Cardiopulmonary and hemodynamic changes in complement activation-related pseudoallergy
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ABSTRACT

Complement activation-related pseudoallergy (CARPA) is a frequent side effect of intravenous therapies with nanoparticle-containing drugs and biologicals that are recognized by the immune system as foreign. It is an acute infusion reaction dominated by cutaneous and hemodynamic changes, most significantly a cardiopulmonary distress involving major pulmonary hypertension, systemic hypotension and arrhythmias. Because CARPA is unpredictable by conventional allergy tests and it may be life threatening, it can represent a major barrier to the safe therapeutic application of many modern medicines, including liposomal drugs and monoclonal antibodies. This review summarizes and updates the facts and opens questions regarding this phenomenon, with particular focus on its porcine model.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A causal relationship between immune changes and cardiovascular diseases is a well known phenomenon, for example, immune involvement in chronic heart failure via myocyte damage [1]. Another cause-effect relationship between the two systems is exemplified by drug and food allergies, or insect bites, which lead to the rapid development of a wide range of severe cardiopulmonary changes that can cause cardiac (anaphylactic) shock and death. Symptoms, e.g. arrhythmia, pulmonary edema, hypotension, airway occlusion, respiratory distress and cardiac arrest are also typical of hypersensitivity reactions (HSRs) to certain drugs, where the underlying cause is the activation of the complement (C) system. These reactions were called C activation-related pseudoallergy (CARPA) [2].

2. DIFFERENT FORMS OF HSRS: CLASSICAL ANAPHYLAXIS AND CARPA

HSRs have been categorized by Coombs and Gell in four groups from I to IV [3]. Type I reactions are defined as IgE-mediated acute reactions, while the rest of categories included subacute or chronic immune changes triggered or mediated by IgG, immune complexes or lymphocytes. However, it has increasingly been recognized that a substantial portion of acute allergic reactions, whose symptoms fit in Coombs and Gell’s Type I category, are actually not initiated or mediated by pre-existing IgE antibodies. To distinguish between classical anaphylactic reactions and these non-IgE-mediated ones, the latter category was termed pseudoallergy [4]. Pseudoallergy could be elicited by various agents e.g. radio-contrast media (RCM), nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, analgesics, morphine and insect venoms, liposomes and micellar solvents, such as Cremophor EL (CrEL) in Taxol [2]. There are numerous data suggesting that C activation is a common trigger mechanism of these reactions, where C3a and C5a activation products are generally involved [4]. The term, CARPA, was suggested on the basis of this evidence [5].

Some symptoms of CARPA are the same as seen in common allergy or classical Type I reactions, while others are unique to C activation (Table 1). The most im-
The important distinguishing feature of CARPA seems to be the lack of pre-sensitization and reinforcement, that is already the first exposure to a given noxious event or drug elicits maximal and in some cases fatal cardiovascular reaction. Upon repeated exposure the response decreases, frequently tachyphylaxis develops [6,7].

According to novel evidence summarized above a new scheme of hypersensitivity reactions was proposed by Szebeni et al. [2,5] with revision of the Type I category of Coombs and Gell’s classification (Figure 1).

### 3. RELEVANCE OF CARPA IN HUMANS

The clinical picture of CARPA includes cardiovascular (tachycardia or bradycardia, arrhythmia, hypotension, chest pain, back pain), respiratory (tachypnea, bronchospasm, dyspnea), and cutaneous (flushing, urticaria, erythema, pruritus) symptoms.

### Table 1. Symptoms of IgE-mediated Type I allergy and C activation-related pseudoallergy (CARPA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angioedema, asthma attack, bronchospasm, chest pain, chill, choking, confusion,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conjunctivitis, coughing, cyanosis, death, dermatitis, diaphoresis, dyspnea,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edema, erythema, feeling of imminent death, fever, flush, headache, hypertension,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hypotension, hypoxemia, low back pain, lumbar pain, metabolic acidosis, nausea,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pruritus, rash, rhinitis, shock, skin eruptions, sneezing, tachypnea, tingling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sensations, urticaria, wheezing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unique symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction arises after repeated exposure to the allergen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction is stronger upon repeated exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction does not cease without treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reaction rate is low (&lt;2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CARPA**

- Reaction arises at first treatment (no prior exposure to allergen)
- Reaction is milder or absent upon repeated exposures
- Spontaneous resolution
- High reaction rate (up to 45%), average 7%, severe 2%

Modified after Szebeni et al. [2].

The frequency of CARPA in the 5% - 45% range is much higher than classical anaphylactic reactions to drugs (for example, penicillin allergy occurs in <2%) [2]. Severe reactions can cause major anxieties, disruptions and exclusion of the patient from receiving a potentially useful life-saving treatment. These reactions can also be fatal in a small percentage of hypersensitive individuals, mainly those with a history of severe allergy and/or heart disease [8]. Complement activation during cardiopulmonary bypass with the involvement of C3a and C5a anaphylatoxins was reported decades ago [9], which was later confirmed and the involvement of C-reactive protein in association with postoperative arrhythmia was also described [10].

In the late 1990s PEG binding to the red blood cell (RBC) membrane was performed to cover red cell antigens, suggesting that such RBCs might be used as universal/stealth RBCs, suitable for transfusion. However, in vivo studies in rabbits and in vitro testing of human sera were discouraging because of antigenicity and/or immunogenicity of PEG-RBCs, negating the benefits of masking RBC blood group antigens with PEG. Later on similar problems have been found with PEGylated liposomes [11], including a study by Brouwers et al. (2000) [12], which reported 3 severe infusion reactions out of 9 patients obtaining Tc-99 m-PEG-liposomes for imaging of Crohn’s disease. The study dedicated to correlate C activation with HSRs gave account of 45% reaction rate in cancer patients infused with the lipidosome encapsulating doxorubicine (Doxil) for the first time [13].

### 4. HEMODYNAMIC EFFECTS OF COMPLEMENT ACTIVATION IN ANIMAL MODELS

It has long been known that the lipopolysaccharide-induced immediate and reversible thrombocytopenia and hypotension were prevented by prior depletion of the C3 with the anticomplementary protein from cobra venom in conscious rabbits [14-16]. Later on it was also demonstrated that the anaphylatoxin C5a caused a reversible systemic arterial hypotension and decreased cardiac output while increased central venous pressure in control and neutropenic anesthetized rabbits. These hemodynamic effects were accompanied by increases in plasma prostanoïd levels and neutropenia. Indomethacin abolished the C5a-induced hypotension. On the other hand, the thromboxane synthase inhibitor dazoxiben did not alter the hypotensive response but decreased changes in central venous pressure and decreased total peripheral circulatory resistance after C5a administration. Cimetidine, a H2-receptor antagonist attenuated the C5a-induced hypotension and diminished prostanoïd release [17].

A different way of activation of the alternative C pathway by intravenous injection of zymosan caused a range
of hemodynamic responses in anesthetized rats. Zymosan, at an intravenous dose of 2 mg/kg, increased extravasation of 125I-labelled albumin in the lung. The zymosan-induced response was not restricted to the lung since the hematocrit was also increased. The peak effect of zymosan was observed 15 min after treatment at the highest dose of 16 mg/kg i.v., and extravasation of plasma was still significant 60 min after treatment. The vascular permeability change in the lung was abolished by pre-treatment with the cyclooxygenase inhibitors, indomethacin or ketoprofen and the lipoxygenase and cyclooxygenase inhibitor, BW 755C, and no plasma extravasation was found in rats made leucopenic by rabbit anti-neutrophil serum. On the other hand, the PAF antagonist, WEB 2086, the antihistamine, mepyramine or the non-selective serotonin antagonist, methysergide did not affect the response to zymosan [18].

The dose-dependent hemodynamic and respiratory effects of zymosan began with a transient increase in right ventricular systolic pressure and respiratory rate, and then a drop in blood pressure was noted lasting for 15 - 30 min at the doses of 3 and 8 mg/kg. The right ventricular systolic pressure decreased in parallel with the blood pressure at the higher dose of zymosan. WEB 2086 largely prevented the decreases in blood pressure and right ventricular systolic pressure, while indomethacin attenuated the tachypnea and the increase in right ventricular systolic pressure but augmented the drop in blood pressure and right ventricular systolic pressure. Complement activation was verified by reduced C hemolytic activity. These results demonstrated that in the rat, C activation by zymosan lead to prostanoid-mediated pulmonary and PAF-mediated systemic vascular effects [18].

5. HEMODYNAMIC EFFECTS OF LIPOSOMES AS A CONSEQUENCE OF COMPLEMENT ACTIVATION

One of the first observations with nanoparticles was made with liposome-encapsulated hemoglobin (LEH) using hydrogenated soy lecithin. Injection of LEH in the conscious rat elevated mean arterial pressure and heart rate but decreased platelet count with a proportional increase in plasma TXB₂ concentration. Liposome vehicles decreased blood pressure and platelet count but increased heart rate and plasma TXB₂ levels. All effects were transient [19]. Using synthetic distearoyl phosphatidylcholine-based liposomes for encapsulating hemoglobin markedly diminished the hemodynamic effects. Injection of the improved preparation caused only a small tachycardia and elevation in plasma TXB₂ level [20]. Pretreatment with the PAF antagonist BN 50739 abolished all changes caused by the distearoyl phosphatidylcholine-based liposome-encapsulated hemoglobin [21].

A big advance in understanding the drug-induced hypersensitive reactions was made by the discovery that intravenous injection of hemoglobin-containing liposomes and to a lesser extent empty liposomes but not hemoglobin decreased the plasma C hemolytic activity and increased the plasma TXB₂ level. EGTA/Mg²⁺ did not inhibit C consumption induced by hemoglobin-containing liposomes in rat plasma but pre-heating rat plasma to 50°C abolished C consumption. Treatment with the soluble C receptor type 1 (sCR1) or C depletion with the cobra venom factor decreased the liposome-induced rise in plasma TXB₂ level. These results suggested that hemoglobin containing liposomes activated the alternative C pathway [22,23].

Since liposomes do not contain proteins, they likely activate the innate immunity. In fact, the size and shape of liposomes are similar to those of pathogenic viruses, nanobacteria and subcellular organelles. Therefore, liposomes are recognized by the nonspecific immune system as pathogens, so liposomes and other nanoparticles can activate efficient eliminatory mechanisms [24].

The pioneering findings related to CARPA were followed by the demonstration that liposomal drugs or liposomes, in general, caused immediate cardiovascular hypersensitivity reactions in anesthetized pigs. Intravenous injection of large multilamellar liposomes raised pulmonary arterial pressure, heart rate, pulmonary vascular resistance, systemic vascular resistance while causing a decrease in cardiac output [4,25]. These effects were dose-dependent and repeatable for several times in the same animal, exhibiting no tachyphylaxis. The rises in pulmonary arterial pressure correlated with elevations in plasma TXB₂ levels. The cardiovascular hypersensitivity reactions were inhibited by an anti-C5a monoclonal antibody, sCR1, or indomethacin. The liposome-induced increase in C5a production was mediated via the classical C activation pathway and liposomes bound IgG and IgM natural antibodies in pig serum in vitro. Zymosan and hemoglobin-containing liposomes and empty liposomes caused nearly equal pulmonary artery pressure changes [4,25].

Further studies identified that multilamellar liposomes, doxorubicin-containing liposomes (Doxil) or amphotericine B-containing liposomes (Ambisome) evoked cardiac anaphylaxis in pigs. Intravenous injection of liposomes rapidly resulted in dose-dependent hemodynamic (systemic hypotension, pulmonary hypertension, decreased cardiac output), respiratory (decreased end-tidal pCO₂) and mild to severe cardiac changes such as tachycardia and/or paradoxical bradycardia, arrhythmias, ST depression, T-wave elevation, atrioventricular block, ventricular fibrillation, and cardiac arrest (Figure 2). The severity of the cardiac effects significantly correlated...
with the liposome-mediated production of C5a in pig serum in vitro. Zymosan and recombinant human (rhu) C5a almost fully reproduced the above effects while pre-treatment with sCR1, an inhibitor of C activation via the classical and alternative pathways, or with the anti-porcine C5a antibody GS1 markedly reduced the pulmonary and cardiac abnormalities [26].

Similar responses were obtained in anaesthetized rats. Intravenous injection of high cholesterol (71 mole %) containing multilamellar liposomes at low dose (8 mg/kg) induced a rapidly developing drop in blood pressure, bradycardia, arrhythmia and tachypnea with clear signs of pulmonary edema. These responses faded in 10 - 30 min and repeated injections elicited a similar response. Blockade of the C system with cobra venom prevented the hypotensive response even after the injection of a lethal dose of liposomes (30 mg/kg). Pre-treatment with SQ 30,741, a TXA2 receptor inhibitor was also protective against the liposome-induced cardiopulmonary distress [28].

6. SPECIES DEPENDENCE OF THE LIPOSOME-INDUCED CARPA

Comparison of the liposome-induced or nanoparticle-dependent CARPA across species revealed strong interspecies variability [8]. Liposomes with high cholesterol-content (71 mole %) consumed 18% of total hemolytic C activity in rat sera, while the same liposome preparation consumed 100% of the activity in pig sera and more than 70% of the activity in human sera, in vitro. Cholesterol-poor liposomes consumed approximately only 2% of total hemolytic C activity in rat sera, but as much as 20% of pig and human hemolytic activity [28].

The most sensitive cardiopulmonary distress model for the detection of CARPA in vivo is the anaesthetized pig established in our laboratory (see experimental arrangement in Figure 3). Testing liposomes and nanoparticles in pigs can best predict human C activation and reactivity.

7. DEPENDENCE OF CARPA-INDUCING ACTIVITY ON THE CHARACTERISTICS OF LIPOSOMES

The liposome-induced C activation is highly dependent on the liposome characteristics. The reactivity of various liposome preparations can be evaluated by measuring the formation of S protein-bound C-terminal complex (SC5b-9) in normal human serum, in vitro [27]. It has been clearly shown that drug-loaded liposomes caused much stronger reactions than empty ones. The free drug binds to the liposome surface, which alters the surface characteristics of the liposome leading to enhanced C activation. Further, binding of free drug to the liposome surface causes aggregation and larger liposomes activate the C system stronger than small ones [27].

Already the early studies disclosed that multilamellar vesicles with high cholesterol content (71 mole %) caused a drastic drop in blood pressure while administration of the same dose of low cholesterol-containing (43 mole %) multilamellar vesicles did not change blood pressure [28]. An explanation for the role of cholesterol can be that cholesterol-loaded liposomes bind more to naturally occurring anticholesterol antibodies. In fact, liposomes containing 71% cholesterol bound 9-fold higher amount of murine monoclonal anticholesterol antibodies than liposomes containing 43% cholesterol. Similar results were observed when normal and cholesterol enriched liposomes were incubated with human sera [28].

It is generally observed that negative charge on the

Figure 2. Cardiopulmonary changes following intravenous administration of Ambisome at 0.01 mg/kg, 80 nM phospholipid/kg. PAP, pulmonary arterial pressure; SAP, systemic arterial pressure; arrows indicate the time of injection (Szebeni, et al. [27]).

Figure 3. Assessing CARPA and cardiopulmonary distress by nanoparticles in the pig (Szebeni et al. [24]).
lipo- somal surface increased reactivity. The strongly negative liposomes containing fully hydrogenated soy phosphatidylcholine-cholesterol-soy phosphatidyl-glycerol induced much stronger C activation in human sera than the comparable less negative vesicles [27].

Surface characteristics of liposomes can also alter their C activating properties. A “structure-activity” relationship of nanoparticles with various surface configurations has shown that alteration from “mushroom” to “brush” surface configuration shifted C activation from C1q-dependent classical to lectin pathway and also reduced C activation [29].

Liposomes containing poly(ethylene imine) induced significant and concentration-dependent C activation whereas its two PEGylated poly(ethylene imine) derivatives were without an effect in human sera. The same difference between the poly(ethylene imine) liposomes and their PEGylated derivatives were demonstrated in the pig model after intravenous administration, showing CARPA, i.e. transient cardiopulmonary distress. It was also found that poly(ethylene imine) liposomes caused both self- and cross-tolerance [30].

8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Complement Activation-Related Pseudoallergy (CARPA) is a detrimental reaction of the cardiopulmonary system propelled by the hypersensitive reaction of the immune system in response to various C activating allergens. It can be clearly differentiated from classical anaphylatoxic reactions, while in contrast to the IgE mediation of classical allergy, activation of the C system plays a crucial role in the mechanism of action. Pseudoallergic reactions bear important human relevance, due to their high incidence upon food or drug-related exposures, insect bites, or even surgical interventions without prior sensitization. New drug formulations, especially nanomedicinal (e.g. liposomal) products resulted in new challenges because of their CARPA-inducing characteristics.

Cardiovascular and pulmonary symptoms of CARPA are ranging from mild to severe reactions. The latter could result in respiratory and/or cardiac arrest, as well as huge and sudden changes in pulmonary and systemic arterial pressures. The sensitivity to CARPA widely varies among species, the most sensitive are being humans and pigs, while dogs are less sensitive and rodents seem to be relatively insensitive. While this special hypersensitive reaction has important clinical relevance nowadays, animal models of CARPA that are potentially capable of evaluating the pseudoallergic properties of novel drug candidates are of utmost importance. The porcine model of CARPA proved to be the best model so far to predict cardiovascular and pulmonary changes evoked by various drug injections. The only disadvantage of the pig test is that it is rather labor consuming and also expensive; therefore it is inappropriate for large scale screening purposes. Therefore, it still leaves room for the development of other (e.g. rodent) models in order to predict the cardiopulmonary side effects of new chemical entities due to CARPA.

REFERENCES


