Depression and Insulin Resistance in Non-Diabetic Subjects: An Intervention Study with Insulin Clamp Technique
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ABSTRACT

Aims: To clarify the relationship between depression and glucose metabolism using sensitive measures of insulin resistance, and to assess if remission of depression results in enhanced insulin sensitivity. Methods: An intervention study to quantify changes in insulin sensitivity before and after treatment of depression was carried out. Twenty six Pakistani women with newly diagnosed depression underwent euglycemic insulin clamp to measure insulin sensitivity at inclusion and again after treatment of depression 6 - 8 weeks later. Twenty-three individuals completed both tests. Results: Significant improvement of insulin sensitivity was observed following the treatment of depression. The improved insulin sensitivity remained statistically significant after controlling for confounding factors. Conclusions: This study establishes a relationship between depression and insulin resistance. It demonstrated that insulin sensitivity can be improved by treating depression.
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1. Introduction

Depression, one of the leading causes of disease today [1], is associated with poor glycemic control [2], diabetes-related complications [3], poor quality-of-life [4] and increased mortality in diabetic patients [5,6].

Diabetes and depression are both commonly occurring conditions that are associated with the modern lifestyles in today’s society. The total number of patients with diabetes is projected to rise from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [7]. Adults with depression have a 37% increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes [8] and evidence from cross-sectional and prospective studies suggests that depressive symptoms negatively influence glucose metabolism [9,10]. Studies have shown that diabetes is twice as likely to develop in depressed individuals compared with non-depressed individuals [11,12]. Depression may contribute to metabolic abnormalities preceding the development of diabetes [13], although the evidence is still conflicting.

One study demonstrated that depressed patients with normal glucose tolerance had a higher degree of insulin resistance relative to non-depressed control subjects [14] while another study found that the prevalence of depression was lowest at the highest levels of insulin resistance among non-diabetic women [15]. Thus, it is important to clarify the relationship between depression and insulin resistance. More specifically whether depression may cause insulin resistance. Most studies rely on relatively insensitive methods or approximations for assessing insulin resistance. Furthermore, the evidence comes primarily from association studies. There have been to intervention studies to date that have assessed the relationship between depression and insulin sensitivity. In this report we employ an intervention study design to quantify changes in insulin sensitivity before and after treatment of depression with a sensitive method known as hyperinsulinemic clamp [16]. We hypothesized that a reduction in depressive symptoms would lead to a better insulin sensitivity, and possibly changes in other parameters of the metabolic syndrome.

2. Method

2.1. Study Population

Thirty women newly diagnosed with major depression of Pakistani origin were invited into the study. Participants
were recruited from a private clinic for depression (De-
pressjonssklinikken, Eckersbergs gate 29, 0266 Oslo) in
collaboration with, Aker University Hospital. Patients
were recruited from newly diagnosed depressed women
originating from Pakistan, but living in Oslo, Norway.
The rational for choosing this inclusion criteria is to
avoid influence of ethnicity and gender in relation to in-
sulin sensitivity and the risk of diabetes. Owing to the
laborious technique required to apply clamp technique to
assess insulin sensitivity or insulin resistance, it would
not be possible to employ this procedure on a larger
sample, which may have allowed us to do stratified
analysis.

Inclusion criteria were as follow:

A clinical diagnosis of major depression by a psychia-
trist specializing in mood disorders (1st author):

- Age 20 to 70 years;
- Women of Pakistani Ethnic origin;
- A depressive symptom score > 20 on the MADRS
  [17];
- The patient understood the protocol and was able to
give an informed consent;
- The patient’s condition allowed him to carry out the
glucose clamp experiment;
- The depression was not judged to be primarily trig-
gerred by an adverse life event.

Exclusion criteria:

- Diabetes or history of gestation diabetes;
- Pregnancy;
- A serious psychotic depression;
- Schizophrenia or an obvious personality disorder (but
  other psychiatric co-morbid diagnoses were allowed).

The participants were oriented of the research objects
and procedures prior to inclusion and they signed an in-
formed consent. Twenty six of 30 patients agreed to par-
ticipate (87%) and 23 of those completed the study.

2.2. Assessment of Depressive Symptoms

All the patients received a clinical diagnosis of depres-
sion, based on ICD 10, by an experienced psychiatrist (1st
author). In addition, the Montogomery-Aasberg Depres-
sion Rating Scale (MADRS) was employed to assess
depressive symptoms [17] and was administered to all
individuals by the same psychiatrist. The MADRS has 10
items; the response to each item ranges from 0 to 6, the
sum score can thus range from 0 to 60. The cut-off score
for a likely depression is considered ≥20.

2.3. Intervention

The depressed patients received standard clinical man-
gagement by a psychiatrist (1st author). All patients were
started on escitalopram 10 mg with dose increments until
clinical response was achieved. One patient who started
on escitalopram was switched to fluoxetine (20 mg) due to
the clinical situation. The average daily dose was 20 mg
escitalopram. The patients were treated for 6 to 8 weeks.

Insulin resistance was measured at two time points;
first at the time of diagnosis of depression, after verifying
a MADRS score > 20, and again after remission of de-
pression to at least MADRS ≤ 10). For each subject the
measurements were made at the same time of the day,
with the same study nurses, and at the same Hormone
Laboratory, Aker University Hospital.

2.3.1. The Hyperinsulinemic Euglycemic Clamp

With the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp technique
[16], the plasma insulin concentration is acutely raised by
giving a standard continuous infusion of insulin accord-
ing to weight (0.3 mU/kg) and maintained during the
entire investigation. The plasma glucose concentration is
held constant at basal levels by a variable glucose infu-
sion using the negative feedback principle. Insulin is in-
fused via the antecubital catheter along with the glucose
infusate. The computation for the periodic adjustments
in the glucose infusion is made every 5 min: if the actual
glucose concentration is higher than the goal, the infu-
sion is decreased and vice versa.

Under these steady-state conditions of hyperinsuline-
emia and euglycemia, the pancreatic insulin production is
suppressed and glucose infusion rate equals glucose up-
take in the body and is therefore a measure of tissue sen-
sitivity to exogenous insulin. The measurement of the
glucose uptake is done in the last 30 minutes of the
clamp and calculated as μg/kg/min/ins. This measure is
called: “The Glucose Disposal Rate per inulin (GDR1)”.

2.3.2. Blood Tests

After an overnight fast of eight hours, fasting 10 ml. Ve-
 nous blood was collected from each participant at base
line for fasting blood glucose, insulin and cortisol. An
oral glucose tolerance test was performed on each indi-
vidual with 75 g of glucose and a new blood was drawn
after two hours to determine the plasma glucose values
(OGTT).

Fasting blood glucose (FBG) and oral glucose toler-
ance test (OGTT) were analyzed by glucose oxidase
method (Randox, UK) for the diagnosis of diabetes mel-
litus. Diabetes was diagnosed if FPG value was ≥7.0
mmol/l and/or 2-h post glucose was ≥11.1 mmol/l.

Blood samples were drawn and analysed for plasma
glucose during clamp with the glucose oxidase method
using a Glucose Analyser II (Beckman Instruments, Full-
erton, CA, USA). The serum levels of insulin were meas-
ured by radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Linco Researc, Inc, St.
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Charles, MO, USA) with intra-assay coefficient of variation <5%. Cortisol in serum were measured with competitive luminoimmunoassay (DPC, Los Angeles, CA, USA) with intra-assay coefficient of variation <7%.

2.4. Power Analysis
A difference of insulin sensitivity of 15% before and after intervention, would require 30 subjects to have an 80% power ($\beta = 0.2$) to identify a difference at 0.05 level of statistical significance in parallel groups.

2.5. Statistical Analysis
Comparisons of group differences were analysed in pair with student’s t test. Mixed model multivariate linear regression was applied to adjust for potential confounding factors of insulin resistance. All statistical analyses were done with SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All p-values presented are two tailed and confidence intervals are at 95%.

3. Results
Table 1 shows that after remission of depression, statistically significant differences were observed for the mean insulin sensitivity (GDRI) $p = 0.02$, 95% CI (-0.28 - 3.35), fasting cortisol, $p = 0.01$, 95% CI (-44.6 - -162.8) and fasting insulin, $p < 0.01$, 95% CI (-49.1 - 3.15).

Cortisol, waist circumference and BMI may all effect insulin sensitivity [18], but owing to limited sample size and high interaction between waist and BMI we have entered cortisol and BMI together with the MADRS score into a multivariate linear regression on the GDRI to examine if depression did affect insulin sensitivity (GDRI) independent of these factors (Table 2). There was a statistically significant improvement of insulin resistance (GDR) with remission of depression independent of BMI and fasting cortisol levels ($p < 0.09$, 95% CI: −2.18 - 0.15, Table 2). On average the GDR was reduced by 0.06 units for a reduction of one unit of depression ($p = 0.049$). For the reduction of each unit of central obesity (waist, cm), fasting cortisol (pmol/l) and BMI (kg/m²), GDR was reduced by 0.02, 0.007 and 0.03 µg/kg/min/ins respectively.

A multivariate linear regression of changes in insulin resistance from pre and post-treatment of depression (Figure 1) reveals an improvement in insulin sensitivity (GDR). Figure 2 demonstrates the association between insulin sensitivity and depressive symptoms. Insulin sensitivity appears to be closely related to depressive symptoms and a decline with older individuals.

4. Discussion
These data showed that insulin sensitivity was related to the severity of depression, and that treatment of depression resulted in improved insulin sensitivity. This effect of depression on insulin resistance persisted even after adjusting for various possible confounding factors. To our knowledge, this is one of the first intervention studies to examine the association between depression and insulin resistance in depressed and remitted state.

We have previously shown an association between diabetes and depression in newly diagnosed patients with diabetes in Bangladesh [19] and Pakistan [20,21]. There is a growing body of evidence that depression is associated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Significant changes in mean insulin sensitivity (GDRI), fasting cortisol and fasting insulin after remission of depression.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean, depressed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin sensitivity (GDR) (µg/kg/min/ins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression score MADRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting insulin (pmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting cortisol (pmol/l)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mM/L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmhg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmhg)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Multivariate linear regressions of changes in insulin resistance from its initial value at inclusion (while depressed) to 2nd investigation i.e. after recovery from depressive symptoms in a mixed model with depression, cortisol and BMI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change of parameters</th>
<th>Estimates</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>sig</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression (MADRS)</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−1.94</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>−0.006</td>
<td>−1.86</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>−0.24</td>
<td>−2.85</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Shows the improvement in insulin sensitivity (GDR) from depressed state to remission of depression.

Figure 2. Shows the association between insulin sensitivity and depressive symptoms, both baseline and remission values are included.
Diabetes is cortisol. Cortisol plays a major role in regulating many of the body’s homeostatic functions, including blood pressure, blood-lipid profile, immune- and inflammatory responses, fat distribution and importantly, glucose metabolism and insulin resistance [26]. Cortisol has been shown to be responsive to emotional stress [27], and higher levels have been observed in depressed individuals [28]. Furthermore, circadian control of cortisol secretion is perturbed in depression [29].

One of the suggested links between depression and diabetes is cortisol. Cortisol plays a major role in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes [24], has been suggested to be the underlying tie between depression and diabetes [25].

One of the suggested links between depression and diabetes is cortisol. Cortisol plays a major role in regulating many of the body’s homeostatic functions, including blood pressure, blood-lipid profile, immune- and inflammatory responses, fat distribution and importantly, glucose metabolism and insulin resistance [26]. Cortisol has been shown to be responsive to emotional stress [27], and higher levels have been observed in depressed individuals [28]. Furthermore, circadian control of cortisol secretion is perturbed in depression [29].

In this study we found that fasting cortisol levels were significantly lower after remission of depressive symptoms. This is in accordance with several other studies [30]. Changes in blood cortisol concentrations with levels of depression might have explained some of the effect of depressive symptoms on insulin sensitivity in our study. However, the observed association between remission of depressive symptoms and improved insulin sensitivity remained statistically significant even after cortisol levels were adjusted in the regression model.

Thus the effect of depressive symptoms on insulin resistance may either have been mediated by factors known to effect insulin sensitivity for which we did not control, such as pro-inflammatory cytokines [31,32] and essential fatty acids [33]; or to hitherto unknown novel mechanisms.

It is less likely that the SSRIs used in this study had in themselves an enhancing effect on insulin sensitivity. On the contrary, many antidepressives have weight gain as a side effect which would be expected to reduce insulin sensitivity [34]. We have not been able to identify studies that showed an improved insulin sensitivity by taking SSRIs. On the contrary (conversely), there are some studies linking SSRIs to risk factors for diabetes [35,36]. Of interest, a large epidemiological study showed that most individuals taking various SSRIs had elevated indices of metabolic syndrome, except for individuals on citalopram, which is the racemixture of ecitalopram used in the present study.

This is one of few intervention studies in the field. It utilized the hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp technique, the gold standard for measuring insulin sensitivity. To reduce variability, insulin sensitivity was measured in the same individual before and after remission of depressive symptoms, at the same time of the day, by the same study-nurse and all the participants were of the same gender and ethnicity. The euglycemic insulin clamp technique is expensive, laborious, time consuming and taxing on the participants; hence the sample size was relatively modest in the study. However, the technique is very sensitive diminishing the need for a large sample.

There are a number of limitations: 1) The external validity of the results may be restricted owing to a small sample size which is less likely to secure the representativeness. However, due to the nature of human physiology, the findings may still be considered an important contribution; 2) The confounding effect cannot be completely overruled as we could not include all potential confounding factors in the multivariate model, due to the limited number of subjects who completed pre and post study.

5. Conclusion

Our data support the hypothesis that depression is associated with insulin resistance. Furthermore, insulin sensitivity was significantly improved by treating depressive symptoms. This effect of depressive symptoms on insulin sensitivity remained statistically significant even after several potential confounding factors had been controlled for. These results should be further tested on a larger sample to confirm our findings.
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