International Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2013, 4, 378-383
http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijcm.2013.49068 Published Online September 2013 (http://www.scirp.org/journal/ijcm)

The Effect of Baroreflex Function on Blood Pressure Variability
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the relationship of blood pressure variability (BPV) and heart rate variability (HRV) to investigate the effect of baroreflex function on blood pressure variability. Methods: This study consisted of 111 subjects, including 32 normotensives and 79 hypertensives. All the subjects were given two concurrent tests: 24-hour Holter ECG and ambulatory blood pressure monitoring. According to standard deviation of normal-to-normal sinus RR intervals (SDNN) derived from the Holter ECG, the hypertensives were divided into two groups: an HRV normal group with SDNN > 100 ms and an HRV abnormal group with SDNN < 100 ms. HRV analysis used the time domain measure SDNN and two frequency domain analyses using low-frequency and high-frequency power. BPV analysis involved a formula correlated to each blood pressure value. Results: BPV was significantly higher in the HRV abnormal group compared with the HRV normal group in the hypertensives (0.018 ± 0.0033 vs 0.014 ± 0.0032, P < 0.05). In the HRV abnormal group, BPV value of the older hypertensive participants was higher than the younger participants (0.019 ± 0.0024 vs 0.017 ± 0.0037, P = 0.048). BPV and HRV were correlated in the younger hypertensives (r = −0.314, P < 0.05) and older hypertensives (r = −0.692, P < 0.001). Conclusions: Baroreflex function had effect on BPV. Factors like aging could cause damage to the baroreflex sensitivity, which in turn had influence on BPV. There may be benefits in restoration of baroreflex function to reduce BPV, especially in hypertensive patients.
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1. Introduction

The variation of blood pressure with sleep, emotional stimulation or physical activity has been recognized for many years. Continuous blood pressure monitoring has shown that the 24-hour blood pressure profile is characterized by a marked variability due to the large difference in daytime and nighttime blood pressure, and spontaneous shifts in blood pressure that takes place in hour-to-hour, even minute-to-minute. Studies have shown that blood pressure variability is related to target organ damage, which makes blood pressure variability to be a new goal to predict the risk of cardiovascular disease [1-6]. However, the factors that are responsible for the variability of blood pressure have not been specifically clarified. Beat-by-beat analysis of blood pressure in animals has provided insight into the role of baroreflex effect on blood pressure variability. In this study, we attempted to investigate the baroreflex function on human beings, to find the effect of baroreflex function on blood pressure variability.

Previous work has shown that baroreflex activity can be studied by examining heart rate variability (HRV) [7, 8], and the activity declines with aging [9-11]. Thus, we assessed the correlation of BPV and HRV with aging.

There are several ways to express variability. The simplest could be the mean value and the standard deviation from the mean of all readings during a day. However, these parameters indicate only a steady distribution of blood pressure readings without reflecting time-related information. In the present study, a mathematical formula was introduced to represent hemodynamic characteristics of blood pressure.

2. Methods

2.1. Patient Population

The study was comprised of 111 outpatients (51 male, 60 female), including 32 normotensives and 79 hypertensives, ranging in age from 43 to 87 years. The hypertensives had been examined and had systolic blood pressure...
greater than 140 mmHg, or diastolic blood pressure greater than 90 mmHg on at least three occasions, and the normotensives had been examined and had blood pressure less than 140 mmHg systolic and 90 mmHg diastolic. In the hypertensive group, there were 37 hypertensives who were older than 65 and 42 who were younger than 65. All subjects had either never been treated or had stopped treatment one to two weeks before the study. Subjects were excluded from the study if there was any evidence of sinus node or conduction system disease on the electrocardiogram, or if they had a history of angina pectoris, myocardial infarction, heart failure, nephropathy, chronic liver disease, diabetes mellitus, obstructive sleep apnea, or cerebral ischemic. Subjects with a history of drug abuse and those who required other drugs affecting BP also were excluded. All patients gave informed consent to the enrollment, which was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee.

2.2. Recording Procedures

Twenty-four hour blood pressure was measured with Tonoport V (GE Medical System IT Inc., Milwaukee WI, USA) using the software CardioSoft V6.0 Software (GE Medical System IT Inc.). Analysis of the 24-hour HRV was performed using the MARS PC system (GE Healthcare Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA) with running software version 7.2. All subjects were administered 24-hour Holter ECG and 24-hour ambulatory blood pressure monitoring, and both tests were started and stopped concurrently. The examinations were performed when the patients were relaxed; they went to sleep at 22:00 and woke up at 6:00.

2.3. Baroreflex Function

Previous work have shown that HRV can be used as a noninvasive index to represent baroreflex function. The data sampled from a 24-hour Holter ECG can be expressed as HRV using a time domain measure: standard deviation of normal-to-normal sinus RR intervals during a 24-hour period (SDNN), and two frequency domain analysis estimates respiratory-dependent low-frequency (LF) and high-frequency (HF) power through spectral analysis. Low-frequency power (LF: 0.04 - 0.15 Hz) was analyzed as an index of sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous system activity, and high-frequency power (HF: 0.15 - 0.4 Hz) was analyzed as an index of parasympathetic nervous system activity [7,12]. SDNN can be used to analyze HRV in as long a range as 24 hours. As HRV analysis in this study was applied in 24 hours, we chose to use SDNN to represent baroreflex function, and we divided the hypertensives into two groups according to SDNN: the HRV normal group with SDNN > 100 ms and the HRV abnormal group with SDNN < 100 ms. The normotensives were screened as normal HRV with SDNN > 100 ms. In the literature, SDNN < 100 ms was considered a cutoff value of the HRV decrease [13].

2.4. Blood Pressure Variability

Noninvasive ambulatory blood pressure monitoring was set up to record BP every 30 minutes during the day and every hour at night. Mean systolic blood pressure (mSBP) and diastolic blood pressure (mDBP), and the coefficient of variability of systolic blood pressure (cvSBP) and diastolic blood pressure (cvDBP) were calculated. The BPV was calculated by the following formula which correlated to each blood pressure value [14,15]: N = total number of measurements, n = number of sequential measurements.

\[
BPV = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} (SBP_n - \bar{SBP})^2}{\bar{SBP}_n}}
\]

2.5. Statistical Analysis

SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. All values were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Continuous variables were performed by Student’s t-test. A value of P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Linear correlation was estimated by the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient.

3. Results

Baseline physical characteristics in the normotensives and the two hypertensive groups were similar. Baseline values for blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, triglyceride, total cholesterol, fasting blood glucose, and the thickness of left ventricular posterior wall were also comparable at baseline (Table 1).

BPV was significantly higher in the HRV abnormal group compared with the HRV normal group in the hypertensives (0.018 ± 0.0033 vs 0.014 ± 0.0032, P < 0.001). The mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure showed significant differences between the HRV normal group of the hypertensives and the normotensives (135.7 ± 10.60 vs 115.4 ± 5.78, P < 0.001; 86.6 ± 7.42 vs 74.1 ± 6.24, P < 0.001), but there was no difference in BPV between the two groups (0.014 ± 0.0032 vs 0.014 ± 0.0035, P = 0.828). There was also no significant difference in the coefficient of variability of systolic blood pressure between either of the two hypertensive groups (0.092 ± 0.0232 vs 0.089 ± 0.0281, P = 0.536) or the two HRV normal groups (0.083 ± 0.0234 vs 0.089 ± 0.0281, P = 0.360). The coefficient of variation of diastolic blood pressure was significantly different between the HRV normal hypertensives and the normotensives (0.100 ± 0.0298 vs 0.116 ± 0.0295, P = 0.025), but showed no
Table 1. Characteristics of the study subjects and 24-h HRV and BPV indexes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normotensives</th>
<th>Hypertensives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 32</td>
<td>No. 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>58.47 ± 7.73</td>
<td>61.74 ± 8.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.07 ± 3.66</td>
<td>26.67 ± 2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scr (umol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>58.96 ± 8.40</td>
<td>59.95 ± 9.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.85</td>
<td>1.54 ± 0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>4.89 ± 1.01</td>
<td>5.34 ± 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mmol·L⁻¹)</td>
<td>5.73 ± 0.57</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVPW (mm)</td>
<td>8.19 ± 0.84</td>
<td>8.54 ± 1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV LF power (ms)</td>
<td>16.56 ± 3.32</td>
<td>11.57 ± 3.17a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV HFpower (ms)</td>
<td>11.56 ± 4.11</td>
<td>6.98 ± 2.67a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>127.91 ± 16.41</td>
<td>84.42 ± 8.86a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV mSBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>115.36 ± 5.78b</td>
<td>135.14 ± 12.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV mDBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>74.09 ± 6.24b</td>
<td>87.88 ± 8.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV cvSBP (ratio)</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.0234</td>
<td>0.092 ± 0.0232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV cvDBP (ratio)</td>
<td>0.100 ± 0.0298</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.0374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV (ratio)</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.0035</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.0033a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index; BUN = blood urea nitrogen; Scr = serum creatinine; TG = triglyceride; TC = total cholesterol; FBG = fasting glucose; LVPW = left ventricular posterior wall; HRV = heart rate variability; LF = low-frequency power; HF = high-frequency power; SDNN = standard deviation of all normal sinus RR intervals during a 24-hour period; BPV = blood pressure variability; mSBP = mean systolic blood pressure in a 24-hour period; mDBP = mean diastolic blood pressure in a 24-hour period; cvSBP = coefficient of variation of systolic blood pressure; cvDBP = coefficient of variation of diastolic blood pressure. aGroup HRV abnormal hypertensives versus group HRV normal hypertensives, _P < 0.001_; bGroup HRV normal hypertensives versus group normotensives, _P < 0.001_.

difference between the two hypertensive groups (0.126 ± 0.0374 vs 0.116 ± 0.0295, _P = 0.183_) (Table 1).

We divided the HRV abnormal hypertensives into two groups according to the cutoff value of age 65, and there was a significant difference between the younger hypertensives and the older hypertensives (0.017 ± 0.0037 vs 0.019 ± 0.0024, _P = 0.048_) (Table 2).

Regarding the relationship of BPV and HRV, we studied both the younger hypertensives and the older hypertensives from the hypertensive group. We concluded that BPV and HRV were moderately correlated in both the younger hypertensives (r = −0.314, _P = 0.043_) and the older hypertensives (r = −0.692, _P < 0.001_) (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

The purpose of the study was to examine the effect of the baroreflex function on BPV. Kathleen et al. [16]. Administered nitroprusside intravenous to change their subjects’ baroreflex function, and Bartels et al. [17]. Changed the baroreflex function through exercise. In this study, we chose to use HRV to represent the baroreflex function, as the analysis of HRV from 24-hour Holter ECG has arising as a simple and non-invasive measure of the autonomic impulses among the techniques used in the baroreflex function evaluation [7]. Changes in the HRV patterns provided a sensible and advanced indicator of the baroreflex function.

In regards to the parameters that can be used to represent BPV, there are several ways. According to many articles, the simplest representations could be the mean value and the standard deviation (SD) from the mean of all readings during a day or a certain time. Rothwell et al [18]. Introduced a coefficient of variation (CV) and variability independent of mean (VIM) to the articles from the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial Blood Pressure Lowering Arm [ASCOT-BPLA]. However, these parameters, derived from SD, which indicate only a steady distribution of blood pressure readings, cannot reflect time-related information [14]. In this study, we chose to use the index expressed at the beginning of our article, the formula which involved every blood pressure value and could represent hemodynamic characteristics of blood pressure mathematically.
Table 2. HRV and BPV indexes in different age groups of HRV abnormal hypertensives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Younger Hypertensives (N = 19)</th>
<th>Older Hypertensives (N = 19)</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>54.74 ± 5.38</td>
<td>68.74 ± 2.28</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV LF power (ms)</td>
<td>13.51 ± 3.15</td>
<td>9.63 ± 1.67</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV HF power (ms)</td>
<td>7.98 ± 2.50</td>
<td>5.97 ± 2.50</td>
<td>P = 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRV SDNN (ms)</td>
<td>89.84 ± 6.37</td>
<td>79.00 ± 7.67</td>
<td>P &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV mSBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>128.94 ± 11.26</td>
<td>141.33 ± 9.90</td>
<td>P = 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV mDBP(mmHg)</td>
<td>84.92 ± 7.38</td>
<td>90.84 ± 7.68</td>
<td>P = 0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV cvSBP (ratio)</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.0212</td>
<td>0.098 ± 0.0244</td>
<td>P = 0.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV cvDBP (ratio)</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.0444</td>
<td>0.126 ± 0.0301</td>
<td>P = 0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPV (ratio)</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.0037</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.0024</td>
<td>P = 0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HRV = heart rate variability; LF = low-frequency power; HF = high-frequency power; SDNN = standard deviation of all normal sinus RR intervals during a 24-hour period; BPV = blood pressure variability; mSBP = mean systolic blood pressure in a 24-hour period; mDBP = mean diastolic blood pressure in a 24-hour period; cvSBP = coefficient of variation of systolic blood pressure; cvDBP = coefficient of variation of diastolic blood pressure.

In the study, we observed that in the hypertensives, BPV was elevated in the HRV abnormal group. As to the two HRV normal groups, although there were significant differences in mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure between the normotensives and the hypertensives, there was no difference in BPV. In the HRV abnormal hypertensives, the older hypertensives had a greater BPV value than the younger ones, which showed more variation of blood pressure. In addition, we found there was a linear correlation between BPV and HRV.

As we know, arterial blood pressure is the product of cardiac output and systemic vascular resistance, which is regulated through various local, humoral, and neural factors. Neural regulation of BP occurs via tonic and reflexive modulation of autonomic nervous system outflow, which in turn makes the baroreflex function play a critical role on BP regulation [19]. As we observed in the study, BPV was significantly higher in the HRV abnormal group compared with the HRV normal group in the hypertensives. Comparing the two HRV normal groups, although the hypertensives had a greater mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressure than the normotensives, the BPV showed no difference. Thus we concluded that baroreflex dysfunction, not hypertension itself, has an impact on the BPV. Correspondingly, Mancia et al. [20]. observed that baroreflex mechanisms account for a fraction of the overall difference in BPV and Imai et al. [21]. Suggested that decreases in the cardiovagal-baroreflex function contribute to an increase in BPV. Laitinen et al [22]. Demonstrated that a sympathovagal balance of cardiovascular regulation was the major determinant of BPV.

Many studies have demonstrated that aging is associated with decreased cardiovagal-baroreflex sensitivity. Vagally-modulated HRV continues to decline with advancing age [9-11]. This is further supported by our finding that the mean value of BPV was significantly higher in the HRV abnormal group of the older hypertensives than the younger hypertensives. The BPV and HRV correlation degree also supported the influence that aging had on baroreflex function, with a correlation coefficient of minus 0.314 in the younger hypertensives and minus 0.692 in the older hypertensives. BPV had a stronger correlation with HRV in the older hypertensives with more damage of the baroreflex sensitivity due to aging. Considering how the baroreflex function acts on the BPV, a restoration of baroreflex function to reduce BPV may be beneficial especially in the hypertensives. A series of articles focusing on the baroreflex function observed that exercise training, weight loss, high sodium, and non-exposure to smoking could improve the baroreflex modulation [23-25]. These tools could all be used as nondrug treatments in the therapy of hypertension.

This study has some limitations. First, there were not enough subjects involved in the study. As an overview of the results, there was no difference in CV in any comparison of our study. Given that CV has been used in many articles to represent BPV, the fact that it did not display any differences in comparison between HRV normal and abnormal hypertensives, and younger and older hypertensives could be due to the limited number of subjects. However, the index we used to represent BPV manifested a significant difference, which in turn suggested that this index may be more sensitive in measuring BPV. Second, this study analyzed only the BPV difference as compared between the groups but failed to give normal ranges of BPV in different groups of people. There is still no article to demonstrate any reference...
The linear correlation of blood pressure variability and standard deviation of all normal sinus RR intervals during a 24-hour period (SDNN) in the younger hypertensives ($r = -0.314$, $P = 0.043$).

The linear correlation of blood pressure variability and standard deviation of all normal sinus RR intervals during a 24-hour period (SDNN) in the older hypertensives ($r = -0.692$, $P < 0.001$).

Figure 1. The relationship of blood pressure variability (BPV) and heart rate variability (HRV) were moderately correlated in both the younger hypertensives ($r = -0.314$, $P = 0.043$) and the older hypertensives ($r = -0.692$, $P < 0.001$).

5. Conclusion

In summary, in this study baroreflex function does have effect on BPV. The damage of baroreflex function can cause greater variability of blood pressure. Factors like aging could cause damage to the baroreflex sensitivity, which in turn had influence on BPV.
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