An Aggressive Ameloblastic Fibroma in Maxilla of a 5-Year-Old Child—Reconstruction of the Defect with Buccal Flap Advancement—A Conservative Approach
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Abstract

Ameloblastic fibroma (AF) is a rare tumour of mixed odontogenic origin that can occur either in mandible or maxilla but is most frequently found in the posterior region of mandible. Age of occurrence is generally between first and second decades of life. It is often mistaken for a dentigerous cyst due to presence of an impacted tooth. The diagnosis of AF usually occurs accidentally by routine radiographic examination for an impacted tooth. Histologically it consists of odontogenic ectomesenchyme resembling the dental papilla, epithelium resembling dental lamina and enamel organ without dental hard tissues. There is controversy in the literature as to whether the treatment should be conservative or a radical resection should be done. A conservative treatment strategy, such as enucleation and curettage, is usually sufficient. We describe a case of massive ameloblastic fibroma in a 5-year-old child with an unusual position in maxillary posterior region and without any impacted tooth. Surgical resection of the tumor through Weber Ferguson approach was done under GA with 2 years of follow-up without any recurrence.
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1. Introduction

AF is a rare tumour of mixed odontogenic origin. It generally occurs in young age due to which it has a very high diagnostic consideration [1]. The most common site of occurrence is mandibular posterior region although it can also arise from maxilla [2]. AF and related lesions are defined by WHO as neoplasms composed of proliferating odontogenic epithelium embedded in a cellular ectomesenchymal tissue that resembles dental papilla, with varying degrees of inductive change and dental hard tissue formation [3]. Although more than 150 cases have been published, conflicting data exist in the literature on the clinical characteristics [4]. Edema and/or an increase in volume are the main signs of AF, although most cases are asymptomatic. Some are found incidentally by microscopic examination of the dental follicular tissue of unerupted teeth while others appear as large expanding lesion suggestive of neoplasm [5]. Primary lesions can usually be treated conservatively, with enucleation or curettage, and the tumour has a low rate of recurrence [6]. We describe a case of massive ameloblastic fibroma in a 5-year-old patient in the maxillary posterior region, a rare site for its occurrence with no impacted tooth associated with the lesion.

2. Case Report

A 5-year-old boy reported to the Department of Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dental Sciences, SGT University, Gurgaon with the chief complaint of a huge painless swelling on left side of the face since 2 years. The swelling was insidious in onset and gradually increased to the present size. He reported having no pre-existing medical conditions, fever or other signs of infection.

On extra-oral examination, there was facial asymmetry with an increase in volume on the left side of the face. The swelling extended supero-inferiorly about 1 cm below the left infraorbital margin to the lower border of mandible (Figure 1 and Figure 2). There was obliteration of the nasolabial fold. Left ala of the nose and the left corner of the mouth were stretched and pushed downwards. The swelling extended medio-laterally from the obliterated nasolabial fold and facial midline to a line drawn vertically downwards from the lateral orbital fissure to the mandible on the left side. Intraorally, the lesion was whitish, non tender, smooth with a rubbery texture and poorly defined margins. It measured approximately 8 × 5 cm in size. The swelling was associated with a
compromised vestibular fornix in the left side maxilla and severely displaced teeth 64 and 65. The swelling was accompanied by difficulty in swallowing and speech. Radiographic examination revealed a unilocular lesion, displacement of 64 and 65 and cortical bone expansion in antero-posterior and supero-inferior direction (Figure 3). Tomographic examination through 3D reconstruction indicated vestibular fenestration of the cortical bone till the infra orbital margin and anteriorly till the lateral wall of the nose (Figure 4). Aspiration was negative and an incisional biopsy was performed.

The microscopic examination revealed proliferation of odontogenic epithelial tissue and highly cellular mesenchymal tissue. Routine stains like hematoxylin and eosin were used. Microscopically, the tumour was composed of cell-rich mesenchymal tissue resembling the primitive dental papilla admixed with proliferating odontogenic epithelium (Figure 5 and Figure 6). Based on the clinical, imaging and microscopic data, a diagnosis of ameloblastic fibroma was established. Enucleation of the lesion was done by using Weber Ferguson incision
Figure 6. Histopathological photomicrograph.

under general anaesthesia (Figure 7 and Figure 8). A conservative approach was used for reconstruction using buccal flap advancement. The patient is on regular follow-up for 2 years. The outcome has been functionally and aesthetically satisfactory and there have been no signs of recurrence (Figures 9-11). The patient’s parents gave their consent for the case report to be published.

3. Discussion

Treatment of ameloblastic fibroma is controversial. Conservative treatment like enucleation and curettage is usually sufficient. However, radical treatment is required for aggressive lesions. The obvious advantage of conservative treatment over radical treatment is minimal anatomical distortion of the involved region.

AF generally occurs between 1st and 2nd decade of life in contrast to the present case which occurred at an early age of 1.5 years. AF is generally associated with impacted tooth in the posterior region of mandible, angle and/or ramus [7]. Our case is unique because the lesion is present in the maxillary posterior region which is a rare site for its occurrence.

The radiographic appearance may vary from a small unilocular lesion to an extensive multilocular lesion [7]. Bone expansion, tooth impaction and dislocation are common findings. In the presented case, radiographic examination revealed a large unilocular lesion with tooth displacement but no impacted tooth; this was an unusual finding for this kind of lesion. The tomography images of the reported case revealed fenestration of the cortical bone, a characteristic of extensive and long-standing lesions but rarely observed in AF.

Histologically, AF is a true mixed tumor, as it has both mesenchymal and epithelial neoplastic components with no associated calcified tissue [2]. Ameloblastic fibro-odontoma (AFO) is defined as a tumor that shares many features with AF but has enamel and dentin in its interior [8]. Some authors consider this lesion as an intermediate stage in the development of an odontoma, with the primary stage of formation being AF [9]. As some odontomas have similar histological features to AF and AFO, clinical findings are fundamental for the differentiation of these three pathological entities. If all cases occurred following the particular developmental stage outlined above, AF would affect young patients, odontoma would occur in elderly patients, and AFO would be seen in an intermediate age group. However, this is not the case. But in our case this statement holds true as our patient’s age is 5 years and he is giving a history of 3.5 years. In the past, AF was regarded as a variant of ameloblastoma, but it has recently been considered to be of odontogenic epithelial origin.

An aggressive surgical treatment is suggested by some authors because of the possibility of malignant transformation of an AF to an ameloblastic fibrosarcoma [10] [11]. Muller et al. [10] reported that since 1960, 44% cases of ameloblastic fibrosarcoma (19/43) had arisen from AF. There is also the consideration that the majority, if not all, of AFs are true neoplasms with a potential to recur and/or of malignant transformation and that some, especially those occurring during childhood, can represent the primitive stage of a developing odontoma [10]. On reviewing the literature with 85 cases of AF, the recurrence rate of AF found by Trodahl et al. [5] was 43.5%; while that found by Zallen et al. [12] was 18.3%. Lysell and Sund [13] proposed the incomplete primary removal as a reason of recurrence in their cases. This was supported by Mosby et al. [14] explaining that after the complete removal of a tumour clinically, this could not be stated at a cellular level. They suggested conservative removal of AF and modified block resection of any recurrence.

The prognosis for lesions of this proportion is dubious. In young patients with small lesions that respond well to conservative treatment, we recommend enucleation and curettage. However, in extensive lesions with the in-
Figure 7. Intraoperative photograph.

Figure 8. Resected specimen.

Figure 9. Postoperative photograph (extra oral).
volvement of adjacent tissues, we recommend block resection.

In this particular case, even though the lesion was extensive, it was treated conservatively. The child is disease free and is leading a normal healthy life post surgery.

Regardless of the form of treatment, patients with AF must be followed up for a long period to enable the early detection of possible recurrence or development of ameloblastic fibrosarcoma, which is the malignant counterpart of AF [15] [16]. In the case described here, the patient has been followed up for two years with no signs of recurrence or sarcomatous transformation, thereby demonstrating the success of the treatment. Hence, we would like to conclude that each case needs to be treated judiciously in terms of the surgical approach.
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