beta \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \left( 1 + \exp(2 \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \right) \right\}^{-1} \]

where \( \zeta_n = Y_0(\bar{\alpha}_n) \left[ J_1(\bar{\alpha}_n) - \alpha J_1(\bar{\alpha} \alpha) \right] - J_0(\bar{\alpha}_n) \left[ Y_1(\bar{\alpha}_n) - \alpha Y_1(\bar{\alpha} \alpha) \right] \) and \( F_{sq} = \frac{f_{sq} h_0}{\mu \omega r_s^2} \)

The squeeze film pressure and force are obtained for a sinusoidal motion \( h(t) = h_0 + \epsilon \sin \omega t \) of the upper porous disk, where \( h_0 \) is the initial film thickness, \( \epsilon \) is the amplitude and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the sinusoidal motion. On using the non-dimensional quantities given in Equation (27), the dimensionless form of \( h(t) \) is given by

\[ H(T) = 1 + E \sin T \]

(31)

where \( E = \frac{\epsilon}{h_0} \).

**Constant Force Squeezing State**

Considering a constant force squeezing state, the film thickness and time relation can be obtained as

\[ \pm 1 = -\frac{3\pi}{2 f_{o}^*(H, L)} \frac{dH}{dH} \left[ (\alpha^2 - 1) - \frac{\log(\alpha)}{\log(\bar{\alpha} \cdot \alpha)} \right] \]

\[ - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{48\pi}{f_{o}^*(H, L) \bar{\alpha}_n^2} \frac{dH}{dH} \left\{ f_{o}^*(H, L) (1 - \beta \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \left( 1 + \exp(2 \bar{\alpha}_n H^*) \right) \right\}^{-1} \}

\[ \frac{dH}{dT} \]

(32)

where the non-dimensional time is \( T = \frac{f_{sq} h_0}{\mu \omega r_s^2} T \). Equation (32) is solved numerically for \( H(T) \) by fourth order Runge Kutta method using the initial conditions given by

\[ H(T = 0) = 1, \quad \frac{dH}{dT}(T = 0) = 0 \]

(33)
4. Results and Discussion

In this analysis, the effects of couple stresses on the squeeze film behaviour between porous annular disks have been studied on the basis of Stokes microcontinuum theory. The couple stress effect on the squeeze film characteristics is observed through the non-dimensional couple stress parameter $L$ and the effect of permeability is studied through the non-dimensional permeability parameter $\psi$. The squeeze film pressure and load carrying capacity have been computed using Equations (28) and (30) for the sinusoidal motion of the upper porous disk.

Figures 2-5 show the variation of non-dimensional squeeze film pressure $P$ as a function of radial co-ordinate $R$. 

**Figure 2.** Couple stress effects on the film pressure ($E = 0.2$).

**Figure 3.** Couple Stress Effects on the Film Pressure ($E = 0.4$).

**Figure 4.** Effects of permeability on the film pressure ($E = 0.2$).
Figure 5. Effects of permeability on the film pressure ($E = 0.4$).

For $T = 3$, $\beta = 0.2$, $H^* = 0.01$ and $\alpha = 2$. Figure 2 and Figure 3 present the squeeze film pressure $P$ as a function of $R$ with $\psi = 0.001$ for different values of the couple stress parameter $L$, taking the amplitude of the sinusoidal motion as $E = 0.2$ and $E = 0.4$ respectively. It is observed that the squeeze film pressure $P$ increases for increasing values of couple stress parameter $L$ and that the squeeze film pressure in enhanced for larger values of the amplitude $E$ of sinusoidal motion.

Figure 4 and Figure 5 present the variation of non-dimensional squeeze film pressure $P$ as a function of $R$ with $L = 0.2$ for different values of the permeability parameter $\psi$. Figure 4 shows that there is a significant reduction in pressure with increasing permeability of the porous facing for the amplitude of the sinusoidal motion $E = 0.2$. Also, it is observed that there is no significant difference in the pressure distribution between $\psi = 0$ and $\psi = 0.001$. Thus $\psi = 0.001$ indicates a very low permeability almost bordering on the non-porous case. Similar trend is observed in Figure 5 for $E = 0.4$. Comparison of Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows that the squeeze film pressure is more significant for higher values of $E$.

Figures 6-9 display the variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $F_{sq}$ as a function of response time $T$ at $\beta = 0.2$, $H^* = 0.01$ and $\alpha = 2$. Figure 6 and Figure 7 present the variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $F_{sq}$ as a function of response time $T$ with $\psi = 0.001$ for different values of the couple stress parameter $L$ when $E = 0.2$ and $E = 0.4$ respectively. A significant increase in the load carrying capacity is observed with an increase in the value of couple stress parameter $L$. Further, it is observed that the increase in the load carrying capacity is more pronounced for larger values of the amplitude of sinusoidal motion.

Figure 8 and Figure 9 describe the variation of non-dimensional load carrying capacity $F_{sq}$ as a function of response time $T$ for different values of the permeability parameter $\psi$ with $L = 0.2$ when $E = 0.2$ and $E = 0.4$ respectively. It is observed from Figure 8 and Figure 9 that the effect of permeability parameter $\psi$ is to decrease the load carrying capacity when compared to the case of $\psi = 0$ and that an increase in the load carrying capacity is obtained by increasing the amplitude of sinusoidal motion.

The variation of non-dimensional squeeze film thickness $H$ as a function of response time $T$ has been obtained using Equation (32). Figure 10 presents the gap width as a function of response time for different values of the couple stress parameter $L$ with $H^* = 0.01$, $\psi = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.2$ and $\alpha = 2$. It is observed that, for attaining a particular height there is an increase in the response time with an increase in the couple stress parameter $L$, i.e. the couple stress fluids sustain a higher load for a longer time. Figure 11 shows the gap width as a function of response time for various values of the permeability parameter $\psi$ with $H^* = 0.01$, $L = 0.2$, $\beta = 0.2$ and $\alpha = 2$. It is found that the time required for the film thickness to reach any particular value is greatly reduced as the permeability parameter is increased.

**Conclusion**

The theoretical study of rheological effects of squeeze film flow of a non-Newtonian couple stress fluid between porous annular disks is presented. On the basis of Stokes microcontinuum theory, the modified Reynolds equation is derived and is solved analytically. The numerical results are presented for a sinusoidal motion of the up-
Figure 6. Effect of couple stresses on the film force (E = 0.2).

Figure 7. Effect of couple stresses on the film force (E = 0.4).

Figure 8. Effects of permeability on the film force (E = 0.2).
per disk. Enhancements in the squeeze film pressure and load carrying capacity are observed for larger values of the couple stress parameter. Further enhancements in squeeze film pressure and load carrying capacity are obtained by increasing the amplitude of sinusoidal motion. Although the effect of porosity decreases the squeeze film force, the use of couple stress fluids as lubricants improves the squeeze film behavior by increasing the
squeeze film force. Also, it is observed from the inverse problem that the effect of permeability decreases the film thickness and the effect of couple stresses provide a longer response time. On the whole, the performance of porous squeeze film bearings can be improved by a proper choice of lubricants blended with microstructures.
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