Effect of Depression on Hypertension among Turkish Individuals Aged 30 and over Years
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Abstract

Background: It is well known that depression is effective upon the occurrence of hypertension. The aim was to determine the prevalence of depression in the population aged 30 years old and over, and its impact on hypertension. Methods: This study is a cross-sectional study conducted on people aged 30 years and over between Sep., 1st and Oct., 30th 2009 in a region of western Turkey, Eskisehir. The questionnaire included the information about the subjects' sociodemographic characteristics and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) used to determine those who were at the risk of depression. The data were analyzed using Student's t test, Chi-square test and Logistic regression analysis. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results: According to the Logistic analysis, older age (OR = 16.050), adding extra salt to meals (OR = 2.079), obesity (OR = 2.170) and family history of hypertension (OR = 2.139) were the important risk factors for hypertension (p < 0.05 for each one). It was determined that there was a positive connection between the scores received from the BDI and systolic and diastolic BP values [(rs = 0.151; p < 0.001) and (rs = 0.146; p < 0.001)], respectively. Conclusion: According to the above results, we may conclude that depression is effective upon the occurrence of hypertension.
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1. Background

Many studies have indicated that risk factors for hypertension include older age, female gender, smoking, alco-
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Various studies have been conducted involving adult hypertensives from Mexico to Egypt, and it has been proposed that the prevalence of hypertension among adults ranges from 5.2% to 70.7% [2] [3]. Many studies conducted in Turkey and other countries have shown that women have a significantly higher prevalence than men (34.1% and 15.6%, respectively) [4] [5]. In Turkey, it is known that one of every 6 persons in the age group of 30 years and over, and one in every 5 persons in the age group of 40 years and over had a blood pressure above the normal range, and that the prevalence of hypertension in adults was between 29% - 49% [6].

Although traditional information is that depression increases together with the age, there are also studies proving that it increases between 30 and 40 years old people and declines after 45 [7]. In many studies in Turkey, the age above 40 is described as a risk group in depressive disorders [8].

Symptoms of depression include loss of energy, self-loathing, reckless behavior, concentration problems, feelings of helplessness and hopelessness, loss of interest in daily activities, appetite and sleep changes, irritability, aches and pains. Depression has been proposed as a likely risk factor for hypertension and coronary heart disease (CHD). It has recently been found to predict recurrence of and mortality due to MI in a series of studies [9], and hypertension incidence in a prospective population-based study [10]. The relation between depression and hypertension is biologically plausible given the increased adrenergic activity in depression [11] that may have a pressor effect on the cardiovascular system.

The present cross-sectional study sought to address the impact of depression on hypertension in the population aged 30 years and over.

2. Methods

This study is a cross-sectional study conducted on people aged 30 years and over between Sep., 1st and Oct., 30th 2009 in a village in western Turkey, Kaymaz.

2.1. Participants

According to the records of the Sivrihisar Community Health Center for the year 2009, the number of those living in the village was 1.005, most of them (n = 448, 44.6%) were women, and 457 were men (55.4%). 61.9% of the men (n = 283) and 82.1% of the women (n = 368) were over the age of 30 (in total 565/961 = 58.8%). Participation was voluntary and anonymous, and the Director of the Institution approved this study. The including criteria was that subjects who had both depression and hypertension. Of total 651 individuals, 56 were excluded from the survey due to: unwillingness to participate in the research (n = 31), and not being at home at the time of the study (n = 25). The remaining 86.8% women (n = 565/651) who agreed to participate in the study, constituted the study group.

2.2. Questionnaires

The questionnaire consisted of two parts. One included information about the subjects’ sociodemographic characteristics and health status such as sex, age, education level, marital status, job, height, weight, family history of hypertension, status of BP measurement, history of hypertension, use of antihypertensive agents, diagnosis of nephropathy, diabetes mellitus or another chronic disease, alcohol consumption, smoking cigarette, physical activity, and some other factors related to hypertension and depression.

The other one included the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) used to determine those who were at the risk of depression, which consisted of 21 items. Subjects were asked to choose 1 sentence from a group of 3 that best described their feelings within the past 2 weeks. High scores from the items indicated a higher incidence of depressive symptoms. The BDI, used as a screening test in this survey, was developed by Beck et al. (1961) [12] and later modified by Hisli (1999) [13] to suit the Turkish culture and norms. The inventory has been widely used in various studies in Turkey, and it has been accepted that the Turkish version of the scale has sufficient reliability and criterion-related validity. It was a self-administered questionnaire administered as a face-to-face interview. The answer for each item was evaluated as 0, 1, and 2 points. The lowest point was accepted as “0” and the highest point “63”, with a cutoff point of 17. If a student had ≥17 points, the student was accepted as at
risk of depression [13]. In addition to the questionnaire, the subjects’ BP, height and weight were measured at the end of each interview.

2.3. Research Procedures

BP measured was made according to the criteria reported by the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure-7 (JNC 7) criteria [14]. BP was measured with aneroid sphygmomanometers after the participant had been seated and rested for 5 minutes. Two measurements were taken at an interval of 2 minutes between readings, and the average of the 2 recordings was accepted as the subject’s BP. The reading was accepted as hypertensive if SBP was ≥140 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg and/or if the subject was taking antihypertensive agents, or if BP of the subject with DM was ≥130/80 mmHg. By the JNC 7, “normal” BP was defined as SBP < 120 mmHg and DBP < 80 mmHg.

Height measure was taken with measuring tape, and weight measure with a home type of Weight Bridge. Subjects were considered as obese if they had a body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m² or more.

Unemployed, housewives and retirees were considered to be not working. Mother, father or sibling history of hypertension in any of the individuals was accepted as positive family history of hypertension. A smoker was defined as having smoked at least one cigarette per day, and alcohol consumer as having reported a weekly alcohol intake of more than 30 ml ethanol. Doing regular physical activity was evaluated as going for a walk more than 30 minutes and doing physical activity more than two times in a week. Excessive salt intake was indicated if the individual reported the use of supplementary salt in every meal constituting more than 6 g salt daily at meals. We divided the types of oil into two classes: vegetable and animal. Chronic diseases were identified as DM, chronic renal disease (CRD), Chronic Heart Disease (CHD), and all the other chronic diseases with the exception of hypertension.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was made using Student’s t test, Chi-square test and Spearman Correlation Test. Significantly related variables were assessed in a model with Logistic regression (stepwise backward Wald regression). Goodness of fit was calculated with the Hosmer-Lemeshow C statistic. Results were given as numbers and percentages with a 95% confidence interval (CI). A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In this study, the data obtained from the 565 subjects were analyzed. Their mean age was 58.15 ± 13.74 (range 30 - 90) years. The study group consisted of 226 males (40.0%) and 339 females (60.0%). Most subjects were in the age group of 60 and over (48.0%) and had an educational level of primary school (66.0%). 430 (76.1%) of those in the study group were married, and 416 (73.6%) were not working in any job.

A total of 24.1% (n = 136) of the study group were smokers and 12.7% (n = 72) alcohol consumers. The number of those doing regular physical activity was 243 (43.0%), 273 (48.3%) subjects were using supplementary salt, and 535 (94.7%) for vegetable oil. More detailed characteristics of the study group are presented in Table 1.

The prevalence of obesity in the subjects was 36.5%, with 206 subjects including 35 males (15.5%) and 171 females (50.4%) (x² = 71.521; p = 0.000). The proportion of those with a family history of hypertension was 51.7% (292/565), (men 91, 40.3%; women 201, 59.3%).

36.6% (207/565) of the subjects in the study groups reported that they had had at least one or more chronic diseases previously diagnosed. 46.2% (n = 110) of those with hypertension and 29.7% of those without hypertension had at least one history of chronic disease (x² = 16.262; p = 0.000). The number of those who had a history of more than one chronic disease was 11 and the total number of chronic diseases was 121. Of the subjects, 39.7% had DM (n = 48), 6.6% CHD (n = 8), 14.1% rheumatic disease (n = 17), 9.1% peptic ulcus (n = 11), 5.8% asthma (n = 7) and 24.7% the other diseases (n = 30).

The average SBP of the subjects was 132.36 ± 23.10 mmHg, with 129.40 ± 21.71 mmHg in men and 134.34 ± 23.80 mmHg in women (t = 2.500; p = 0.013); similarly, the average DBP of the subjects was 80.99 ± 14.03 mmHg, with 78.54 ± 13.36 mmHg in men and 82.63 ± 14.25 mmHg in women (t = 3.423; p = 0.001).

The subjects’ prevalence of depression was found to be 21.4% (121/565) and the prevalence of hypertension
### Table 1. Some characteristics of the study group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>565</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age group (year)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 - 39</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school and upper</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>66.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illiterate</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>18.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmarried</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Job status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cigarette consumption</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol consumption</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Salt supplement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oil type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regularly physical activity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>43.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Obesity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>63.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chronicle disease</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>36.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family history of hypertension</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>51.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was 42.1% (n = 238/565). 48.8% of those with depression (n = 59) and 40.3% of those without depression (n = 179) had depressive diseases ($\chi^2 = 2.782; p = 0.095$).

According to the Bivariate analysis results, significant differences was revealed between the existence of hypertension and the individuals' gender, age groups, educational levels, marital status, working status, smoking habit and alcohol consumption, adding extra salt to meals, the type of oil used in food, presence of regular physical activity, obesity, presence of any chronic disease by diagnosed by a physician, family history of hypertension and depression. Backward Stepwise Logistic Regression Analysis formed with the above variables, which showed significantly important findings, are given in Table 2. According to the model results, older age (OR = 16.050), adding extra salt to meals (OR = 2.079), obesity (OR = 2.170) and family history of hypertension (OR = 2.139) were the important risk factors for hypertension (p < 0.05 for each one).

The average score that the subjects obtained from the BDI was 10.62 ± 8.32 mmHg (Range = 0 - 42). The subjects' average systolic and diastolic BP values were 132.36 ± 23.10 mmHg (Range = 60 - 240), 80.99 ± 14.03 mmHg (Range = 40 - 160), respectively.

It was determined that there was a positive connection between the scores received from the BDI and systolic and diastolic BP values ($r_s = 0.151; p = 0.000$) and ($r_s = 0.146; p = 0.000$), respectively (Graph 1 and Graph 2).

4. Discussion

In this study, the prevalence of hypertension was 55.2%, which was higher than that reported in various countries, showing that the prevalence among adults aged 30 years and over ranged from 19.8% to 54.5% [15]. One reason for this difference is that this study included the adults from only one small town although it was a community-based survey. In addition, the socioeconomic inequalities and different education of the people between countries or societies, a high obesity rate in the study area, different definitions of hypertension, a variety of screening methods, the diversity of study groups, and a large population of uneducated women may all contribute to the high prevalence. Another reason is that the subjects of our study group were older, 58.15 years on average.

This study revealed that the prevalence of hypertension increased significantly with the increase of age of individuals (p < 0.05, for each one). This finding and other reports indicate that hypertension is related to older age [16]. Similar results have also been reported in studies conducted in the central regions of Turkey [6]. Furthermore, the TURKSAHA study aiming to investigate the use of antihypertensive agents in Primary Health Care (PHC) units for 16 270 Turkish patients found that those aged 65 and over were significantly at risk from hypertension [17]. An explanation for increase in the prevalence of hypertension with increasing age could be that with age decrease of the amount of elastic fibers causes the elasticity of large arteries to reduce, causing an increase in vascular resistance and thus in BP [17].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>ORa</th>
<th>%95 CIb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (years) (reference: 30 - 39)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 - 49</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>2.166</td>
<td>0.878 - 5.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 59</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>7.674</td>
<td>3.345 - 17.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16.050</td>
<td>6.913 - 37.266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt intake (reference: no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.079</td>
<td>1.392 - 3.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesite (reference: no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>2.170</td>
<td>1.377 - 3.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history (reference: no)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>2.139</td>
<td>1.407 - 3.252</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORa: Odd’s ratio, CIb: Confidence interval. (Hosmer and Lemeshow Test: $\chi^2 = 3.146, df = 8; p = 0.925$).
Graph 1. The scattering diagram of Beck Depression Inventory scores and systolic blood pressure values.

Graph 2. The scattering diagram of Beck Depression Inventory scores and diastolic blood pressure values.
In this study, adding salt to meals was found to be a risk factor for hypertension (OR = 2.079; p < 0.05), consistent with many study findings [18]. A reason for these results could be as follows: Much salt intake in food causes the water retention and in result the heart flow to increase. In addition, much salt intake causes hypertension, changing renal function and vascular reactivity.

The growing prevalence of obesity is increasingly recognized as one of the most important risk factors for the development of hypertension. Based on population studies, risk estimates indicate that at least two-thirds of the prevalence of hypertension can be directly attributed to obesity [19]. An explanation for this could be that in those with obesity, the increasing body fat mass increases blood leptin hormone level. Leptin causes hypertension, accelerating proliferation in vascular smooth muscle cell, increasing vascular calcification, and stimulating angiogenesis. In our study, obesity was found to be a risk factor for hypertension (OR = 2.170; p < 0.05), consistent with the studies indicating that the prevalence of hypertension was higher in those with obesity than those without nonobesity [20].

Family history of hypertension was shown as one of the important risk factors for hypertension in this study (OR = 2.139; p < 0.05). This finding is consistent with that reported elsewhere [2]. It has been reported that in studies associated with the genetic transition of hypertension the children of parents with high BP tend to be hypertensive [21]. A study by Oscar and Suzanne reported that if one of mother or father is hypertensive the risk of developing hypertension in their children increased two fold [3]. Obviously genetic factors may contribute to an estimated 30% of patients with essential hypertension. A large percentage of people with essential hypertension have genetic abnormalities of their peripheral arteries (arterioles) that supply blood to the body tissues. The abnormalities make the walls of the arteries stiff resulting in greater resistance to the blood flowing through them [22].

In the current study, it revealed a positive connection between SBP values (r_s = 0.151, p = 0.000) and between DBP values (r_s = 0.146, p = 0.000) with the scores received from the BDI, although there was no relationship between the presence of depression and hypertension, which is supported by many studies indicating that the prevalence of hypertension was higher in those depressive [23]. An explanation for this could be that the plasma norepinephrine level in the individuals with depression rises, and that norepinephrine increases BP, causing vasoconstriction.

In this study, hypertension was determined in more than half of the subjects and depression in about 1/5. Older age, adding additional salt to meals, obesity and family history of hypertension were important risk factors for hypertension. In addition, there was a positive relationship between depression and systolic and diastolic BPs.

5. Conclusion

We conclude that these results suggest that depression is effective upon the occurrence of hypertension.
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