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Abstract
In this paper, firstly, some priori estimates are obtained for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a two dimensional generalized anisotropy Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation. Then we prove the existence of the global attractor. Finally, we get the upper bound estimation of the Hausdorff and fractal dimension of attractor.
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1. Introduction
In recent years, the infinite dimension dynamic system with high dimension has been studied extensively, and the studies have obtained many achievements [1]-[8]. The related questions of its existence and uniqueness of solutions; the existence and dimension of global attractor; the existence and attraction of inertial manifolds; finite dimension, approximate inertial manifolds and time-lag inertial manifolds are still important contents that are studied.

The celebrated Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation

\[ u_t + u_{xxxx} + u_x + \frac{1}{2} u_x^2 = 0, \] (1.1)

where \( u = u(x,t) \), is an Equation that for nearly half a century has attracted the attention of many researchers from various areas due to its simple but rich dynamics [9]. It first appeared in the mid-1970s by Kuramoto in the study of angularphase turbulence for a system of reaction-diffusion equations modeling the Belousov Zhabo-
In a physical context, Equation (1.1) is used to model continuous media that exhibits chaotic behavior such as weak turbulence on interfaces among complex flows (quasi-planar flame front and the fluctuation of the positions of a flame front, fluctuations in thin viscous fluid films flowing over inclined planes or vertical walls, dendritic phase change fronts in binary alloy mixtures, small perturbations of a metastable planar front or interface (spatially uniform oscillating chemical reaction in a homogeneous medium) and physical systems driven far from the equilibrium due to intrinsic instabilities (instabilities of dissipative trapped ion modes in plasmas and phase dynamics in reaction-diffusion systems).

As a dynamical system the KSE is known for its chaotic solutions and complicated behavior due to the terms that appear. Namely, the $u_{xx}$ term acts as an energy source and has a destabilizing effect at a large scale, the dissipative $u_{xxxx}$ term provides dumping in small scales and, finally, the nonlinear term provides stabilization by transferring energy between large and small scales. Because of this fact, Equation (1.1) was studied extensively as a paradigm of finite dynamics in a partial differential equation. Its multi-modal, oscillatory and chaotic solutions have been investigated; its non-integrability was established via its Painlevé analysis and due to its bifurcation behavior, a connection to low finite-dimensional dynamical systems is established.

The generalization of KSE to two dimensions comes naturally, the two-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation

$$u_t + V^2 u + V^2 u + (Vu) \cdot (Vu) = 0,$$

where now $u = u(x, y, t)$ and $V^2 = \nabla \cdot \nabla, V^4 = \nabla \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot \nabla)$. Equation (1.2) has equally attracted much attention because of the same spatiotemporal chaos properties that exhibits and its applications in modeling complex dynamics in hydrodynamics [11]. Nevertheless, due to the additional spatial dimension Equation (1.2) is very challenging and even its well-posedness is still an open problem.

One generalization of Equation (1.2) which is of much interest is the anisotropic two-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation

$$u_t = \frac{1}{2} u_{xx} + \frac{\beta}{2} u_{yy} - u_{xx} - \alpha u_{yy} - u_{xxxx} - 2u_{xxyy} - u_{yyyy},$$

where the two real parameters $\alpha, \beta$ control the anisotropy of the linear and the nonlinear term, respectively, in other words, the stability of the solutions of Equation (1.3). The anisotropic two-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation, due to the fact that it describes linearly unstable surface dynamics in the presence of in-plane anisotropy, has a wide range of applications, for instance, as a model for the nonlinear evolution of sputter-eroded surfaces and describing the epitaxial growth of a vicinal surface destabilized by step edge barriers; for further details, see the references therein, in particular [12].

This paper focuses on the following generalization of the anisotropic KSE (1.3)

$$u_t = \frac{1}{2} u_{xx} + h(u) u_{yy} + r(u) u_{xx} + g(u) u_{yy} - u_{xxxx} - 2u_{xxyy} - u_{yyyy} + f(u),$$

where $f, g, h$ and $r$ are considered as smooth functions of $u = u(x, y, t)$, and its study under the prism of Lie point symmetries and conservation laws [13].

According to the above information, the paper mainly thinks about the following generalization of the anisotropic KSE (1.4)

$$u_t + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\varphi(u))_{xx} + (g(u))_{yy} = f, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \subset R^2,$$

$$u(x, y, t)_{|_{\partial \Omega}} = u_0(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \subset R^2,$$

$$u(x, y, t)_{|_{\partial \Omega}} = 0, \quad \Delta u(x, y, t)_{|_{\Omega}} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in \Omega \subset R^2.$$

Here $\Omega \subset R^2$ is bounded set; $\partial \Omega$ is the bound of $\Omega$; $\varphi(u)$ and $g(u)$ are considered as smooth functions of $u(x, y, t)$. Let $\| \cdot \|_{C^2(\Omega)}$, $\| \cdot \|_u = \| \cdot \|_{C^1(\Omega)}$.

The following is the rest of this paper. In Section 2, we introduce some basic contents concerning global at-
tractor. In Section 3, we obtain the existence of the global attractor, then we get the upper bound estimation of the Hausdorff and fractal dimension of the global attractor.

2. The Priori Estimate of Solution of Questions (1.5) - (1.7)

**Lemma 1.** Assume \( \phi'(u) \leq \phi_0 \), \( g'(u) \leq g_0 \), \( g_0 \leq 2\gamma - \phi_0 - 1 \); \( \alpha > \frac{\phi_0 + g_0}{2} \); \( f \in L^2(\Omega) \), \( u_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \), so the smooth solution \( u \) of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) satisfies

\[
\|u\| \leq \left[ \frac{\phi_0 + g_0 - 2\gamma + 1}{\phi_0 + g_0 - 2\gamma + 1} \right] + \frac{\|f\|}{\phi_0 + g_0 - 2\gamma + 1}. 
\]

**Proof.** We multiply \( u \) with both sides of Equation (1.5) and obtain

\[
(u, u + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\phi(u))_{xs} + (g(u))_{yy}) = (u, f).
\]

Here

\[
\begin{align*}
(u, (\phi(u))_{xs}) &= -(u_x, \phi'(u))_{xs} = -(u, \phi'(u))_{xs} = -\int_\Omega \phi'(u) u_x^2 d\Omega \geq -\phi_0 \|\nabla u\|^2, \\
(u, (g(u))_{yy}) &= -(u_y, g'(u))_{yy} = -(u, g'(u))_{yy} = -\int_\Omega g'(u) u_y^2 d\Omega \geq -g_0 \|\nabla u\|^2.
\end{align*}
\]

According to Nirenberg-Gagliardo and Cauchy inequality, we obtain

\[
\|\nabla u\|^2 \leq \|\Delta u\| + \frac{1}{2} (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|f\|^2),
\]

From the (2.2) we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \left( \alpha - \frac{\phi_0 + g_0}{2} \right) \|\Delta u\|^2 \leq \left( \frac{\phi_0 + g_0 + 1}{2} - \gamma \right) \|u\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2.
\]

Using the Gronwall inequality, the (2.1) is proved.

**Lemma 2.** Under the condition of Lemma 1, and \( \phi'(u) \leq A|u|^q, 0 \leq q < 2 \); \( g'(u) \leq B|u|^p, 0 \leq p < 2 \); \( f \in L^2(\Omega) \), \( u_0 \in H^1(\Omega) \), so the smooth solution \( u \) of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) satisfies

\[
\|\nabla u\|^2 \leq e^{2\gamma t} \|\nabla u_0\|^2 + \frac{C_2}{\gamma}.
\]

**Proof.** We multiply \( \Delta u \) with both sides of Equation (1.5) and obtain

\[
(\Delta u, u_x + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\phi(u))_{xs} + (g(u))_{yy}) = (\Delta u, f).
\]

Here

\[
\begin{align*}
(\Delta u, (\phi(u))_{xs}) &= -(\Delta u, \phi'(u))_{xs} = -(\Delta u, \phi'(u))_{xs} = -\int_\Omega \phi'(u) u_x^2 d\Omega \geq -\phi_0 \|\nabla u\|^2, \\
(\Delta u, (g(u))_{yy}) &= -(\Delta u, g'(u))_{yy} = -(\Delta u, g'(u))_{yy} = -\int_\Omega g'(u) u_y^2 d\Omega \geq -g_0 \|\nabla u\|^2.
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{Lemma 1.}\]
\[ \left\| \Delta u, (g(u))_{\gamma} \right\| = \left\| (\Delta (u))_{\gamma} + (g(u))_{\gamma} \right\| \leq \left\| g'(u) \nabla u \right\| \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 + \frac{3}{2\alpha} \left\| g'(u) \nabla u \right\| \].

According to the hypothetical condition \( |\varphi'(u)| \leq A|u|^q \), \( |g'(u)| \leq B|u|^q \), and Sobolev interpolation inequalities
\[ \|\nabla u\|_p = C_1 \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 \|u\|^2 + C'_1 \|u\|, \quad \|\nabla u\| = C_2 \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 \|u\|^2 + C'_2 \|u\|, \]
so
\[ \frac{3}{2\alpha} \left\| g'(u) \nabla u \right\|^2 \leq \frac{3}{2\alpha} B^2 C_1^2 C_2^2 \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 \|u\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{6} \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 + C_1 \left( C_1, C_2, p, \|u\| \right), \]
\[ \frac{3}{2\alpha} \left\| g'(u) \nabla u \right\|^2 \leq \frac{3}{2\alpha} B^2 C_1^2 C_2^2 \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 \|u\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{6} \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 + C'_1 \left( C_1, C_2, p, \|u\| \right). \]
Using the Young inequality obtain
\[ (\Delta u, f) \leq \left( \nabla \Delta u, (-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \right) = \frac{\alpha}{12} \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 + C_4 \left\| \Delta^{-1} f \right\|^2. \]
From the (2.5) we obtain
\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{4} \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\|^2 \leq -\gamma \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + C_5. \]

Here \( C_5 \geq C_4 \|\|_4 + C'_4 (E) \). According to the Gronwall inequality, we can get the (2.4).

**Lemma 3.** Under the condition of Lemma 2, and \( |\varphi'(u)| \leq A|u|^q \); \( |g'(u)| \leq B|u|^q \); \( u_0 \in H^2(\Omega), f \in L^2(\Omega) \), so the smooth solution \( u \) of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) satisfies
\[ \left\| \Delta u \right\|^2 \leq e^{-2\alpha t} \left\| \Delta u_0 \right\|^2 + \frac{3\|f\|^2}{\gamma \alpha}. \]

**Proof.** We multiply \( \Delta^2 u \) with both sides of Equation (1.5) and obtain
\[ \left( \Delta^2 u, u + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\varphi(u))_{xx} + (g(u))_{yy} \right) = (\Delta^2 u, f). \]
Here
\[ \left( \Delta^2 u, u \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \Delta u \right\|^2, \quad \left( \Delta^2 u, \alpha \Delta^2 u \right) = \alpha \left\| \Delta^2 u \right\|^2, \quad \left( \Delta^2 u, \gamma u \right) = \gamma \left\| \Delta u \right\|^2. \]
By Sobolev interpolation inequality
\[ \left\| \nabla \Delta u \right\| \leq C_1 \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 \left\| \Delta^2 u \right\|^2 + C'_1 \|u\|. \]
Noticing interpolation inequalities
\[ \left\| \nabla u \right\| \leq C_1 \left\| \Delta^2 u \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + C'_1 \|u\|, \quad \left\| \nabla u \right\| \leq C_1 \left\| \Delta^2 u \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + C'_1 \|u\|, \]
\[ \left\| \Delta u \right\| = C_1 \left\| \Delta^2 u \right\|^2 \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + C'_1 \|u\|, \]
so
According to the Young inequality, we can obtain

\[ (\Delta^2 u, f) \leq \frac{\alpha}{12} \| \Delta^2 u \|^2 + \frac{3}{\alpha} \| f \|^2. \]

From the (2.7) we obtain

\[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \Delta^2 u \|^2 + \frac{7\alpha}{12} \| \Delta^2 u \|^2 \leq -\gamma \| \Delta u \|^2 + \frac{3}{\alpha} \| f \|^2. \]

By the Gronwall inequality we can get the (2.6).

**Lemma 4.** Under the condition of Lemma 3, and

\[ \varphi(u) \in C^3, |\varphi'(u)| + |\varphi''(u)| \leq k, k > 0; \ g(u) \in C^3, |g'(u)| + |g''(u)| \leq l, l > 0; \ u_0 \in H^2(\Omega), f \in H^1(\Omega), \]

so the smooth solution \( u \) of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) satisfies

\[ \| \nabla \Delta u \| \leq \frac{E_0}{t}, (t > 0). \] (2.8)

**Proof.** We multiply \( i^2 \Delta^2 u \) with both sides of Equation (1.5) and obtain

\[ \left( i^2 \Delta^2 u, u_x + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\varphi(u))_x + (g(u))_{xx} \right) = (i^2 \Delta^2 u, f). \] (2.9)

Here

\[ (i^2 \Delta^2 u, u_x) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2 + \| i^2 \Delta^2 u \|^2, \]

\[ (i^2 \Delta^2 u, \alpha \Delta^2 u) = -\alpha \| \nabla \Delta^2 u \|^2, \quad (i^2 \Delta^2 u, \gamma u) = -\gamma \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2. \]

By using the Sobolev inequality

\[ \| u \|_e \leq C_1 \| \Delta u \|_e \| u \|^\frac{1}{2} + C_2, \quad \| \nabla u \|_e \leq C_3 \| \Delta u \|_e \| \nabla \Delta^2 u \|^\frac{1}{2} + C_3. \]

So

\[ \left| (i^2 \Delta^2 u, (\varphi(u))_x) \right| \leq t^2 \left( \Delta^2 u, \varphi'(u) \right) = t^2 \left( \nabla \left( (\varphi'(u) \Delta u + \varphi''(u) (\nabla u)^2, \nabla \Delta^2 u) \right) \right) \]

\[ \leq C \left( t^2 \| \varphi'(u) \|_e \| \nabla u \|_e \| \nabla \Delta^2 u \| \| \nabla \Delta^2 u \| \right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \| \nabla \Delta^2 u \|^2 + C_{10}, \]
\[
\left| \left( r^2 \Delta^n u, (g(u))_x \right)_y \right| \leq r^2 \left( \Delta^n u, \Delta^n g(u) \right) = \left| r^2 \left( \nabla \left( g'(u) \Delta u + g^*(u) (\nabla u)^2, \nabla \Delta u \right) \right) \right| \\
\leq C' \left( r^2 \| g^*(u) \| \| \nabla u \| \| \nabla u \| \right) \| \nabla \Delta u \| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2 + C'_{60}.
\]

By the Young inequality, we obtain
\[
\left| \left( r^2 \Delta^n u, f \right) \right| = \left| r^2 \nabla \Delta^n u, \nabla f \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \| \nabla \Delta^n u \|^2 + \frac{3}{2\alpha} \| \nabla f \|^2.
\]

From the (2.9), we obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2 \leq C_1 \left( \| \nabla \Delta u \|^2 + \| \nabla f \|^2 + 1 \right).
\]

So we have
\[
\| \nabla \Delta u \| \leq \frac{E_u}{t}, (t > 0).
\]

### 3. Global Attractor and Dimension Estimation

**Theorem 1.** Assume that \( f \in H^1(\Omega) \) and \( u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \), so Questions (1.5) - (1.7) exist a unique smooth solution \( u \) and \( u \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)) \).

**Proof.** By the method of Galerkin and Lemma 1 - Lemma 3, we can easily obtain the existence of solutions. Next, we prove the uniqueness of solutions in detail.

Amusse \( u, v \) are two solutions of Questions (1.5) - (1.7), so the difference of them \( w = u - v \) satisfies
\[
u_j + \alpha \Delta^2 u + \gamma u + (\phi(u))_x + (g(u))_y = f, \\
v_j + \alpha \Delta^2 v + \gamma v + (\phi(v))_x + (g(v))_y = f,
\]
and
\[
w(0) = 0, w \in L^\infty(0, +\infty; H^2(\Omega)).
\]

The two above formulae subtract and obtain
\[
w_j + \alpha \Delta^2 w + \gamma w + (\phi(u))_x + (g(u))_y - (\phi(v))_x - (g(v))_y = 0. \tag{3.1}
\]

We multiply \( w \) with both sides of Equation (3.1) and obtain
\[
\left( w, w_j + \alpha \Delta^2 w + \gamma w + (\phi(u))_x + (g(u))_y - (\phi(v))_x - (g(v))_y \right) = 0. \tag{3.2}
\]

Here
\[
\left( w, w_j \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \| w \|_t^2, \left( w, \alpha \Delta^2 w \right) = \alpha \| \Delta w \|_t^2, \left( w, \gamma u \right) = \gamma \| w \|_t^2.
\]

Since the assume of Lemma 1, we obtain
\[
\left| w_j (\phi(u))_x - (\phi(v))_x \right| = \left| w_j (\phi(u) - \phi(v))_x \right| = \left| w_j (\phi'(u + \theta w) w)_x \right| \\
= \left| \left( w_x, \phi'(u + \theta w) w \right) \right| \leq \left( \Delta w, \phi'(u + \theta w) w \right) \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \| \Delta w \|_t^2 + \frac{3}{2\alpha} \| \phi_0 \| \| w \|_t^2.
\]
\[
\left\| w, (g(u))_x - (g(v))_x \right\| = \left\| w, (g(u) - g(v))_x \right\| = \left\| w, (g'(u + \theta w))_x \right\| \\
= \left\| w_x, g'(u + \theta w) \right\| \leq \left\| \Delta w, g'(u + \theta w) \right\| \\
\leq \frac{\alpha}{6} \left\| \Delta w \right\|^2 + \frac{3}{2\alpha} g^2 \left\| u \right\|^2.
\]

From the (3.2) we can obtain
\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| u \right\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{3} \left\| \Delta w \right\|^2 \leq C \left\| u \right\|^2, \quad C = -\gamma + \frac{3(\varphi^2 + g^2)}{2\alpha}.
\]

According to the Gronwall inequality, we obtain
\[
\left\| u \right\|^2 \leq \left\| u(0) \right\|^2 e^{2\gamma t} = 0.
\]

So we can get \( w = 0 \), the uniqueness is proved.

**Theorem 2.** [8] Let \( E \) be a Banach space, and \( \{S(t)\} \) \((t \geq 0)\) are the semigroup operators on \( E \).
\( S(t) : E \rightarrow E, S(t) \cdot S(\tau) = S(t + \tau), S(0) = I \), here \( I \) is a unit operator. Set \( S(t) \) satisfy the follow conditions

1) \( S(t) \) is bounded. Namely \( \forall R > 0, \left\| u \right\|_E \leq R \), it exists a constant \( C(R) \), so that
\[
\left\| S(t)u \right\|_E \leq C(R) \left( t \in [0, +\infty) \right);
\]

2) It exists a bounded absorbing set \( B_0 \subset E \), namely \( \forall B \subset E \), it exists a constant \( t_0 \), so that
\[
S(t)B \subset B_0 \quad (t > t_0);
\]

3) When \( t > 0 \), \( S(t) \) is a completely continuous operator \( A \).

Therefore, the semigroup operators \( S(t) \) exist a compact global attractor.

**Theorem 3.** Under the assume of Theorem 1, Questions (1.5) - (1.7) have global attractor
\[
A = w(B_0) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} S(t)B_0,
\]

\( B_0 \) is the bounded absorbing set of \( H^2(\Omega) \) and satisfies

1) \( S(t)A = A, t > 0 \),

2) \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \text{dist} \left( S(t)B, A \right) = 0 \), here \( \forall B \subset H^2(\Omega) \) and it is a bounded set,
\[
\text{dist}(X, Y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \|x - y\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.
\]

**Proof.** Under the conditions of Lemma 1 - Lemma 4, it exists the solution semigroup \( S(t) \) of Questions (1.5)-(1.7), \( E = H^2(\Omega), S(t) : H^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega) \).

From Lemma 1 - Lemma 3, to \( \forall B \subset H^2(\Omega) \), \( u_0 \) is a bounded set that includes in the ball \( \left\{ \|u\|_{H^2} \leq R \right\} \),
\[
\|S(t)u_0\|_{H^2} = \|u_0\|_{H^2} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^2} + C_1 \leq R^2 + C_1, \quad (t \geq 0, u_0 \in B).
\]

This shows \( \{S(t)\} \) \((t \geq 0)\) is uniformly bounded in \( H^2(\Omega) \).

Furthermore, when \( t \geq t_0 = t_0(R, \|f\|) \), there is
\[
\|S(t)u_0\|_{H^2} = \|u_0\|_{H^2} \leq 2(E_1 + E_2 + E_3), \quad \text{therefore,}
\]
\[
B_0 \geq \left\{ u \in H^2(\Omega), \|u\|_{H^2} \leq \sqrt{2}(E_1 + E_2 + E_3) \right\}
\]

is the bounded absorbing set of semigroup \( S(t) \).

From Lemma 4, there are \( \|\nabla u\|_t \leq \frac{E(R, t)}{t}, (t > 0), \|u_0\|_{H^2} \leq R \). Since \( H^3(\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\Omega) \) is tightly em-
bedded, which means that the bounded set in $H^2(\Omega)$ is the tight set in $H^2(\Omega)$ to $t > 0$ is completely continuous. Furthermore we can know, the at tractor $A$ is $w$-limited set of the absorptive set $B_0$, $A = w(B_0) = \bigcap_{t > 0} \overline{S(t)B_0}.$

In order to estimate the Hausdorff and fractal dimension of the global attractor $A$ of Questions (1.5) - (1.7), let Questions (1.5) - (1.7) linearize, then we obtain

$$v_t + \alpha \Delta^2 v + \gamma v + (\varphi'(u)v)_{xx} + (g'(u)v)_{yy} = 0,$$

$$v_t + L(u(t))v = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

$$v(0) = v_0, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$L(u(t))v = \alpha \Delta^2 v + \gamma v + (\varphi'(u)v)_{xx} + (g'(u)v)_{yy}.$$

So the solutions of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) are fully smooth. It is easy to prove the initial value, appropriate, smooth and linear Questions (3.3) - (3.4) have global and smooth solutions. Let

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0, v(t) = (DS(t)u_0)v_0, w(t) = S(t)(u_0 + v_0),$$

$\forall M_1, M_2$ and $T$ are constants, so it exists a constant $C_{13} = C(M_1, M_2, T),$ and $\|v_0\| \leq M_1, \|v_0\| \leq M_2, \|v\| \leq T,$ so there is $\|w(t) - u(t) - v(t)\| \leq C_{13}\|v_0\|.$

This suggests that $S(t)$ is Frechet differential in $L^2(\Omega).$

Let $V_1(t), V_2(t), \ldots, V_N(t)$ be the solutions of the linear Equation (3.3) corresponding to the initial value $V_1(0) = \xi_1, V_2(0) = \xi_2, \ldots, V_N(0) = \xi_N,$ so there is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left|V_1(t) \wedge V_2(t) \wedge \ldots \wedge V_N(t)\right|^2 = -2tr(L(u(t)) : Q_N)\left|V_1(t) \wedge V_2(t) \wedge \ldots \wedge V_N(t)\right|^2 = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

$L(u(t)) = L(S(t)u_0)$ is linear mapping that is defined in the (3.4); $\wedge$ represents the outer product; $tr$ represents the trace; $Q_N$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(\Omega)$ to the span $\{V_1(t), V_2(t), \ldots, V_N(t)\}$. So from (3.5), we can turn $N$ dimensions volume element $\Lambda_{n=1}^N \xi_n$ into

$$w_N(t) = \sup_{u_0 \in E, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \sup \left|\left|V_1(t) \wedge V_2(t) \wedge \ldots \wedge V_N(t)\right|^2 \right|_2 \leq \sup_{u_0 \in E} \left|\left|\inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2}tr\left(L(S(t)u_0) : Q_N(\tau)\right)\right)\right|\right|_2,$$

$w_N(t)$ is secondly exponential, namely

$$w_N(t + t') \leq w_N(t) \cdot w_N(t'), \ t, t' \geq 0.$$

So

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} w_N(t)^{1 \over n} = \Pi_n, 1 \leq n \leq N, \Pi_n \leq e^{-\Theta_0}.$$

Here

$$q_N = \lim_{t \to \infty} \sup \left(\inf_{u_0 \in E} \left(\int_0^t \inf_{\tau} \left(tr\left(L(S(\tau)u_0) : Q_N(\tau)\right)\right) d\tau\right)\right).$$

**Theorem 4.** Under the assume of Theorem 3, the global attractor $A$ of Questions (1.5) - (1.7) has finite Hausdorff and fractal dimensin, and

$$d_H \leq J_0, d_F \leq 2J_0,$$

Here $J_0$ is a minimal positive integer of the following inequality
Proof. By theorem [8], we need to estimate the lower bound of \( tr(L(u(t)) \cdot Q_{n}) \). Let \( \phi_1, \phi_2, \cdots, \phi_n \) be the orthogonal basis of subspace of \( Q_{n}L^2(\Omega) \),

\[
tr(L(u(t)) \cdot Q_{n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \alpha \Delta \phi_j + \gamma \phi_j + \langle (\phi'(u)\phi_j)_{xx}, \phi_j \rangle + \langle (g'(u)\phi_j)_{yy}, \phi_j \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left\{ \alpha \left\| \Delta \phi_j \right\| + \gamma \left\| \phi_j \right\|^2 - \left\{ \langle (\phi'(u)\phi_j)_{x}, \phi_j \rangle \right\} - \left\{ \langle (g'(u)\phi_j)_{y}, \phi_j \rangle \right\} \right\}.
\]

Here

\[
\left\| \langle (\phi'(u)\phi_j)_{x}, \phi_j \rangle \right\| \leq \left\| \nabla (\phi'(u)\phi_j), \nabla \phi_j \right\| C
\]

\[
= \left\| (\phi''(u)\nabla u\phi_j, \nabla \phi_j) + \left\{ (\phi'(u)\nabla \phi_j), \nabla \phi_j \right\} \right\|
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (\phi''(u)\nabla u, \phi_j^3) + \left\{ (\phi'(u)\nabla \phi_j), \nabla \phi_j \right\} \right\}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \phi''(u)(\nabla u)^2, \phi_j \right\} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \phi'(u)\Delta u, \phi_j^3 \right\} + \left\{ \langle (\phi'(u)\nabla \phi_j), \nabla \phi_j \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \left\| \phi''(u) \right\| \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 \left\| \phi_j \right\| + \left\| \phi'(u) \right\| \left\| \Delta u \right\| \left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\| + \left\| \phi'(u) \right\| \left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\| \left\| \phi_j \right\|
\]

\[
\leq C_0 \left\| \phi''(u) \right\| \left\| \nabla u \right\|^2 + C_0 \left\| \phi'(u) \right\| \left\| \Delta u \right\| + C_0 \left\| \phi'(u) \right\| \left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\| \left\| \phi_j \right\|
\]

\[
\leq C_0 \frac{2C_1}{\gamma} \left\| \phi''(u) \right\| + C_0 \sqrt{2E_1 + E_2 + E_3} \left\| \phi''(u) \right\| \left\| \phi'(u) \right\| \left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\| \left\| \phi_j \right\|
\]

Under the bounded condition, \( \phi_j(x,y) = e^{ikx+ily} \) is the standard eigenfunction of \( -\Delta u = \lambda u \), and the corresponding eigenvalues are \( \lambda_j (j = 1, 2, \cdots) \), and

\[
\left\| \nabla \phi_j \right\|^2 = \lambda_j, \left\| \Delta \phi_j \right\|^2 = \lambda_j^2, \left\| \phi_j \right\|^2 = 1, \lambda_j \geq \left[ \frac{(j-1)\pi}{2} \right]^2 - 1 \sim C \cdot j.
\]

Therefore, we can get
\[
\text{tr}\left( L(u(t)) \cdot Q_\omega \right) \geq \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2 + N \gamma - \frac{2 C_0}{\gamma} \left( \| \phi''(u) \|_\infty + \| g''(u) \|_\infty \right) \\
+ C_0 \sqrt{2E + E_3} \left( \| \phi''(u) \|_\infty + \| g''(u) \|_\infty \right) + \left( \| \phi'(u) \|_\infty + \| g'(u) \|_\infty \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

By \( \lambda_j \geq C_j \cdot j \) and

\[
N > \frac{1}{2 \alpha C^2} \left\{ 6 C_0 \left[ \frac{2 C_0}{\gamma} \left( \| \phi''(u) \|_\infty + \| g''(u) \|_\infty \right) + \sqrt{2E + E_3} \left( \| \phi''(u) \|_\infty + \| g''(u) \|_\infty \right) \right] \\
+ 3 C_0 \left( \| \phi'(u) \|_\infty + \| g'(u) \|_\infty \right) - \gamma \right\} = J_0,
\]

we have

\[
\text{tr}\left( L(u(t)) \cdot Q_\omega \right) > 0.
\]

Therefore

\[
d_H \leq J_0, \quad d_F \leq 2J_0.
\]
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