Unit cost of CT scan and MRI at a large tertiary care teaching hospital in North India
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ABSTRACT

Imaging department is an important department of a hospital contributing directly to patient care, providing diagnostic support to all specialties which cannot practice efficiently without their support. Hospital administrators are looking for newer tools to control costs without affecting the quality of patient care. It is well known that the escalation of costs for advanced technology has been dramatic and it has been labeled as one of the culprits for great increase in healthcare costs [1]. Cost control programmes are not aimed at cutting the quality or quantity of services provided to the patient. They are aimed at increasing the performance efficiency of the healthcare team [2]. The process of cost analysis has become a managerial tool for financial management in hospital. Costing also helps to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of functionaries and their cost implication with a view to contain cost [3]. Health administrators are looking for newer tools to control costs without affecting the quality of patient care. Hospital cost and efficiency should be examined through accounting base studies. Knowledge of unit cost is needed to assist planning for recurrent budget as an indicator of efficiency and to enforce pricing of services [4]. Imaging equipment is very complex now than ever and choice is extensive. The medical institution and the administration of health care institutions are forced to pay close attention to the management of a new kind of technology in a very efficient manner. The importance of imaging modality of investigation has assumed great significance in the past two decades [5]. Newer imaging technologies, CT and MRI are replacing older ones in the evaluation of patients. The impact of this change would be useful in justifying the increase in use of these new technologies and decreasing their inappropriate use should be a priority in efforts that focus on controlling imaging expenditure [6].

As the CT and MRI are costly investigations and an important component of patient care. Hence in planning and managing patient care, attention should be focused on cost and quality of these investigations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cost control is a process of limiting the expenditure either on the production or on distribution, so that the goods may be made available to the ultimate consumer at affordable cost. It is well known that the escalation of costs for advanced technology has been dramatic and it has been labeled as one of the culprits for great increase in healthcare costs [1]. Cost control programmes are not aimed at cutting the quality or quantity of services provided to the patient. They are aimed at increasing the performance efficiency of the healthcare team [2]. The process of cost analysis has become a managerial tool for financial management in hospital. Costing also helps to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of functionaries and their cost implication with a view to contain cost [3]. Health administrators are looking for newer tools to control costs without affecting the quality of patient care. Hospital cost and efficiency should be examined through accounting base studies. Knowledge of unit cost is needed to assist planning for recurrent budget as an indicator of efficiency and to enforce pricing of services [4]. Imaging equipment is very complex now than ever and choice is extensive. The medical institution and the administration of health care institutions are forced to pay close attention to the management of a new kind of technology in a very efficient manner. The importance of imaging modality of investigation has assumed great significance in the past two decades [5]. Newer imaging technologies, CT and MRI are replacing older ones in the evaluation of patients. The impact of this change would be useful in justifying the increase in use of these new technologies and decreasing their inappropriate use should be a priority in efforts that focus on controlling imaging expenditure [6].

As the CT and MRI are costly investigations and an important component of patient care. Hence in planning and managing patient care, attention should be focused on cost and quality of these investigations.

2. OBJECTIVE

To find out the Unit Cost of CT scan and MRI investigations at a large tertiary care teaching Hospital (Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar). Unit
cost is an important tool for Hospital Administrators in planning of services and pricing to fix the user charges.

3. METHODOLOGY

A prospective study of six months from first January to thirtieth June, 2009 was carried out in Radiodiagnosis Department of Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences (SKIMS), Srinagar, which is the Premier Hospital of Jammu & Kashmir State (deemed University with 700 bedded tertiary care hospital). This apex medical centre also is the lone referral hospital for complex and critical ailments. SKIMS is also imparting higher medical education and actively involved in Biomedical Research.

The costs were considered under direct and indirect costs. Direct costs included material cost, direct labor cost, machine depreciation cost, maintenance costs of equipments, and cost of CT tube in case of CT head. Indirect cost included building cost, electricity cost, water consumption and indirect labor costs.

Unit cost was calculated as = Direct cost + indirect cost
                                                Direct cost + indirect cost

3.1. Unit Cost of CT Head

   Direct labor cost includes salary of actual staff working in CT section of Radiodiagnosis department. The staff included Radiologists, technicians and staff nurses. The total direct labor costs for CT head for the study period was Rupees 4,40,038 (US $8321.55), which is 13.8% of the total cost.

   Material cost which includes cost of CT film, film covers and other stationary items was Rupees 5,19,118 (US $9728.59), which is 16.9% of total cost.

   Machine depreciation cost: The purchase cost of machine mentioned in log books and supply orders was taken from purchase section of Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences. Cost of machine when commissioned in 2000 was Rupees 200,00,000 (US $374812.59). The equipment would depreciate at the rate of 10% per year.

   Using the straight line diminishing method the depreciation value of machine for the study period was Rs 3,38,420 (US $6342.20), which is 12.08% of the total cost.

   Maintenance cost of the equipment without tube was Rupees 185,940 (US $3484.63), which is 5.68% of the total cost.

   Water cost was Rupees 1842 (US $34.52), which is 0.056% of the total cost.

   Building cost: The rental value for the study period was Rupees 180,000 (US $3373.31), which is 5.51% of total cost.

3.2. Unit Cost of CT Chest/CT Abdomen

   Direct Labour Cost: The total direct labour cost for the CT chest/CT abdomen for the study period was Rupees 763,594 (US $14310.23), which is 15.6% of total cost.

   Material Cost: The total material cost for the study period was Rupees 607,836 (US $11391.22), which is 12.5% of total cost.

   Machine Depreciation Cost: Cost of machine when commissioned was Rupees 43,065,000 (US $807065.21). Using Straight line diminishing method, the depreciation value of machine for the study period was Rupees 1,739,628 (US $32601.72), which is 35.7% of total cost.

   Maintenance Cost: The comprehensive maintenance contract for the study period was Rupees 1,249,998 (US $23425.74), which is 25.6% of total cost.

   Indirect Labour Cost for study period was Rupees 137,996 (US $2586.13), which is 4.70% of total cost.

   Electricity Cost for the study period was Rupees 189,588 (US $3552.99), which is 3.89% of total cost.

   Cost of water consumption for the study period was Rupees 1842 (US $34.52), which is 0.038% of total cost.

   Building Cost: The rental value for the study period was Rupees 180,000 (US $3373.31), which is 3.69% of the total cost.

3.3. Unit Cost of MRI

   Direct Labour Cost for MRI for the study period was Rupees 621,964 (US $11655.99), which is 13.3% of total cost.

   Material Cost: The total material cost for the study period was Rupees 319,226 (US $5982.49), which is 5.6% of total cost.

   Machine Depreciation Cost: Cost of machine when commissioned in 2007 was Rupees 58,552,000 (US $1097301.34). Using Straight line diminishing method, the depreciation value of machine for the study period was Rupees 2,371,356 (US $44440.70), which is 41.9% of total cost.

   Maintenance Cost: The comprehensive maintenance contract for the study period was Rupees 1,849,998 (US $34670.12), which is 32.4% of total cost.

   Indirect Labour Cost for study period was Rupees 137,996 (US $2586.13), which is 4.02% of total cost.

   Electricity Cost for the study period was Rupees
217,740 (US $4080.58), which is 3.89% of total cost. Cost of water consumption for the study period was Rupees 1842 (US $34.52), which is 0.03% of total cost. Building Cost: The rental value for the study period was Rupees 180,000 (US $3373.31), which is 3.2% of the total cost.

4. RESULTS

The actual cost incurred by the hospital to provide CT head services was Rupees 581.40 (US $10.89) [Figure 1/Table 1]. The direct cost accounts for 84.44% and indirect cost 15.56% of the total cost [Figures 2 and 3]. The actual cost incurred by the hospital to provide CT Chest/Abdomen was Rupees 2339.20 (US $43.83) [Table 2]. The direct cost accounts for 87.7% and indirect cost 12.3% of the total cost. The actual cost incurred by the hospital to provide MRI was Rupees 4447.30 (US $83.34) [Figure 4/Table 3]. The direct cost accounts for 92.2% and indirect cost 7.8% of the total cost.

5. DISCUSSION

In the Health sector of many developing countries there is a great wastage of resources because of technical and managerial inefficiency with in hospitals. In order to control the hospital expenditure and improve the efficiency, there is a need to introduce professionalism in
**Table 1.** Showing direct and indirect cost of CT head.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Direct cost (A)</th>
<th>Indirect cost (B)</th>
<th>TOTAL A + B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Labour cost</td>
<td>Material cost</td>
<td>CT tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>CT head</td>
<td>444,038</td>
<td>519,118</td>
<td>338,420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.69</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>12.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Showing direct and indirect cost of CT abdomen/CT chest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Direct cost (A)</th>
<th>Indirect cost (B)</th>
<th>TOTAL A + B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Labour cost</td>
<td>Material cost</td>
<td>CT tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>CT abdomen/CT chest</td>
<td>763,594</td>
<td>607,836</td>
<td>173,968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(US $ 14,310.23)</td>
<td>(US $ 11,391.22)</td>
<td>(US $ 3260.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Showing direct and indirect cost of MRI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Investigation</th>
<th>Direct cost (A)</th>
<th>Indirect cost (B)</th>
<th>TOTAL A + B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Labour cost</td>
<td>Material cost</td>
<td>CT tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
<td>% age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>621,964</td>
<td>319,226</td>
<td>2,371,356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(US $ 11,655.99)</td>
<td>(US $ 5982.49)</td>
<td>(US $ 44,440.70)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hospital management [7]. Escalating health care expenditure has become a global problem. In most countries cost on health has increased as compared to growth in economy. As users of the hospital services have become conscious and aware of the cost factor, so hospitals need to have health administrators who can work on cost containment in health care without compromising effectiveness of services particularly in these times of competition [8]. Our study revealed that the actual cost incurred by the hospital to provide CT head, CT abdomen, CT chest, and MRI was Rupees 581.4 (US $10.89), 2339.20 (US $43.83), 2339.20 (US $43.83), and Rupees 4447.30 (US $83.34) respectively. However, in the hospital patients are charged Rupees 900 (US $16.86), 1200 (US $22.48), 1200 (US $22.48), and Rupees 2500 (US $46.85), for CT head, CT abdomen, CT chest, and MRI respectively. Highest cost was incurred on machinery and maintenance. So our results highlight that technology acquisition is a major challenge for hospital administrators. If we compare our results with a study by Satyashankar et al., the unit cost of MRI was Rupees 5339.67 (US $100.06), in which direct cost was 85.4% and indirect cost was 14.6% [9]. The variance in unit cost per MRI in our study conducted at SKIMS is low because of higher number of investigations, whereas the variance in direct cost is high because the depreciation cost of equipment is high in our study and the building cost was shown higher in the study conducted by Satyashankar et al. However, our MRI results are comparable with the study conducted by Chakravarty et al. in which unit cost of MRI was shown to be Rupees 4129 [10].

6. CONCLUSION

Hospitals are becoming technology intensive organizations and technology acquisition is a major challenge for hospital administrators. There is substantial loss of revenue because of subsidies provided to the patients in public hospitals which need revision of charges. Cost of these investigations can be reduced by involving clinicians, radiologists in planning investigations and regular audits, so that only needed investigations are done as per requirement of the patients. Cost saving is an important factor, but it should not be made at the expense of the patient lives. Physicians and healthcare providers are increasingly pressured to contain the cost of Medicare. Such pressures are driven by competition in the market. Thus, it is incumbent upon radiologists to have a basic understanding of the costs of radiology procedures and the factors that determine those costs.
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