Assessment of the Moroccan Mediterranean Coasts Contamination by Hydrocarbons (Non Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Linear Alkylbenzenes)
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Abstract

In order to evaluate the contamination of the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts by persistent organic pollutants we studied hydrocarbons and linear alkylbenzenes in bivalve tissues (cockles) collected seasonally from several points along the western Moroccan coasts in the Mediterranean Sea. Two fractions corresponding to non aromatic and aromatic hydrocarbons were analyzed by GC/FID and GC/MS. Non aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations vary in the range of 24.1 - 273 μg/g dry weight (dw) while total n-alkanes vary from 2.2 to 68.2 μg/g. Few exceptions were noted with values up to 243 μg/g (dw), which is high compared to other Mediterranean sites. The presence of an important unresolved complex mixture (UCM) indicated a significant petroleum contamination, confirmed by the identification of 17α(H), 21β(H) hopanes. Biogenic contributions were also detected within the n-alkane distribution (n-C17, n-C18, n-C27, n-C29, n-C17/Pr, n-C18/Phi) and by the presence of alkenes. C13 and C14 linear alkylbenzenes were found at concentrations of 478 - 1954 ng/g. and point to pollutant inputs from wastewaters. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons were present in low concentrations below the GC detection limit. The observed seasonal and spatial variations were linked to the magnitude of inputs from marine and land-based pollutant discharges.
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1. Introduction

Among persistent organic pollutants, hydrocarbons are the most ubiquitous organic contaminants in the marine environment, often at a high level in areas submitted to intense ship traffic and in semi-enclosed seas [1]. In the Mediterranean Sea, Burns and Saliot [2] estimated that over three quarters of a million tonnes of oil were introduced annually into the Mediterranean Sea from land-based and open-sea discharges. Compared with updated estimates of oil inputs to the world’s oceans [3], the Mediterranean would receive about 24% of the NAS “best reasonable estimate”, although representing about 1% of the world’s ocean surface.

Many studies of petrogenic and pyrolytic hydrocarbon contamination have been carried out in the northwestern Mediterranean coasts, especially in sediment and biota samples [4-7]. But there is a tremendous lack of information regarding the southern Mediterranean, except for the Algerian [8], Tunisian [9,10] and Egyptian coasts [11].

The western Moroccan Mediterranean coasts have been investigated by a preliminary study [12], which remains very incomplete. These coasts are well known for tourism, fisheries and industrial activities. They receive high inputs of organic matter mostly anthropogenic, from ship traffic discharges, untreated sewage and wastewater discharges. But the level of contamination is still unknown. The MYTILOS project, initiated in 2003 (for a duration of 3 years), was focused on the realization of a surveillance network of onshore waters of the western Mediter-
ranean Sea using mussels as transplanted bioindicators. It was dealing with chemical pollutant analysis, mainly of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). The latter appeared to be important in Spanish (Valence) and Italian (Piombino) coasts.

However, the results remain limited especially for the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts. The project had been extended with a new project (2006) INTERREG III B MEDOC. Nevertheless, there is still a lack of information regarding the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts since this new project concerns mainly Italy, Greece, Tunisia, Lebanon and Syria.

The study of the Moroccan Mediterranean coasts requires a species of bivalves having an important geographic repartition and serving as a good indicator such as cockles, *Acanthocardia tuberculata*. This explains the aim of our study, focusing on hydrocarbons and alkylbenzenes, and using *Acanthocardia Tuberculata* as a good biological indicator having an important geographic repartition.

In general, bivalves are widely used for monitoring pollution in the marine environment [13,14]. They are considered as the best bioindicators of micropollutants because of their ability to concentrate various contaminants to levels well above those present in the surrounding waters or sediment [15]. Moreover, they provide information on local pollution sources.

In order to reach our objectives, various series of hydrocarbon compounds (n-alkanes, isoprenoid hydrocarbons, unsaturated hydrocarbons, hopanoids, alkylbenzenes) were analyzed by gas chromatography-flame ionization detection (GC/FID) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Seven stations were selected along the western Mediterranean coasts of Morocco, from F’nideq to Kaâ Sras. Cockles were collected at different periods of the year, including dry and humid seasons. This study permits to assess the level of contamination by hydrocarbons along the western Mediterranean coasts of Morocco and to compare with data obtained in other sites both in north and south western Mediterranean sites.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Sampling

The littoral between F’nideq (35°51’05N; 5°21’00W) and Kaâ Aasrass (35°25’00N; 5°04’00W) was chosen for this study (Figure 1).

Along the northern part of these coasts, there is a chain of tourism installations with 2 pleasance harbours (Marina Smir and Marina Kabila) and one fishery harbour (M’diq port). This coastal zone is subjected to important inputs from sewage waters from Tetouan city (2 470 372 inhabitants in the region, 213.52 hab/km²) and close villages. It is important to indicate the significant role of some rivers like Martil (0.23 - 3350 m³/s respectively on summer and winter season) and Oued Laou (2.30 - 2150 m³/s respectively on summer and winter season), in the drainage of industrial and domestic discharges to the marine environment.

Four campaigns were realised to collect samples of cockles from 6 sites in spring (S1-A, S3-A, S4-A, S5-A, S6-A, S7-A).
S6-A, S7-A), from 7 sites in summer (S1-B, S2-B, S3-B, S4-B, S5-B, S6-B, S7-B), from 6 sites in autumn (S1-C, S2-C, S4-C, S5-C, S6-C, S7-C), and from 5 sites in winter (S3-D, S4-D, S5-D, S6-D, S7-D) (Figure 1).

2.2. Extraction and Analysis of Hydrocarbons

Entire organism tissues were crushed, freeze-dried and soxhlet extracted (5 g) with methanol for 10 h. Perdeuterated internal standards (n-C24D50 and p-terphenyl-D14) were added before the extraction. The saponification of the lipid extract was performed with KOH/distilled water (0.7N) for 2 h. Afterwards, liquid/liquid extraction was made with n-hexane 3 times.

The lipid extract was concentrated and separated by column chromatography on neutral alumina/silica (v:v) (5% deactivated). Aliphatic hydrocarbons (F1) were eluted with 20 ml n-hexane and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (F2) with 20 ml (9:1, v:v) then 30 ml (7:3, v:v) hexane/dichloromethane. Both fractions were concentrated under vacuum evaporation to dryness and then redissolved in 50 µl of n-hexane prior to the analysis by gas chromatography.

2.3. GC/FID and GC/MS

The quantitative analysis of hydrocarbons was carried out using a HP6890 Agilent chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector. F1 fraction was injected on a fused silica capillary column DB-5MS (J&W, 30 m, 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium was used as carrier gas. The oven temperature program used was: 60°C, raised to 100°C at a rate of 25°C/min, and to 310°C at 2°C/min, with an isothermal of 70 min at 310°C.

Hydrocarbons were identified by comparison of retention times with known standards. Of n-alkanes, ranging from n-C15 to n-C32. To confirm the structure of hydrocarbon compounds, selected samples were also analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. The GC/MS analysis was carried out on a HP6890 GC coupled to a HP5973 Mass Selective Detector, equipped with a DB-5MS fused-silica column (J&W, 30 m, 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium was used as carrier gas. The oven temperature program employed was the same as in GC/FID.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Fraction 1

This fraction corresponds to non aromatic hydrocarbons (NAH). They are composed of normal and isoprenoid alkanes, alkenes, hopanoids and an envelope of unresolved complex mixture (UCM). They were present in all samples. The total NAH concentrations range from 24.1 to 2731 µg/g dry weight (dw) (Table 1), being maximized at S4 station, which is located off the mouth of Martil river. This latter is well known for being a major source of pollution in the area.

The comparison of our results with those obtained for bivalve organisms in other studies proves to be difficult for two major reasons: first, the species used in almost all studies dealing with hydrocarbon contamination are not the same and second, NAH concentrations have not been reported in any of these studies. Concentrations generally reported are the sum of total n-alkanes. This can facilitate the comparison; nevertheless it can also underestimate the bulk amounts of NAH.

3.1.1. n-Alkanes

Concentrations of total n-alkanes vary between 2.2 and 68.2 µg/g dw (Table 1), with few exceptions recorded for some sites such as S4 station (A: 169.8 µg/g and D: 97.4 µg/g) and S3 station (C: 243 µg/g). In comparison with other studies dealing with NAH contamination in bivalve organisms, these results remain within the range reported for areas considered as mildly polluted [16]. Concentrations for all seasons except summer are higher than those reported for mussels in Guanabara Bay [17], Southeast Florida [18], Galicia [7,16], southern Baltic sea [19] and Gulf of Naples [6] (Table 2). The levels in summer fall in the same range as those reported for Bay of Todos os Santos (Brazil) [20]. However, the total n-alkane concentration recorded in S4 and S3 are very high but similar to those found by Soler and al., [21] for mussels in Galicia (Table 2).

The results obtained show a general distribution of n-alkanes ranged between n-C15 and n-C30; compounds lighter than n-C15 could be lost during the evaporation of extraction solvent. This distribution appears bimodal for most samples (Figure 2). The first mode, consisting in short chain n-alkanes, is predominant and constitutes more than 50% of total n-alkanes (% C < 25 vary from 22 to 100%) (Table 1). Bimodal n-alkane distribution has also been reported in oysters [22], winkles [23] and limpets [24] and has been proposed as originated from mixed contributions of terrestrial plant waxes and petroleum sources [25].

The dominant peaks are mainly n-C17, n-C27, n-C29 and n-C31 in most samples. This feature is related to planktonic [26-28] and terrestrial plant wax sources [29]. Nevertheless, some samples show higher abundance of n-C18 and n-C19 over n-C17, which is often attributed to bacterial sources [28]. The biogenic source is also confirmed by the presence of n-alkenes, identified in the range of C16 to C21. C15 to C19 n-alkenes are related to...
Table 1. Non aromatic hydrocarbon (NAH) levels (µg/g dry weight) in cockle samples from stations along the northwestern Moroccan Mediterranean coast collected in different seasons (spring (A), summer (B), autumn (C) and winter (D)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAH</th>
<th>UCM</th>
<th>U/R</th>
<th>%UCM</th>
<th>Tot n-alk</th>
<th>%C &lt; 25</th>
<th>Pr/Ph n-C17/Pr</th>
<th>n-C18/Ph</th>
<th>CPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1-A</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>57.18</td>
<td>34.19</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3-A</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>74.44</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>81.1</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-A</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5-A</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>47.91</td>
<td>160.9</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6-A</td>
<td>453.7</td>
<td>380.4</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>83.84</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7-A</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>82.6</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1-B</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>32.16</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>78.62</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2-B</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>71.28</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3-B</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>46.04</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-B</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5-B</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>37.46</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>66.52</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6-B</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>50.33</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>63.99</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7-B</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>26.77</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>61.56</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1-C</td>
<td>191.0</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>22.63</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2-C</td>
<td>122.04</td>
<td>10.83</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3-C</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-C</td>
<td>255.35</td>
<td>243.05</td>
<td>19.77</td>
<td>95.19</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>22.51</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5-C</td>
<td>153.27</td>
<td>140.72</td>
<td>11.21</td>
<td>91.81</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>53.32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6-C</td>
<td>179.77</td>
<td>24.36</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13.55</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>41.77</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7-C</td>
<td>135.75</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>65.90</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3-D</td>
<td>81.99</td>
<td>33.85</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>41.28</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>98.02</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4-D</td>
<td>2731.89</td>
<td>2227.51</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>81.54</td>
<td>97.41</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5-D</td>
<td>391.13</td>
<td>241.10</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>92.76</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>87.19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6-D</td>
<td>180.72</td>
<td>85.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>21.84</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>89.75</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7-D</td>
<td>259.92</td>
<td>36.43</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>20.16</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>70.59</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

algal sources [30] and are phytoplankton biomarkers (e.g. [28,30-33]). The squalene, a biogenic compound [28,34] is also identified in most samples.

On the other hand, the profiles of n-alkanes show a homogenous distribution between odd and even number of carbons without any predominance. This fact was confirmed by the CPI (Carbon Preference Index) values close to unity (0.5 - 2.05) (Table 1). This could indicate an oil contamination [15,29,35]. However, microbial contributions of long chain n-alkanes or microbial alteration of terrestrial n-alkanes [34-36] cannot be excluded.

### 3.1.2. UCM

In addition to the chromatographically resolved compounds, an unresolved complex mixture (UCM) of hydrocarbons is present in most samples (Figure 3), in the range n-C_{25} to n-C_{35}. However, in some samples, it appears as a bimodal hump in the range n-C_{17} to n-C_{25} and n-C_{29} to n-C_{35}. The UCM is generally considered as a mixture of many structurally complex isomers and homologs of branched and cyclic hydrocarbons that cannot be resolved by capillary columns [36,37]. Further, the presence of the UCM in the aliphatic fraction is considered as the most important indicator of petrogenic pollution by weathered or degraded petroleum residues [36] when the maximum height occurs mainly in the higher molecular weight. Yet, it has also been linked to bacterial degradation of natural organic inputs (algal detritus) [36,37]. The UCM concentrations vary from 10 to 2227 µg/g dry weight (Table 1). The ratio of the unresolved to resolved components (U/R) has been calculated for most samples. Usually U/R > 4 is used as a criterion for the presence of important petroleum residues [38]. In this study, 5 samples show U/R > 4, (S4: C, D; S5: C, D; S6: A). These results can be explained by the nearness to continental discharges.
Table 2. Aliphatic hydrocarbon levels (µg/g dry weight) in organism samples from the Mediterranean coast and other coasts in the world.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sites</th>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Sampling</th>
<th>Total aliph/alk</th>
<th>UCM</th>
<th>NAH</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis) Cockles (Cerastoderma edule)</td>
<td>2002-2003</td>
<td>89.46 - 5098.01 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Carro et al., 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanabara bay (Brazil)</td>
<td>Mussels (Perna perna)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Winter: 520 - 1461 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Azevedo et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Naples (Italy)</td>
<td>Fish (Boops boops, Scoperna scrofa, Trachinus araneus, Gobius paganellus, Coris julia, Merluccius, Boops salpa)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>771 - 33,202 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Amodio-Cocchieri et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Florida</td>
<td>Bivalves (Peria Columbus)</td>
<td>1990-1992</td>
<td>Mean value +/- 1; sd: 3156 +/- 1212</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Snedaker et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>Free-population: 0 - 6196 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Hermida et al., 1994a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>Raft-farmed population: 613 - 4690 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Hermida et al., 1994b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis)</td>
<td>1990-1991</td>
<td>Natural population Mean value (sd): 1430(717) - 2038(677) ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Hermida et al., 1994b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galicia (Spain)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus galloprovincialis)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>4.6 - 220 µg/g (Resolved hydrocarbons)</td>
<td>46 - 760 µg/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Soler et al., 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay of Todos os Santos (Brazil)</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus sp.) Oysters (Crassostrea sp.)</td>
<td>1985-1986</td>
<td>3.2 µg/g</td>
<td>2.4 µg/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Tavares et al., 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Baltic Sea</td>
<td>Mussels (Mytilus edulis)</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>250 - 7900 ng/g</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Law and Andrulewicz, 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW Moroccan coast</td>
<td>Cockles (Acanthocardia Tuberculata)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Spring: 1.7 - 169.8 µg/g(C13-C30)</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.3. Isoprenoids

Pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) are the most common isoprenoids detected in marine organisms, sediments and waters [24]. They are present in most of our samples. The ratio of pristane vs. phytane (Pr/Ph) has been used as an indicator of the redox conditions in sediments and/or as an indicator of oil slicks [24,39]. In uncontaminated sediment the Pr/Ph ratio is higher than one (usually between 3 and 5) [40]. The ratio Pr/Ph in all our samples is lower than 1 confirming a petrogenic contamination.

On the other hand, the ratios n-C17/Pr and n-C18/Ph, usually used as indicators of hydrocarbon degradation [41], indicate, for most samples, degraded material of biogenic inputs. However, most samples show high values of n-C17/Pr ratio which could be related to the relatively high contents of n-C17 in several stations.

3.1.4. Hopanes

Hopanes are ubiquitous compounds in crude oils, and resistant to weathering processes and bacterial degradation [36]. Their composition is usually characteristic of pollution sources; therefore they have been used as an identifier of petroleum pollution [42,43]. In this study, hopane series have been identified in all samples by monitoring m/z 191 in GC/MS analysis. The identified compounds have the thermodynamically stable 17α(H), 21(β(H) configuration. Such isomeric configuration occurs in crude oil and mature rocks [42]. The hopane distribution is characterized by the presence of C30 with subordinate amounts of 18α(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopanone (Ts), 17α(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopanone (Tm), 17α(H),21(β/H) 29-norhopane and the extended C31-C35 α-hopanes series.
Figure 2. n-Alkane distribution from C_{15} to C_{35} of cockle samples collected from KSr station during the 4 seasons corresponding to S7-A, S7-B, S7-C, and S7-D.

Figure 3. Chromatogram of Non Aromatic Hydrocarbons obtained by GC/FID for cockle samples in stations S3 (spring) and S6 (summer).

These latter occur as 22S and 22R epimers, characteristics of oil derived hydrocarbons [44], which confirms a fossil origin as already suggested by the CPI values, the presence of UCM and the Pr/Ph ratio (Figure 4).

3.2. Fraction 2

Inversely to what expected, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) are present in very low concentrations, below the limit of GC detection in all organism samples. However, the analysis showed the presence of compounds known as Long Chain Alkylbenzenes (LAB). These compounds were reported, for the first time, by Grimalt et al., [45] in sediments from the Catalane coast.

3.2.1. Long Chain Alkylbenzenes (LABs)

Long chain alkylbenzenes are important intermediates in the manufacture of the detergent surfactants [46]. They are the raw material for the industrial production of the linear alkylbenzenesulfonates (LAS) [43,47,48], which are the anionic surfactants commonly used in domestic synthetic detergents [43,47].

1% to 3% of LABs are unsulfonated during the synthesis of LAS-detergents [43,47,48], and can be carried into the aquatic environment in association with domestic wastes [43,47-50]. Since LABs are more resistant to microbial attack in the environment than LAS [47,48,51,52], they have been widely utilized for monitoring sewage inputs [48,51]. They have been detected in river waters [48,53], marine sediments [52,54] and marine organisms [47,55].

In this study, linear alkylbenzenes containing alkyl chains ranging from 13 to 14 carbon atoms were detected in most samples analyzed by monitoring m/z 91 and m/z 105 in the GC/MS analysis (Figure 5). Total concentrations of LABs vary from 478 to 1954 ng/g dw (Table 3). The absence of the lighter homologs could be related to the selective metabolism by the organism as observed in fishes [56]. It can also be related to the mode of nutrition of cockles. These organisms are known to have an active suspension feeding mode; they feed on the particulate phase. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that this phase
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Figure 4. Characteristic GC/MS mass fragmentogram for m/z 191. Ts: 18α(H)-22,29,30-trinorneohopane; Tm: 17α (H)-22,29,30-trinorhopane, C29: 17α(H), 21β(H) 29-norhopane, Hopanes; (C31-C35): hopane series with 22S and 22R epimers.

Table 3. Linear alkylbenzenes concentrations (ng/g dw) in cockles from sampling sites of North-Western Moroccan Mediterranean coasts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13-LAB 6</td>
<td>20.92</td>
<td>44.39</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>32.79</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>26.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-LAB 5</td>
<td>24.67</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>23.01</td>
<td>33.18</td>
<td>16.53</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-LAB 4</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>24.11</td>
<td>31.90</td>
<td>48.33</td>
<td>26.92</td>
<td>20.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-LAB 3</td>
<td>35.57</td>
<td>65.19</td>
<td>67.65</td>
<td>139.79</td>
<td>72.63</td>
<td>62.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-LAB 2</td>
<td>346.04</td>
<td>463.33</td>
<td>523.46</td>
<td>1081.44</td>
<td>501.67</td>
<td>510.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S3</th>
<th>S4</th>
<th>S5</th>
<th>S6</th>
<th>S7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14-LAB 6</td>
<td>579.37</td>
<td>16.61</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>66.46</td>
<td>26.74</td>
<td>16.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-LAB 5</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>14.77</td>
<td>36.39</td>
<td>69.86</td>
<td>168.46</td>
<td>21.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-LAB 4</td>
<td>31.56</td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>38.55</td>
<td>64.88</td>
<td>36.49</td>
<td>37.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-LAB 3</td>
<td>20.72</td>
<td>37.26</td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>142.74</td>
<td>71.93</td>
<td>30.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-LAB 2</td>
<td>103.57</td>
<td>92.32</td>
<td>113.62</td>
<td>285.48</td>
<td>134.19</td>
<td>125.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΣLAB</td>
<td>1166.80</td>
<td>788.47</td>
<td>935.31</td>
<td>1954.42</td>
<td>1076.50</td>
<td>847.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentrates the higher homologs of LABs [57].

4. Seasonal and Spatial Variations

This monitoring study points out the important amounts of hydrocarbons accumulated in bivalve organism’s tissues. The concentrations of non aromatic hydrocarbons vary from 24.1 to 2731.89 µg/g. Maximum values were observed in S4 and S6 sites corresponding to stations located near the mouths of Marltil and Oued Laou rivers, respectively. Moreover, site S2, located near the pleasure harbor of Kabila, shows sometimes high values of NAH. On the other hand, minimum values were found at stations located far from any sources of pollution.

The distribution of NAH shows both seasonal and spatial variations. The spatial variation was also revealed by the results of the MYTILOS project, which underlines the urban and industrial poles and the mouths of the main streams as the most polluted sectors, with a distinct dilution effect noted for the organic compounds [58].

Indeed, the coastal fringe from F’nideq (S1) to Kaâ Srass (S7) receives pollutant inputs from various point
sources. The spatial variation is strongly influenced by the proximity to the sources, marine ones as harbors and shipping activities, and continental ones as urban dismissals. The latter is strongly marked by the presence of LABs compounds. In fact, this zone receives an important amount of mineral and organic pollutants emanating from coastal agglomerations. Therefore, Martil and Oued Laou rivers mouths would be the most exposed sites to anthropogenic effluents. However, LABs are also present in sites far enough from the zone of pollution emissions (e.g., station S6). This can be attributed to the transport of pollutants by currents, and to the resistance of hydrocarbon pollutants.

Our results also reveal significant seasonal variations. Concentrations in winter and autumn are much higher than those in warm seasons, with maximum values recorded in winter. This is likely due to the higher river flow in humid seasons (winter and autumn) when rivers expel important discharges and associated pollutants seawards. In dry seasons highest concentrations are recorded in stations close to marine sources, such as harbors (Kabila site) and shipping activities.

Seasonal and spatial distributions of NAH, illustrated in Figure 6, show that the accumulation of NAH is strongly controlled by the proximity to marine pollution sources as well as to land-based ones. The latter are confirmed by the presence of the long chain alkylbenzenes reflecting domestic wastewater inputs.

5. Conclusions

This investigation provided important information on the contamination of western Mediterranean coasts of Morocco by hydrocarbons. Concentrations of total non aromatic hydrocarbons are important but still not alarming. In comparison with other sites, our results fall within the range reported for areas considered to be mildly polluted. The qualitative and quantitative analysis points out two major sources of hydrocarbons: natural sources linked to phytoplankton, bacteria and continental plants and anthropogenic sources related to petrogenic inputs from shipping activities and harbors as well as to urban wastes from nearby agglomerations transported by rivers. The distinct anthropogenic sources strongly control the seasonal and spatial variations observed.
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