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ABSTRACT

Requirements analysis and design specification are serious issues in systems development because of the semantics involved in transformation of real world problems to computer software systems. Although unified modeling language (UML) is now accepted as a de facto standard for design and specification of object oriented systems but its structures have various disadvantages. For example, it lacks of defining semantics of the systems to be developed. Formal methods are proved powerful, particularly, at requirement specification and design level. To address and realize the benefits of UML and formal methods our project on “formalization of UML diagrams using Z notation” is under progress. This paper is continuation of the same project in which some important diagrams namely use case, class and sequence diagrams are selected for critical analysis. Merits and demerits of the diagrams are addressed after a brief introduction. Applications of the diagrams are observed reducing complexity and proposing a good design of a system. Finally, a treatment to link diagrams with appropriate approaches is suggested to enhance modeling power of UML for facilitating the systems development.
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1. Introduction

Requirements analysis and design specification in software engineering are challenging tasks because transformation of real world problems into verifiable computer models has made it a hard issue [1]. The emergence of the Unified Modeling Language (UML) has raised some interesting issues because it has incorporated a number of object oriented analysis and design techniques into a single modeling language. Because of an obvious usage and tool support of UML modeling techniques, it plays an important role in design and implementation phases in the construction of software systems. However some weaknesses existing in UML notations have invited software engineers to find other approaches considering compatibility and integration issues with UML models for the complete and consistent, modeling, design and development [2].

The UML is a collection of diagrams for specifying various aspects such as requirements and design of software systems. It is a standard set of notations for visualizing and constructing artifacts of software systems as well as for business modeling and other non-software systems [3]. UML has become a de facto standard for design and development of object oriented (OO) systems despite the fact that its semantics is semi-formal which allows ambiguities in design of a system [4]. Some of the issues in modeling using UML, being hybrid and visual language in nature, are summarized below:

- As most of the UML structures are based on graphical notations and hence are prone to causing errors;
- The hidden semantics under the UML diagrams allow ambiguities at design level of computer software systems;
- We know the same system can be described and modeled by multiple UML notations producing an inconsistent or ambiguous model;
- Any model described using UML diagrams may have multiple interpretations and, hence, the recipients of the design may not be able to really understand what has been put in the diagrams.

Much of the UML structures are based on graphical notations having informal or semi-formal definitions which are prone to cause errors [2] as mentioned above. Modeling power of UML can be enhanced by linking it with formal methods and defining semantic rules in a formal way for the diagrams used in design of a system [5]. There is a need of linking and formalizing UML diagrams to other useful approaches to get full benefit at design level capturing complete functionality of the system to be developed. This is one of the objectives of this research. This work is part of our ongoing project on integration of UML and Z notation [6]. The integration
of formal notations and UML diagrams will result an approach for complete, consistent and correct modeling of complex systems.

There exists a few work formalizing UML and formal methods presented in the next section in which mostly it is focused on syntax of the diagrams. In our project, instead of defining only syntactical mapping between UML and Z we have focused to propose and develop a conceptual model by capturing its semantics hidden under the diagrams. In this paper, in continuation to our previous work, three important diagrams namely use cases, class diagrams and sequence diagrams are considered. An introduction to each diagram is presented. Then advantages, applications and role of diagrams in software engineering are discussed. The weaknesses of the diagrams are identified. Finally, a treatment is suggested to link UML with other appropriate approaches. The major objectives of this research are:

- Identifying weakness existing in UML and hidden semantics under the diagrams;
- Listing benefits of formal techniques for software engineering, particularly, at requirements analysis and design specification of systems;
- Proposing an integration of UML and formal approaches to be useful in modeling of complex software systems;
- Investigating and providing syntactical and semantics-based relationships between most commonly used UML diagrams and Z notation;
- Analyzing and proving correctness of the proposed models of integration;
- Finally, developing an approach to provide an automated tool support to transform UML models to Z specification.

Rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, related work is discussed. A critical analysis, significance and limitations of the UML diagrams are provided in Sections 3 and 4. Proposed treatment is suggested in Section 5. Finally, conclusion and future work are discussed in Section 6.

2. Related Work

Although there exits a lot of work [7-11] on integration of approaches but there does not exists much work on linking UML diagrams with formal approaches. This is because the hidden semantics under the UML diagrams cannot be transformed easily into formal notations. It is mentioned that only closely related work is discussed in this section. For example, [12] has developed Alloy Constraint Analyzer tool supporting the description of a system whose state space involves relational structures which are complex in nature. By the tool it is possible to analyze and develop a model by investigating the consequences of given constraints by an incremental approach. An approach is demonstrated using XML which is in fact a transformation tool to analyze visualize Timed Communicating Object Z (TCOZ) models into various UML diagrams animating specification with a multiparadigm programming language as discussed in [13]. It is described a way of creating tables and SQL code for Z specifications according to UML diagrams in [14]. A case study is discussed by a formal verification method for Cooperative Composition Modeling Language (CCML) in [15]. In [16], semantic translation from statechart diagrams to Object-Z specifications is presented. Information is captured using sequence and use case diagrams as a functional model by taking a case study.

In another work, a relationship is investigated between Petri-nets and Z notation in [17]. A method for translating and verifying UML sequence diagrams to Petri nets for deadlock, safety and liveness properties by model checking is presented in [18]. An integration of B and UML is presented in [19]. It is investigated the reliability issues using fuzzy logic and petri-nets in [20]. The mathematical induction technique is used to prove correctness of recursive programs in [21]. Formalization of the UML is proposed by focusing on basic constructs of class structures by taking simple case studies in [22]. A tool is developed in [1] which takes UML class diagram in the form of petal files, ASCII format files generated by Rational Rose, and evaluates it automatically and produces a list of comments. Activity model is proposed by ontology based formal method in [23]. In [24], a mathematical extension to the OCL language is presented to manipulate some mathematical concepts including functions and relations. A comparison of UML, state-charts, Z notation, petri-nets and fuzzy logic is presented by taking a simple case study on commerce system as discussed in [25]. Some other relevant work is listed in [26-30].

3. An Overview of UML

An introduction and critical analysis to UML diagrams and notations is presented in this section. Merits and demerits of UML are listed. The reasoning of linking and formalizing UML is provided.

UML has various benefits for modeling of complex systems. For example, UML is a semi-formal language in which each element of the language is strongly defined [31]. That is you are confident when modeling a particular facet of a system in a sense that it will not mislead to an incorrect design. UML is a concise and easy to understand designing language [32]. The entire language is made up of simple and straightforward concepts and notations. It is comprehensive language and describes all important aspect of a system. Although UML is not a formal language but it has enough expressive power to handle massive and complex systems [33]. It is the result of best practices in modeling of complex systems using ob-
ject-oriented concepts and has proved to be a successful modeling practice. UML has become a de facto standard for modeling of systems using object oriented technology [34]. Further discussion about UML analysis can be found in [35-41].

Despite the above benefits, UML lacks with some important concepts and for a moment cannot be used for the complete and consistent design and specification of a system [2]. For example, UML lacks formal semantics. Meanings are hidden under diagrams which create ambiguities at the implementations level. That is why integration of UML with other appropriate approaches is required for the complete and consistent modeling.

4. Critical Analysis of Some Diagrams

First of all, an introduction to each diagram is given then its benefits and limitations are analyzed. Finally improvements are suggested to enhance or link it with other modeling approaches. A critical analysis of the following diagrams is presented in this section.

4.1. Use Case Diagram

Use case diagram is one of the UML diagrams that is used to represent the functionality of the system. It shows the functionality that the system will provide and the users who will communicate with the system to use that functionality [42]. The use case was developed by Jacobson et al. [43]. The use case diagram includes the notations such as actors, use cases, communication association and the system or subsystem boundary. The use case diagrams provide interactions between roles known as actors and system to achieve a certain goal. Human or external system both are assumed as actors in definition of use case diagrams. Use cases define functionality of the system from a users’ perspective and are used to document the system scope. Usually, use cases are used at a higher level in systems engineering as compared to their use in software engineering. A critical analysis of using use cases is presented after an introduction given below.

4.1.1. Benefits

The role of use cases is required and observed at the analysis phase of modeling and system designing and it provides obvious benefits at this part of modeling the systems. As we know complexity is one of the major issues in systems analysis and design. An important benefit of using use cases is that it helps managing complexity of systems. This is because it emphasizes on specific usage by starting from a very simple viewpoint by focusing on the users of the systems. The use cases provide a basic framework from requirements analysis to test cases generation which is another important benefit of it. Further, use cases facilitate to designers to specify and achieve the final goals in the system development.

4.1.2. Weaknesses

Despite the benefits of use cases there are some drawbacks for modeling systems using use cases. For example, motivations and experience of users are not considered in use cases. The usefulness and usability are important variables in software engineering which are not considered. There is no systematic and well-organized mechanism to address the non-functional requirements in use case diagram. On the other side the non-functional requirements are important in quality issues and play a crucial role to the success of a software system. Use cases consider requirements separately and there is no procedure to define interaction between the requirements. Also the use case diagram notations are not enough to describe the system functionality but it is supported with use case description which is a brief or detailed one. Time is another issue for using use cases because preparation of the whole system requires much time using such diagrams however it depends on the size of the system. Finally use cases are considered very ambiguous which do not capture fully the system. As a consequence developing automated computer tools which can transform use cases to other diagrams, for example class and sequence diagrams, is a challenge in systems and software engineering.

4.1.3. Improvement

To capture the syntax and semantics of use case diagrams, it is suggested to transform the diagrams by semi-automated way. In this way, the actor-actor and actor-system and system-system relationships can be described to identify the statics and dynamics of the system.

4.2. Class Diagram

Class diagram is a structure diagram that is used to show the classes and their association with each other. The class diagram includes the notations such as classes, attributes, operations and associations [42]. Classes in UML diagrams are used to capture the information about the system to be developed. A class is an artifact in UML diagrams which can create any number of objects that share the attributes, operations, relationships among the objects, and some other semantics in the diagrams. Abstract classes cannot have objects and are useful to define a common interface. However, a subclass of an abstract class can have instances. A class in UML consists of three compartments which contain class name, attributes and operations.

4.2.1. Benefits

Classes in UML are the most important building blocks for object oriented systems modeling and design. Attributes visibility in class diagrams can be public, package,
protected or private which is an excellent modeling practice because in this way protecting security of data is guaranteed if required.

Multiplicity in the relationships among class diagrams is an important concept which is required in many applications, for example, from family relations to relational databases. Four main kinds of relationships, namely, association, generalization, aggregation and composition exist among classes. Association relationship is used in order to capture the relations among the objects of the classes. In this relationship it is specified how objects are connected to each other. A relation is a link relating one set to another with information needed to be related. There are various kind of association relationships, namely, many to many, many to one, one to many and one to one. For example in class-teacher relationship, as one teacher can teach many classes and one class can be taught by many teachers that is why we can describe this relation as many to many. In another example of student supervisor, if we suppose that one student can be supervised by only one supervisor and one supervisor can supervise many students it will be a many to one relationship. If we change student-supervisor to supervisor-student it will become one to many relationship. If we take an example of a society where a man can marry to one women and vice-versa, it will be the case of one to one relationship.

4.2.2. Weaknesses
Although relationships among class diagrams are important but it is difficult to implement specially for generating class diagrams to specification for the next phase. In case of multiplicity relationship, if an intersection of two objects exists and a new object is introduced then the multiplicity relationship will be changed by changing design of the system. Class diagrams are generally used to catch the static aspects of a system and their use is common in software development. However, sometimes it is required for designers to analyze static as well as dynamic of the system.

4.2.3. Improvement
The relationships in class diagrams play a fundamental key role in the design of a system. Further, some important relationships do not exist in the diagrams. For example, symmetry, asymmetry, transitivity and equivalence are relations which are very much needed in modeling of any type of a system but these relations are not directly defined in the class diagrams. Such kind of relationships must be specified to enrich the UML class diagrams. As we know many to many, many to one, one to many and one to one relationships are usually represented by \( \cdots \), \( \cdots 1,1 \cdots \) and \( 1 \cdots 1 \) notations respectively which do not provide a sufficient information in the semantics of the diagrams. If we extend the class diagrams by the propositional and predicate logic at such places, it will provide a good treatment for the UML class diagrams.

4.3. Sequence Diagram
Sequence diagram is an interaction (behavior) diagram that shows the interaction between objects that are arranged in a time sequence [42]. An introduction, benefits, weaknesses and suggested improvements of the sequence diagrams are discussed in the following subsections.

- It shows the interaction between objects in which they are modeled by their roles and communicate by message passing;
- The sequence diagram includes notations such as the diagram name and frame, objects (lifelines), messages, activation and time axis.

Sequence diagrams capture and model messages within the system for both analysis and design purposes in dynamic modeling by focusing on identification of behavior. In particular, sequence diagram represents the flow of events, messages and interactions between the objects of a system in two dimensions. Objects interaction and time make the two dimensional model in the diagrams. Objects interaction is displayed in horizontal line and time is represented in the vertical line of the diagram. It is a good modeling and designing tool because it provides a dynamic view of system showing behavior which is not possible to extract from statics of the system.

These diagrams are useful for modeling complex interactions between components and are, particularly, required for representing parallelism of interaction. The UML sequence diagrams are used to refine the details under use cases. When use cases are combined with the corresponding sequence diagrams the expected behavior of the system can easily be visualized.

4.3.1. Benefits
The sequence diagrams help to discover architectural view and understand logic statement of the system at early stages in the design process. After having a separate set of sequence diagrams, the timing option gives us consistent implementation by integrating the diagrams. The sequence diagrams are valuable because it helps the designers to reason about details of a system. For example, objects, object states, object interaction, sequence order, responsibilities, functionalities and timings issues can be easily addressed. The analysis using sequence diagrams serves as a good starting point for the design. Further, it is easy to enhance or modify the system after the new requirements have added. Sequence diagrams also facilitate the documentation at various levels of abstraction which is usually not easy when it is required to create from the static part of the system.
4.3.2. Weaknesses

Each scenario is described separately in sequence diagrams which must be combined after the detailed design of the system. It means integration of scenarios is one of the critical issues in using sequence diagrams. Further, sequence diagrams require objects as input and states of the objects as output, hence; well-defined model of the class diagrams is required in addition to relationships among the objects. On the other hand some of the operations are identified in use case realization when defining the sequence diagrams. That means interdependency among class diagram, use cases and sequence diagram models exist which must be defined clearly reducing number of cycles which require complete definition of the sequence diagram.

4.3.3. Improvement

Sequence diagrams consist of set of objects and interaction among the objects in terms of messages. This logic in sequence diagrams defines a language accepted by the diagrams. In this way, the sequence diagrams can be modeled using language theory by designing grammar which can be used to reduce complexity of the system. Further, it will help in integration of scenarios by using rules which already exist in the language theory.

5. Proposed Theory

Currently, there does not exist any approach which can be used for complete design and modeling of a complete system, hence, integration of approaches has become a well-researched area. Further, it needs an integrated tool support for the complete and consistent development of software systems.

UML has become a de facto standard for design of object oriented systems; however, it has some disadvantages and limitation as discussed before for some of the UML diagrams. Therefore, it needs to define a relationship between UML diagrams and some other techniques. Some important fundamentals diagrams use cases, class diagrams and sequence diagrams were selected here for the critical analysis. The syntactic and semantics issues of these diagrams are analyzed and an approach will be established to be useful for correct and complete modeling of the systems. Formal methods are useful throughout the life cycle of software development but are not sufficient in complete modeling of a system. Therefore an integration of formal approaches and UML notations will facilitate the software development process which is proposed in this paper.

The overall process of linking UML and new approaches capturing syntax and hidden semantics of the diagrams is shown in Figure 1. It is mentioned that after integration the proposed theory will be applied to some real World problems proving usefulness and effectiveness of the approach to be developed.

6. Conclusions and Future Work

Unified Modeling Language (UML) is used at initial phases of software development because of having a reasonable support of diagrams and notations but has not proved sufficient for the complete modeling of functional and non-functional requirements of a system. Based on our experience of applying UML, some weaknesses in the diagrams are identified in this paper and a treatment is presented. For example, most of the UML structures are based on graphical notations and are prone to causing errors. The hidden semantics under the diagrams allow ambiguities at design level and multiple notations produce inconsistent and ambiguous models. Further, the models described using UML diagrams may have multiple interpretations and the recipients of the design may not be able to understand what has been put in the diagrams. There exists some well-established approaches, for example formal methods, which can capture the semantics hidden under the UML diagrams.

Formal methods are useful at all stages of software development because of having rigorous mathematical and computer tools support. However, at the current
stage of development, formal methods are not sufficient in complete modeling of a system. In this way, UML and formal methods are both useful for design and specification of software systems but an integration of these approaches will facilitate the software development process which is proposed in this paper.

Based on the identification of limitations, weaknesses and critical analysis of UML diagrams, an integrated approach will be proposed and developed for modeling of complex systems in our future work.
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