Accuracy of Measuring Axillary Temperature Using Mercury in Glass Thermometers in Children under Five Years: A Cross Sectional Observational Study
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Abstract

Background: Measuring axillary temperature with mercury in glass thermometers is still continued in many clinical settings. This is mainly due to convenience and low cost. This study was conducted to ascertain the accuracy of measuring axillary temperature with mercury thermometers in preschool children. Methods: Axillary temperature was measured in 250 preschool children using standardized mercury thermometers. Time taken to record the final temperature and its correlates were assessed. Results: Time taken to record the final temperature extended up to six minutes. This duration varied according to age, body mass index and body temperature, but a significant variation was noted only with age. Conclusions: Measuring axillary temperature with mercury thermometers is subjected to error. They need to be replaced with suitable alternatives.
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1. Background

Measuring axillary temperature with mercury in glass thermometers is still continued in many clinical settings. This is mainly due to convenience and low cost. It is well accepted that axillary temperature is lower than core temperature.
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temperature and there is no universally accepted equation to determine the core temperature from axillary tempera-
ture [1] [2]. Evidence in medical literature is divided on the accuracy of predicting core temperature with
axillary temperature. Some studies have shown poor correlation between axillary and rectal temperature [3],
while others have shown a good correlation [4]. In addition, there is no consensus on optimum placement time
of the thermometer in axilla during axillary temperature measurement [2]. Although measuring rectal tempera-
ture is the most accurate method of taking the body temperature [5], it is not measured routinely due to practical
difficulties. Measuring oral temperature is reasonably accurate, but sterility issue and the potential injury haz-
ards in small children are major limitations to its use. Measuring trans-tympanic temperature is quick, but the
equipment is expensive and not freely available. Due to concerns over mercury pollution many countries have
changed to digital electronic thermometers. In Sri Lanka, digital thermometers are used in some private hospitals,
but most of the government hospitals and households use mercury in glass thermometers.

Electronic thermometers have the facility to indicate when final temperature is recorded, which is not avail-
able mercury in glass thermometers. Sick, small children often resist keeping a thermometer in the axilla for
long and leads to under recording of the temperature. Optimum control of fever depends on accurate temperature
measurement. A study in adults has shown that axillary temperature reading varies with age and race [6], but no
such studies are available in children. This study was carried out to ascertain the appropriateness of measuring
axillary temperature with mercury in glass thermometers in children less than five years. For this purpose we
assessed the optimum placement time for mercury in glass thermometer in children under five years and whether
this duration varied with parameters like age, sex, body mass index and body temperature.

Our study highlights the inaccuracy of measuring axillary temperature with mercury thermometers and im-
portance of replacing them with suitable alternatives.

2. Methods

A descriptive cross sectional study was carried out at the University Paediatric Unit of Colombo North Teaching
Hospital (CNTH), Sri Lanka, during January 2013 and February 2013.

2.1. Subject Selection

A preliminary study at the CNTH revealed that axillary temperature is measured in all wards using mercury in
glass thermometers, irrespective of the age. Duration of the placement time slightly varied between units, but
most of the wards kept the thermometer for three minutes. A sample size of 249 children was required to esti-
mate a mean duration of 3 minutes, with a standard deviation of 1 minute and an alfa error of 0.125. Therefore,
fifty children from each age category from birth to 5 years were recruited. Every second child under 5 years of
age admitted to the university Paediatric unit was considered for recruitment. Once 50 children from a particular
age category were recruited, no further children from that age category were recruited. Children were recruited
irrespective of having fever. Any child who was too ill or uncooperative was replaced by another. Informed
written consent was obtained from mother/guardian to include the child in the study.

2.2. Data Collection

A set of mercury in glass clinical thermometers were immersed for 10 minutes, in a water bath maintained at
98°F. Five thermometers with correct readings were selected for the study. They were rechecked weekly during
the study and remained accurate.

Age was recorded in completed years. Weight was measured to the second decimal in kilograms using a beam
balance scale. Height of children above 2 years was measured using a stadiometer and an infantometer was used
for children under 2 years. Measurements were taken in centimetres to the first decimal.

Before measuring the temperature mercury column was set at 96°F. The bulb of the thermometer was placed
within the axillary fossa, with arm firmly adducted over it ensuring good contact between skin and thermometer.
Readings were recorded every 30 seconds, while the thermometer remained in the axilla. Readings were taken
until three similar consecutive readings were obtained. Thermometers were cleaned with surgical spirit in be-
 tween patients to avoid cross infections. Temperature measurement was terminated if a child got irritable and
disturbed during the process and was replaced with a child from same age category. A total of 21 children had to
be replaced.
Data collection was done by two medical graduates who were trained and supervised by the first investigator.

2.3. Permission to Conduct the Study

Permission to conduct the study was obtained from the Director of NCTH. Ethical approval was obtained from research and ethics committee of the Faculty of Medicine, University of Kelaniya.

2.4. Analysis of Data

Descriptive statistics and frequency tabulations were generated using Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 16. Independent student t-test and ANOVA test were used to compare means.

3. Results

Of the 250 children who completed temperature recording, 131 (52.4%) were boys. The time taken to record the final temperature ranged from 30 seconds to 6 minutes. The median duration was of 3 minutes and inter quartile range (IQR) of 2 to 3.5 minutes (Table 1).

There was no significant difference in the duration needed to record the final temperature for boys (2.98 ± 1.2 minutes) and girls (2.94 ± 1.2 minutes). A significant variation was observed between time taken to record final temperature and age ($p = 0.03$). This is illustrated in Figure 1.

The distribution of anthropometric measurements of the study sample is depicted in Table 2. Duration taken to achieve final temperature decreased with increasing BMI, but this was not statistically significant ($p = 0.68$). Figure 2 illustrate the association between mean duration taken for temperature stabilization and body mass index.

Final temperature recorded from children varied from 96.2°F - 103.7°F. The median of the final temperature recorded was 98.4°F (IQR: 97.8 to 99.2). Table 3 depicts statistical characteristics of the final temperature recorded. Duration taken to record the final temperature increased with increasing body temperature, but the difference was not statistically significant. The relationship between time taken to record the final temperature and final recorded temperature is depicted in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Distribution of time taken to record final temperature.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time (Minutes)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Distribution of anthropometric indices of study sample (n = 250).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Weight for age %</th>
<th>Height for age %</th>
<th>Weight for height %</th>
<th>BMI %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 SD</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between +2 SD to −2 SD</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between −2 SD to −3 SD</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below −3 SD</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Distribution of final temperature recorded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Temperature (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>98.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>96.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First quartile</td>
<td>97.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>98.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third quartile</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Relationship between mean duration to record final temperature and final temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Final temperature</th>
<th>Number of children</th>
<th>Mean duration to record final temperature</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>97 - 97.9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 - 98.9</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 - 99.9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 - 100.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 - 101.9</td>
<td>07</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102 - 102.9</td>
<td>03</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103 - 103.9</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Relationship between age and time taken to record final temperature.
4. Discussion

Accurate temperature measurement is vital for optimum control of fever, especially in critically ill patients [7]. Children are often non-cooperative during examination and temperature recording is difficult. Axillary temperature measurement is preferred in children because of the convenience. Rectal temperature measurement is unpleasant and oral temperature measurement is not possible in preschool children. Trans-tympanic measurement is easy but the equipment needed is not available in most clinical settings. Main issue involved in measuring axillary temperature with a mercury thermometer is to decide when to remove the thermometer. We have observed mothers removing the thermometer very quickly as child struggles. According to previous studies, duration to place the thermometer in axilla to reach the final recording varies from few seconds to 15 minutes [9] [10]. In our study though the median was three minutes, time to achieve a final recording extended up to six minutes. These figures indicate measuring axillary temperature with mercury thermometers is subjected to error.

Mercury thermometers work by the physical principle of expansion with raising temperature. Therefore the time taken to record the final temperature will depend on rate of transfer of heat from the skin to the bulb of thermometer. Mulley et al have demonstrated that in hemiplegic patients axillary temperatures of normal sides and affected sides are significantly different [11]. A study in adults has shown that temperature readings vary with age and race [6], but no such reported studies in children.

According to our study, out of age, sex and BMI only age had a significant influence on the time to record the final temperature. Interestingly the body temperature did not have a significant influence on the time taken to record final temperature. With increasing body temperature one would expect a longer duration to record the final temperature. However, as the rate of energy transfer depends on the temperature difference between the bulb and the skin, the duration to record final temperature has not changed significantly with increased body temperature.

In a report to the congress, US environment agency has expressed concern regarding mercury released to the environment through broken thermometers and other medical equipment [12]. Though metallic mercury is non-toxic, it is converted to highly toxic methyl mercury by microorganisms, which can find its way in to human beings. Potential environmental hazards and unreliability of the readings means it is time to remove mercury thermometers from clinical practice.

Electronic digital thermometers and trans-tympanic thermometers do not contain mercury, no risk of injury and better tolerated by children. More importantly, they have the ability to indicate when final temperature is recorded.
5. Conclusion

Using mercury thermometers to measure axillary temperature in children is unreliable because the reading depends on the placement time. Optimum placement time can extend up to six minutes and the placement time for a given individual cannot be predicted because it will vary according to the age.
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