Sinonasal Adenocarcinoma—Experience of an Oncology Center
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and Objectives: Sinonasal tumours represent only 3% of all head and neck cancers. Adenocarcinoma is the second most frequent histopathology type. Hardwood exposure has been considered a risk factor. Sinonasal adenocarcinoma grows silently which leads to a late diagnosis and low survival rates. The aim of this study was to present our experience in the management of the patients with sinonasal adenocarcinoma.


Results: From 301 patients with sinonasal tumors, 67 had histology of adenocarcinoma. Patient average age was 60.1 ± 11.1 years (30 - 84 years). 83.6% were men. 65.7% had history of working with wood. 70.1% of the patients had advance disease. The most common treatment strategy was external surgery (lateral rhinotomy (47.8%), sublabial (17.9%) or cranio-facial resection (6%)) or endoscopic approaches with postoperative radiotherapy. The 3 and 5 years overall survival rate were 60% and 49%, respectively.

Conclusions: Our group study showed similar epidemiologic characteristics than other series. We confirmed sinonasal adenocarcinomas tendency to late diagnosis and wood dust exposure relation. In our experience, the limited surgical treatment (without craniofacial resection) and postoperative radiotherapy has good survival rates results, similar to other departments who consider the craniofacial resection as the standard treatment.
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1. Introduction

Sinonasal tumors are rare forms of head and neck malignant neoplasms accounting for only 3% of head and neck cancers [1,2]. They represent less than 1% of all tumors with an annual European incidence of 1 - 2/100,000 inhabitants [2,3]. Furthermore, adenocarcinoma accounts for only a small percentage (10% - 20%) of all sinonasal cancers [4], although it is the second most frequent histopathology type after squamous cell carcinoma [5,6]. The average age for presentation is 50 - 60 years, with male predominance (2 - 4:1) [4,7]. Epidemiologically, adenocarcinoma has been associated with workers exposed to hardwood dust since this relation was first reported in 1965 by MacBeth [1,8]. These are mostly intestinal-type sinonasal adenocarcinomas and show a strong preference for the ethmoid sinus [4]. Some authors supported that cigarette smoking acts in a synergic manner [2,5].

Sinonasal adenocarcinoma grows silently with no symptoms, which leads to a late diagnosis and low survival rates. Nodes and distant metastases are rare at presentation. Patient survival depends on local control that is extremely difficult because of the anatomical proximity of the orbit and brain [3]. Local recurrence is frequent.

Craniofacial resection (CFR) has been adopted worldwide as the standard treatment modality for tumours of the paranasal sinuses involving the anterior skull base [1]. For other histological types of tumors like esthesioneuroblastoma, CFR offers a better prognosis than other forms of treatment [1]. Unfortunately, for adenocarcinoma of the ethmoid sinus, CFR has not rendered significant improvement in survival rates [1]. Lateral rhinotomy followed by radiotherapy has recently been shown to produce comparable results [1]. This said we would like to question the real need for using CFR. This is pertinent since CFR involves a lot of co-morbidities and does not imply a significant increase in the survival rates.

The aim of this study is to present our experience in the management of patients with sinonasal adenocarcinomas from the North of Portugal. We highlight the epidemiology characteristics, the risk factors, the treatment strategies and the survival rates.

2. Methods

After approval by the institutional review board, a search of the ENT Department and sinus cancer database was performed to identify all patients who underwent treat-
ment for sinonasal cancer between January 1974 and December 2009 at our tertiary comprehensive cancer care center.

Medical records from the patients who had been diagnosed and treated for primary sinonasal adenocarcinoma were reviewed for information regarding demographics, diseases characteristics, location and extent of the tumour, stage, histopathology findings, treatment strategies and oncologic outcomes.

Tumours localization was harvested from these medical records. Disease was re-staged in accordance to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) TNM staging, 7th Edition, 2010.

Treatment strategies included surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy separately or in combination. The surgical approaches were partial or total maxillectomy or sphenethmoidectomy by endonasal, sublabial or lateral rhinotomy approaches. Orbit exentration and CFR was done when there was periorbit and dural significant invasion, respectively.

Radiotherapy was given by doses of 1.8 Gy/day, 5 days a week in a total dose of 60 - 65 Gy. The technique most often used combines one anterior and two lateral fields of Co60 gamma or 6 MV photons X. Until 2002 the irradiation isodoses were determined by conventional dosimetry techniques. Since then, computed tomography three dimensional dosimetry has been used.

The chemotherapy regimen most used was a combination of Cisplatin and 5-Fluorouracil.

Descriptive statistics for frequencies of study patients within the category for each of the parameters of interest were enumerated with software assistance. Curves describing overall and disease-specific survival rate were generated by the Kaplan-Meier product limit method. The statistical significance of differences between the actuarial curves was tested by the log-rank test. A p value less than 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

After the From 301 patients with sinonasal tumors, 67 (22.3%) had a positive histology for adenocarcinoma with an average follow-up time of 45.25 months (2 - 204 months). That was the second most frequent histopathology after squamous cell carcinoma (155% - 51.5%). Patient average age was 60.1 ± 11.1 years (30 - 84 years). Fifty-six patients (83.6%) were man, 44 (65.7%) had history of working with wood and 24 (35.8%) had smoke habits. For survival rates calculations we excluded 5 patients that received no treatment, 2 that died before treatment was started and other 3 that received only palliative therapy due to very advanced disease or/and poor general condition.

Fifty-one patients (76.1%) had only nasal symptoms at presentation and 5 had nasal symptoms with another location symptom. The nasal symptoms were mainly nasal obstruction with or without unilateral secretions and/or nose bleeding. The mean time before presenting symptoms was 7.2 months (1 - 24 months).

The tumour most frequent localization was the ethmoid sinus (73%). Intestinal type adenocarcinoma (28% - 41.8%) was the second most frequent histopathology after adenocarcinoma with no other specification. Twenty-three patients (34.3%) had tumor extension to orbit (9), skull base (2), orbit and skull base (8) and others places like perigopatline fossa and cheek (5). According to T stages, 1 (1.5%) patient was T1, 19 (28.4%) T2, 21 (31.3%) T3, 21 (31.3%) T4a and 5 (7.5%) T4b. Two patients were N+ (N1 and N3) and only one patient was M1 on presentation. Finally, 20 (29.9%) patients were at an early stage (Stage I and II) and the others 47 (70.1%) had advance disease (Stage III, IVa and IVb) (Table 1).

The most common treatment strategy was surgery with postoperative radiotherapy (48 patients: 71.6%). The other patients were mostly submitted to surgery alone (12% - 17.9%), one patient received pre-operative radiotherapy and other pre-operative chemoradiotherapy.

Forty-seven (70.1%) patients were submitted to an external surgical approach: lateral rhinotomy (32% - 47.8%), sublabial (12% - 17.9%) and CFR (4% - 6%)—13 patients were treated by endoscopic surgery and 2 patients do not had surgical approach information. Seven patients (10.4%) were submitted to orbit exenteration.

The 2, 3 and 5 years overall survival rates were 70%, 60% and 49%, respectively (Figure 1). It was worst in most advanced disease stages (p < 0.05) and better after 2002 (p > 0.05) but there were more patients at early

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients Stage Distribution</th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early (I and II)</td>
<td>20 (29.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced (III and IV)</td>
<td>47 (70.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curve for overall survival in sinonasal adenocarcinomas.
stages since then (p < 0.05).

The 2, 3, 5 and 10 years disease-specific survival rates were 75%, 69%, 69% and 49%, respectively (Figure 2). There was no difference between patients treated before and after 2002 but it was better when higher radiotherapy doses were used (≥60 Gy) (p > 0.05).

There was no significant statistical difference on overall and disease-specific survival rate between the groups that received and did not received radiotherapy but the last group had more patients with early stage disease.

Of the 62 patients that received any treatment, 34.3% experienced recurrence with an average disease-free time of 35.25 months. This recurrence was local in 69.6%.

4. Discussion

Our group study showed similar epidemiology characteristics than other series [1,7,9]. We found an average age of 60 years and a male predominance. Most of our patients were wood workers. These suggest a causal relation like in others studies [2,8,9]. The ethmoid localization and the histopathology subtype support it [4]. Almost 40% of the patients had smoking habits that make us suspect that it may be a risk factor.

We confirmed these tumour growths with no symptoms and the tendency for advanced stages at presentation. This fact reduces the choice of treatment and approach to be used [7] and explain the higher recurrence/persistence rates and decreased survival.

The treatment strategies were mostly surgery and postoperative radiotherapy. We performed orbital exenteration (7) and CFR (4) when there was significant periorbit and dural invasion, respectively. As opposed to other series [7,10], we do not support low threshold for performing CFR because we argue that the high rate of surgical complication and morbidity overpass the survival rates improvements.

The introduction of CFR made possible the treatment of more advanced diseases, previously considered inoperable, and even consideration of salvage surgery in recurrences. When the anterior fossa is involved, the results in terms of complications and survival rate are considerably worse [7]. In ethmoid adenocarcinoma, despite the improvements in surgical and anesthetic techniques, survival data with CFR approach remain disappointing [1]. Our survival rates results confirm this suspicion with no great difference to other studies that currently perform CFR, whose overall survival rates at 5 and 10 years goes from 36% to 57% and 28% to 53%, respectively [7,11-15].

Our good survival results also suggest that for well selected cases and with the appropriate use of adjuvant therapy, endoscopic resection of sinonasal cancer results in acceptable oncologic outcomes.

Complementary radiotherapy is advisable in all cases, except in small, well-defined tumours, where surgical treatment seems to be sufficient. It is important as adenocarcinoma complementary treatment because of the possibility of existence of tumoral islands in healthy mucosa at areas far from the tumour and retropharyngeal metastases [7]. We did not notice a significant difference between the patients submitted and not submitted to radiotherapy because the last group had a greater number of patients with earlier disease. This could also mean that the postoperative radiotherapy in advanced cases had a positive role. There was better overall survival but no specific-disease survival results after 2002, when we started to use computed tomography three dimensional dosimetry. That means the disease control is the same but the morbidity is provably inferior. Three-dimensional computed tomography-based dosimetry allowed for better mapping of the target volume and preservation of surrounding structures [16]. Of course we have to take into account that patients after 2002 had earlier diagnosis and that could be the real cause for better morbidity.

We only used pre-operative radiotherapy in two patients. Some authors support there is no clear difference in the sequence of surgery and radiotherapy in the management of sinonasal cancer patients [17].

5. Conclusion

Sinonasal adenocarcinomas are rare tumors with aggressive biological behaviour and no specific presentations symptoms. Wood dust exposure is a well known risk factor. In our experience, the limited surgical treatment (with no CFR) and postoperative radiotherapy has good survival rates results, similar to other departments which have a low threshold for CFR and consider it as the standard treatment.
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