Suppressive effects of saliva against enamel demineralization caused by acid beverages
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ABSTRACT

This study aimed to clarify the ability of the buffer systems of saliva to inhibit enamel demineralization after intake of an acid beverage. In the first experiment, titrable acidity tests were carried out. Ten milliliters of saliva stimulated by chewing gum base was obtained from 10 healthy adult subjects and the pH of each saliva sample was measured. The beverages used for the experiment were a carbonated soft drink (pH 2.2), a sports drink (pH 3.5), and 100% orange juice (pH 3.8). Distilled water adjusted to the pH of each saliva sample was used as a control. In the second experiment, the suppressive ability of saliva against enamel demineralization was quantitatively analyzed using quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF). Aliquots of stimulated saliva obtained from a subject were mixed with 15 ml of 100% orange juice in saliva:orange juice ratios of 1/30, 1/15, 1/10 and 1/5, and bovine teeth were soaked for 24 hours in the solutions. The △Q of the QLF analyses of the enamel was then measured. The lowest titrant volume which reduced the pH of the initial saliva (7.7 on average) to pH 5.4 was that of the orange juice. No relationship was found between the buffer capacity and the pH of the acid beverages. From the QLF measurement, the saliva-orange juice group showed a significantly decreased amount of enamel demineralization (p < 0.01 at 20% level) compared with the distilled water-orange juice group. In conclusion, saliva acts as a buffer to suppress enamel demineralization caused by low-pH beverages.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A large number of the soft drinks we consume regularly, such as sports drinks, carbonated soft drinks and fruit juices, are acidic (pH 2.2 or more) and have been shown to cause acid erosion, depending on the amount and pattern of consumption [1,2]. In the human oral cavity, the salivation rate changes substantially, depending on the properties of the ingested solutions [3,4], and the repeated occurrence of intake of a soft drink and salivation to dilute it maintains the homeostasis of the oral pH environment [5,6].

With regard to the acid buffering capacity of saliva, Lilienthal [7] demonstrated a high acid buffering activity of salivary bicarbonates by titrating hydrochloric acid solution into saliva. Several studies have investigated the acid buffering capacity of saliva [8-10]; however, the acid buffering activity of saliva against low-pH soft drinks and its effect on dental demineralization have not yet been fully elucidated.

In this study, with the aim of obtaining evidence for oral hygiene instruction in dental practice, we performed titration of different types of soft drinks into stimulated saliva and the quantitative observation of soft-drink-induced demineralization of bovine tooth enamel and the inhibitory effect of saliva on demineralization, using quantitative light-induced fluorescence (QLF) [11,12].

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was performed in accordance with the guidelines of the ethics committee of Meikai University School of Dentistry (approval number: A0913).

2.1. Experiment 1. Titration of Different Types of Soft Drinks into Stimulated Saliva

This experiment involved 10 healthy adult subjects,
consisting of 4 males aged 25 to 40 years and 6 females aged 25 to 40 years, with no tooth defects and who were not receiving any medication. Mechanically-stimulated saliva samples were collected from each subject by instructing them to chew gum base (1.0 g) and the saliva was immediately subjected to pH measurement. Ten milliliters each of the collected saliva samples were titrated with Coca Cola (Coca-Cola (Japan) Co., Limited, Tokyo, Japan; pH 2.2; hereinafter referred to as “the carbonated soft drink”), Pocari Sweat (Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; pH 3.5; hereinafter referred to as “the sports drink”) and 100% orange juice (Kirin Tropicana, Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan; pH 3.8). Distilled water adjusted with sodium hydroxide to the pH of each stimulated saliva sample was used as a control. The volume of each titrant required to lower the pH of saliva and control solutions to 5.4, the approximate critical pH level for demineralization, was determined and compared among the three types of beverage and between saliva and control.

All saliva samples were collected at 3:00 pm and immediately subjected to pH measurement. In addition, subjects were instructed to refrain from drinking, eating or smoking for 2 hours before the start of each experiment.

2.2. Experiment 2. Quantitative Observation of the Demineralization Inhibitory Effect of Saliva on Bovine Tooth Enamel

Ten bovine maxillary incisor teeth were used in this experiment. A 1-mm square window was formed over one-third of the labial and incisal surface of each tooth with nail varnish. The beverage used in this experiment was 100% orange juice. A mechanically-stimulated saliva sample was collected from a single subject by instructing him or her to chew gum base (1.0 g) and the saliva was immediately subjected to pH measurement. The saliva sample was added to 100% orange juice to prepare solutions containing saliva at ratios of 1:30, 1:15, 1:10 and 1:5. The sample teeth were soaked in 15 ml each of the saliva-containing orange juice solutions at room temperature for 24 hours while shaking in a water bath shaker (Taitec, Aichi, Japan). Distilled water adjusted to the pH of the stimulated saliva sample (with sodium hydroxide mixed with 100% orange juice) was used as control.

Each soaked tooth was washed, dried and subjected to evaluation of the degree of demineralization with a QLF apparatus (Inspektor Research Systems BV, Amsterdam, Netherlands). More specifically, ultraviolet light at a wavelength of 370 ± 80 nm was irradiated to the surface of a tooth with visible light blocked, and the fluorescence light reflected from the area near the enamel-dentin junction was allowed to pass through a 520-nm filter and captured by a CCD camera as image data [11]. QLF results were evaluated using $\Delta Q$ (% mm$^2$), a parameter representing the mean amount of demineralization.

For statistical analysis of the results of each experiment, the Student t-test was used for two-group comparison and Scheffe’s multiple comparison test was used for multigroup comparison.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Experiment 1

The mean pH of mechanically-stimulated saliva samples collected from 10 subjects instructed to chew gum base was 7.70 ± 0.19. Ten milliliters each of the saliva samples was titrated with the carbonated soft drink, sports drink and 100% orange juice. The results of the titration experiment are shown in Figures 1-3. The volume of the carbonated soft drink and sports drink required to lower the pH of the saliva samples to 5.4, the approximate critical pH level for demineralization, was determined and compared among the three types of beverage and between saliva and control.

Figure 1. Titration curve with the carbonated soft drink (pH 2.2).
to reduce the pH of saliva to the critical pH level for demineralization was significantly lower than those of the sports drink and carbonated soft drink.

3.2. Experiment 2

The results of a comparison of the degrees of demineralization of sample teeth soaked in 100% orange juice (ΔQ), orange juice containing saliva at different ratios (ΔQs) and control (ΔQw) are shown in Figure 5. Compared with the orange juice containing no saliva, ΔQs values significantly increased and the amount of demineralization significantly decreased with increasing mixture ratio. Although a slight increase in ΔQw was observed, the degree of decrease in demineralization with increasing mixture ratio was lower in saliva-containing distilled water than in saliva-containing orange juice.

A comparison between ΔQs and ΔQw showed that the amount of demineralization in saliva-containing orange juice was lower than that in saliva-containing distilled water at all mixture ratios. A significant difference was
The comparison of the degrees of demineralization of sample teeth soaked in 100% orange juice (ratio 0 as control), orange juice containing saliva at different ratios. 

Figure 5. The comparison of the degrees of demineralization of sample teeth soaked in 100% orange juice (ratio 0 as control), orange juice containing saliva at different ratios.

found between the two solutions at a mixture ratio of 1:5 ($p < 0.01$).

The experiment also revealed that demineralization of bovine tooth enamel began after 3 hours of soaking in 100% orange juice (Table 1).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Acid Buffering Effect of Saliva and Titratable Acidity of Soft Drinks

In experiment 1, stimulated saliva samples collected from subjects were titrated with three types of soft drinks and changes in the pH of the saliva samples were measured. The volume of each beverage required to reduce the pH of distilled water to the critical level of 5.4 was significantly lower than that required to lower the pH of the saliva samples, clearly demonstrating an acid buffering effect of saliva. The magnitude of the acid buffering capacity of saliva is in proportion to the content of bicarbonate in saliva, which is known to increase with increasing rate of salivation in response to stimuli [9,13]. Thus, the mechanically-stimulated saliva samples collected from the subjects instructed to chew gum base are considered to have a higher acid buffering capacity than unstimulated saliva. Of the three types of soft drinks, 100% orange juice, which had the highest pH, lowered the pH of the saliva samples at the earliest time point. The major acid components of each beverage are as follows: phosphoric acid for carbonated soft drinks, citric acid and fruit-derived organic acid for sports drinks, and several types of fruit-derived organic acid for 100% orange juice drinks. The titratable acidities (buffering capacity) of different fruit juice drinks, including orange juice drinks, which show relatively higher pH levels than other soft drinks, have been measured by titrating each beverage with a sodium hydroxide solution [14-18]. The results of these studies suggest that the magnitude of the acid buffering capacity of a solution does not necessarily match its pH levels. The results of the present experiments were also consistent with previous findings. The reason for this has been known, but Larsen and Nyvad suggested that fruit-derived organic acid binds to calcium contained in a solution to form an organic-calcium complex, which exerts a strong buffering effect [16].

4.2. Demineralization Inhibitory Effect of a Saliva-Containing Soft Drink

The present study used the ΔQ value for evaluation of demineralization. The ΔQ value has been shown to be as reliable as the ΔZ value, which reflects mineral loss in a caries lesion and is used in microradiography [19]. Several studies have employed the ΔQ value as a measure of the degree of demineralization [11,19].

The risk for tooth demineralization caused by soft drinks has traditionally been evaluated based only on their pH levels. The results of the present study suggest the need for reconsidering this risk.

In experiment 2, the amount of demineralization decreased with increasing mixture ratio at a greater degree in saliva-containing soft drinks than in saliva-containing soft drinks.
distilled water. This result appeared to depend greatly on the acid buffering capacity of saliva. The mixture of stimulated saliva with 100% orange juice resulted in a greater degree of increase in pH with increasing mixture ratio than when saliva was mixed with distilled water. The increase in pH was about 0.1 at a saliva mixture ratio of 1:5. Larsen et al. [20] showed that the amount of apatite crystal that can be dissolved in 1.5 L of distilled water was 0.5 g at pH 5, 5 g at pH 4 and 85 g at pH 3, suggesting that at a pH level of 4 or less, a slight change in pH can significantly affect the amount of enamel demineralization. The results of the present experiments thus suggest that the acid buffering effect of saliva is a significant factor that inhibits tooth demineralization. The pH levels of solutions after 24 hours of soaking of bovine teeth were higher by about 2.0 than those immediately after soaking. This may be explained by the previous finding that carbonates are released from the enamel during the process of demineralization and converted into carbonic acid, which increases pH [20].

The inhibition of demineralization of bovine teeth by the addition of saliva appears to be mediated not only by the aforementioned acid buffering effect of saliva but also by remineralization via the supply of saliva-derived minerals to the demineralized portion. It is known that saliva is supersaturated with respect to minerals, such as hydroxyapatite or tooth enamel and thus the calcium and phosphate ions in saliva serve as remineralization promoting factors. The concentrations of these ions, as well as bicarbonates, are higher in stimulated saliva than in unstimulated saliva. It is thus possible that in the present experiments, minerals contained in the stimulated saliva samples were supplied to the demineralized portion of the bovine tooth enamel, which might have resulted in the observed inhibition of demineralization. Another possible factor for demineralization inhibition is the protective effect of a pellicle formed on the surface of the enamel. The time required for pellicle formation is constantly exerted in the oral cavity.

In conclusion, saliva acts as a buffer to suppress enamel demineralization caused by low-pH beverages.
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